

## **Analysis of TCC in p-n short silicon diodes at 300–400 K**

R. Kubica, A. Albouy, Francis Balestra, A. Aliane, P. Leduc

### **To cite this version:**

R. Kubica, A. Albouy, Francis Balestra, A. Aliane, P. Leduc. Analysis of TCC in p-n short silicon diodes at 300–400 K. IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, 2024, 71 (8), pp.4476-4482. 10.1109/TED.2024.3408772. cea-04728013

## **HAL Id: cea-04728013 <https://cea.hal.science/cea-04728013v1>**

Submitted on 11 Oct 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# Analysis of TCC in p-n Short Silicon Diodes at 300–400 K

R. M. R. Kubica , A. Albouy <sup>O</sup>. F. Balestra, *Senior Member, IEEE*, A. Aliane <sup>O</sup>, and P. Leduc

*Abstract* **— This work presents a study of the therma l sensitivity of p-n short silicon diodes represented by the temperature coefficient of current (TCC) for thermal sensing applications. It proposes an analytical model (AM) of the TCC of p-n short silicon diodes under low-level injections and in the temperature range of 300–400 K. First, the TCC is studied at diffusion and recombination regimes and it is discriminated between different physical contributions. Then, it is followed by a discussion on the origins and influences of these contributions to provide a usable and simplified analytical expression of the TCC. Finally, the proposed AM is compared to technology computer-aided design (TCAD) simulations and experimental results at 300–400 K. It shows a strong correlation for different designs of p-n short diodes.**

*Index Terms***— Analytical model (AM), p-n-diode, short diode, silicon, technology computer-aided design (TCAD), temperature coefficient of current (TCC), thermal sensitivity, thermal sensors.**

#### I. INTRODUCTION

**THERMAL** sensors are widely used in various fields of application. This includes, for example, electrotechnical and automotive systems, industrial control, medical devices, HERMAL sensors are widely used in various fields of application. This includes, for example, electrotechnical smartphones, and other defense or security systems. All of these electronic sensors are based on various technologies [\[1\],](#page-7-0) [\[2\]](#page-7-0) such as thermocouples  $[3]$ , thermistors  $[4]$ ,  $[5]$ , or diode temperature sensors [\[6\].](#page-8-1) The performances of these sensors are driven by three main factors: sensitivity, noise, and operational temperature. Sensitivity is assessed using the temperature coefficient of *X* (TCX) (%  $\cdot$  K<sup>-1</sup>) [\[1\],](#page-7-0) [\[2\],](#page-7-0) [\[7\]](#page-8-2)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
TCX = \frac{1 \, dX}{X \, dT} \tag{1}
$$

Manuscript received 14 March 2024; revised 18 April 2024 and 23 May 2024; accepted 29 May 2024. Date of publication 11 June 2024; date of current version 25 July 2024. This work was supported in part by Directorate General of Armament (DGA) from the French Ministry of Defense, in part by Important Project of Common European Interest (IPCEI) Microelectronics and Connectivity, and in part by the French Public Authorities within the frame of France 2030. The review of this article was arranged by Editor K. Xia. *(Corresponding author: R. M. R. Kubica.)*

R. M. R. Kubica, A. Albouy, A. Aliane, and P. Leduc are with the Grenoble-Alpes University, 38400 Grenoble, France, and also with CEA Leti, 38054 Grenoble, France (e-mail: romain.kubica@cea.fr; antoine.albouy@cea.fr; abdelkader.aliane@cea.fr; patrick.leduc@ cea.fr).

F. Balestra is with CNRS, Grenoble-INP, CROMA, Grenoble-Alpes University, 38000 Grenoble, France (e-mail: francis.balestra@ grenoble-inp.fr).

Color versions of one or more figures in this article are available at https://doi.org/10.1109/TED.2024.3408772.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TED.2024.3408772

where  $T$  is the temperature  $(K)$  and  $X$  is a parameter sensitive to *T* (resistance, current, and voltage) which depends on the technology of the sensor.

to  $-3\%$  K<sup>-1</sup> [\[5\].](#page-8-3) Whereas, diode thermometers are charcient of resistance (TCR) with typical values from  $-1\%$  K<sup>-1</sup> Thermistors are characterized by their temperature coeffiacterized by the temperature coefficient of voltage (TCV) [6],  $[8]$  or the temperature coefficient of current (TCC)  $[9]$ , [\[11\],](#page-8-5) [\[12\].](#page-8-6) In general, TCC of silicon p-n diodes is higher than TCR of thermistor materials, but depends on the applied voltage. Moreover, silicon diodes are compatible with foundry manufacturing processes and their production costs are low. They are, therefore, well-suited for thermal sensors. The TCC needs consequently to be physically understood and modeled, especially for low-level minority injections where the TCC is high. Previous works on silicon p-n diodes have already been done to express the TCC in forward bias at 300 K. These studies were either performed on long diodes or on diodes where the current density was only dominated by the diffusion of minority carriers [\[9\], \[10\],](#page-8-4) [\[11\],](#page-8-5) [\[12\],](#page-8-6) [\[13\].](#page-8-7) The present article proposes to extend the study to forward-biased short diodes between 300 and 400 K. This work aims to establish a model sufficiently simple to lead a physical general analysis of the TCC and not to propose a complete compact model. The model includes parameters such as the doping concentration, carrier lifetime, and diode length. The impact of these parameters on the TCC is assessed to provide a simplified expression. Finally, our model of TCC is compared to technology computer-aided design (TCAD) simulations and experimental results at 300–400 K.

#### II. AM OF THE TCC IN p-n DIODES

nonlinear. Under forward bias, the current density  $J(A \, m^{-2})$ carriers  $(A \cdot m^{-2})$ . To express these currents, we used the The p-n diode is one of the most basic structures in microelectronics. Made by the juxtaposition of two semiconductors (P and N), the current–voltage characteristic of a p-n diode is of the diode depends on three mechanisms [\[14\]:](#page-8-8) diffusion of minority charge carriers, recombination of minority charge carriers, and the drift of charge carriers due to the series resistance  $R_s$  ( $\blacktriangle$ ). *J*<sub>diff</sub> and *J*<sub>rec</sub> represent the current density due to the diffusion and the recombination of minority charge following hypotheses and notations.

1) The p-n junction is made of silicon, is considered abrupt at thermal equilibrium, and is studied at 300–400 K.

0018-9383 © 2024 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See [https://www.i](http://www.ieee.org/publications/rights/index.html)ee[e.org/publications/rights/index.html](http://www.ieee.org/publications/rights/index.html) for more information.

- describe electron distributions on energy levels.
- 3) The lengths of the P and N regions are  $l_p$  and  $l_n$  (nm).
- 4) The doping concentrations in the P and N regions are  $\mu$  max =  $\mu$  $\int (at \cdot cm^{-3}).$ *a d*
- regions and noted  $n_{\text{ip}}$  and  $n_{\text{in}}$  (at cm<sup>-3</sup>). 5) Due to the bandgap narrowing effect, the concentrations of intrinsic carriers  $n_i$  are different in the P and N
- 6) The lengths of the space charge regions in the P and N regions are  $W_p$  and  $W_n$  (nm).
- 7) The diffusion coefficients of minority charge carriers (m<sup>2</sup> · s−<sup>1</sup> ) in the P and N regions are *D<sup>p</sup>* and *Dn*.
- regions are *τ<sup>p</sup>* and *τ<sup>n</sup>* (*s*). 8) The lifetimes of the charge carriers in the P and N  $J_1$
- 9) The diode is supposed short, that is,  $l_{p,n}$  are small compared to the diffusion lengths of the charge carriers.
- 10) The recombination mechanisms are only provided by SRH-type mechanisms [\[16\],](#page-8-10) [\[17\].](#page-8-11)
- *11)* The behavior of the diode is studied at low-level minority carriers injections. Therefore, the influences of *R<sup>s</sup>* and the self-heating are neglected.

The following expressions are used for *J* [\[14\]:](#page-8-8)

$$
J = J_{\text{diff}} + J_{\text{rec}}.\tag{2}
$$

With

$$
J_{\text{diff}} = J_{\text{diff-sat}} \exp \frac{qV_a}{kT} - 1 \tag{3}
$$

$$
J_{\text{rec}} = \frac{q'}{0} \int_{0}^{1} U \, \rho \, dx \tag{4}
$$

where  $V_a$  is the applied voltage to the diode (*V*) and  $J_{\text{diff-sat}}$ represents the saturation current density linked to diffusion  $(A \cdot m^{-2})$  [\[14\]](#page-8-8)

$$
J_{\text{diff-sat}} = q \frac{D_n n_{\text{in}}^2}{(l_n - W_n)N_d} + \frac{D_p n_{\text{ip}}^2}{(l_p - W_p)N_a}
$$
 (5) Assuming that  $\exp(qV_a)/(kT)$ 

In [\(4\),](#page-2-3) *W* is the total length of the space charge region (*m*) and *U* is the recombination rate  $(m^{-3} \cdot s^{-1})$  [\[14\]](#page-8-8)

$$
U = \frac{np - n_i^2}{T_h n + n_i \exp \frac{E_i - E_i}{kT} + T_e p + n_i \exp \frac{E_i - E_i}{kT}}
$$
(6)

(at · cm<sup>−3</sup>), *τ*<sub>*eh*</sub> are the lifetime of electrons and holes (*s*), regions (*J* and *J* ) are separated  $E_i$  is the energy level of the midgap (eV), and  $E_t$  is the energy level associated with the recombination mechanisms (eV).

- Then, we assume the following hypotheses to simplify [\(6\).](#page-2-4)
- 1) The lifetimes of electrons and holes are equal  $(\tau_{e,h} = \tau)$ . With
- 2) The *np* product is constant in *W* .

3) The recombination occurs at the midgap ( $E_t = E_i$ ). Therefore, *U* is equal to

$$
U = \frac{n_i^2 \exp \frac{qV_0}{kT} - 1}{\tau(n + p + 2n_i)}.
$$
 (7)

*U* varies in *W* because the sum  $n + p$  depends on the

2) Fermi statistics is reduced to Boltzmann statistics to minimal  $[14]$ . The maximum recombination rate  $U_{\text{max}}$  is noted

$$
\frac{U}{\max} = \frac{n_i \exp \frac{qV_q}{kT} - 1}{T \frac{q}{\phi V}^{\frac{q}{2}}} = \frac{n_i \exp \frac{qV_q}{2kT} - 1}{2T}
$$
 (8)

To provide an usable expression for  $J_{\text{rec}}$ , [\(8\)](#page-2-0) is usually considered to be valid in *W* ( $U = U_{\text{max}}$ ). However, this approximation overestimates the value of *J*rec. Therefore, we choose to use expressions proposed by Shur  $[18]$  where *J*rec is expressed

<span id="page-2-1"></span><span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\int \frac{1}{2\pi (U_{\text{max}})^3}
$$
\n
$$
= q \cdot \frac{2\pi (U_{\text{max}})^3}{|U_0'|}
$$
\n(9)

where  $U_0^{\prime\prime}$  is the second derivative of the recombination rate *U* near  $x_0$  where  $n = p$ . Then, [\(9\)](#page-2-1) is approximated to obtain

<span id="page-2-9"></span><span id="page-2-8"></span><span id="page-2-7"></span>
$$
J_{\text{rec}} = J_{\text{rec-sat}} \exp \frac{qV_a}{2kT} \quad . \tag{10}
$$

With *J*rec−sat represents the saturation current density linked to the recombination  $(A \cdot m^{-2})$  [\[18\]](#page-8-9)

$$
J = J_{\text{diff}} + J_{\text{rec}}.\tag{2}
$$
 (2) 
$$
J_{\text{rec-sat}} = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\frac{1}{7} \cdot kT n_i}{F_{np} \tau}
$$
 (11)

<span id="page-2-2"></span>P and N regions  $(V \cdot m^{-1})$ . where  $F_{np}$  is the electric field at the junction between the

<span id="page-2-3"></span>Given these expressions of  $J$ , the TCC can be expressed when  $J = J_{\text{diff}}$  (TCC<sub>diff</sub>) and  $J = J_{\text{rec}}$  (TCC<sub>rec</sub>) [\[9\],](#page-8-4) [\[13\].](#page-8-4)

#### *A. Temperature Coefficient of Diffusion Current*

In the case of  $J = J_{\text{diff}}$ , the TCC is expressed as follows:

$$
\text{TCC}_{\text{diff}} = \frac{1}{J_{\text{diff}}} \frac{dJ_{\text{diff}}}{dT}.
$$
 (12)

Assuming that  $\exp(qV_a)/(kT) \gg 1$  and by using [\(3\),](#page-2-2) the following expression of the  $TCC<sub>diff</sub>$  is obtained:

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
\text{TCC}_{\text{diff}} = \frac{1}{J_{\text{diff-sat}}} \frac{d J_{\text{diff-sat}}}{dT} - \frac{q V_a}{kT^2}.
$$
 (13)

where *n* and *p* are the electron and hole concentrations  $J_{\text{diff}-\text{sat}}$  is developed, and the contributions of the N and P (at · cm<sup>-3</sup>),  $T_{e,h}$  are the lifetime of electrons and holes (s), regions (*J* and *J* ) are s regions  $(J_{\text{diff-sat }n}$  and  $J_{\text{diff-sat }p}$ *Nevertheless, for a better understanding of the TCCdiff,* [\(13\)](#page-2-6) is discriminated into different physical parameters. Thus,

$$
J_{\text{diff-sat}} = J_{\text{diff-sat}} + J_{\text{diff-sat}} \, p. \tag{14}
$$

<span id="page-2-6"></span><span id="page-2-5"></span>!

$$
J_{\text{diff-satn, }p} = q_p \frac{D}{(l - W_{n'p})N_d} \tag{15}
$$

Then,  $(15)$  is developed by separating the contributions of *J*<sub>diff−sat*n*<sub>*p*</sub></sub> using the logarithmic derivative and [\(1\)](#page-1-0)

$$
\frac{d J_{\text{diff-satn.}} p}{\rho} = \text{TCD}_{n} \left( \frac{p}{p} + \text{TCNI}_{n2} \right) \left( \frac{p}{p} - \text{TCLW}_{n} \right) \left( \frac{16}{p} \right)
$$

Authorized licensed use limited to: Francis Balestra. Downloaded on October 11,2024 at 08:25:05 UTC from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply.

location. It reaches a maximum when the sum  $n + p$  is  $J_{diff-satn, p} \cdot dT$ 

where  $TCD_{n,p}$ ,  $TCNI_{n2,p2}$  and  $TCLW_{n,p}$  are the temperature With coefficients of *D<sub>n,p</sub>*,  $n^2$  and  $l - W_{n,p}$ . This expression of the George Green and  $l - W_{n,p}$ . This expression of the  $N_r$ 

TCC diff is thus obtained  $TCC$ *J*diff−sat*<sup>n</sup>*

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{CUC} &= \frac{J_{\text{diff-sat}}}{J_{\text{diff-sat}} \cdot \text{TCD}_n + \text{TCNI}_{n2} - \text{TCLW}_n} + \frac{J_{\text{diff-sat}}}{J_{\text{diff-sat}} \cdot p} (\text{TCD}_p + \text{TCNI}_{p2} - \text{TCLW}_p) - \frac{qV_a}{kT^2}.\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n
$$
\tag{17}
$$

If  $p^+n$  or  $n^+p$  diodes are considered,  $J_{\text{diff-sat}}$  depends only

on one region (P or N). For a *n* (17) is approximated  $p$  diode (  $J_{\text{diff-sat}} \approx J_{\text{diff-sat}} p$  ),

$$
\text{TCC}_{\text{diff}} \approx \text{TCD}_p + \text{TCNI}_{p2} - \text{TCLW}_p - \frac{qV}{kT^2}.
$$
 (18)

*1) Temperature Coefficient of Diffusion Coefficients:* Considering a nondegenerated semiconductor, *D<sub>n,p</sub>* can be written  $\text{TCLW}_{n,p} = \frac{d(l_{n,p} - W_{n,p})}{(l_{n,p} - W_{n,p})}$ 

$$
D_{n p} = \mu_{n p} \frac{kT}{\rho}
$$
 (19)

where  $\mu_{n,p}$  are the mobilities of charge carriers  $(m^2 \cdot V^{-1} \cdot s^{-1})$ . Assuming that the electric field *E* is With low enough, the mobility saturation effects are neglected. The  $N_T$  (at · cm<sup>-3</sup>). Moreover, *E* is considered to be applied in  $\mu_{n,p}$  are dependent on phonons and impurities concentrations minority charge carriers are in equilibrium with the lattice and the same direction as the charge carriers' movement. Under these conditions, the expression of  $\mu_{n,p}$  is obtained [\[14\],](#page-8-8) [\[20\]](#page-8-12)

$$
\mu_{n,p} = \mu_{n,p-300 \text{ K}} \frac{300}{T}^{\delta} \tag{20}
$$

where  $\mu_{n,p-300K}$  represent the mobilities of minority charge carriers at 300 K and *δ* is a parameter between 1*.*5 and 2*.*7 [\[20\],](#page-8-12) [\[21\],](#page-8-13) [\[22\].](#page-8-14) We choose to fix *δ* such as *δ* = 1*.*5. We calculated

 $\mu_{n,p-300K}$  using the Masetti et al. [\[23\]](#page-8-15) model. By using [\(19\)](#page-4-3) and  $(20)$ ,  $(21)$  is obtained to express  $D_{n,p}$ 

$$
D_{n,p} = C_{Dn,p} \cdot T^{1-\delta}.
$$
 (21)

The parameter  $C_{Dn,p} = (k \mathscr{L}q) \mu_{n,p-300 \text{ K}} 300^{\delta}$  is independent of *T*. Therefore, the  $TCD_{n,p}$  is expressed as

$$
\text{TCD} = \text{TCD}_{n-p} = \frac{1}{D_{n,p}} \frac{dD_{n,p}}{dT} = (1 - \delta) \cdot T^{-\delta}. \tag{22}
$$

*2) Temperature Coefficient of the Square of the Concentration of Intrinsic Carriers:* These forms are used for  $n_{\text{in},p}$  [\[15\]](#page-8-16)

$$
n_{\text{in},p} = \frac{f}{N_c N_v} \frac{T^{\frac{3}{2}}}{300} \exp \frac{q E_{\text{gn},p}}{2kT}
$$
 (23)

where  $N_{c,v}$  are the effective density of states for the conduction and the valence bands at 300 K (m ) and *E*gn*,<sup>p</sup>* are the bandgaps in N and P regions (eV).

We consider that  $E_{gn,p}$  is dependent on *T* according to *Varshni's* empirical equation [\[14\],](#page-8-8) [\[24\],](#page-8-17) [\[25\].](#page-8-18) On the other hand, the *Slotboom*'s law is used for the bandgap narrowing [\[26\]](#page-8-19)

$$
E_{\text{gn},p} = E_{\text{gn0},p0} - \frac{\sigma T^2}{T + \beta}.
$$
 (24)

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

$$
E_{\text{gn0},p0} = 1, 17 - \gamma_1^1 \ln \frac{N_T}{\gamma^2} + \frac{\int \frac{N_T}{\gamma^2} \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \, d\beta}{\sqrt{\gamma^2} + \gamma_3^1} \tag{25}
$$

<span id="page-4-12"></span>we consider that  $N_T = N_{d,a}$  for N and P regions. where  $E_{\text{gn}0,p0}$  are the bandgaps in *N* and *P* regions (in eV) *.* at 0 K and *α*, *β*, *γ*1, *γ*2, and *γ*<sup>3</sup> are constants. In this article,

Finally, by using  $(24)$  and  $(25)$ , we express  $TCNI<sub>n2,p2</sub>$ 

$$
TCNI_{n2, p2} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{d n_{\text{in}, p}^2}{dT} = \frac{3}{T} + \frac{q}{k} \frac{E_{\text{gn0}, p0}}{T^2} + \frac{q\beta}{(T + \beta)^2}.
$$
\n(26)

#### *3) Temperature Coefficient of the Lengths of Neutral Regions:* The expression of TCLW*n,<sup>p</sup>* is simplified as

$$
TCLW_{n,p} = \frac{d(l_{n,p} - W_{n,p})}{(l_{n,p} - W_{n,p})dT} = \frac{1}{W_{n,p} - l_{n,p} dT}.
$$
 (27)

Then, the expressions of  $W_{n,p}$  are developed [\[14\]](#page-8-8)

<span id="page-4-9"></span><span id="page-4-7"></span><span id="page-4-3"></span><span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
W_{n,p} = C_{\text{wn},p} \overbrace{\boldsymbol{\phi}_0 - V_a.}^{\text{f}}
$$
 (28)

$$
C_{\text{wn}} = \frac{2\epsilon_0\epsilon_r - N_a}{q(N_d + N_a) N_d}, \quad C_{\text{wp}} = \frac{2\epsilon_0\epsilon_r - N_d}{q(N_d + N_a) N_a}
$$
\n
$$
(29)
$$

$$
\varPhi_0 = \frac{kT}{q} \ln \frac{N_d N_a}{n_{\text{in}} n_{\text{ip}}}
$$
\n(30)

where  $\Phi_0$  is the diffusion voltage (*V*) and  $C_{\text{wn},p}$  are parameters independent of *T* .

<span id="page-4-5"></span>Then, the derivative of  $(28)$  with respect to *T* is calculated to obtain

<span id="page-4-10"></span><span id="page-4-6"></span>
$$
\frac{dW_{n,p}}{dW_{n,p}} = \frac{C_{\text{wn},p} \quad d\Phi_0}{\sqrt{1 - \Phi_0}}.
$$
 (31)

<span id="page-4-8"></span><span id="page-4-4"></span>model. By using (19)  
\nBy using (28) and (31), TCLW<sub>n,p</sub> is expressed as  
\n
$$
\frac{d}{dx} \int_{r,p}^{2\sqrt{\Phi_0 - V_q}} dT
$$
\n
$$
TCLW = \frac{-\sum_{w_n}^{2\sqrt{\Phi_0 - V_q}} \sqrt{\sum_{w_n}^{2\sqrt{\Phi_0 - V_q}}} \times \frac{d\Phi_0}{dT}.
$$
\n
$$
K^{300^{\delta}}
$$
 is independent  
\nred as (32)

*4) Analysis of the Contributions to the Temperature Coefficient of Diffusion Current:* Fig. [1](#page-5-0) illustrates the results of the TCC<sub>diff</sub> of a  $n+p$  diode (D1). The TCC<sub>diff</sub> and its parameters are represented as a function of *V<sup>a</sup>* using [\(18\)](#page-4-7) at 300 K. In Fig. [1,](#page-5-0) the TCC $_{diff}$  decreases when  $V_a$  increases. In this  $T^{\frac{1}{2}}$  *q E*<sub>m<sub>n</sub></sub> example, its value goes from 14.5% · K<sup>-1</sup> to 4.05% · K<sup>-1</sup> for independent of  $V_a$  [\(22\)](#page-4-8) and [\(26\)](#page-4-9) and are around 15.9% · K<sup>-1</sup> and -0, 01% · K<sup>-1</sup>. Then, the TCLW<sub>*p*</sub> [\(32\)](#page-4-10) depends on  $V_a$  and  $V_a$  between 0.1 and 0.9 V. The TCNI<sub>p2</sub> and the TCD are

> <span id="page-4-11"></span>is around  $0.07\%$  K<sup>-1</sup>. Afterward, we consider that TCD and  $W_p$  < 0.95  $\chi_p$ . In this case, we modify equation [\(18\)](#page-4-7) for TCLW<sub>p</sub> can be neglected compared to  $TCC<sub>diff</sub>$ . Indeed, in most cases, the sum of these terms is lower than 5% of  $TCC_{diff}$ . This affirmation is valid when  $W_p$  is smaller than  $l_p$  such as the TCC<sub>diff</sub> of  $n+p$  diode

<span id="page-4-0"></span>(24) 
$$
TCC_{diff} = TCNI_{p2} - \frac{qV_a}{kT^2}.
$$
 (33)

Authorized licensed use limited to: Francis Balestra. Downloaded on October 11,2024 at 08:25:05 UTC from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply.



Fig. 1. Evolution of the temperature coefficient of diffusion current and its parameters with the applied voltage for D1 at 300 K.



Fig. 2. Evolution of the temperature coefficient of diffusion current as a function of the applied voltage for D1, D2, D3, D4, and D5 at 300 K.

The formulation obtained in  $(33)$  is similar to the TCC $_{diff}$ of long diodes found in the literature [\[9\],](#page-8-4) [\[13\].](#page-8-4)

Finally, the influences of *N<sub>a<sup>r</sup>ic</sup>* and *l<sub>(PI<sup>n</sup>)*</sub> are represented (Fig. [2\)](#page-5-2). This Figure shows the TCC [\(17\)](#page-4-12) for five diodes</sub> as a function of *V* at 300 K where diff  $10^{20}$  at  $\cdot$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. *<u>T<sub>300 K</sub></u>*  $N_d =$   $\tau_{n,p} =$ 

10<sup>20</sup> to 10<sup>18</sup> at cm<sup>−3</sup>. Concerning *l<sub>p</sub><sub>n</sub>*, the TCC<sub>diff</sub> diminishes when *l<sub>p,n</sub>* decreases from 150 to 35 nm. This change is only (at · cm<sup>-3</sup>) In Fig. [2,](#page-5-2)  $N_{a,d}$  and  $l_{p,n}$  have a significant influence on the TCC $_{diff.}$  For the same  $V_a$ , the TCC $_{diff.}$  is higher when  $N_a$  is smaller. There is a rise of  $1\%$  · K<sup>-1</sup> when  $N_a$  decreases from seen when *V<sup>a</sup>* is lower than 0*.*4 V and can be correlated to cases where [\(33\)](#page-4-11) is not valid.

#### *B. Temperature Coefficient of Recombination Current*

In the case of  $J = J_{\text{rec}}$ , the TCC is expressed as follows:

$$
TCC_{\text{rec}} = \frac{1 \ dJ_{\text{rec}}}{J_{\text{rec}} \ dT}.
$$
 (34)

Then, using  $(10)$  and  $(11)$ ,  $(34)$  is discriminated into different physical parameters such as TCCdiff

$$
\text{TCC}_{\text{rec}} = 1 + \text{TCNI} - \text{TCF}_{\text{np}} - \text{TCT} - \frac{qV_a}{2kT^2} \tag{35}
$$

where TCNI, TCF*np* and TC*τ* are the temperature coefficients of  $n_i$ ,  $F_{np}$  and  $\tau$ .

If *p*+*n* or *n*<sup>+</sup> *p* diodes are considered, *J*rec−sat depends only on P or N region. For a  $n+p$  diode,  $(35)$  is approximated  $qV_a$ 

$$
TCC_{\text{rec}} = 1 + TCNI_p - TCF_{np} - TCT_p - \frac{2kT^2}{2kT^2}
$$
 (36)

where  $TCNI_p$  and  $TCT_p$  are the temperature coefficients of  $n_{\text{ip}}$  and  $\tau_p$ .

<span id="page-5-0"></span>*1) Temperature Coefficient of Concentration of Intrinsic Carriers:* The TCNI<sub>*n*,*p*</sub> is simply obtained by using  $(26)$ 

<span id="page-5-6"></span>
$$
TCNI_{n-p} = \frac{1}{n_{\text{in},p}} \frac{dn_{\text{in},p}}{dT} = \frac{TCNI_{n2,p2}}{2}.
$$
 (37)

 $(N_a \neq N_d)$  doping levels [\[18\].](#page-8-9) For a *n*  $\bar{p}$  diode,  $F_{np}$  is equal *2) Temperature Coefficient of Electric Field: Fnp* is different for diodes with symmetrical  $(N_a = N_d)$  or asymmetrical to

<span id="page-5-7"></span><span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
F_{np} = \frac{q N_a (2 V_{\text{bip}} - V_a)}{\epsilon_0 \epsilon_r} \tag{38}
$$

<span id="page-5-2"></span>where  $V_{\text{bip}}$  is the built-in potential  $(V)$  in the space charge region  $W_p$  [\[18\].](#page-8-9) The TCF<sub>*np*</sub> is obtained using [\(1\)](#page-1-0) and [\(38\)](#page-5-1)

$$
\text{TCF}_{np} = \frac{1 \, dF_{n,p}}{F_{n,p} \, d\,T} = \frac{1 \, d\,V_{\text{bip}}}{2V_{\text{bip}} - V_a \, d\,T} \tag{39}
$$

For diodes with symmetrical doping levels,  $2V_{\text{bip}}$  is equivalent to  $\Phi_0$  [\[18\].](#page-8-9) Therefore, TCF<sub>*np*</sub> is equal to

$$
\text{TCF}_{np} = \frac{1 \, dF_{n,p}}{F_{n,p} \, d\,T} = \frac{1 \, d\Phi_0}{2(\Phi_0 - V_a) \, d\,T}.\tag{40}
$$

that  $\tau_{n,p}$  is proportional to  $T^{\eta}$  where  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1.77$  between *3) Temperature Coefficient of Minority Carrier Lifetimes: τn,<sup>p</sup>* are calculated using the expressions of Law et al. [\[19\]. H](#page-8-20)ere, we choose to neglect the band-to-band Auger impact on the minority carrier lifetimes. Thus, the minority carrier lifetimes are only influenced by SRH mechanisms. Then, we assume 300 and 400 K [\[20\].](#page-8-12) This expression is obtained<br> $\frac{T_{300 \text{ K}}}{T_{\text{F}}} \frac{T}{\eta}$ 

<span id="page-5-8"></span>
$$
T_{n,p} = \frac{T_{300 \text{ K}}}{1 + \frac{N_T}{N_{\text{ref}}}} \frac{T}{300}^{\eta}
$$
 (41)

where  $\tau_{300K}$  is the low concentration lifetime of charges carriers at 300 K (*s*),  $N_{\text{ref}} = 10^{17}$  is the roll-off concentration ),  $N_T = N_{d,a}$  for N and P regions. Here, we choose to approximate *η* and fix its value to 1. Therefore, TC*τ<sup>n</sup>* and TC*τ<sup>p</sup>* are equal

$$
\text{TCT}_{n \cdot p} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{T}_{n,p}} \frac{d\mathbf{T}_{n,p}}{dT} = \frac{1}{T}.
$$
 (42)

<span id="page-5-3"></span>*4) Analysis of the Contributions to the Temperature Coefficient of Recombination Current:* Fig. [3 i](#page-6-0)llustrates the results of

<span id="page-5-5"></span>· 2*.*05% K−<sup>1</sup> . TCF<sub>*np*</sub> [\(39\)](#page-5-7) depends on  $V_a$  and raises from 0.73% K<sup>-1</sup> to · · about 8% K−<sup>1</sup> and 0*.*33% K−<sup>1</sup> respectively. Meanwhile, the the TCC<sub>rec</sub> of a  $n+p$  diode at 300 K. This one is similar to the diode D1. Here, the low concentration lifetime of charge carriers at 300 K,  $\tau_{300 \text{ K}}$  is equal to  $10^{-8}$  s. The TCCrec and its contributions [\(36\)](#page-5-4) are represented as a function of  $V_a$ . In Fig. [3,](#page-6-0) the TCC<sub>rec</sub> decreases from 7.7%  $\cdot$  K<sup>-1</sup> to (35) 3*.*4% · K−<sup>1</sup> when *V<sup>a</sup>* is ranging from 0*.*1 to 0*.*85 V. For higher voltages the  $TCC_{\text{rec}}$  increases from  $3.4\% \cdot K^{-1}$  to  $4\% \cdot K^{-1}$ . The TCNI<sub>p</sub> and the TC $\tau_0$  are independent of  $V_a$  [\(37\) a](#page-5-6)nd are

<span id="page-5-4"></span>Finally, the influence of  $N_a$  is represented in Fig. [4.](#page-6-1) It shows the TCC<sub>rec</sub> of three diodes at 300 K where  $l = 50$  nm,  $N_d = 10^{20}$  at · cm<sup>-3</sup> and  $\tau_{300 \text{ K}} = 10^{-8}$  s. As shown earlier in the diffusion regime, the TCCrec evolves also with the doping level (Fig. [4\)](#page-6-1). When  $N_a$  decreases from 10<sup>20</sup> to 10<sup>18</sup> at  $\cdot$  cm<sup>-3</sup>,



<span id="page-6-0"></span>Fig. 3. Evolution of the temperature coefficient of recombination current and its parameters as a function of the applied voltage for D1 at 300 K.



<span id="page-6-1"></span>Fig. 4. Evolution of the temperature coefficient of recombination current as a function of the applied voltage for D1, D4, and D5 at 300 K.



<span id="page-6-2"></span>Fig. 5. (a) *J*–*V* characteristics and (b) TCC for D1, D2, D5, D6, and D7 at 300 K.

the TCC<sub>rec</sub> increases of  $0.6\%$  K<sup>-1</sup>. Moreover, we note that the rise of the TCCrec at high voltages is only present for D1. We attribute this to the  $TCF_{np}$  [\(39\).](#page-5-7)

#### *C. TCC in p-n Diodes*

The expressions of TCC in different regimes of a p-n diode provide a complete form for the TCC. This form, independent of the studied regime, is obtained by using [\(2\)](#page-2-9)

$$
TCC = \frac{J_{\text{diff}}}{J} \times TCC \underset{\text{diff}}{\longrightarrow} + \frac{J_{\text{rec}}}{J} \times TCC \underset{\text{rec}}{\longrightarrow} . \tag{43}
$$

injection regime, when  $J = J_{\text{rec}}$ , the TCC decreases when  $V_a$  $=$  10<sup>20</sup> at cm<sup>-3</sup>. The  $\tau_{300 \text{ K}}$  = Fig. [5](#page-6-2) presents the  $J - V$  characteristics and the TCC of five diodes at 300 K where  $N_d$  = other parameters are displayed in the legend. In Fig. [5,](#page-6-2) the TCC always evolves in the same way with *Va*. In the low increases. Subsequently, when  $J_{\text{diff}}$  becomes close to  $J_{\text{rec}}$ , there is an elevation of the TCC when *V<sup>a</sup>* increases. Finally, when  $J = J<sub>diff</sub>$ , the TCC decreases again as  $V<sub>a</sub>$  increases. We also



<span id="page-6-3"></span>Fig. 6. (a) *J*–*V* characteristics and (b) TCC of D7 at 300–400 K.

define  $V_{a-\text{int}}$  which is the value of  $V_a$  where  $J_{\text{rec}} = J_{\text{diff}}$ . TCC<sub>diff</sub>. For instance,  $V_{a-\text{int}} = 0.38$  V for D1. The evolution For D1, it is represented by a dashed black line in Fig. [5.](#page-6-2)  $V_{a-\text{int}}$  illustrates the transition between the TCC<sub>rec</sub> and the of the TCC with the doping is consistent with the observations made earlier for the diffusion and recombination regimes. The doping also influences *Va*−int. In this case, *Va*−int increases from 0*.*38 to 0*.*74 V when the doping increases from 10<sup>18</sup> to  $10^{20}$  at · cm<sup>-3</sup>. The other parameters as  $\tau_{300}$  k and  $l_{n,p}$ only change the value of  $V_{a-\text{int}}$ . When the  $l_{n,p}$  varies from 50 to 150 nm, *Va*−int increases from 0*.*38 to 0*.*48 V. On the other hand, when  $\tau_{300}$  <sub>K</sub> raises from 10<sup>-9</sup> to 10<sup>-7</sup> s,  $V_{a-\text{int}}$ diminishes from 0*.*52 to 0*.*26 V. This last observation is due to the decrease of *J*rec when *τ*<sup>300</sup> <sup>K</sup> increases [\(11\)](#page-2-8).

50 nm,  $r_{300\,\text{K}} = 10^{-9} \text{ s}$  at 300–400 K. TCC of D7 ( $N_d$  = 10<sup>20</sup> at cm<sup>−3</sup>,  $N_a$  = 10<sup>18</sup> at cm<sup>−3</sup>,  $l_{n,p}$  = Finally, Fig. [6](#page-6-3) represents the *J* –*V* characteristics and the

In Fig. [6,](#page-6-3) the TCC decreases as *T* increases. The reduction depends on  $V_a$ . For instance, the TCC reduces from 5.9%  $\cdot$  K<sup>-1</sup> to 5.6% · K−<sup>1</sup> at 0.4 V and from 6.9% · K−<sup>1</sup> to 4.5% · K−<sup>1</sup> at 0.62 V. Moreover, we observe that *Va*−int also decreases as *T* increases. Therefore, at high temperatures, the regime where the TCCrec is dominant does not appear at low voltages.

#### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

and LT.TAUP  $=$  LT.TAUN  $=$  1. Other used parameters are TAUN =  $10^{-8}$  s, NSHRN = NSRHP =  $10^{17}$  at cm<sup>-3</sup> to [\(41\),](#page-5-8) some parameters of CONSRH are fixed: TAUP  $=$ and D5 where  $N_{a,d} = 10^{20}$  at  $\cdot$  cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $l_{n,p} = 50$  nm, and To validate the analytical model (AM) of the TCC of a p-n diode, our results are compared to those obtained with Silvaco 2-D TCAD simulations. To design the different diodes, TCAD simulations are carried out on Victory Device using BGN, MASETTTI, and CONSRH models [\[27\].](#page-8-21) To correspond the default parameters of the models BGN, CONSRH, and *MASSETTI* [\[27\].](#page-8-21) We study two diodes: D1 where  $N_a = 10^{18}$  $\alpha$  cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $N_d = 10^{20}$  at · cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $l_{n,p} = 50$  nm,  $\tau_{300 \text{ K}} = 10^{-8}$  s  $\tau_{300 \text{ K}} = 10^{-8}$  s. Fig[. 7](#page-7-2) presents a comparison between the *J* –*V* characteristics and the TCC of D1 and D8 obtained with the TCAD and our model at 300–400 K. In Fig. [7,](#page-7-2) there is a strong agreement between the model and TCAD simulations in the diffusion regime and the recombination regime. A discrepancy only appears in the transition between the two regimes. For D1, the maximal difference is about



<span id="page-7-2"></span>Fig. 7. (a) *J*–*V* characteristics and (b) TCC obtained with the AM and TCAD simulations for D1 and D5 at 300–400 K.

TABLE I PHYSICAL PARAMETERS OF THE DIODES

<span id="page-7-3"></span>

Diode	Average doping $(at \, cm^{-3})$	Silicon doping process	<b>Length</b> $(\mu m)$
Our work	$N_a = 9 \times 10^{18}$	Epitaxy	$t_p = 0.08$
[28]	$N_d = 6 \times 10^{19}$		$l_n = 0.05$
Fournol	$N_a = 4.6 \times 10^{19}$	Ion implantation	$l_p=5$
$et \ al \ [10]$	$N_d = 1 \times 10^{17}$		$t_n = 1$



<span id="page-7-4"></span>Fig. 8. (a) *J*–*V* characteristics and (b) TCC obtained with experimental results (EXP) and our AM at 300–400 K.

 $0.35\%$  K<sup>-1</sup> at 0.3 V and 300 K. This dispersion of the TCC in the transition regime is due to the approximations of *J*rec made in [\(9\)](#page-2-1)[–\(11\).](#page-2-8) In our model, *U* is uniform in *W* and is fixed thanks to  $F_{np}$  [\[18\].](#page-8-9) The TCAD does not use these approximations to express *U*.

for our diode  $[28]$  and  $4 \cdot 10^{-8}$  s for Fournol et al.  $[10]$ . There with the experimental results. The  $\tau_{300 \text{ K}}$  is about 5 4 10<sup>-9</sup> s Finally, we compare our AM to experimental results. In this article, the experimental results are derived from two diodes (Table [I\)](#page-7-3). The first set of results is derived from our work in [\[28\]](#page-8-22) using Keysight B1500 A Semiconductor Device Parameter Analyzer and a Semiautomated four-probe system Cascade Microtech SUMMIT 12 000 at 300–400 K. The second one is taken from Fournol et al. [\[10\] a](#page-8-23)t 300 K. The *J* –*V* characteristics and the TCC of these two diodes are compared to our model as a function of  $V_a$  at 300–400 K (Fig. [8\)](#page-7-4). In Fig. [8,](#page-7-4) we use the same lengths and average dopings as in Table [I.](#page-7-3) The  $\tau_{300\,\text{K}}$  is the only parameter used to fit the model is a great agreement between the model and experimental results. For our diode, there is a strong correlation between the model and the experimental results at 306–400 K in the diffusion regime. Outside this regime, there is a discrepancy

about 1% K<sup>-1</sup> between the model and the experimental results at 300 K. We attribute this difference to two approximations made in our model. First, we use Boltzmann statistics instead of Fermi statistics for our equations. Second, we considered average doping in P and N regions instead of using the real doping profile in these regions. It shows the limit of our model when the doping is not constant in the P and N regions. At higher voltages, the experimental results are lower than the model. We attribute this difference to the influence of *R<sup>s</sup>* which we neglected in our model. The disparity begins at 0.7 V at 400 K and  $0.8$  V at 346 K. In the case of Fournol et al.  $[10]$ , there is a superposition of the two curves when  $V_a$  is between 0*.*5 and 0*.*8 V. Similar to our diode, there is a difference at high voltages due to *Rs*.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

This article presents an AM of the TCC in p-n short diodes between 300 and 400 K. We have demonstrated that the TCC is highly dependent on the conduction in the diode (recombination or diffusion). At a given voltage, the TCC of the recombination current is lower than the TCC of the diffusion current. For that reason, there is an increase of the TCC as the applied voltage grows only at the transition between the two conduction modes. When one of the two conductions becomes negligible, the TCC always decreases with the applied voltage. The TCC is written as a sum of several physical and technological contributions. This formalism is very useful to analyze the weight of each contribution to the TCC. It is also useful to estimate the voltage where the transition between the two conduction modes (diffusion and recombination) occurs. This transition depends strongly on the doping concentration and the length of the N and P regions. In both conduction modes, the intrinsic carrier concentration  $n_{\text{ip,n}}$  remains the main contribution to the TCC. Our AM has been finally compared and validated with TCAD simulations and experimental results. In general, for both diffusion and recombination currents, the matching between the model and the TCAD is excellent. However, discrepancies appeared at 300 K which can be explained by the approximations made in our model in the recombination current. Moreover, there is also a strong correlation between the model and the experimental results for different diodes. However, the model shows limits when the doping is not perfectly constant in the P and N regions. Finally, the deviation observed at high voltages is simply due to our approximations of the series resistance. These comparisons confirm the ability of the proposed model to accurately express the TCC of short silicon p-n diodes under low-level injections and at 300–400 K.

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[1] T. Huynh, "Fundamentals of thermal sensors," in *Thermal Sensors: Principles and Applications for Semiconductor Industries*, J. C. Mohan Ed., 1st ed. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 2015, ch. 2, sec. 2.1, pp. 5–42.
- [2] P. W. Kruse and D. D. Skatrud, "Uncooled infrared imaging arrays and systems," in *Semiconductors and Metals*, vol. 47, R. K. Willardson and E. R. Weber Eds., 1st ed. San Diego, CA, USA: Academic Press, 1997.
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[3] J. Love, *Process Automation Handbook: A Guide to Theory and Practice*, vol. 42, 1st ed. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 2015.
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[4] C. Dames, "Resistance temperature detectors," in *Encyclopedia of Microfluidics and Nanofluidics*, L. Dongqing Ed., 1st ed. Boston, MA, USA: Springer, 2008, pp. 1782–1790.
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>[5] J. L. Tissot, "IR detection with uncooled sensors," *Infr. Phys. Technol.*, vol. 46, nos. 1–2, pp. 147–153, Dec. 2004, doi: [10.1016/j.infrared.2004.03.018.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.infrared.2004.03.018)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>[6] D. Fujisawa et al., "Development of new pixel structure for beyond 12-*µ*m pixel pitch SOI diode uncooled IRFPAs," *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 11407, Apr. 2020, Art. no. 114071A, doi: [10.1117/12.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.2558287) [2558287.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.2558287)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>[7] A. Albouy, "Etude et évaluation de dispositifs FD-SOI sur structures suspendues pour des applications dans la bolométrie infrarouge," Ph.D. dissertation, Opt. Photon. Division, CEA Leti, Grenoble-Alpes Univ., Grenoble, FR, USA, 2022.
- [8] S. Eminoglu, D. S. Tezcan, M. Y. Tanrikulu, and T. Akin, "Lowcost uncooled infrared detectors in CMOS process," *Sens. Actuators A, Phys.*, vol. 109, nos. 1–2, pp. 102–113, Dec. 2003, doi: [10.1016/j.sna.2003.08.013.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2003.08.013)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>[9] J. Blond, "High performance terahertz detector for passive imaging," Ph.D. dissertation, Opt. Photon. Division, CEA Leti, Grenoble-Alpes Univ., Grenoble, FR, USA, 2021. [Online]. Available: [https://www.theses.fr/2021GRALT0](http://www.theses.fr/2021GRALT062)62
- <span id="page-8-23"></span>[10] A. Fournol, J. Blond, A. Aliane, H. Kaya, J. Meilhan, and L. Dussopt, "Thermal sensing performances of thin-film lateral PiN diodes at 80 K and 300 K," in *Proc. IEEE 52nd Eur. Solid-State Device Res. Conf. (ESSDERC)*, Sep. 2022, pp. 285–288, doi: [10.1109/ESS-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ESSDERC55479.2022.9947136)[DERC55479.2022.9947136.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ESSDERC55479.2022.9947136)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>[11] M. Mansoor, I. Haneef, S. Akhtar, A. De Luca, and F. Udrea, "Silicon diode temperature sensors—A review of applications," *Sens. Actuators A, Phys.*, vol. 232, pp. 63–74, Aug. 2015, doi: [10.1016/j.sna.2015.04.022.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2015.04.022)
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>[12] J.-K. Kim and C.-H. Han, "A new uncooled thermal infrared detector using silicon diode," *Sens. Actuators A, Phys.*, vol. 89, nos. 1–2, pp. 22–27, Mar. 2001, doi: [10.1016/s0924-4247\(00\)00532-x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0924-4247(00)00532-x)
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>[1 3] E. Fuxa, "Etude théorique du dimensionnement d'une matrice bolométrique Au pas de 5 *µ*m, par report de dispositifs SOI sur structures suspendues pour des applications dans l'imagerie infrarouge non refroidi," Ph.D. dissertation, Opt. Photon. Division, CEA Leti, Grenoble-Alpes Univ., Grenoble, FR, USA, 2016.
- <span id="page-8-16"></span><span id="page-8-8"></span>[1 4] J. P. Colinge and C. A. Colinge, *Physics of Semiconductor Devices*, 1st ed., New York, NY, USA: Springer, 2002, ch. 1, pp. 1–137, doi: [10.1007/b117561.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/b117561)
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>[1 5] S. M. Sze and K. Ng. Kwok, *Physics of Semiconductor Devices*, 3rd ed. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley, 2006, pp. 1–196, doi: [10.1002/0470068329.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/0470068329)
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>[16] W. Shockley and W. T. Read, "Statistics of the recombinations of holes and electrons," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 87, pp. 835–842, Sep. 1952.
- [17] C.-T. Sah, R. Noyce, and W. Shockley, "Carrier generation and recombination in P-N junctions and P-N junction characteristics," *Proc. IRE*, vol. 45, no. 9, pp. 1228–1243, Sep. 1957, doi: [10.1109/JRPROC.1957.278528.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JRPROC.1957.278528)
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>[1 8] M. Shur, "Recombination current in forward-biased p-n junctions," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. 35, no. 9, pp. 1564–1565, Sep. 1988, doi: [10.1109/16.2595.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/16.2595)
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>[19] M. E. Law, E. Solley, M. Liang, and D. E. Burk, "Self-consistent model of minority-carrier lifetime, diffusion length, and mobility," *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 401–403, Aug. 1991, doi: [10.1109/55.119145.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/55.119145)
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>[20] D. B. M. Klaassen, "A unified mobility model for device simulation-II. Temperature dependence of carrier mobility and lifetime," *Solid-State Electron.*, vol. 35, no. 7, pp. 961–967, Jul. 1992, doi: [10.1016/0038-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1101(92)90326-8) [1101\(92\)90326-8.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1101(92)90326-8)
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>[21] F. J. Morin and J. P. Maita, "Electrical properties of silicon containing arsenic and boron," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 96, no. 1, pp. 28–35, Oct. 1954, doi: [10.1103/physrev.96.28.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/physrev.96.28)
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>[22] G. W. Ludwig and R. L. Watters, "Drift and conductivity mobility in silicon," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 101, no. 6, pp. 1699–1701, Mar. 1956, doi: [10.1103/physrev.101.1699.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/physrev.101.1699)
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>[23] G. Masetti, M. Severi, and S. Solmi, "Modeling of carrier mobility against carrier concentration in arsenic-, phosphorus-, and boron-doped silicon," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. ED-30, no. 7, pp. 764–769, Jul. 1983, doi: [10.1109/T-ED.1983.21207.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/T-ED.1983.21207)
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>[2 4] Y. P. Varshni, "Temperature dependence of the energy gap in semiconductors," *Physica*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 149–154, Jan. 1967, doi: [10.1016/0031-8914.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-8914)
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>[25] N. A. Gokcen, "Statistical thermodynamics of electrons and holes in semiconductors," *J. Chem. Phys.*, vol. 83, no. 3, pp. 1240–1245, Aug. 1985, doi: [10.1063/1.449439.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.449439)
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>[2 6] J. W. Slotboom, "The pn-product in silicon," *Solid State Electron.*, vol. 20, no. 4, Apr. 1977, pp. 279–283, doi: [10.1016/0038-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1101(77)90108-3) [1101\(77\)90108-3.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-1101(77)90108-3)
- <span id="page-8-22"></span><span id="page-8-21"></span>[2 7] *Victory Device—User Manuel*, Santa Clara, CA, USA: Silvaco, 2021, ch. 3, pp. 51–328.
- [28] R. M. R. Kubica et al., "Epitaxial p pn vertical short diodes for microbolometers," in *Proc. 10th EuroSOI-ULIS Conf.*, May 2024.