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ABSTRACT

This paper highlights some recent achievements carried out at French Atomic and Alternative Energies Commission (CEA) in the framework of the R&D on the supercritical CO₂ (sCO₂) cycle for Sodium Cooled Fast Reactors (SFRs). We discuss the sCO₂ cycle thermodynamic potential and in-depth investigations of some connected thermal-hydraulic issues regarding compression performance modeling and cavitation phenomenology near the critical point. Outlines of these numerical studies are the followings:

– Net efficiency of a condensing cycle could be up to 44% for a turbine inlet operating at (515°C, 25MPa).

– A revised approach for compression performance maps representation is developed on a 2 input parameters basis which complies with current treatment by system codes. This methodology is shown, using CFD, to succeed in modeling the impact of the fluid compressibility change on compressor performance. This finding should also ease engineering work by reducing the required number of component qualification tests. In support, ability of CFD to provide a relevant database has been validated by confrontation with some experimental data from a test compressor.

– Through analytical consideration of a characteristic parameter and further dynamic simulations, thermal regime is balanced to be the driving mechanism of bubble collapse near the critical point due to combined effects of liquid-like high density and low thermal diffusivity. A very slow contraction could therefore be foreseen, leading to the absence of noticeable pressure rise, in line with some experimental observations from the literature. Again, this outcome could support future engineering work on cycle thermodynamic and compressor thermal-hydraulic designs.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Currently, the Rankine steam thermodynamic cycle is the dominant technology for thermal to mechanical energy conversion of the power plants in operation, including concentrated solar, biomass, coal-fired and nuclear power plants. It offers high efficiency due to a low ratio of input work for liquid phase pumping to output work from vapor phase expanding under a high pressure ratio. As an alternative, the closed Brayton gas cycle promotes operation at higher temperature, thereby potentially achieving higher efficiency. Nevertheless, this cycle requires large energy input for the compression process and therefore is only attractive in the very high temperature range, typically over 850°C. In the high temperature range, around 500°C, while less efficient than the Ranking cycle, it could be selected to accommodate other engineering/project constraints. For example, a Brayton nitrogen cycle is currently considered as an alternative to the conventional steam cycle for SFRs to avoid issues related to the fast and energetic sodium/water reaction [1].

In this context, the sCO$_2$ cycle is viewed as a potential candidate for advanced power conversion systems since it is claimed to avoid most of the problems of the Rankine steam and other Brayton gas cycles while retaining many of their advantages [2]: i) high efficiency due to the low compression work required in the reduced compressibility region near the critical point; ii) compact turbomachinery resulting from the high density and low heat capacity of the working fluid; iii) simpler system layout than a Rankine steam cycle; iv) lower performance sensitivity to the system pressure losses than a Brayton (eg. nitrogen) cycle. The past decade has seen a growing interest in the sCO$_2$ cycle through a significant amount of work that has been carried out in view of analyzing these potential advantages for various heat sources such as: low-grade waste heat recovery applications [3], hybrid fuel cell systems [4], concentrated solar [5], next generation nuclear reactors [6] including innovative SMR [7], and fusion reactor concepts [8].

As mentioned, the reduced work of the sCO$_2$ compressor takes advantage of the real gas behavior of the working fluid near the critical point. However, the sharp change of fluid properties in this region can be viewed as having possible implications on the stable operation of the cycle and of its compressors in particular. Inline, it was suggested in [2] that switching the compression in the supercritical phase would discard cavitation problems that could be worrisome in the original design of a condensing cycle [9]. On the other hand, some recent experimental works reported the absence of dysfunctional behavior during the operation of a test compressor in the two-phase region of CO$_2$ [10, 11] Another main R&D question that is connected to the real gas behavior, addresses the transfer to the sCO$_2$ compressor of the engineering know-how gained on conventional turbomachines, such as performance maps representation.

CEA and Argonne National Laboratory (ANL) first addressed these issues by studying cycle off-design operation under seasonal variations in the temperature heat sink [12]; a main highlight from this work was that variable speed compressor or inlet guide valves should be requested to efficiently accommodate off-design changes of compressibility. The present paper reports additional R&D works that has been performed at CEA/DEN since then:

- Section 3 deals with the establishment of an approach that allows coping with the real gas behavior of the working fluid near the critical point by dressing compressor performance maps in adequate reduced coordinates (i.e. suitable dimensionless speed and flow parameters inputs and pressure ratio and enthalpy rise outputs). Limitation of previous performance maps approaches to model real gas behavior is shown and the revised approach is validated using CFD. Prior proceeding so, numerical results are confronted with some experimental data to qualify the ability of CFD to provide a relevant performance database.
- Section 4 deals with cavitation phenomenology of a CO$_2$ bubble close to the critical point. First, the increased risk of vapor pockets appearance inside a sCO$_2$ compressor is highlighted through the marked decrease in the static temperature and pressure during flow acceleration, confirming the caution reported in [2]. Then, impedance of the interface motion due to thermal effect during the collapse is analytically assessed through a characteristic parameter and
further numerically calculated by use of a dynamic model, highlighting a physical explanation to the recent experimental observations about the absence of dysfunctional behavior during operation of a test compressor in the two-phase region [10, 11].

To start, the attractive thermodynamical performance of a sCO₂ condensing cycle is reported in section 2 for SFRs conditions.

2. THERMODYNAMIC PERFORMANCE FOR AN SFR APPLICATION

In CYCLOP (CYCLE OPTimisation) [13], the CEA/DEN tool for power conversion cycle modelling, a cycle is represented by a set of fluid loops built from different components (heat source and sink, turbo-machines, heat exchangers) which are described by macroscopic parameters such as efficiency, pressure and heat losses if not adiabatic, as well as mass flow rate. Components are connected by points where thermodynamic states (temperature, pressure) are stored. This tool solves automatically the mass and energy balances for all components of the cycle from a minimum set of input data, allowing all cycle parameters to be quickly modified and optimised using the well-known deterministic Nelder-Mead algorithm [14]. CYCLOP has been validated on Rankine steam cycles coupled to French Pressurized Water Reactors (such as CRUAS) and the French SFR Superphenix. It has also been extensively benchmarked in the frame of R&D programs, from the helium Brayton cycle applied to a Gas-cooled Fast Reactor concept [13] to the sCO₂ cycle for an SFR [12]. In this code, the CO₂ properties are determined from the Helmholz free energy equation of state [15]. This equation is considered by the National Institute of Standards and Technology as being the most accurate one to describe the thermodynamic properties of CO₂ for a wide range of scientific and engineering applications.

The optimization of the sCO₂ cycle to an SFR test case was previously considered at CEA and reported in [1, 12, 16]. The latest work reported a net efficiency of 43.9% for a 25MPa recompression cycle at a Turbine Inlet Temperature (TIT) of 515°C and an optimal IHX inlet temperature of 348°C. However, a sodium temperature of 345°C leaving the hot side of the IHX could interestingly enhance the compactness of the vessel and sodium intermediate loop [17]. While such a case will require a typical sCO₂ IHX inlet temperature below 330°C, it was shown in [18] that this additional constraint would make the cycle thermal efficiency decrease from 43.9% to 42.6%.

In line with the recent work [16] and current activities that target to show the ability of operating efficiently a CO₂ cycle for various compressibility conditions, the former engineering choice of compressor inlet operation at supercritical condition [12] (which was a caution consistent with [2]), was disregarded. Instead a condensing cycle has been investigated to take advantage of the 27°C cooling of CO₂ that is available [17] rather than 35°C. It comes out on Fig. 1 that - using usual assumptions for components performances (see Table 1) - a 45.7% thermal efficiency (corresponding to 44% net) can be reached, while complying with the mentioned 330°C constraint at IHX inlet.

| Table 1. Components performances used through for thermodynamic performance optimization |
|---------------------------------------------|-----|
| Isentropic turbine efficiency [%]          | 93  |
| Isentropic pump efficiency [%]             | 88  |
| Isentropic compressor efficiency [%]       | 87  |
| Recuperators thermal efficiency [%]        | ≤ 92.5 |
| Recuperators pinch temperature [°C]        | ≥ 10 |
| Total pressure loss [MPa]                  | 0.55 |
3. TURBOMACHINERY PERFORMANCE MAPS REPRESENTATION

This section reports the work carried out on sCO$_2$ turbomachinery maps representation, following a two steps process:

- First, the availability of CFD to provide a relevant numerical database for compressor performance maps, has been assessed through a comparison with some experimental results provided by the Tokyo Institute of Technology (TiTech) on a 6kWe centrifugal test compressor [19].
- Second, a revised approach for sCO$_2$ compressor performance representation has been dressed based on an analytical thought and further numerically validated using CFD on a 38MWe conceptual compressor, again centrifugal, designed by the ALSTOM Company for a Small Modula Reactor application [18].

3.1. CFD analysis of TiTech tests with a sCO$_2$ compressor

Table 2 reports some key characteristics of the TiTech test compressor [19] which was designed for an inlet gas phase flow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. TiTech test compressor characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power/isentropic efficiency [kWe/-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotational speed [kpm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impeller diameter [mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blades number, impeller/diffuser</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interestingly, this machine was tested off-design at various compressibility inlets within the gas and supercritical domains. These tests have been simulated by CFD with STARCCM+ on a 250000 cells...
polyhedral meshing with periodic conditions over a domain reported in Fig. 2.

Turbulence was modeled using the k-ε RANS model with wall integration approach for cost-accuracy compromise. Multiple frames of reference and a mixing plane interface models were additionally used to connect impeller and diffuser. A comparison between experimental and CFD results for five inlet conditions is reported in Fig. 3. A fine agreement is considered to be reached while compressibility and density at inlet vary from more than a factor two: errors are inferior to 0.57°C and 0.025MPa for outlet temperature and pressure, which is close to the sensors accuracy range (respectively 0.5°C and 0.02MPa).

3.2. Revised approach for performance maps representation and validation through CFD

Up to now, compared to the conventional two inputs parameter approach that is used for ideal gas (based on the Buckingham’s π theorem [20]), two main paths have been reported in the literature for sCO₂ compressor performance modeling. First one is to dress a widespread performance database by a screening of the whole inlet compressibility conditions that could be faced [21]. Such a methodology implies to qualify this database extensively which can be seen as very demanding. A second approach which is adopted in [22], consists in keeping a two inputs parameter approach while introducing the effect of the compressibility factor Z and of the heat capacities ratio γ for critical conditions, as
suggested in [23] for real gas effect modeling. The latter approach has been revised in the present work based on a physical analysis by introducing the isentropic exponent \( n_{s} = -\gamma (v/P)(\partial P/\partial v)_{P} \) instead of the heat capacities ratio \( \gamma \); the latter does not any more allow reduced parameters to be representative of Mach number, on contrary to the former. Table 3 reports the different definitions for reduced parameters.

**Table 3. Dimensionless parameters for turbomachinery performance maps.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Ideal Gas</th>
<th>[21] approach for sCO(_2)</th>
<th>Revised approach for sCO(_2), this work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( M_{ad} )</td>
<td>( M \sqrt{\gamma T_{i} / P_{i}} )</td>
<td>( M \sqrt{\gamma Z_{cr} T_{cr} / P_{cr}} )</td>
<td>( M \sqrt{n_{s} r Z_{i} T_{i} / n_{s} P_{i}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N_{ad} )</td>
<td>( N \sqrt{\gamma T_{i}} )</td>
<td>( N \sqrt{\gamma Z_{cr} T_{cr}} )</td>
<td>( N \sqrt{n_{s} r Z_{i} T_{i}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta H_{ad} )</td>
<td>( \Delta H / \gamma T_{i} )</td>
<td>( \Delta H / \gamma Z_{cr} T_{cr} )</td>
<td>( \Delta H / n_{s} r Z_{i} T_{i} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both approaches for sCO\(_2\) were compared for three inlet conditions that present very large variations in the specific heat ratio and compressibility; to perform so, the same CFD methodology than the one reported in §3.1 is applied on a 38 MWe compressor conceptual design. Results are reported in Table 4 where a very good consistency of the performance parameters can be noticed with the revised approach (maximum errors are of 0.6% for enthalpy rise and of 0.9% for efficiency). This accuracy is markedly improved compared to the former approach and this trend is expected to be further enhanced through consideration of higher pressure ratio, which was limited – due to numerical consideration – to 1.2 in these tests cases while it would be close to 3 on design.

**Table 4. Comparison between both approaches at the corrected mass flowrate and speed of 436kg/s and 3087rpm with respect to reference conditions of 34.0°C and 7.86MPa.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( T_{in} ) [(^\circ C)]</th>
<th>( P_{in} ) [MPa]</th>
<th>( \gamma )</th>
<th>( n_{s} )</th>
<th>[21] approach for sCO(_2)</th>
<th>Revised approach, this work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( \Delta H_{cor} ) [kJ/kg]</td>
<td>( \eta ) [%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>90.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>91.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>92.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum discrepancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>2.09 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The final task has consisted in extending the application of the revised correction approach to a wider range of inlet conditions as well as of mass flowrate and shaft speed. The inlet conditions of temperature and pressure are recapitulated in Fig. 4, showing a concentration in the subcritical liquid and supercritical region.
Fig. 4 Inlet conditions screening used to qualify the compressor performance maps revised approach.

The plots of the corrected enthalpy rise and efficiency are reported in Fig. 5. Each point shown in these plots is constituted of 3 to 7 sets of inlet conditions according to Fig. 3 screening; over 100 points have been used to establish these charts. The consistency of these performance parameters at various corrected speed and mass flowrate regardless the change of the inlet conditions (so of compressibility) confirms the relevancy of this performance maps approach for the sCO$_2$ compressor.

This two parameters approach is expected to ease the experimental validation of compressor performance (by reducing the number of tests to be performed) as well as to provide a simple methodology for turbomachinery modeling (complying with current treatment by system codes). It could also be relevantly applied to model the performance maps of a N$_2$ compressor such as the one considered in [24], which is known to feature some real gas aspects (though much less marked than sCO$_2$ ones).

4. BUBBLE COLLAPSE NEAR THE CRITICAL POINT

Cavitation - the formation of vapor cavities in the bulk liquid - is the consequence of a rapid depressurization of the liquid to a sufficiently low pressure. When subjected to high pressure, these voids collapse, causing dysfunctional behaviors or detrimental effects to the mechanical structure such as vibrations, noises and erosion. For CO$_2$ close to the critical point, such a phenomenon is seen to be promoted by the large gradient of compressibility within the pump/compressor intake region that operates to flow acceleration, as compared to an ideal gas case: comparative trends are illustrated in Fig. 6 where saturation conditions are reached at not more than 80m/s. Let’s notice that such a velocity
would rather be a low engineering value for an air compressor design (which deals typically with values over 150m/s).

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 6** Isentropic decreases of static temperature and pressure as a function of velocity increase according to CO2 [15] and ideal gas thermodynamic models.

A compressor was operated in the two-phase region near the critical point of CO2 during the tests conducted at Sandia National Laboratories [11, 22]. Surprisingly, there was no dysfunctional behavior observed during the tests, neither pressure instability nor notable increase in vibration and audible noise. Such an observation, also noticed in [10], promisingly suggests that effects of cavitation in liquid CO2 near the critical point could be much less severe than those traditionally experienced in hydraulic devices.

Such an important outcome deserves a comprehensible explanation through an in-depth understanding of the physical phenomenon. In that line, two approaches were carried out:

- A characteristic number was calculated to discriminate the collapse regime between inertia and thermal ones, which feature very different dynamics.
- Dynamic of the bubble collapse was simulated based on the well-known model of Rayleigh-Plesset [25,26]:

\[
R \ddot{R} + \frac{3}{2} R \dot{R}^2 = (I) \left\{ \frac{p_i - p_{vc}}{\rho_0 \dot{R}_{vc}} \right\} + (II) \left\{ \frac{\gamma p_i - \gamma p_{vc}}{\rho_0 \dot{R}_{vc}} \right\} + (III) \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho_0 \dot{R}_{vc} u_i} \right\} + (IV) \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho_0 \dot{R}_{vc} v_i} \right\} + (V) \left\{ \frac{4 \mu_i}{\rho_0 \dot{R}_{vc}} \right\} \quad \text{Eq. 1}
\]

In Eq. 1:

- Term (I) refers to the motion of the bubble interface.
- Term (II) is the instantaneous tension or inertial term, which is determined by conditions in the liquid bulk.
- Term (III), so-called the thermal term, refers to the change of the saturation pressure with respect to the change of the temperature at the interface.
- The two last terms (IV) and (V) are the contribution of surface tension and liquid viscosity, respectively.

### 4.1. Thermally controlled regime and identification of the driving mechanism

During bubble collapse, liquid temperature at the interface varies due to the phase change. Indeed, condensation releases a quantity of heat which is removed through a thermal layer that is formed around the bubble (cf. Fig. 7). Liquid temperature at the interface becomes higher than bulk liquid temperature so that vapor pressure (assumed to be equal to the saturation pressure at the interface
temperature) also deviates from its initial value. The thermal term in Eq. 1 is negative and therefore has an impeding effect on the motion of the bubble interface.

![Diagram of thermal boundary layer surrounding the bubble during collapse.](image)

**Fig. 7** Thermal boundary layer surrounding the bubble during the collapse.

Different parameters were proposed in the literature to characterize the role of thermal effects in bubble dynamics, among which as the β-factor [27] which is defined according to Eq. 2. Depending on its weight compared to inertia, the regime could be named “thermally controlled” and the energy conservation equation should be combined to Eq. 1 to describe bubble dynamics.

\[
\beta = \left[ \frac{\rho_{lv} c_{plv} \Delta T}{\rho_{lv} h_{lv}} \right]^2 \alpha_t \frac{\rho_{lv}}{R_o \left( p_{lv,f} - p_{lv} \right)}
\]

**Eq. 2**

The β-factor balances the amount of heat to be removed for a given volume of condensing vapor to the connected rise of temperature on liquid side due to its thermal inertia and diffusivity. The following limits can be used to evaluate the thermal effects: \( \beta > 10 \): thermal term is negligible; \( \beta < 0.05 \): inertial term is negligible; \( 0.05 < \beta < 10 \): both inertial and thermal terms should be retained.

To get a clearer view through orders of magnitude, the β-factor is computed considering a 1 mm initial radius CO₂ bubble at 25°C under a step increase of 2% in the liquid pressure. The same calculations are carried out for three other gases (again considering initial saturation conditions) to provide a qualitative comparison since their cavitation effects are paradigmatic of inertia and thermal regimes:

- A H₂O bubble at ambient temperature which is commonly known to lead to detrimental effects.
- A H₂O bubble at 93.3°C which was numerically investigated in [27], where significant thermal effects leading to a slow contraction of the bubble were reported.
- A N₂ bubble at -190°C which characterizes cryogenic cavitation and was previously demonstrated to be significantly affected by thermal effects [28].

Note that the choice of such a small 2% pressure variation is done in order to maintain liquid properties, especially those of CO₂, constant since this is the assumption upon which the β-factor is derived.
### Table 5. Thermal effects characteristic parameter during a bubble collapse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>H₂O</th>
<th>H₂O</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>CO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature in the liquid bulk [°C]</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>-190</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β factor</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.39e-02</td>
<td>7.33e-05</td>
<td>2.23e-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((\rho_v/\rho_l)^2)</td>
<td>3e-10</td>
<td>1.8e-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latent heat [kJ/kg]</td>
<td>2453</td>
<td>119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha_l; \alpha_v \text{[m}^2\text{/s]})</td>
<td>1.4 e-8 ; 5.5 e-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8e-8 ; 2.3e-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 5, it comes out that the β-factor is much below the mentioned criteria that bounds thermal regime dominance and is even three orders of magnitudes lower than N₂ cryogenic case. Hence strong implications of thermal effects are very likely which should explain the reported absence of collapse damage.

As can be noticed in Table 5, low value of β-factor is mostly driven by the closeness of respective liquid and vapor densities, accordingly with the conclusions reported in [11]. However, interestingly, Table 5 also reports the proximity of vapor and liquid thermal diffusivities (to which the β-factor is blind): this physical trend, which is characteristic of the closeness of the critical state, should also play a role on the thermal regime limitation. The following section will address this point by simulating transient motion of the bubble interface during its collapse.

#### 4.2. Simulation of the bubble collapse in liquid CO₂ close to the critical point

##### 4.2.1 Mathematical model

Consistently with the above remark, thermal layers inside and surrounding the bubble are both considered, as reported in Fig. 8.

![Fig. 8 Schematic of the considered temperature profiles developed inside and outside a CO₂ bubble.](image)

The following set of equations is combined to Eq. 1 and solved under MATLAB. Liquid density, surface tension and viscosity are assumed to be constant in order to relax the numerical problem. Interface is supposed to meet thermodynamical equilibrium. All the thermodynamic properties (i.e. \(\rho\), \(u\), \(p\) and \(h_{liq}\)) are calculated from [15].

- Energy balance in the liquid and vapor thermal layers (supposing a quadratic temperature distribution as proposed in [30]):

\[
\rho_i \int_{R_i}^{R} r^2 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + v_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right) dr = \int_{R_i}^{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 k_i \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial r} \right) dr = 2k_i \frac{T_i - T_{liq}}{R_i - R_i} R_i^2
\]

Eq. 3
\[ \rho \int_{R_i}^{R_f} r^2 \left( \frac{\partial u_c}{\partial t} + v_i \frac{\partial u_c}{\partial r} \right) dr = -2k_i \frac{T_{vi} - T_{vc}}{R_v - R_i} R_i^2 \]  

Eq. 4

Where:
- The internal energy \( u_i(r) = u \left( \rho_{v_i}, T_i(r) \right) \) since liquid density is supposed to be constant in the whole liquid region.
- Velocities in the liquid and vapor are given by:
  \[ v_i(r) = \left( \dot{R}_i - \dot{m} / \rho_{v_i} \right) R_i^2 / r^3 \]  (continuity equation)  
  Eq. 5
  \[ v_i(r) = \left( \dot{R}_i - \dot{m} / \rho_{v_i} \right) r / R_i \]  (radial linear reduction hypothesis from interface to center [30])  
  Eq. 6

- Energy balance within the whole vapor bubble:
  \[
  \frac{1}{3} \frac{d}{dt} \rho R_i^3 u_c + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{R_i}^{R_f} r^2 \rho v_i u_v(r) dr = R_i^2 \left[ -p_i \dot{R}_i + k_i \frac{\partial T_{vi}}{\partial r} \right] + \dot{m} u_{vi} \]

Eq. 7

The first term on the right hand side is the pressure work connected to the volume change; the second term is the conductive heat exchanged with the vapor region across the interface; the third term is the internal energy associated with the mass flux of phase change.

- Mass and energy equations at the interface:
  \[
  \rho \dot{R}_i + \frac{1}{3} \rho R_i = \dot{m} \]
  Eq. 8
  \[
  -2k_j \frac{T_{li} - T_{lv}}{R_i - R_l} + 2k_i \frac{T_{vi} - T_{vc}}{R_v - R_i} = \dot{m} \dot{h}_{vi} \]
  Eq. 9
  \[ T_{li} = T_{vi} \]  (Equilibrium condition)  
  Eq. 10

### 4.2.2 Model benchmark

Fine implementation of the model was checked through a benchmark with a study from the literature [29] on a Na bubble collapse, as reported in Fig. 9. Note that such a case introduces a refinement concerning temperature discontinuity at the interface; mass transfer limitation is induced by a kinetic c-factor which could induce an inertia regime (c=1), a thermal regime (c = 0.01) or a mixed situation.
4.2.3 CO₂ bubble collapse results

The model is applied to study the collapse of a 1mm initial radius bubble in an infinite liquid domain at a temperature of 25°C. The liquid pressure is increased linearly, from an initial value of 6.436MPa, by 5% during 2.5ms (which can be seen as typical of the ramp within the mentioned SMR compressor [18]). Note that the density increase is below 3%, and thus is consistent with the model assumption.

Fig. 9 Results of the bubble collapse in liquid sodium using Eq. 1 to 10, and comparison with [29].

Fig. 10 Transient results of a CO₂ bubble collapse under a 5% linear increase in the liquid pressure in 2.5ms (calculation time = 5ms). Top: radius and temperatures in the gas bulk and at the interface. Bottom: pressure in the gas bulk and at the interface, phase change rate (negative when condensing).
From Fig. 10, it comes out that:

- Pressure dynamic at the interface matches the liquid pressure ramp: this is typical of the thermal regime due to combined effects of a large condensation heat flux that is released (to be connected to a high, liquid-like, gas density) and of thermal insulation in the liquid side (to be connected to a low thermal diffusivity). This induces a rise of the temperature interface that balances the ramp of liquid saturation condition. A main consequence is that bubble radius change just accommodates its density change (and therefore does not feature any surge such as in an inertia regime reported in Fig. 9 for c=1.).

- Respective thicknesses of inner and outer thermal layers are of the same order of magnitudes (to be connected to the low, liquid-like, gas thermal diffusivity). Thermal insulation on the gas phase has a very remarkable consequence: due to its compression, temperature in the gas bulk increases (so that pressure) and this bubble warming impedes the condensation rate that indeed even finally reverses (evaporation) at t=2.5ms, just before the ending of the pressure ramp. After this date, condensation is again enhanced and a slow bubble contraction is predicted.

As a result, according to this simulation, specifics of the collapse in the vicinity of the critical point are a strong impact of the thermal regime, with a main role of the balance between liquid and gas phases thermal diffusivities. Additional calculations were performed which further exhibited the complex mechanism and the role on the dynamics of gas and liquid properties convergence and of latent heat vanishing.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND PROSPECTS

Net efficiency of a sCO₂ condensing cycle is found to be up to 44% net for a turbine inlet operating at (515°C, 25MPa); such a cycle would be therefore competitive with a traditional Rankine steam cycle for SFRs like heat source temperature. In-depth investigations of some paramount thermal-hydraulic issues regarding compression performance modeling and cavitation phenomenology near the critical point have been carried out:

- An approach that allows coping with the real gas behavior of the working fluid near the critical point by plotting compressor performance maps in adequate reduced coordinates (i.e. suitable dimensionless speed and flow parameters inputs and pressure ratio and enthalpy rise outputs), has been established. Limitation of another performance maps approach from the literature has been underlined and the revised approach has been validated using CFD. In support, numerical results have been confronted with some experimental data to qualify the ability of CFD to provide a relevant performance database. Next, the revised methodology could be valuably applied to model the performance maps of a N₂ cycle (that is considered for SFRs and which also features some real gas effects) and is additionally seen as a relevant support for future engineering work by reducing the required number of compressor qualification tests.

- Cavitation phenomenology of a CO₂ bubble close to the critical point has been studied. First, the increased risk of vapor pockets appearance inside a sCO₂ compressor has been highlighted, confirming an original caution from the literature. Then, dynamic of interface motion during the collapse has been analytically assessed and further numerically calculated, highlighting a physical explanation to the recent experimental observations about the absence of dysfunctional behavior during operation of a test compressor in the two-phase region. Thermal regime is indeed balanced to be the driving mechanism of bubble collapse nearby the critical point due to combined effects of liquid-like high density and low thermal diffusivity. The mechanism is actually complex due to convergence of both phases physical properties and of the latent heat vanishing. It would therefore deserve to be further explored and validated at laboratory scale. A deeper knowledge of this mechanism should enhance the thermodynamic and thermal-hydraulic engineering designs of the cycle and of its pumping machine.
NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lattin letters</th>
<th>Greek symbols</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( c_p )</td>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>Isobaric heat capacity [J/kg/K]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c )</td>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>Coefficient of phase change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H )</td>
<td>( \varepsilon )</td>
<td>Enthalpy [kJ/kg]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_{liq} )</td>
<td>( \delta_T )</td>
<td>Latent heat [J/kg]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k )</td>
<td>( \gamma )</td>
<td>Thermal conductivity [W/m/K]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M )</td>
<td>( \mu )</td>
<td>Mass flow rate [kg/s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n_h )</td>
<td>( \rho )</td>
<td>Rate of phase change [kg/s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P, p )</td>
<td>( \sigma )</td>
<td>Pressure [Pa]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n_s )</td>
<td></td>
<td>Isentropic exponent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R )</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bubble radius [m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r )</td>
<td></td>
<td>Radial coordinate [m] or Specific gas constant [J/kg/K].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T )</td>
<td></td>
<td>Temperature [°C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u )</td>
<td></td>
<td>Specific internal energy [J/kg]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z )</td>
<td></td>
<td>Compressibility factor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscripts</th>
<th>Superscript</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ad</td>
<td>Adimensional</td>
<td>* At the saturation conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cr</td>
<td>Critical conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cor</td>
<td>Corrected by the reference conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Uniform vapor region inside the bubble</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>Final state (case of a liquid pressure rise)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>Bubble interface</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in</td>
<td>Inlet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>Liquid phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>li</td>
<td>At the liquid interface</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l∞</td>
<td>In the bulk liquid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>Total value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>Vapor phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vt</td>
<td>At the vapor interface</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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