

Evaluation of two boundary integral formulations for the eddy current nondestructive testing of metal structures

Edouard Demaldent¹, Marc Bakry¹, Adrien Merlini², Francesco P. Andriulli³, Marc Bonnet⁴

¹ Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, List, F-91120, Palaiseau, France ² IMT Atlantique, France

³ Politecnico de Torino, Italia ⁴ POEMS (CNRS, INRIA, ENSTA Paris), France













Eddy current testing & Motivations

2 Boundary integral formalisms



Non Destructive Testing at CEA List

NDT - CEA List

- ~ 110 staff members including 25 PhD & post-doc
- Strong academic & industrial partnership
- Three-fold R&D activity



Simulation

- CIVA software designed for NDT practitioners
- Analytical, numerical & hybrid modeling approaches

N·D·E

Addressing the main NDT modalities





300 companies in 40 countries

Eddy current testing





1. Injecting a current *I* into a coil and creating a magnetic field U = Z I with $Z = jL_0 \omega$

2. In a conductive material, (eddy) currents are induced and a magnetic reaction field is created

$$Z = R_1 + jL_1\omega$$

3. In the presence of a flaw, eddy currents and the reaction field are modified

 $Z = (R_1 + \Delta R) + j(L_1 + \Delta L)\omega$

Eddy current testing

- Input. Closed loop of a sinusoidal source current \mathcal{J}_s
- **Compute**. Eddy currents $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma} = \sigma \mathcal{E}$ in a conductive medium ($\sigma \gg 1$)
- \mathcal{J}_{σ} decreases exponentially w.r.t. the skin depth δ
- Low frequency to penetrate the conductive medium
- A defect disturbs the eddy currents \mathcal{J}'_{σ}
- Output. Impedance is given by applying a reciprocity identity [Auld, 81]

$$I^{2}Z = \int_{\Gamma} \mathcal{H}_{s} \cdot (\mathcal{E} \times \mathbf{n}) + \mathcal{E}_{s} \cdot (\mathcal{H} \times \mathbf{n}) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$





Conductivity
$$\sigma = 1 \text{ MS/m}$$

Frequency $f = 100 \text{ kHz}$ $\delta = 1/\sqrt{\pi\mu f \sigma} \simeq 1.6 \text{ mm}$
 $\lambda_0 \simeq 3 \text{ km}, \ \kappa_0^2 = \omega^2 \varepsilon_0 \mu_0 \simeq 4 \times 10^{-6}$
 $\lambda_1 \simeq 7 \text{ mm}, \ \kappa_1^2 = \kappa_0^2 + \iota \omega \mu \sigma \simeq 4 \times 10^{-6} + \iota 8 \times 10^{+5}$





Ex.1 Steam Generator tube inspection

NDT-oriented module with parametric description





N·D·E



Tube support plate clogging



Expansion transition zone

Ex.1 Steam Generator tube inspection

NDT-oriented module with parametric description

Experimental validation, sensitivity studies, optimization (IRSN, EDF...)

[NDE in Nuclear 2023, Cofrend 23, ECNDT 23, WCNDT 24...]



Ex.1 Steam Generator tube inspection

- NDT-oriented module with parametric description
- Experimental validation, sensitivity studies, optimization (IRSN, EDF...)
- Based on a robust BEM formalism for Eddy Current (EC) simulation

[NDE in Nuclear 2023, Cofrend 23, ECNDT 23, WCNDT 24...]

1st kind direct BIE + Helmholtz decomposition + Asymptotic expansion



3/4 reduction of the number of unknowns – numerical noise reduction Parametric meshing (almost structured) – HO compatible (mesh & basis functions) Access to LU factorization on a standard PC (ooc block-LU) Limited but robust vs iterative solvers, full scan simulation in a few minutes to one hourGood enough for this application !

2nd kind BEM - EC: Mayergoyz 1982, Kalaichelvan 1987, Schmindlin 2001, Smajic 2007, Bao 2020-21...
1st kind BEM - EC: Hipmair 2007, Bonnet & Demaldent CAMWA 2019 & 2023
1st kind BEM: Chhim 2020 (rescaling & preconditioning)

Ex.2 Induction heating for thermography inspection

Induction thermography for the detection of small cracks near the surface of metallic parts



Ex.2 Induction heating for thermography inspection

- Induction thermography for the detection of small cracks near the surface of metallic parts
- Simulation to optimize the induction patterns through the design of inductors and trajectories



Ex.2 Induction heating for thermography inspection

- Induction thermography for the detection of small cracks near the surface of metallic parts
- Simulation to optimize the induction patterns through the design of inductors and trajectories
- Practical limitations: managing an arbitrarily complex CAD with numerous tunnels







2 Boundary integral formalisms

3 Preliminary results

Configuration

3D-bounded object excited by time-harmonic EM fields created by a current density $J_{
m s}$

Maxwell transmission problem:

 $(\mathbf{rot} \, \mathbf{rot} - \kappa_0^2) \boldsymbol{E}_0 = \mathrm{i}\omega\mu_0 \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad \text{in } \Omega_0, \qquad \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^- \boldsymbol{E}_1 - \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^+ \boldsymbol{E}_0 = \boldsymbol{0} \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \\ (\mathbf{rot} \, \mathbf{rot} - \kappa_1^2) \boldsymbol{E}_1 = \boldsymbol{0} \quad \text{in } \Omega_1, \qquad \mu_{\mathrm{r}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_N^- \boldsymbol{E}_1 - \boldsymbol{\gamma}_N^+ \boldsymbol{E}_0 = \boldsymbol{0} \quad \text{on } \Gamma,$

+ Silver-Müller condition at ∞ ,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{with} \quad \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{\pm}\boldsymbol{u} := \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\pm}\boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{n}, \quad \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{N}^{\pm}\boldsymbol{u} := \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{\pm} \text{rot}\,\boldsymbol{u}. \\ \text{and} \quad \kappa_{0}^{2} = \omega^{2}\varepsilon_{0}\mu_{0}, \qquad \quad \kappa_{1}^{2} = \kappa_{0}^{2}\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\mu_{\mathrm{r}} \qquad \text{with} \quad \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} := \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{d}} + \mathrm{i}\frac{\sigma}{\omega\varepsilon_{0}}, \end{array}$$

The incident field is given by the *Biot* & *Savart law* $E^{inc}(x) = i\omega\mu_0\Phi[J_s]$,

$$\Phi[\boldsymbol{J}] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'; \kappa_0) \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{x}') \, \mathrm{d}V(\boldsymbol{x}'), \quad G(\boldsymbol{z}; \kappa) = \frac{e^{\mathrm{i}\kappa|\boldsymbol{z}|}}{4\pi|\boldsymbol{z}|}, \qquad \boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\boldsymbol{0}\}.$$

| Assumption | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|------------------------|--|----|----------------------|--|--|--|
| $\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{s}} = 0$ | in | $\overline{\Omega}_s,$ | $\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{s}}\cdot\boldsymbol{n}=0$ | on | $\partial \Omega_s.$ | | | |



Maxwell BIE

Maxwell boundary integral operators from $\mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V} := \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}_{\parallel}^{-1/2}(\Gamma) : \operatorname{div}_{S} \mathbf{v} \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \},\$

 $\mathbf{S}_{\ell} := \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{+} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{-}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\ell}, \qquad \mathbf{D}_{\ell} := \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{+} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{-}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_{\ell}, \qquad \mathbf{A}_{\ell} := \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{+} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{-}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{\ell}, \qquad \mathbf{K}_{\ell} := \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{+} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{-}) \nabla \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{\ell} \circ \operatorname{div}_{S},$

with $S_{\ell}[u] = A_{\ell}[u] + \kappa_{\ell}^{-2} \nabla A_{\ell}[\operatorname{div}_{S} u] \quad (\kappa_{\ell} \neq 0), \qquad \mathcal{D}_{\ell}[u] = L_{c} \operatorname{rot} A_{\ell}[u],$ where $A_{\ell}[u](x) := \int_{\Gamma} G(x - x'; \kappa_{\ell}) u(x') \, \mathrm{d}S(x').$

Surface unknowns densities $\gamma_N^+ E_0 = i\omega\mu_0 J$, $\gamma_N^- E_1 = i\omega\mu_0\mu_r J$, $\gamma_X^+ E_0 = \gamma_X^- E = [i\omega\mu_0 L_c]M$.

 $L_{\rm c}$ is a characteristic length (e.g. coil diameter) allowing all potentials to have the same unit.

Maxwell BIE

Maxwell boundary integral operators from $\mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V} := \{ v \in H^{-1/2}_{\parallel}(\Gamma) : \operatorname{div}_{S} v \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \},\$

 $\mathbf{S}_{\ell} := \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{+} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{-}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\ell}, \qquad \mathbf{D}_{\ell} := \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{+} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{-}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_{\ell}, \qquad \mathbf{A}_{\ell} := \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{+} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{-}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{\ell}, \qquad \mathbf{K}_{\ell} := \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{+} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{-}) \nabla \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{\ell} \circ \operatorname{div}_{S},$

with $S_{\ell}[u] = A_{\ell}[u] + \kappa_{\ell}^{-2} \nabla A_{\ell}[\operatorname{div}_{S} u] \quad (\kappa_{\ell} \neq 0), \qquad \mathcal{D}_{\ell}[u] = L_{c} \operatorname{rot} A_{\ell}[u],$ where $A_{\ell}[u](x) := \int_{\Gamma} G(x - x'; \kappa_{\ell}) u(x') \, \mathrm{d}S(x').$

Surface unknowns densities $\gamma_N^+ E_0 = i\omega\mu_0 J$, $\gamma_N^- E_1 = i\omega\mu_0\mu_r J$, $\gamma_X^+ E_0 = \gamma_X^- E = i\omega\mu_0 L_c M$.

Governing system of **boundary integral equations PMCHWT** (Poggio-Miller-Chang-Harrington-Wu-Tsai):

 $\left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{0}+\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{1}\right)\boldsymbol{X}\,=\,\boldsymbol{Y},$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{\ell} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{\mathrm{r}\ell} \mathbf{S}_{\ell} & \mathbf{D}_{\ell} \\ \mathbf{D}_{\ell} & \mu_{\mathrm{r}\ell}^{-1} (\kappa_{\ell} \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{c}})^{2} \mathbf{S}_{\ell} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \boldsymbol{X} = \{\boldsymbol{J}, \boldsymbol{M}\}^{\mathrm{T}}, \qquad \boldsymbol{Y} = \{\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{+} \boldsymbol{\Phi}[\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{s}}], \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{c}} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{N}^{+} \boldsymbol{\Phi}[\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{s}}]\}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Maxwell BIE

Maxwell boundary integral operators from $\mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V} := \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}_{\parallel}^{-1/2}(\Gamma) : \operatorname{div}_{S} \mathbf{v} \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \},\$

 $\mathbf{S}_{\ell} := \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{+} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{-}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\ell}, \qquad \mathbf{D}_{\ell} := \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{+} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{-}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_{\ell}, \qquad \mathbf{A}_{\ell} := \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{+} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{-}) \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{\ell}, \qquad \mathbf{K}_{\ell} := \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{+} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\times}^{-}) \nabla \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{\ell} \circ \operatorname{div}_{S},$

with $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\ell}[\boldsymbol{u}] = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{\ell}[\boldsymbol{u}] + \kappa_{\ell}^{-2} \nabla \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{\ell}[\operatorname{div}_{S} \boldsymbol{u}] \quad (\kappa_{\ell} \neq 0), \qquad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_{\ell}[\boldsymbol{u}] = L_{c} \operatorname{rot} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{\ell}[\boldsymbol{u}],$ where $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{\ell}[\boldsymbol{u}](\boldsymbol{x}) := \int_{\Gamma} G(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}'; \kappa_{\ell}) \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}') \, \mathrm{d}S(\boldsymbol{x}').$

Surface unknowns densities $\gamma_N^+ E_0 = i\omega\mu_0 J$, $\gamma_N^- E_1 = i\omega\mu_0\mu_r J$, $\gamma_X^+ E_0 = \gamma_X^- E = i\omega\mu_0 L_c M$.

The single-layer operator suffers from a *low-frequency breakdown* when $\kappa_l > 0$.



Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition

Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition of the space $\mathcal{V} := \{ v \in H^{-1/2}_{\parallel}(\Gamma) : \operatorname{div}_{S} v \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \},\$

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathrm{L}} \oplus \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathrm{S}}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathrm{L}} := \left\{ \boldsymbol{u} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} : \operatorname{div}_{S} \boldsymbol{u} = 0 \right\}, \qquad \boldsymbol{J} = \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{L}} + \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{S}}, \qquad \boldsymbol{M} = \boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{L}} + \boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{S}}$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{\ell} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{r}\ell} \mathbf{A}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{r}\ell} \mathbf{A}_{\ell} & \mathbf{D}_{\ell} & \mathbf{D}_{\ell} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{r}\ell} \mathbf{A}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{r}\ell} \mathbf{S}_{\ell} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}_{\ell} & \mathbf{D}_{\ell} & \mathbf{D}_{\ell} \\ \mathbf{D}_{\ell\star} & \mathbf{D}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{r}\ell}^{-1} (\kappa_{\ell} \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{c}})^{2} \mathbf{A}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{r}\ell}^{-1} (\kappa_{\ell} \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{c}})^{2} \mathbf{A}_{\ell} \\ \mathbf{D}_{\ell} & \mathbf{D}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{r}\ell}^{-1} (\kappa_{\ell} \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{c}})^{2} \mathbf{A}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{r}\ell}^{-1} (\kappa_{\ell} \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{c}})^{2} \mathbf{S}_{\ell} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \boldsymbol{X} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{L}}, \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{S}}, \boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{L}}, \boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{S}} \right\}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$



Discrete decomposition: Loop-Tree/Star combination of Hdiv functions

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathrm{L}} = \mathbf{rot}_{S} H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ in a simply-connected body.



Circumvented *low frequency breakdown* but unbalanced system (execrable conditioning)

Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition

Helmholtz-Hodge decomposition of the space $\mathcal{V} := \{ v \in H^{-1/2}_{\parallel}(\Gamma) : \operatorname{div}_{S} v \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \},\$

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathrm{L}} \oplus \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathrm{S}}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathrm{L}} := \left\{ \boldsymbol{u} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} : \operatorname{div}_{S} \boldsymbol{u} = 0 \right\}, \qquad \boldsymbol{J} = \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{L}} + \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{S}}, \qquad \boldsymbol{M} = \boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{L}} + \boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{S}}$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{\ell} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{r}\ell} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{r}\ell} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{\mathsf{D}}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{\mathsf{D}}_{\ell} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{r}\ell} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{r}\ell} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{S}}_{\ell} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{D}}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{\mathsf{D}}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{\mathsf{D}}_{\ell} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathsf{D}}_{\ell \star} & \boldsymbol{\mathsf{D}}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{r}\ell}^{-1} (\kappa_{\ell} \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{c}})^{2} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{r}\ell}^{-1} (\kappa_{\ell} \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{c}})^{2} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}_{\ell} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathsf{D}}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{\mathsf{D}}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{r}\ell}^{-1} (\kappa_{\ell} \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{c}})^{2} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}_{\ell} & \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{r}\ell}^{-1} (\kappa_{\ell} \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathrm{c}})^{2} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{S}}_{\ell} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \boldsymbol{X} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{L}}, \boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{S}}, \boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{L}}, \boldsymbol{M}_{\mathrm{S}} \right\}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Discrete decomposition: Loop-Tree/Star combination of Hdiv functions

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathrm{L}} = \mathbf{rot}_{S} H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ in a simply-connected body.

 $\mathcal{V}_{L} = \operatorname{rot}_{S} H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \oplus \mathcal{V}_{H}$ otherwise where \mathcal{V}_{H} is a finite-dimensional space of *global loop harmonic* functions (2 per tunnel/handle)







Asymptotic framework

We consider the low frequency & high conductivity case:
$$\eta := \kappa_0 L_c = o(1)$$
, $\sigma = \eta^{-1} \xi^2 \sigma_{re}$

Where $\xi = \sqrt{2/\mu_{\rm r}} L_{\rm c}/\delta$ is close to the inverse normalized skin depth $\delta = \sqrt{2/\omega\sigma\mu_0\mu_{\rm r}}$. $(\sigma_{\rm ref} := \frac{1}{L_c}\sqrt{\varepsilon_0/\mu_0})$

The integral operator matrix and the RHS depend on η through the wavenumbers κ_l :

$$_{1}L_{c} = \sqrt{\mu_{r}(\mathrm{i}\xi^{2} + \varepsilon_{r}^{\mathrm{d}}\eta^{2})} = q + O(\eta^{2}), \qquad q := \xi\sqrt{\mathrm{i}\mu_{r}}.$$

(does not vanish as η tends to 0)

$$G(\boldsymbol{z};\kappa_1) = G^{\scriptscriptstyle C}(\boldsymbol{z}) + O(\boldsymbol{\eta}^2), \qquad \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathbf{c}} \boldsymbol{\nabla} G(\boldsymbol{z};\kappa_1) = H^{\scriptscriptstyle C}(\boldsymbol{z}) + O(\boldsymbol{\eta}^2),$$

with
$$G^{\scriptscriptstyle C}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \frac{e^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{q}|\boldsymbol{z}|/\boldsymbol{L}_{\mathbf{c}}}}{4\pi|\boldsymbol{z}|}, \qquad H^{\scriptscriptstyle C}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \frac{e^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{q}|\boldsymbol{z}|/\boldsymbol{L}_{\mathbf{c}}}}{4\pi|\boldsymbol{z}|^3} (\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{q}|\boldsymbol{z}| - \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathbf{c}})\boldsymbol{z}.$$

« eddy current » kernel

Useful properties of potentials and integral operators

Lemma 1

Let
$$\mathbf{A}_{(m)}$$
, $\mathbf{K}_{(m)}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{(m)}$ be the integral operators with G replaced by G_m .
(a) $\mathbf{A}_{(1)}\phi_L = 0$ for any $\phi_L \in \mathcal{V}_L$.
(b) $\mathbf{D}_{(1)}\phi = 0$ and $\mathbf{K}_{(1)}\phi = 0$ for any $\phi \in \mathcal{V}$.
(c) $\langle \widetilde{\phi}_L, \mathbf{A}_{(1)}\phi \rangle_{\times} = 0$ for any $\widetilde{\phi}_L \in \mathcal{V}_L$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{V}$.
(d) $\langle \widetilde{\phi}_L, \mathbf{D}_{(0)}\phi_L \rangle_{\times} = 0$ for any $\widetilde{\phi}_L$ and $\phi_L \in \mathcal{V}_L$ if Γ is simply-connected.

Lemma 2

Let \boldsymbol{J}_{s} be such that div \boldsymbol{J}_{s} and $\boldsymbol{J}_{s} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0$ on $\partial \Omega_{s}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{(m)}$ be the volume potential obtained by replacing $G(.;\kappa_{0})$ with G_{m} . Then: (a) $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{(1)}[\boldsymbol{J}_{s}] = 0$. (b) $\langle \widetilde{\phi}_{L}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{N}^{+} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{(0)}[\boldsymbol{J}_{s}] \rangle_{\times} = 0$ for any $\widetilde{\phi}_{L} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{L}$ if Γ is simply-connected.

$$\stackrel{\textbf{e2}}{=} \left\langle oldsymbol{u}, oldsymbol{v}
ight
angle_{ imes} := \int_{\Gamma} \left(oldsymbol{n} imes oldsymbol{v}
ight) \cdot oldsymbol{u} \; \mathrm{d}S$$

Eddy Current BIE

Leading orders of the *PMCHWT* system:

Rescaled (Maxwell) *PMCHWT* problem: $\mathcal{Z}^{\eta} X^{\eta} = Y^{\eta}$, where $\mathcal{Z}^{\eta} = (\mathcal{Z}_0 + \mathcal{Z}_1) \Sigma_{\eta}$, $Y^{\eta} = Y$, $X = \Sigma^{\eta} X^{\eta}$, $\Sigma^{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \eta^2 & \\ & & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Stabilized conditioning

Eddy current *PMCHWT* problem: $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{EC}} X^{\text{EC}} = Y^{\text{EC}}$,

where
$$\mathcal{Z}^{\eta} = \mathcal{Z}^{\text{EC}} + O(\eta^2) = \left(\mathcal{Z}_1^{\text{EC}} + \mathcal{Z}_0^{\text{EC}}\right) + O(\eta^2), \quad Y^{\eta} = Y^{\text{EC}} + O(\eta^2).$$

 $X^{\eta} = X^{\text{EC}} + O(\eta^2).$
 $X^{\eta} = X^{\text{EC}} + O(\eta^2).$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{1}^{\text{EC}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{\text{ra}} \mathbf{A}_{\text{C}} & 0 & \mathbf{D}_{\text{C}} & \mathbf{D}_{\text{C}} \\ \mu_{\text{ra}} \mathbf{A}_{\text{C}} & 0 & \mathbf{D}_{\text{C}} & \mathbf{D}_{\text{C}} \\ \mathbf{D}_{\text{C}} - \mathbf{D} & 0 & \mu_{\text{r}}^{-1} q^{2} \mathbf{A}_{\text{C}} & \mu_{\text{r}}^{-1} q^{2} \mathbf{A}_{\text{C}} \\ \mathbf{D}_{\text{C}} & 0 & \mu_{\text{r}}^{-1} q^{2} \mathbf{A}_{\text{C}} & \mu_{\text{r}}^{-1} (q^{2} \mathbf{A}_{\text{C}} + \mathbf{K}_{\text{C}}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}}_{0}^{\text{EC}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{(0)} & 0 & \mathbf{D}_{(0)}^{*} & \mathbf{D}_{(0)} \\ \mathbf{A}_{(0)} & \mathbf{K}_{(0)} & \mathbf{D}_{(0)} & \mathbf{D}_{(0)} \\ \mathbf{D}_{(0)}^{*} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{D}_{(0)} & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{K}_{(0)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Coïncides with [Hiptmair, 07]

Eddy Current BIE

Block wise solution:



Post-processing:

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{E} &= \boldsymbol{\eta} \left(\boldsymbol{E}^{\text{EC}} + O(\boldsymbol{\eta}^2) \right), \quad \text{with} \quad \boldsymbol{E}^{\text{EC}}, \, \boldsymbol{H}^{\text{EC}} \sim \left(\boldsymbol{J}_{\text{L}}^{\text{EC}}, \, \boldsymbol{M}_{\text{L}}^{\text{EC}}, \, \boldsymbol{M}_{\text{S}}^{\text{EC}} \right) \text{ in } \Omega_1, \\ \boldsymbol{H} &= \quad \boldsymbol{H}^{\text{EC}} + O(\boldsymbol{\eta}^2), \quad \boldsymbol{H}^{\text{EC}} \sim \left(\boldsymbol{J}_{\text{L}}^{\text{EC}}, \, \boldsymbol{M}_{\text{S}}^{\text{EC}} \right) \text{ in } \Omega_0, \\ \Delta Z &= \boldsymbol{\eta} \left(\Delta Z^{\text{EC}} + O(\boldsymbol{\eta}^2) \right), \quad \Delta Z^{\text{EC}} \sim \left(\boldsymbol{J}_{\text{L}}^{\text{EC}}, \, \boldsymbol{M}_{\text{S}}^{\text{EC}} \right). \end{split}$$

Stage 2 is useless for practical NDT \Rightarrow substantial reduction in the linear system to be solved.

Matrix system (Recap)

(Homogenized L_c) PMCHWT: $\mathbf{Z} \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y}$

Rescaled, loop-star PMCHWT: $\mathbf{Z}^{\eta} \mathbf{X}^{\eta} = \mathbf{Y}^{\eta}$ $\mathbf{Z}^{\eta} = \mathbf{L}^{\eta} \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{R}^{\eta},$ $\mathbf{Y}^{\eta} = \mathbf{L}^{\eta} \mathbf{Y},$ $\mathbf{L}^{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{S} \end{bmatrix} & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{S} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}},$ $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{R}^{\eta} \mathbf{X}^{\eta},$ $\mathbf{L}^{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{S} \end{bmatrix} & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{S} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}.$

Matrix system (Recap)

Standard PMCHWT:
$$\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}\,\widehat{\mathbf{X}}=\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}$$

$$\mathbf{Z} := ig\langle \widetilde{oldsymbol{X}}, \, oldsymbol{\mathcal{Z}} \, oldsymbol{X} \, ig
angle_{ imes}, \, \mathbf{X} ig
angle_{ imes}, \, \mathbf{Y} ig
angle_{ imes}, ig\langle oldsymbol{u}, \, oldsymbol{v} ig
angle_{ imes} := \int_{oldsymbol{\Gamma}} \left(oldsymbol{n} imes oldsymbol{v}
ight) \cdot oldsymbol{u} \, \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{S}.$$

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Rescaled, loop-star PMCHWT:} & \mathbf{Z}^{\eta} \, \mathbf{X}^{\eta} = \mathbf{Y}^{\eta} \\ & \mathbf{Z}^{\eta} = \widehat{\mathbf{L}}^{\eta} \, \widehat{\mathbf{Z}} \, \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{\eta}, \\ & \mathbf{Y}^{\eta} = \widehat{\mathbf{L}}^{\eta} \, \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}, \\ & \mathbf{X} = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{\eta} \, \mathbf{X}^{\eta}, \end{array} & \widehat{\mathbf{L}}^{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{S} \end{bmatrix} & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{S} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (\mathrm{i}\omega\mu_{0})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & L_{c} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{i}\omega\mu_{0}L_{c} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L} \\ \eta^{2}\mathcal{S} \end{bmatrix} & 0 \\ 0 & \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L} \\ \mathcal{S} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}. \end{array}$

Eddy current BIE (leading order + system reduction): $\mathbf{Z}^{\text{EC}} \, \mathbf{X}^{\text{EC}} = \mathbf{Y}^{\text{EC}}$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Z}^{\text{EC}} &= \mathbf{L}^{\text{EC}} \, \mathbf{Z}^{\eta}_{(\mathbf{0})} \, \mathbf{R}^{\text{EC}}, \\ \mathbf{Y}^{\text{EC}} &= \mathbf{L}^{\text{EC}} \, \mathbf{Y}^{\eta}_{(\mathbf{0})}, \\ \mathbf{X}^{\eta}_{(\mathbf{0})} &= \mathbf{R}^{\text{EC}} \, \mathbf{X}^{\text{EC}}, \end{split} \qquad \mathbf{L}^{\text{EC}} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{R}^{\text{EC}} = (\mathbf{L}^{\text{EC}})^{\text{T}}. \end{split}$$



Non-trivial Helmholtz decomposition on arbitrarily complex multi-connected geometries.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{Q}\mathsf{H} \mbox{ projectors - PMCHWT:} & \mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{QH}} \, \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{QH}} = \mathbf{Y}^{\mathrm{QH}} \\ & \mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{QH}} = \mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{QH}} \, \widehat{\mathbf{Z}} \, \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{QH}}, \\ & \mathbf{Y}^{\mathrm{QH}} = \mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{QH}} \, \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}, \\ & \widehat{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{QH}} \, \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{QH}}, \end{array} & \mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{QH}} = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 \mathbb{G}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} & \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{QH}} = \begin{bmatrix} M_3 & 0 \\ 0 & M_4 \end{bmatrix} \\ & M_i = \alpha_i \, \Pi_{\mathrm{S}} \, + \, \beta_i \, (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_{\mathrm{S}}), \, i = 1, 3, 4, \\ & \mathbb{M}_2 = \alpha_2 \, \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{S}} \, + \, \beta_2 \, (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{S}}). \end{array}$$

 $\Pi_{s} = S^{T} (SS^{T})^{-1}S$: projection matrix on the non div-free « star » subspace,

- $\mathbb{G} = \langle \phi^{\mathrm{bc}}, \phi \rangle_{\times}$: projection matrix on the dual space [Buffa-Christiansen, 07],
- $\mathbb{P}_{s} = \mathcal{L}^{T} (\mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}^{T})^{-1} \mathcal{L}$: projection matrix on the non div-free « dual star » subspace, (here \mathcal{L} contains only *local loop* combinations)



Credits: [Cools, Andriulli, De Zutter, Michielssen, 2011]

No explicit calculation of *global loop* harmonic functions !

Standard PMCHWT:
$$\widehat{\mathbf{Z}} \, \widehat{\mathbf{X}} = \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}$$
 $\mathbf{Z} := \langle \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}, \mathcal{Z}\mathbf{X} \rangle_{\times}, \quad \mathbf{Y} := \langle \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle_{\times}, \langle u, v \rangle_{\times} := \int_{\Gamma} (n \times v) \cdot u \, \mathrm{dS}.$ QH projectors - PMCHWT: $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{QH}} = \mathbf{Y}^{\mathrm{QH}}$ $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{QH}} = \mathbf{Y}^{\mathrm{QH}}$ $\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{QH}} = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 \mathbb{G}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ $\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{QH}} = \begin{bmatrix} M_3 & 0 \\ 0 & M_4 \end{bmatrix}$ $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathrm{QH}} = \mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{QH}} \, \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{QH}} \, \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{QH}},$ $\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{QH}} = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 \mathbb{G}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ $\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{QH}} = \begin{bmatrix} M_3 & 0 \\ 0 & M_4 \end{bmatrix}$ $M_i = \alpha_i \, \Pi_{\mathrm{S}} + \beta_i \, (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_{\mathrm{S}}), \, i = 1, 3, 4,$ $M_i = \alpha_2 \, \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{S}} + \beta_2 \, (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{S}}).$

Manual implementation of cancelled integral terms

Lemma 3 (a) $(\mathbf{I} - \Pi_{s}) \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K} (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_{s}) = 0.$ (b) $\mathbb{P}_{s} \mathbb{G}^{-1} \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{0}.$ (c) $\mathbb{P}_{s} \mathbb{G}^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{(0)} (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_{s}) = 0.$

with ${\bf K}$ the div-div operator, ${\bf D}_{(0)}$ the static double layer.

Standard PMCHWT:
$$\widehat{\mathbf{Z}} \, \widehat{\mathbf{X}} = \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}$$
 $\mathbf{Z} := \langle \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{X} \rangle_{\times}, \quad \mathbf{Y} := \langle \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{Y} \rangle_{\times}, \langle u, v \rangle_{\times} := \int_{\Gamma} (n \times v) \cdot u \, \mathrm{dS}.$ QH projectors - PMCHWT: $\mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{QH}} \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{QH}} = \mathbf{Y}^{\mathrm{QH}}$ $\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{QH}} = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 \mathbb{G}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ $\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{QH}} = \begin{bmatrix} M_3 & 0 \\ 0 & M_4 \end{bmatrix}$ $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathrm{QH}} = \mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{QH}} \, \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}, \quad \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{QH}} \, \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}, \quad \mathbf{M}_i = \alpha_i \, \Pi_{\mathrm{S}} + \beta_i \, (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_{\mathrm{S}}), \, i = 1, 3, 4,$ $M_i = \alpha_2 \, \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{S}} + \beta_2 \, (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{S}}).$

Proposed scaling correspond to the low frequency but *not* so *high* conductive case: $\kappa_0 L_c = \eta$, $\sigma = O(1) (\kappa_1^2 = O(\eta))$.

$$M_{1} = (\omega \varepsilon_{0})^{1/2} \Pi_{s} + (\omega \mu_{0})^{-1/2} (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_{s}) ,$$

$$M_{3} = (\omega \varepsilon_{0})^{1/2} \Pi_{s} + (\omega \mu_{0})^{-1/2} (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_{s}) ,$$

$$M_{4} = (\omega \mu_{0})^{1/2} \Pi_{s} + (\omega / \sigma)^{1/2} (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_{s}) ,$$

$$\mathbb{M}_{2} = (\omega \sigma)^{-1/2} \mathbb{P}_{s} + (\omega \mu_{0})^{1/2} (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_{s}) .$$

[Chhim, Merlini, Rahmouni, Guzman, Andriulli, IEEE 2020]

We suggest a rescaling based on the previous asymptotic analysis with $\kappa_0 L_c = \eta$, $\sigma = O(\eta^{-1})$.

$$M_{1}^{\rm HC} = \left(\Pi_{\rm S} + (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_{\rm S})\right) (\mathrm{i}\omega\mu_{0})^{-1} ,$$

$$M_{3}^{\rm HC} = \eta^{2} \Pi_{\rm S} + (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_{\rm S}) ,$$

$$M_{4}^{\rm HC} = \left(\Pi_{\rm S} + (\mathbf{I} - \Pi_{\rm S})\right) (\mathrm{i}\omega\mu_{0}\boldsymbol{L}_{\rm c}) ,$$

$$\mathbb{M}_{2}^{\rm HC} = \left(\mathbb{P}_{\rm S} + (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{P}_{\rm S})\right) \boldsymbol{L}_{\rm c}.$$





2 Boundary integral formalisms

3 Preliminary results

Preliminary results (in progress)







R = 10 mm, r = 3 mm, $\sigma = 1 \text{ MS/m}$ $n_{el} = 2048$

limit of the leading order reduction $|\Delta Z (GMRES) - \Delta Z (LU)| > tol$

| GMRES (1e-04) | | EC | | QH | | QH-HC | |
|---|--------|---------------|------|--|------|---------------|------|
| freq | δ | Cond | iter | cond | iter | cond | iter |
| 1000 kHz | 0.5 mm | 1.4e 4 | 159 | 1.4e 9 | 68 | 5.4e 4 | 92 |
| 100 kHz | 1.6 mm | 3.1e 4 | 276 | 4.6e 8 | 83 | 6.8e 4 | 151 |
| 10 kHz | 5 mm | 3.8e 4 | 209 | 2.6e 8 | 109 | 6.8e 4 | 169 |
| 1 kHz | 16 mm | 1.2e 5 | 207 | 2.7e 8 | 117 | 6.8e 4 | 148 |
| $\operatorname{cond}(\mathbf{A}) = \ \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}\ \cdot \ \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}\ ,$ | | | | $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}} = D\mathbf{A}D, \qquad D_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \mathbf{A}_{ij}^{-1/2}.$ | | | |

31

Preliminary results (in progress)



limit of the leading order reduction $\left|\Delta Z \left({\rm GMRES} \right) \, - \, \Delta Z \left({\rm LU} \right) \right| > {\rm tol}$





| GMRES (1e-04) | | EC | | QH | | QH-HC | |
|---------------|--------|---------------|------|---------------|------|---------------|------|
| freq | δ | Cond | iter | cond | iter | cond | iter |
| 1000 kHz | 0.5 mm | 3.3e 4 | 158 | 2.3e 9 | 119 | 1.7e 4 | 149 |
| 100 kHz | 1.6 mm | 5.3e 4 | 245 | 6.4e 8 | 184 | 2.7e 4 | 287 |
| 10 kHz | 5 mm | 1.0e 6 | 625 | 1.9e 8 | 496 | 2.8e 4 | 572 |
| 1 kHz | 16 mm | 2.2e 5 | 451 | 1.9e 8 | 763 | 2.8e 4 | 783 |

 $\operatorname{cond}(\mathbf{A}) = \|\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}\| \cdot \|\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}\|, \qquad \widetilde{\mathbf{A}} = D\mathbf{A}D, \qquad D_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \mathbf{A}_{ii}^{-1/2}.$

Preliminary results - TEAM 15 benchmark



[Sabbagh & Burke, 1992]



cea

 $\operatorname{cond}(\mathbf{A}) = \|\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}\| \cdot \|\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}\|, \qquad \widetilde{\mathbf{A}} = D\mathbf{A}D, \qquad D_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \mathbf{A}_{ii}^{-1/2}.$

Conclusion

BEM solutions dedicated to eddy current inspection & heating

- EC: Eddy Current testing regime (low freq & high conductivity)
- QH: Quasi-Helmholtz projectors (low freq & medium conductivity)

Comparison on toy cases (naïve implementation at this stage)

EC: fairly well conditioned



QH-HC: High conductivity improvement – so far so good (further analysis required)

What's next ?

- Improved implementation (QH projectors on-the-fly)
- Evaluation on realistic use cases (degraded meshes)

Thank you for your attention

edouard.demaldent@cea.fr

