

Enigma of the "U_8Si_8O" phase

Julien Havette, Valérie Demange, Bruno Fontaine, Jean-François Halet, Ladislav Havela, Xaviere Iltis, Lamy Stéphanie, Mayerling Martinez-Celiz, Mathieu Pasturel

► To cite this version:

Julien Havette, Valérie Demange, Bruno Fontaine, Jean-François Halet, Ladislav Havela, et al.. Enigma of the "U_8Si_8O" phase. JDA 2024 - 53èmes Journées des Actinides, Apr 2024, Lille, France. cea-04911635

HAL Id: cea-04911635 https://cea.hal.science/cea-04911635v1

Submitted on 24 Jan 2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Enigma of the "U₈Si₈O" phase

J. Havette^{1,2}, V. Demange², B. Fontaine², J.-F. Halet², L. Havela³, <u>X. Iltis¹</u>, S. Lamy², M. Martinez-Celiz^{3,4}, M. Pasturel²

 ¹CEA, DES, IRESNE, DEC, Cadarache, F-13108 Saint-Paul-Lez-Durance, France, e-mail: xaviere.iltis@cea.fr
²Univ. Rennes, CNRS, ISCR – UMR 6226, F-35042 Rennes, France
³Charles Univ., Dept. Condensed Matter Phys., CZ-12116 Prague, Czech Republic

⁴ Univ. Normandie, CNRS, CRISMAT – UMR 6508, F-14050 Caen, France

Using U_3Si_2 as a nuclear fuel for research and test reactors requires a thorough knowledge of the U-Si phase diagram to predict reliably the in-use fuel behavior. U_3Si_2 seems to be a perfectly stoichiometric compound. Industrial manufacturers use slightly over-stoichiometric in silicon compositions, to avoid the presence of residual α -U or U_3Si that affect the irradiation behavior of this fuel. Consequently, a secondary phase with atomic ratio close to 50%U-50%Si is present in the industrial fuel plates. From the binary phase diagram [1], the thermodynamically stable compound is $U_{34}Si_{34.5}$. Nevertheless, our investigations on both laboratory and industrial samples by electron backscattered diffraction (EBSD) revealed the presence of the tetragonal $U_{34}Si_{34.5}$ as well as the orthorhombic " U_8Si_8O " phases in arc-melted samples [2]. The latter was first described as USi [3], but later studies suggested that its stability was achieved only by adding some oxygen in the structure [4]. The presence of light elements (mainly carbon) in metallic uranium may justify the formation of this pseudo-binary phase during the synthesis of Si-rich U_3Si_2 . So why does this " U_8Si_8X " (X = C, O) phase formation depend on the cooling rate after melting? Why does it decompose upon annealing?

To answer these important questions and to understand the phase equilibria in the U-Si system, experimental and theoretical studies were undertaken. On the one hand, phonon calculations predict instability of the binary "USi" at 0 K, but its stabilization if a small amount of C is added. On the other hand, the quantity of "USi" increases with Si-content in rapidly-cooled arc-melted samples, from 41 to 46 at.% Si, and then drops giving way to $U_{34}Si_{34.5}$, the latter being the only visible phase (aside U_3Si_2) from 48 at.% Si. It should be noted that U_5Si_4 was not been observed, except when C was intentionally added ($U_{20}Si_{16}C_3$).

A summary of results obtained from various samples we synthesized will be presented, including abinitio phonon calculations, X-ray diffraction and EBSD analyses, and scanning and transmission electron microscopies. In particular, the hypothetical stabilization origin (kinetic vs. thermodynamic phases, epitaxy...) of the "USi" phase will be discussed, highlighting the open questions that remain around that topic.

References

[1] A. Berche et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 389, 101 (2009).

- [2] J. Havette et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 537, 152224 (2020).
- [3] W.H. Zachariasen, Acta Crystallogr. 2, 94 (1949).
- [4] J. Laugier et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 41, 106 (1971).