



## Pre-conceptual design of ASTRID fuel sub-assemblies

T. Beck, V. Blanc, J.-M. Esclaine, M. Pelletier, M. Phelip, Benoît Perrin

### ► To cite this version:

T. Beck, V. Blanc, J.-M. Esclaine, M. Pelletier, M. Phelip, et al.. Pre-conceptual design of ASTRID fuel sub-assemblies. ICAPP 2016 - International Congress on Advances Nuclear Power Plants, Apr 2016, San Francisco, United States. Paper 16163. hal-02445706

**HAL Id: hal-02445706**

**<https://cea.hal.science/hal-02445706>**

Submitted on 20 Jan 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Pre-conceptual design of ASTRID fuel sub-assemblies

Thierry BECK<sup>1</sup>, Victor BLANC<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Michel ESCLEINE<sup>1</sup>, Michel PELLETIER<sup>1</sup>, Mayeul PHELIP<sup>1</sup>, Benoît PERRIN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CEA Cadarache, DEN/DEC/SESC, F-13108 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France  
Tel: (33) 4 42 25 44 77, Fax: (33) 4 42 25 70 42, email: [thierry.beck@cea.fr](mailto:thierry.beck@cea.fr)

<sup>2</sup> AREVA-NP, 10 rue J. Récamier, 69456 Lyon Cedex 06, France

**Abstract** – *The French 600 MWe Advanced Sodium Technological Reactor for Industrial Demonstration (ASTRID) project is currently reaching the end of its conceptual design phase (AVP2). The core design studies are being conducted by the CEA with support from AREVA and EDF. Innovative design choices for the core have been made to comply with the GEN IV reactor objectives, marking a break with the former Phénix and SuperPhénix Sodium Fast Reactors.*

*The main objective to improve safety compared with current GEN II or III reactors led to a core design that demonstrates intrinsically safe behaviour. A negative sodium void worth is achieved thanks to a new fuel sub-assembly design including (U,Pu)O<sub>2</sub> and UO<sub>2</sub> axially heterogeneous fuel pins, a large cladding/small spacer wire bundle, a sodium plenum above the fuel pins, and upper neutron shielding with both enriched and natural boron carbide (B<sub>4</sub>C) which also maintain a low secondary sodium activity level. As Na-bonded B<sub>4</sub>C pins can lead to the retention of unacceptable amounts of sodium, the whole upper neutron shielding has been made removable on-line through the sub-assembly head just before the washing operations. To prevent unsafe reactivity insertions due to hypothetical radial core compaction, the stiffness of the embossed spacer pads has been improved significantly by optimising its geometry using finite-element calculations. More generally, all design choices for ASTRID have been made with the permanent objective of minimising the sub-assembly height – 4.50 m – to decrease the overall costs of the boiler reactor and the fuel cycle.*

*This paper describes the fuel sub-assembly design for the ASTRID CFV v4 core at the end of the conceptual design phase. Focus is placed on innovations and specificities in the design compared with former French SFRs. The paper also mentions some open options that will be studied during the next basic design phase.*

### I. INTRODUCTION

The French 600 MWe sodium-cooled fast reactor demonstrator called ASTRID is currently reaching the end of its conceptual design phase (AVP2). The aim of the past three years (2013-2015) of studies was to propose a consistent, innovative preliminary design [1]. The core design studies are being conducted by the CEA with support from AREVA and EDF. Innovative design choices for the core have been made to comply with the GEN IV reactor objectives, thereby marking a break with the former Phénix and SuperPhénix French Sodium Fast Reactors.

The main objective to improve safety levels compared with current GEN II or III reactors led to a core design that shows intrinsically safe behaviour in transients of accident

situations. A negative or null sodium void fraction (CFV) can be obtained by using a heterogeneous core. Other challenging objectives such as minimising the secondary sodium activity level, preventing reactivity insertions due to core compaction and applying cost-killing measures have led to a rather new fuel sub-assembly design compared with former SFRs.

This paper describes the design of the fuel sub-assembly (S/A) for the ASTRID CFV v4 core (version 4) at the end of the conceptual design phase (AVP2) with focus on innovations and specificities. Some open options to be studied during the next basic design phase are also discussed herein.

## II. CFV CORE

The layout of the CFV v4 core remains the same as the v3 core previously described in ref. [2]. The major changes integrated into v4 concern some specific details of the sub-assembly design, with no significant impact on the core performance levels.

The CFV v4 core architecture consists of 180 inner fuel sub-assemblies and 108 outer fuel sub-assemblies, surrounded by 3 rows of reflector sub-assemblies with MgO, 3 rows of shielding sub-assemblies with B<sub>4</sub>C, 3 rows of shielding sub-assemblies with MgO, and 2 rows of shielding sub-assemblies with B<sub>4</sub>C. The lateral reflector and shielding arrangement was optimised to provide enhanced radial neutron absorption [3]. The core's absorber device relies on an innovative architecture composed of 9 control/shutdown rods and 9 diverse control/shutdown rods [4], which are used both to manage core reactivity during the cycle, and to shut down the reactor. The other main characteristics of the ASTRID core include the integration of Complementary Safety Devices (CSD) for severe accident prevention [5] and mitigation, and an internal storage and debugging positions in the lateral shielding area.

The safety and performance goals assigned to the CFV core can be summarised by [6]:

- Intrinsically safe behaviour, possibly supplemented by CSD during Unprotected Loss-Of-Flow (ULOF) transients and unprotected Control Rod Withdrawal (CRW) events.
- Negative sodium void worth (CFV core).
- Search for the best performance in terms of fuel burn-up and S/A residence times.

The core is managed on the basis of 4 batches of fuel sub-assemblies. The objective fuel residence time is 1440 EFPD and the equilibrium cycle length is 360 EFPD. The fuel S/A positions are divided into 5 different flow zones to get a flat temperature distribution. The sodium flow rate required through each zone, varying between 22.5 and 26.7 kg/s, is calculated according to the fission heat generation and design criteria of a nominal cladding temperature lower than 620°C (best estimate) and an outlet temperature dispersion between two neighbouring sub-assemblies lower than 50°C. The whole core pressure drop is close to 3 bar.

## III. OVERVIEW OF THE FUEL SUB-ASSEMBLY

Although the overall design of the ASTRID fuel S/A mainly relies on feedback from French SFRs Phénix and SuperPhénix, the CFV core concept [6] involves some appreciable differences:

- Axially heterogeneous fuel pins with a thick internal fertile plate for the inner core.

- Longer fissile zone for the outer core.
- Large cladding/small spacer wire fuel bundle.
- Sodium plenum (30 to 40 cm) above the fissile area.
- Enriched B<sub>4</sub>C zone at the bottom of upper neutron shielding. Due to washing considerations, this component is removable through the S/A head.

A schematic diagram of the CFV v4 fuel S/A is given in Figure 1. The main parts are detailed in the following paragraphs.




Fig. 1 ASTRID CFV v4 fuel subassembly

Cost-killing processes performed at the end of the project's pre-conceptual design phase (AVP1) [7] confirmed the objective of minimising the S/A height to decrease the overall costs of the boiler reactor and the fuel cycle. A core height reduction of about 0.5 m was possible for ASTRID thanks to removal of the upper axial blanket used in former SFRs, coupled with the choice of a compact pressure drop device making it possible to shorten the spike by another 0.4 m. This resulted in a total S/A height of 4.50 m. The S/A height has therefore been reduced by 0.9 m compared with SuperPhénix (5.40 m), and it is not much higher than Phénix (4.30 m).

#### IV. DETAILED DESCRIPTION

##### IV.A Fuel pins

One major innovation that has helped reduce the core's sodium void effect by about 5\$ [6][7] compared with previous reactors is the large fuel pin/small wire concept. The ASTRID design consists of 217 fuel pins in each S/A with a 9.7 mm outer diameter separated by a 1 mm diameter spacer wire helically wound around the pins. The pin's lower plug are mounted on the rails of a stainless-steel single-part grid to form a bundle (Fig. 2) that is vertically held within the hexagonal wrapper tube.




Fig. 2 Fuel pin bundle with the grid support

The inner and outer core fuels pins differ slightly by their composition and their length (Fig. 3). The CFV core is characterised by axially heterogeneous fuel pins, with a  $\text{UO}_2$  fertile zone inside the  $(\text{U,Pu})\text{O}_2$  fissile area for the inner fuel sub-assemblies. A 10 cm-longer fissile zone for

the outer fuel sub-assemblies provides a global asymmetrical, crucible-shaped core [6]. Fuel pins in the core are provided with a lower fertile axial blanket of 30 cm. Fissile pellets have a central hole to improve margins with respect to the fuel melting temperature, while fertile pellets are solid to increase the breeding gain, to reduce the loss of reactivity and to make it possible to differentiate from fissile pellets.




Fig. 3 Fuel pins composition (dimensions in cm)

Fuel pins are hermetically sealed once filled with a helium-based mixture at 1 bar. Thermomechanical analysis based on finite-element computations of the fuel pins during irradiation have started with the CEA code called GERMINAL V2 [8]. The aim of this analysis is to check that the design criteria (maximal temperatures, mechanical constraints, cladding swelling, etc.) are met in nominal conditions and incident transients (CRW, etc.), and to estimate a number of pin failures to be expected during severe accident sequences using a statistical analysis technique. By avoiding excessive pressurisation in the pins, the lower plenum length will undoubtedly be the key point of these in-depth studies. In nominal conditions, the end-of-life pressure in the pins rises to about 40 bar.


The life duration of the fuel element is limited by the cladding embrittlement due to the high radiation damage rate. The reference cladding material for ASTRID is composed of special 15%Cr-15%Ni austenitic steel [9] which was tested in Phénix: AIM1 (Austenitic Improved Material #1). The level of cold-work (~20%), stabilisation by titanium, and control over the amounts of minor elements (Si and P) have made it possible to delay the beginning of swelling under irradiation, which makes AIM1 suitable for use up to high dose rates. AIM1 has also been chosen for the spacer wire to prevent differential

behaviour under irradiation. A dedicated qualification programme is required on the upper plug/cladding welding which is subjected to a higher dose rate in the CFV core.

#### IV.B Wrapper tube and spacer pads

The closed leaktight hexagonal wrapper tube (hexcan) is made of EM10 steel which has been extensively tested in Phénix. This martensitic 9%Cr-1%Mo steel exhibits excellent dimensional stability under irradiation [9]. A record dose of 155 dpa was reached on the EM10 wrapper during the BOITIX-9 experiment in Phénix, with a resulting irradiation swelling limited to 0.5%. At the beginning of the ASTRID project, the slight geometrical variation expected for the EM10 hexcan at the target dose has made it possible to optimise the core by reducing the duct wall thickness and the inter-assembly gap compared with austenitic 316Ti SuperPhénix hexcans. The external and internal widths of the ASTRID hexcan are respectively 168.7 mm and 161.5 mm.

To ensure suitable clearance between the sub-assemblies in the core, a rectangular spacer pad is stamped through each side of the hexcan just above the fuel pins (Fig. 4). This stamped-pad design was originally used in Phénix and SuperPhénix, and renewed for ASTRID because of its reliability and its simplicity.




**Fig. 4 Section of a hexagonal wrapper tube with spacer pads**

Not only do the pads play a central role in the mechanical equilibrium of the core, they also enhance safety by preventing reactivity increases due to a core compaction that follows a flowering, which may occur when core is subjected to dynamic stresses such as earthquake or internal pulse load release. Following the safety requirements for ASTRID wherein core compaction must be minimised, studies have been engaged to analyse the effect of a pad stiffness increase on the core mechanical behaviour. For this purpose, extensive studies have been performed to increase stamped-pad stiffness [10].

A finite-element model developed with the CEA's LICOS fuel performance code [11] – based on the Cast3M solver – was first used to reproduce the cold stamping

process and obtain a representative pad geometry. This model was then validated on the SuperPhénix pad geometry thanks to comparison with available experimental results of duct crushing tests at 550°C. A parametric study considering variations in the pad dimensions (height, width, radius in the corners) was performed for the 6-loaded-pad configuration using an elastoplastic law of EM10 at 550°C. More than 200 plots were generated to cover the range of parameters (Fig. 5).



**Fig. 5 Pad design optimisation - Crushing computations**

Stiffness is defined by the slope of the curves. The highest stiffness of 192 kN/mm was obtained for the extremal parameters: maximal width, maximal height and minimal radius of the pads. These computations showed that pad stiffness could be increased by a maximal ratio of ~7 compared with the previous reference design.

The final pad design for ASTRID will be defined following the core static and dynamic behaviour studies that are currently in progress.

#### IV.C Upper neutron shielding

Up to now, the upper neutron shielding is by far the component that has required the most brainstorming and iterative studies. The result is an innovative design covered by a patent application [12].

##### IV.C.1 ASTRID requirements

The CFV core's requirement for ASTRID makes it impossible to reuse the upper neutron shielding designs of Phénix and SuperPhénix. Indeed, the new requirement specifies a negative sodium void worth. For this reason, the bottom of upper neutron shielding, located just above the sodium plenum, should reflect neutrons as little as possible. This required choosing the best neutron absorber, boron carbide ( $B_4C$ ), which benefits from extensive feedback since it has been used in SFR absorber rods. Core studies concluded that the optimum design for reaching the



CFV effect [2] would be a upper shielding lower part made of 7.5 cm-thick  $B_4C$  enriched to 90% in  $^{10}B$  for enhanced absorption, together with the smallest steel structure thickness to minimise neutrons reflection.

The second main function assigned to the upper neutron shielding is obviously to provide proper axial neutron shielding to minimise damage to reactor internals and reduce the activation of surrounding Na circuits. The specification for ASTRID is a target  $^{24}Na$  activity level less than  $10 \text{ Bq/cm}^3$  (best-estimate calculation) for the secondary sodium in the intermediate heat exchangers (IHX) to ensure that the ASTRID steam generator building remains classified as a non-controlled area. This objective is much more severe than for Phénix, and also more difficult to meet than for SuperPhénix because of the distance between the IHXs and the core which is reduced. Moreover, considering the inherent tendency of the CFV core to amplify upper neutron leakages (due to the suppression of the upper fertile axial blanket), and the limited available space (about 90 cm) in the top the S/A (to meet a total height of 4.50 m), it is clear that the upper neutron shielding is a key issue.

Extensive 3D Monte-Carlo calculations were conducted to optimise the ASTRID core shielding, considering the best “performance vs. cost” ratio [3]. This consisted in identifying the best arrangement for the reflector, moderator and absorber materials in the lateral shielding sub-assemblies, as well as the material in the top part of the upper neutron shielding. Concerning the lateral shielding, successive alternations of  $MgO$  and  $B_4C$  sub-assemblies were adopted (see section II). Concerning the upper neutron shielding, the top part was filled with natural  $B_4C$  (19.78% of  $^{10}B$ ), the best absorber, which gave almost as good results as  $^{10}B$ -enriched  $B_4C$ , while being much less expensive. Additional neutron shields made of borated steel skirts were added in parallel to the IHXs to decrease the secondary sodium activation to an acceptable level of about  $8 \text{ Bq/cm}^3$ .

#### IV.C.2 Upper neutron shielding design

Knowing that the upper shield needed to be made of both enriched and natural  $B_4C$  (see section IV.C.1), the other complex part of the task consisted in proposing a design compatible with the design criteria and operational constraints.

The axially heterogeneous fuel pins provide a maximal flux shifted towards the top of the sub-assemblies compared with former SFRs. This means that the  $B_4C$ -enriched lower part of the neutron shielding is subjected to a higher flux ( $3.0E+14 \text{ n/cm}^2/\text{s}$ ) which produces a large volume of helium ( $\sim 330 \text{ dm}^3$ ) and dissipates a great deal of power (up to  $50 \text{ W/cm}^3$ ) due to the exothermal  $^{10}B(n,\alpha)^7Li$  neutron capture reactions. The latter aspect led to the choice of  $B_4C$  in the form of a 19-pin bundle to meet the maximal temperature criterion, together with a Na-bond to

take advantage of the high thermal conductivity of sodium. Non-leaktight pins design also provided a solution to the problem of significant helium production. By allowing He to be released from the pins, any unacceptable pressurisation is avoided inside the pins and the height of the pins – *i.e.* the fuel S/A – is also minimised, because axial plenum is no longer necessary.

But the Na-bonded pins raised maybe the most important issues that needed to be resolved. Absorber pins comprise a lower and an upper porous vent through which helium is released and sodium flows inside the pins. Due to the fragmentation of  $B_4C$  under irradiation, small fragments may plug the micrometric pores of the vents and hinder pin draining. This is all the more true when considering the sub-millimetre cladding-pellet gap inside the pins. It is well-known that the sodium inside the Na-bonded absorber pins cannot be totally removed after draining. Yet this non-negligible amount of Na trapped inside the pins engenders unacceptable safety risks during the fuel S/A washing stage due to uncontrolled exothermal sodium-water reactions (during atomisation and immersion processes). The solution to this problem was found following a brainstorming and value engineering process: the whole upper neutron shielding would be made removable on-line through the assembly head just before the washing operations.


The main difficulty was to design a device which enables the upper shielding extraction and that fulfilled the following requirements:

- The whole upper shielding must be removable on-line from the S/A head while in the washing pit.
- The smallest possible axial dimension must be applied to maximise the  $B_4C$  pin height within the overall available space.
- The upper neutron shielding must be securely locked in position in the S/A, by acting against the hydraulic lift force.

The extracting device is located at the top of the upper neutron shielding. It was designed on the basis of the experimental capsules head from SuperPhénix which were removable from the S/A in the outer Na storage area. A strict design process was carried out to reduce its length by a factor of  $\sim 4$ . The upward axial locking of neutron shielding is ensured by three lateral fingers spread out inside a groove in the S/A head and maintained thanks to the weight of a movable solid stainless steel part. The downward axial locking is ensured by stopping fins located in the hexcan corners and mounted on a cylindrical skirt fixed to the S/A head structure. The three locking fingers are withdrawn by the upward movement of the movable part, allowing the upper neutron shielding to be extracted by the top through the S/A head. The translation motion of the movable part is ensured by a specific mechanical grapnel actuated in the washing pit and whose fingers are

inserted in an internal groove. This grapnel is very similar to the one used for S/A lifting but with smaller dimensions.

The removable upper neutron shielding design is shown in Figure 6. It consists of a 14.5 cm-diameter and 94 cm-long cylinder. These dimensions comply with a S/A height of 4.50 m while fulfilling all ASTRID requirements.




**Fig. 6 Removable upper neutron shielding inside the S/A (section view)**

The lower part of the neutron shield comprises the 19-pin bundle equipped with flow equalisers, while the upper part comprises the extracting head as described above. The pins are attached to the fixed part of the extracting head thanks to a grid support composed of rails, just like the fuel pins (see Section IV.A). The pin design is equivalent to that used for the absorber rods. It comprises a 1.5 mm-thick cladding made of 15-15Ti AIM1 stainless steel, capped by two plugs with a porous vent. From bottom to top inside the pins, there are 7.5 cm of  $B_4C$  enriched to 90% in  $^{10}B$ , 69.5 cm of natural  $B_4C$ , and an upper plenum comprising a spring. The pins have a diameter of 25.8 mm and are separated by a 2 mm-diameter helical spacer wire. The surface fraction of  $B_4C$  is 26.5%.  $B_4C$  pellets are confined by a stainless steel shroud and are fabricated according to a “carbothermal” process instead of the “magnesiothermal” process (Phenix feedback). Just like the control and shutdown rods [4], both the shroud and the “carbothermal”

$B_4C$  are the subject of in-depth R&D actions that aim to double the pin lifetime from start-up value which is based on feedback (two cycles of 360 EFPD) to the required full lifetime at equilibrium (four cycles of 360 EFPD).

#### IV.C.3 Thermal-hydraulic computations

The movable part in the extracting head not only ensures the locking/unlocking and extraction of the upper neutron shielding, but also the sodium flow path to the S/A outlet. A small central hole in its design was necessary to house the actuating mechanism of the three fingers. This sodium section reduction was expected to increase the pressure drop in the S/A. Three peripheral bean-shaped holes were consequently added between the fingers to increase the hydraulic diameter and reduce the total pressure drop (Fig. 7).




**Fig. 7 Simplified cross-section of the upper shielding extracting head (fingers not represented)**

Preliminary thermal-hydraulic calculations were performed to evaluate the pressure drop in the upper neutron shielding, and to characterise the sodium flow field (velocity and temperature) above the S/A outlet near the core monitoring and protection system (thermocouples and flowmeter). Simplified but representative modelling of the S/A upper zone was used with the CFD STAR-CCM+ code, starting from fuel pins output up to monitoring system in the hot pool. A constant sodium flow rate was considered at the inlet of the modelled area, corresponding to the maximum value in the core, but with the heterogeneous temperature map that was separately computed at the fuel bundle output.

The sodium velocity and temperature fields at the sub-assembly outlet are shown in Figure 8. This figure shows the heterogeneous flow in temperature with a gradient of  $13^\circ C$  between the centre and the periphery. The velocity field shows that the flow is much more disturbed as it goes through the extracting head of the upper shielding. The central and three peripheral holes in the movable part

create four separated flows at the S/A outlet. The total pressure drop in the upper shielding is about 0.6 bar, 90% of which is located in the absorber pins bundle because of the wires and flow equalisers that reduce the sodium section.



**Fig. 8 CFD computations at the S/A outlet**


These preliminary computations revealed several ways of optimising the pins bundle and extracting head parameters in order to improve the temperature and velocity fields at the sub-assembly outlet and to decrease the total pressure drop. Further design and CFD studies are expected in the next months.

#### IV.D Lifting head

The S/A lifting head was designed in coherence with the upper neutron shielding. The objective of maximising the B<sub>4</sub>C volume in the removable upper shielding led to increasing the head inner diameter (15 cm) through which it is extracted. The sub-assembly head is a ~1 meter-long single part made of AISI 316L stainless steel. The top part is cylindrical while the bottom part is hexagonal (Fig. 9).

The cylindrical top part of the head is 27 cm-long. It comprises an internal groove at the top for sub-assembly lifting using the handling grapple. Several radial holes will be included to ensure proper S/A cooling in the case of

exceptional situations where heads are uncovered for visual inspection. The top outer surface is used for surface detection purposes by the under-sodium visualisation system (VISUS), which is designed to ensure that the S/A head does not stick out further than the others. To prevent handling errors, a bar code is engraved on the edge of the head for sub-assembly identification by under-sodium ultrasonic readings.



**Fig. 9 Lifting head**

The bottom part of the head has an outer hexagonal section while retaining the inner cylindrical hole. It is extended downwards to the interface between the enriched B<sub>4</sub>C and the natural B<sub>4</sub>C of the upper neutron shielding (Fig. 6). This design was necessary to provide the negative sodium void effect by limiting the amount of steel (reflector) in the bottom of the upper shielding. Moreover, the steel in the upper part of the head, which is next to the natural B<sub>4</sub>C, improves the global upper neutron shielding and helps achieve a low secondary sodium activity level. The hexagonal part of the head is inserted inside the wrapper tube with a gap. The binding with the wrapper tube is ensured by cold stamping after mounting of the fuel pins bundle.

#### IV.E Spike


All core sub-assemblies are supported vertically with the spike inserted in the shroud tubes provided in the grid plate. The bearing surface is spherical on the spike side and conical on the grid plate side (Fig. 10). The shroud tubes comprise multiple holes to allow cold sodium from the grid plate to flow into the S/A spike through oblong slots.

Two helicoid labyrinths are provided on the spike with a reduced gap with the shroud tube. The function of the top labyrinth is to control the leakage flow of cold sodium –



200 kg/s for the whole core – into the hot pool in the interspace between sub-assemblies. The spherical bearing is provided with six slots to prevent cavitation and erosion at this level due to the leakage.

The function of the bottom labyrinth is to ensure the minimum flow required for main vessel cooling. It also prevents the S/A from hydraulic lifting or floating, which may occur due to high coolant drag pressure, by generating a hold-down force owing to the pressure drop developed here.




**Fig. 10 Spike**

The choice of a compact pressure drop device for ASTRID made it possible to reduce the spike length by about 0.4 m compared with the SuperPhénix design equipped with diaphragm plates device. Sub-assemblies of each flow zone in the core are equipped with a compact pressure drop in the spike to achieve the required flow rate through the S/A (Fig. 1). This compact device consists of multiple aligned or crossed honeycomb orifice plates, with a total length of less than 15 cm. This device has been successfully experimented with some sub-assemblies in Phénix and SuperPhénix.

Additional protection against handling errors during refuelling is provided thanks to discriminatory features located at the bottom of the spike. Those discriminators have a specific design for each flow zone in the core. They prevent a fuel S/A from being completely lowered into the wrong position in the core, *i.e.* in a position where the S/A would be under-cooled, or in a position of an absorber rod that would lead to a reactivity increase. This protection involves a combination of inner and outer diameters at the spike's end that are reproduced on a lock located inside the shroud tubes (Fig. 11).

Though this discriminator concept comes from SuperPhénix, the novelty for ASTRID is that the spike end is provided with self-orientation devices. These devices are arranged in parallel to the discriminator at the bottom of the spike, instead of being located at the top just above the grid plate as was the case for former SFRs. The discriminator consists of two cams at the spike end coupled

with six vertical grooves inside the shroud tubes (Fig. 11). The top of each groove is provided with conical ramps to rectify the angular mis-orientation. While refuelling, self-orientation guarantees the angular position of each S/A with a good accuracy with respect to the grid plate. Such an orientation with respect to the fixed structure of the grid plate prevents the S/A network from angular drift that may cause S/A blockages while refuelling, and guarantees the proper S/A orientation even in case of refuelling after a WCD, *i.e.* without adjacent sub-assemblies.



**Fig. 11 Discriminatory and self-orientation features**

The spike is made of AISI 316L austenitic steel. Its thickness will be defined according to the results of the core dynamic mechanical studies that are currently underway.

All core sub-assemblies have the same spike design except for the discriminator which depends on the flow zone, and for the mitigation CSD which have a specific spike.

## V. CONCLUSION

The fuel sub-assembly design for the ASTRID CFV v4 core at the end of the conceptual design phase (AVP2) is described.

Innovative design choices have been made to meet the ASTRID project requirements, marking a break with the former Phénix and SuperPhénix French SFRs. A negative sodium void worth (CFV core) will be achieved thanks to axially heterogeneous fuel pins, a large cladding/small spacer wire bundle, a sodium plenum above the fuel pins,

and upper neutron shielding with both enriched and natural B<sub>4</sub>C sodium-bonded pins.

The upper neutron shielding will help us reach a low secondary sodium activity level and will be removable on-line through the assembly head so as to meet washing constraints. Studies have been performed to increase the stiffness of the stamped spacer pads, which would help to prevent any unsafe reactivity insertion in the case of hypothetical radial core flowering and compaction events. To prevent handling errors, an under-sodium ultrasonic bar code identification system will equip the lifting head, and the spike end will be fitted with discriminatory features arranged in parallel to self-orientation devices.

The beginning of the next basic design phase will focus on design choices and confirming performance levels, as well as optimising some components and launching the qualification programme.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank all the teams involved in the fuel S/A design studies at the CEA and AREVA-NP for their constructive support.

### NOMENCLATURE

AVP2 : *Avant-Projet 2*, French acronym for conceptual design phase #2  
 CSD : Complementary Safety Device  
 CFD : Computational Fluid Dynamics  
 CFV : *Cœur à Faible Vidange*, French acronym for low sodium void core  
 CRW : Control Rod Withdrawal  
 dpa : displacement per atom  
 EFPD : Equivalent Full Power Day  
 S/A : Sub-assembly  
 SFR : Sodium Fast Reactor  
 WCD : Whole Core Discharge

### REFERENCES

1. J. ROUAULT et al., "ASTRID, the SFR GEN IV technology demonstrator project: where are we, where do we stand for?" – Proceedings of ICAPP 2015, Nice, France, May 03-06, 2015, Paper 15439.
2. C. VENARD et al., "The ASTRID core at the midterm of the conceptual design phase (AVP2)" – Proceedings of ICAPP 2015, Nice, France, May 03-06, 2015, Paper 15275.
3. N. CHAPOUTIER et al., "ASTRID core shielding – Design studies and benchmark analysis" – Proceedings of ICAPP 2015, Nice, France, May 03-06, 2015, Paper 15305.
4. I. GUENOT-DELAHAIE et al., "State of the art of the conceptual designs for ASTRID control and shutdown rods" – Proceedings of FR13, Paris, France, March 04-07, 2013.
5. I. GUENOT-DELAHAIE et al., "The innovative RBH Complementary Safety Device for ASTRID to address Unprotected Loss of Flow transients: from design to qualification" – Proceedings of ICAPP 2016, San Francisco, USA, April 17-20, 2016, Paper 16116.
6. F. VARAINE et al., "Pre-conceptual design study of ASTRID core" – Paper 12173, Proceedings of ICAPP 2012, Chicago, USA, June 24-28, 2012.
7. M-S. CHENAUD et al., "Status of the ASTRID core at the end of the pre-conceptual design phase 1" – *Nuclear Engineering and Technology*, Volume 45, n°6, November 2013.
8. M. LAINET et al., "Recent modelling improvements in fuel performance code GERMINAL for SFR oxide fuel pins", IAEA-CN-199/241 – Proceedings of FR13, Paris, France, March 04-07, 2013.
9. M. LE FLEM et al., "Status of the French R&D on ASTRID core materials" – Proceedings of ICAPP 2014, Charlotte, USA, April 06-09, 2014, Paper 14117.
10. V. BLANC et al., "Characterization, simulation and improvement of spacer pads mechanical behaviour for sodium fast reactor fuel subassemblies" – Transactions of SMIRT23, Manchester, UK, August 10-14, 2015, Paper 634.
11. T. HELFER et al., "LICOS, a fuel performance code for innovative fuel elements or experimental devices design" – *Nuclear Engineering and Design*, to be published.
12. D. LORENZO, T. BECK, G. MAILHE, "Assemblage combustible pour réacteur nucléaire de type RNR-Na, à boîtier logeant un dispositif de protection neutronique solidarisé de manière amovible" – French patent application, FR 14/63003, 19 December 2014.