

#### Separation of Americium alone from a Concentrated Raffinate by Liquid-Liquid Extraction (EXAm)

C. Sorel, J.-M. Adnet, M.-C. Charbonnel

#### ▶ To cite this version:

C. Sorel, J.-M. Adnet, M.-C. Charbonnel. Separation of Americium alone from a Concentrated Raffinate by Liquid-Liquid Extraction (EXAm). 14th Information Exchange Meeting on actinide and fission product partitioning and transmutation, Oct 2016, San Diego, United States. hal-02442253

#### HAL Id: hal-02442253 https://cea.hal.science/hal-02442253

Submitted on 16 Jan 2020  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### FROM RESEARC<mark>H TO INDUSTRY</mark>

# ceaden

Separation of Americium alone from a Concentrated Raffinate by Liquid-Liquid Extraction (EXAm)

Christian Sorel, Jean-Marc Adnet, <u>Marie-Christine Charbonnel</u>

CEA Marcoule / Nuclear Energy Division, RadioChemistry & Processes Department Separation Process Chemistry and Modeling Service



## Ceaden INTRODUCTION

#### Recycling Am alone

- waste lifetime and radiotoxicity
- long term waste heat power → save repository resource factor 7 to 8

#### Am and Cm chemistry

- Hard acids (HSAB theory)
- Ionic radius: Am 1.106 Å / Cm 1.094 Å
- Redox:  $Am(III) \rightarrow Am(IV)$ , (V), (VI)



### > Options

Oxidation to Am(VI) and extraction (difficult to stabilize)
Ex.: SESAME (CEA), NaBiO<sub>3</sub> (B. Mincher *et al.* INL)



HLW: 1200 ha



**Deep Geological** 

Repository

Without redox chemistry - With selective lipophilic or hydrophilic system

Processes tested by CEA: DIAMEX  $2 \rightarrow$  DMDOHEMA (48 stages!)

EXAm → DMDOHEMA + HDEHP / TEDGA

HLW: 160 ha 🙄

ecvoli



TODGA / TPAEN





- Selective Recovery of Americium alone from a PUREX raffinate in 1-cycle
  - Feed solution already cleared from U, Pu and Np
  - Extractants alone  $\rightarrow$  very low Am/Cm selectivity (SF<sub>Am/Cm</sub> = 1.6)
  - with TEDGA  $\rightarrow$  SF<sub>Am/Cm</sub> = 2.5 (32 stages)



## **Complexed chemistry** (example with Ln)

In the organic phase:  $Ln^{3+}(DEHP)_x$  and  $Ln^{3+}(NO_3)_3(DMDOHEMA)_y$ 

but also Ln<sup>3+</sup>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>x</sub>(HDEHP)<sub>y</sub>(DEHP)<sub>3-x</sub> (DMDOHEMA)<sub>z</sub>, LnNO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (TEDGA)<sub>n</sub>(DMDOHEMA)<sub>y</sub>

• In the aqueous phase:  $Ln(TEDGA)_n^{3+}$  (n=1,2 and 3)

M.-C. Charbonnel *et al.*, *Procedia Chem.* **2012**, 7, 20–26. V. Pacary et al., *Procedia Chem.* **2012**, 7, 328–333. J. Muller et al., SEIE 2016, 141-160, C. Marie, *et al. Proceedings ISEC*, **2014**, 105-110.





Demonstration of the faisability with a first hot test in ATALANTE facility in 2010

→ Am recovery  $\approx$  98.5% with DF<sub>Am/Cm</sub> = 500

Improvement suggested : increase the compactness of the process (to reduce industrial contactors size and quantity of side streams)

Next step: Hot Test on genuine PUREX raffinate after concentration



Ceaden



## **EXAM** integral experience



RadioChemistry & Processes Department

## Ceaden Overview of the CBP Shielded Process Line

#### **Solvent extraction**





Nuclear Energy Division - Marcoule RadioChemistry & Processes Department **Dissolution and clarification** 



Tests at laboratory scale

#### Modelling



Nuclear Energy Division - Marcoule RadioChemistry & Processes Department

IEMPT 2016, October 18-20, 2016





Tests at laboratory scale

#### Modelling



Nuclear Energy Division - Marcoule RadioChemistry & Processes Department

IEMPT 2016, October 18-20, 2016

## **Spent fuel dissolution**

- 3 dissolution batches
  - Dissolution of 3 kg of UOX and 1.6 kg of MOX fuel
- Total volume : 22 L

Main characteristics of the dissolution solution

Ceaden

$$c_{\rm U} = 160 \text{ g/L},$$

$$C_{Pu} = 4.4 \text{ g/L},$$
  
 $C_{Nu} = 49 \text{ mg/L}$ 

$$c_{Am} = 160 \text{ mg/L}$$

$$c_{Cm} = 50 \text{ mg/L}$$

- Total  $\beta\gamma$  activity: 1.9.10<sup>12</sup> Bq/L (02/2011),
- <sup>106</sup>Ru activity: 1.35.10<sup>11</sup> Bq/L (02/2011).











Tests at laboratory scale

#### Modelling



Nuclear Energy Division - Marcoule RadioChemistry & Processes Department

## EXAM (Concentrated flowsheet) Which concentration factor?

Keep a good Am/Cm separation factor			
	UOx3 (PUREX raffinate)	<mark>5</mark> x	
Σ Ln (mM)	25	125	
Σ Cations (mM)	52	260	

#### Main modifications

Ceaden

Increase of  $c_{Ln}^{aq} --- \rightarrow increase c_{TEDGA}$ 

But  $c_{\text{TEDGA}}{}^{\text{org}}$  7 and then the loading capacity  $\boldsymbol{\curlyvee}$ 

Avoid 3<sup>rd</sup> phase formation ---→ increase c<sub>HDEHP</sub>

Influence of Ln total concentration on SF(Am/Cm)





A 200

DMDOHEMA 0.6M HDEHP 0.45 M

### Highest Concentration Factor reasonably achievable is **3.5**



**HDEHP 0.30 M** 

## EXAM (concentrated flowsheet) Which influence on stripping steps?





Cea den





Tests at laboratory scale

#### Modelling



Ceaden

## Spiked test april 2014

## Atalante C17



Simulated Feed Concentration factor =  $3 \text{ UOX}_3$ 

Element	g/L	
La	1.6	
Ce	2.6	
Pr	1.2	<sup>241</sup> Am
Nd	4.9	0.4 mg/
Sm	1.2	<sup>244</sup> Cm
Eu	0.17	0.2 mg/
Gd	0.22	
Y	0.56	
Zr	1.8	
Pd	2.2	
Мо	2.2	
Fe	1.1	
Ru	1.7	

- Addition of HEDTA as Pd masking agent (limit the saturation)
- TEDGA Scrubbing
- pH control, online spectrophotometry
- Solvent recycled by batch (after analysis c and re-adjustment)



## Ceaden Spiked tests april 2014

## Atalante C17

1.E+00

1.E-02

1.E-04

🔺 📥 1.E-01

D<sub>Am</sub> 1.E-03

7 8 9 10 11 12

#### **Main results**

Very good adequation between data calculated and measurements 



#### **Performances**

Step	Am Recovery	Decontamination factor	
Am Extraction Cm Scrubbing	~ 98.4%	DF(Am/Cm) ~ 40 Efficient TEDGA scrubbing (c <sub>TEDGA</sub> <sup>org</sup> <sub>&lt; 10mM</sub> )	Technical problems Equilibrium not reached
Mo Scrubbing	C <sub>Am</sub> <sup>raffinat</sup> < 0.1%	Quantitative recovery of Mo (< 0.1%)	pH well controlled
Am Stripping	> 99.87%	DF(Am/Nd) = 100	

4

5 6



Nuclear Energy Division - Marcoule RadioChemistry & Processes Department





Tests at laboratory scale

#### Modelling

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

Nuclear Energy Division - Marcoule RadioChemistry & Processes Department

IEMPT 2016, October 18-20, 2016

## **Concentration of PUREX raffinate**

- Choice of steam distillation instead of classical formic denitration
  - safety regulation at Atalante facility
  - acidity very high

Ceaden

#### Goals of the steam distillation

- Increase the salts concentrations by a factor of 6
- Maintaining the nitric acid concentration around 8 M

#### Preliminary optimization of operational conditions: (C17 cell)

- Low acidity of the feed solution: [H<sup>+</sup>]<sub>feed</sub> = 3,4 M
- High acidity in the reactor: 8 M => [H<sup>+</sup>]<sub>distillate</sub> = 1,8 M
- Minimization of effluent volumes
- Absence of precipitates (only RuO<sub>2</sub> and small quantity of Zr/phosphates)

### Concentration of the active solution (CBP)

- Test of the cooling system, determination of the maximum heating power and of the optimum flowrates
- Concentration in two batches of 11 L (duration of 3 shifts)

![](_page_17_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_18.jpeg)

Condenser

Heating rods

## **Composition of PUREX raffinates**

Elements	Before concentration	After concentration
HNO <sub>3</sub> (mol/L)	3.4	8.2
Am (mg/L)	155	1197
Cm (mg/L)	-	323
Nd (mg/L)	740	3269
Ce (mg/L)	440	2169
Pr (mg/L)	200	956
La (mg/L)	240	1245
Sm (mg/L)	156	917
Eu (mg/L)	29	151
Gd (mg/L)	38	376
Zr (mg/L)	276	900
Mo (mg/L)	405	1186
Pd (mg/L)	138	617

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

Ceaden

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

Tests at laboratory scale

#### Modelling

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

Tests at laboratory scale

Modeling

QSE Qualité lecurité Environnement Alixon Caterina Inter Solitati - Oklas Inter

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Interest of modeling during a pilot test

#### High capacity of the PAREX code

Before the test

Thermodynamic of acid and metals extraction
Mass transfer kinetic
Hydrodynamic in contactors

design the entire flowsheet according the required performances,

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

- carry out sensitivity studies towards operating parameters,
- identify relevant status parameters for process monitoring,
- > propose a flowsheet correction procedure,
- During the test
  - help experimenters to modify flowsheet (flows, stage...),
  - simulate all operating condition changes by transient calculations,
- After the test
  - compare the calculated and measured concentrations to assess the accuracy of the model.

![](_page_22_Picture_15.jpeg)

# **Ceade∩** Development of the model with laboratory data

## Extraction step (high acidity)

15 extractable elements taken into account (HNO<sub>3</sub>, Am, Cm, rare earths, Fe, Mo, Pd, Zr)

- Ln and An(III)  $\frac{M(NO_3)_3(HNO_3)_3(DMDOHEMA)_3}{M(DEHP)_3(DMDOHEMA)_2}$   $\frac{M(NO_3)_3(TEDGA)_n(DMDOHEMA)_2}{M(NO_3)_3(TEDGA)_n(DMDOHEMA)}$ Pd and Ru → extraction by DMDOHEMA (1:1 complexes)
- **Fe and Mo**  $\rightarrow$  Quantitatively extracted by HDEHP (D>30)
- **Zr**  $\rightarrow$  1:3 non-extractable complex with TEDGA

## Mo stripping (low acidity)

 $(DMDOHEMA)(DEHP) M(DMDOHEMA)(DEHP)_{3}$ Low pH (D<sub>Mo</sub> increases with pH):  $MoO_{2}^{2+} + 2\overline{HDEHP}_{2} \Leftrightarrow \overline{(MoO_{2})(DEHP)_{2}(HDEHP)_{2}} + 2H^{+}$ Moderate pH (pH independent):  $MoO_{3} + 2\overline{HDEHP}_{2} \Leftrightarrow \overline{(MoO_{3})(HDEHP)_{4}}$ High pH (D<sub>Mo</sub> decreases with pH):  $MoO_{4}^{2-} + 2\overline{HDEHP}_{2} + 2H^{+} \Leftrightarrow \overline{(MoO_{2})(DEHP)_{2}(HDEHP)_{2}}$ Marie C., SOLVENT EXTRACTION AND ION EXCHANGE 2016, 34 (5), 407–421

## PAREX to help in conducting the pilot test

### On-line measurement of Am concentration during an EXAm pilot test

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

PAREX can rapidly calculate transient curves (live acceleration factor > 100) to correct the flowsheet during a pilot test

The main issue during the test : keep important Am recovery and high DF (⊘TEDGA, ⊘agitation, ∿flowrates,...)

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Ceaden EXAM test with a concentrated scheme

### Main results

- Operating parameters optimized during three successive tests (acid, surrogate, HA)
- Good hydrodynamic behavior
- Efficient monitoring thanks to online analysis with laboratory support
- Flowsheet optimization during the test

### Performances

Step	Am Recovery	Decontamination factor
Mo Scrubbing	c <sub>Am</sub> <sup>raffinate</sup> from 0.01 to 0.02% (Target 0.1%)	Quantitative recovery of Mo
Am Stripping Ln Scubbing		$DF_{Am/Nd} = 2800$ (target value = 400)
Global	2.46 g of Am (96.5%)	DF <sub>Am/Cm</sub> ~ 54 (target 500) With Am: less than 1.7% lanthanides, 0.3% Fe, 0.05% Mo, 0.7% Pd and 1.1% Ru

![](_page_25_Picture_8.jpeg)

## Ceaden

## Conclusions

- Demonstration of the feasibility of a concentrated scheme with real raffinate
  - Flowsheet adaptations were implemented and consolidated by successive tests: laboratory scale data, tests on inactive feed solution and spiked test with trace amounts of americium and curium.
  - Production of 2.4 grams of americium (58.5%<sup>241</sup>Am-40.9%<sup>243</sup>Am-0.5%<sup>242</sup>Am), well decontaminated from lanthanides and molybdenum. But lower <u>DF<sub>Am/Cm</sub> than expected may be understood</u>
  - Reduction of liquid waste volume and improvement of compactness, in parallel first evaluation of feasibility to manage all effluents with classical outlets (study to continue)
  - Ultimate steps of the integral experience will be performed next years Concentration of the 2.7L of Am solution to obtain c<sub>Am</sub> 7g/L (Atalante CBP) Mi 2017 Co-conversion of Am (Atalante C9) , Production of Am pellets Transfer to ATR for irradiation experiments

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Perspectives

### Further studies (small effort, mainly laboratory studies)

#### EXAM process

<u>Ceaden</u>

- <u>Complete the study of Cm chemistry</u> (stability constants with TEDGA estimated from extraction tests and from Sm behavior)
- Recent results from KIT (A. Geist): TRLIFS data, soon published,
- Extraction tests to perform
- Modification of the model in progress
- <u>Design of new ligands</u> with lower partitionning and with higher Am/Cm AND Am/Ln selectivity, following of S. Chapron thesis (SEIE, 2015, 33(3), 236-248)

![](_page_27_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### TPAEN process

- Some tests (mixer settlers) with representative solution
- Design of new ligands (increase the solubility)

![](_page_27_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_13.jpeg)

#### **Aknowledgments**

<u>CBP team</u> Frédéric Antégnard, Marie-Jordane Bollesteros, Sylvain Costenoble, Marc Montuir,

Modeling team Vincent Vanel, Vincent Pacary, B. Dinh

Process development Cécile Marie, Xavier Heres, M. Miguirditchian

Analytical team of CBA Atalante

# Thank you

# for your attention

Commissariat à l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives Centre de Marcoule | 30207 Bagnols-Sur-Cèze Cedex T. +33 (0)4 66 79 61 69 | F. +33 (0)4 66 79 63 39

Etablissement public à caractère industriel et commercial R.C.S Paris B 775 685 019

Direction de l'Energie Nucléaire Département RadioChimie et Procédés Service de Modélisation et Chimie des procédés de Séparation