

Nuclear generation and flexibility in renewables-driven electric systems

Arthur Lynch, Gilles Mathonniere, Sophie Gabriel, Yannick Perez

► To cite this version:

Arthur Lynch, Gilles Mathonniere, Sophie Gabriel, Yannick Perez. Nuclear generation and flexibility in renewables-driven electric systems. USAEE United States Association for Energy Economics, Mar 2021, Virtual event, United States. 2021. cea-04794540

HAL Id: cea-04794540 https://cea.hal.science/cea-04794540v1

Submitted on 20 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Nuclear generation and flexibility in renewables-driven electric systems Arthur LYNCH*, Gilles MATHONNIERE*, Sophie GABRIEL*, Yannick PEREZ** * Institute for Techno-Economics of Energy Systems (I-TESE), CEA, DES, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France ** Laboratoire Génie Industriel, CentraleSupélec, Université Paris-Saclay, 3 rue Joliot-Curie, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France arthur.lynch@cea.fr What are the main flexibility limits of Pressurized Water Reactors? **Modeling practices Background and objectives Minimal power** Context : **Ramping rate** Current economic dispatch models 3 Variable Renewables only include a portion of the primary Sub-hourly constraint Inter-monthly constraint ø Energies (VRE) are limits of PWR flexibility. 100 Primary and secondary expected to ensure most of the future Three aspects could be improved to %P_n/minutes frequency control electric demand, thus increasing flexibility reflect actual flexibility: needs to ensure the demand-supply rated thermal 1 - Xenon transients (2) Nuclear 5 equilibrium Load following Minimal power evolution related to OCGT 20 fuel burn-up (3) How much of this additional cent The fleet's operational planning (4) er flexibility can nuclear ensure ? 7 CCGT Production stack Extended Our future works Hard coal 5 cvcle ~2/3 ~9/10 End Beginning Maximal ramping comparison, Bruynooghe et of cycle of cycle of cycle of cycle Time in fuel cycle al. (2010) and Savolainen (2015) Minimal power limits during a fuel cycle in a French PWR, IAEA (2018) → Implementing new nuclear flexibility constraints into electric Maintenance and refueling planning Xenon 135 transient 2 4 systems simulation models would Yearly constraint Inter-hourly constraint improve the dispatch's accuracy. Average weekly nuclear avaibility - FRANCE Years 2015-2019 → The accuracy benefits are highly dependent on the flexibility required to the nuclear fleet: thus, on the Output example of Antares Simulator (RTE) : installed capacity mix Weekly economic dispatch between technologies Method : Economic simulation models We 1) Build an economic dispatch model generation that dispatch across that encompasses most of the technologies, i.e. GenX (MIT)... flexibility limits of PWRs Necessary to constrain the behavior 2) Compare the newly-created of nuclear reactors in dispatch model's results to pre-existing ones to Xenon 135 variations during power changes, U.S. Meeks assess the impact of those new limits models Departement of Energy (1993) French nuclear fleet's average availability - 63 GWe (2015-2019)