

#### Actinide L-line ED-XRF and HKED Spectra Processing

E. Esbelin, V. Boyer-Deslys, A. Beres, C. Rivier

#### ▶ To cite this version:

E. Esbelin, V. Boyer-Deslys, A. Beres, C. Rivier. Actinide L-line ED-XRF and HKED Spectra Processing. 5th ATALANTE Conference on Nuclear Chemistry for Sustainable Fuel Cycles, Jun 2016, Montpellier, France. hal-02441895

#### HAL Id: hal-02441895 https://cea.hal.science/hal-02441895

Submitted on 26 Feb 2020  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### FROM RESEARCH TO INDUSTRY



# **ACTINIDE L-LINE ED-XRF AND HKED SPECTRA PROCESSING**

# E. ESBELIN, V. BOYER-DESLYS, A. BERES, C. RIVIER

CEA, Nuclear Energy Division, RadioChemistry & Processes Department, Analysis and Materials Metrology Laboratory, BP 17171, 30207 Bagnols sur Cèze Cedex, France





# Schematic Data Processing





Device special feature: graphite monochromator Available free or commercial software is unsuitable CEA software development with new approach for the matrix effects correction (~ Lachance-Traill) More details in E. Esbelin, X-RAY Spectrometry **43**, 198–208 (2014)

# Examples





Matrix effects (attenuation) because of high content of AgO (~90 g/L) – have to be taken into account by

Fundamental parameters and Monte Carlo currently under study

# Schematic Data Processing



## Examples

Ρυ

18.7

57.8

59.8

(mg/L) (mg/L)

33.3

102.8

109.2

	K-edge (CEA)		ID-TIMS (IAEA – OSL, Japan)				Relative deviation K-edge/ID-TIMS			
	U (g/L)	Pu (g/L)	U (g/L)		Ρυ (g/L)		U		Ρυ	
Ш	284.5	163.0	283.62		163.56		0.31%		-0.36%	
ŏ	61.0	35.2	60.89		35.11		0.15%		0.37%	
ш	143.7	82.5	142.18		81.99		1.06%		0.62%	
¥	179.7	103.5	180.01		103.58		-0.20%		-0.09%	
	212.5	104.4	212.38		104.30		0.03%		0.05%	
	167.5	81.6	167.57		82.22		-0.04%		-0.71%	
	Standar	as (±2%)	Experimental			ental	Relative deviation			
	U (g/L)	Pu (g/L)	U/Pu (g/g)	U by K (g	edge /L)	Pu d XR	y Hybrid F (g/L)	U	Ρυ	
Δ	22.8	4.58	5	23	23.2		4.61	1.6%	0.7%	
	75.1	5.01	15	75	5.6		5.02	0.7%	0.2%	
E E	150.0	1.50	100	14	9.3		1.47	0.5%	1.3%	
Î	20.0	0.11	181.6 19		<sup>2</sup> .6		0.11	-1.0%	0.0%	
	50.4	0.23	219.1	50	).3		0.22	0.2%	-4.3%	
	302.6	0.50	605.2	29	.8		0.50	0.2%	0.0%	
	249.1	2.45	101.7	252	)		7 50*	1 1%	2.9%	



# Conclusion

Analyzed elements : U, Pu, Np, Am, Sr, Zr, Br, Rb, Y/Cm, Pb

Concentration ranges:

 $0.5 \text{ mg/L} (\text{LD}) \rightarrow 5 \text{ g/L} (uncertainty 10\%)$ 

 $5 \text{ g/L} \rightarrow 20 \text{ g/L}$  (qualitative mode)

Counting time: 800 s/sample

→ Calibration : numerous standards required

Matrix effects correction:

- Sample analysis in organic medium by simulating matrix composition and application of the correction (aqueous calibration used)
- Continuous feedback: comparaison with other analytical techniques results (large diversity of analyzed matrix)

Unsuitable blank spectrum

# Conclusion

Elements analyzed: focus on U and Pu for our use

Absorption (K-edge) :

Concentration range:

• >10 g/L (LQ)

• Best accuracy>50 g/L (uncertainty <2%) Counting time: 800 s/sample

- → No experimental calibration: theoritical model
- → Matrix effects : low sensitivity

X-ray Fluorescence (ED-XRF):

Concentration range:

• >0.1 g/L (LQ)

Counting time: 800 s/sample

- → Relative calibration (hybrid mode: coupled with K-edge)
- → Absolute calibration (autonomous mode)

Work in progress about autonomous mode of ED-XRF for improvement of results accuracy.