

Enrichment diagnostic by molecular spectroscopy

Laurent Bruel, Pierre-Anne Bausson, Pascal Lemaire, Agnes Pailloux

▶ To cite this version:

Laurent Bruel, Pierre-Anne Bausson, Pascal Lemaire, Agnes Pailloux. Enrichment diagnostic by molecular spectroscopy. SPLG 2023 - Fenómenos de Separación en Líquidos y Gases, Jun 2023, Buenos Aires, Argentina. cea-04654499

HAL Id: cea-04654499 https://cea.hal.science/cea-04654499v1

Submitted on 19 Jul2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



MICA16 – High resolution spectroscopy for isotopic ratio measurements

L. BRUEL - P.-A. BAUSSON P. LEMAIRE – A. PAILLOUX

The French Alternative Energies and Atomic Energy Commission (CEA) – www.cea.fr



High resolution spectroscopy for isotopic ratio measurements

- 1. General information on optical UF₆ detection
 - 1. UF₆ spectroscopy / Quantum Cascade Lasers (IES MirSense, R. Teissier)
 - 2. Molecular jet
- 2. Setup description
 - 1. Vacuum chamber layout and pulsed jet
 - 2. Optical beam folding
 - 3. Simulated measurements / 1f wavelength modulation
- 3. Measurements
 - 1. Temperature estimation
 - 2. Isotopic ratio measurement capability

Conclusion



1. Optical UF₆ detection



Cez

Band	Frequency	Isotopic
	(cm⁻¹)	shift (cm ⁻¹)
V ₁	667	0
V ₂	534	0
V ₃	626	0.65
V ₄	186	0.16
V ₅	200	0
V ₆	143	0

Isotopic shift maximum for v₃ band and maximal cross section coefficient



1.1 Vibrational spectra

Purely vibrational spectra

<u>cea</u>



1.1 Rotational spectra



(J: rotational quantum number)

Cez

Energy levels spherical rotator ($I_x = I_y = I_z = I$)

$$E_J = \frac{\vec{J}^2}{2I} = \frac{\hbar^2 J (J+1)}{2I}; J = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

<u>Rotational transitions</u> : $J \rightarrow J + \Delta J$; $\Delta J=0, \pm 1$

$$\sigma = \frac{E_{J+\Delta J} - E_J}{hc} = 2Bm ; B = \frac{h}{8\pi^2 cI}$$

$$m = -J ; \Delta J = -1 (branche P)$$

$$m = 0 ; \Delta J = 0 (branche Q)$$

$$PQR \text{ branches: } P : \Delta J = -1, Q : \Delta J = 0, R : \Delta J = +1$$

$$m = J + 1; \Delta J = +1 (branche R)$$

L. Bruel - P.A. Bausson - P. Lemaire - A. Pailloux; The French Alternative Energies and Atomic Energy Commission (CEA) – www.cea.fr

1.1 Combination of Vibrational & Rotational spectra



cea

1.2 Molecular pulsed jet



Pulsed jet symmetry analysed in a Michelson interferometer

- 1. the mixing tank is maintained at a total pressure of a few bars
- 2. the vacuum chamber is maintained at a high vacuum level
- 3. pulsed valve on the way
- 4. the slit is positioned in front of the electro-mechanical needle valve

Pulsed jet gas inlet

2. Key elements :



2 detectors HgCdTe @ 16 μm Cryostat (~100 K) for the QCL **QCL laser @ 16 µm** [627; 630] cm⁻¹; bandwidth < 30 MHz IES / Univ. Montpellier (R. Teissier)

2 IR detectors HgCdTe + cold filter: [15.490 µm, 16.429 µm]

Optical system with spherical mirrors

Pulsed valve + slit Monaris Lab. / Sorbonne Univ. / Paris (P. Asselin)

Acquisition / Current modulation (~>100 kHz) spectra simulation (HTDS code) ICB: Institut Carnot de Bourgogne/Dijon (V. Boudon)

Software : Labview for bench driving & 100 MHz acquisition Python for demodulation & analysis (CEA)



2.1 Optical bench layout



<u>cea</u>



2.1 Optical bench



cea



+ narrow cold <u>filter</u> : [15.490 μm, 16.429 μm]

L. Bruel - P.A. Bausson - P. Lemaire - A. Pailloux; The French Alternative Energies and Atomic Energy Commission (CEA) – www.cea.fr

2.2 Classical multi-pass cavity

Pre-adjusting the optical cavity with a visible red beam



cea

3 sheets :



Beams are crossing the jet in a reduced volume

Pulsed jet



2.3 Wavelength Scan versus intensity variation



3. Measurements

3.1 Raw measurement (without modulation) for LEU+, HALEU, HEU



Cez

3. Measurements

3.2 for low assay => Natural up to LEU

3.2.1 : Rotational temperature measurement molecule='235UF6' / mode maskage='theorie 5' / Trot=5K Fit automated by SVD analysis on noise simulation 10-5 10-10-11 (HTDS model + noise before modulation) 10-14 10-17 pruit=1.0e-03: //S.bruit=5.0e-03: T = 1.0 K => First Choice of the window for each line (3 windows) 10-20 MS.bruit=6.0e-03: T = 1.0 K 10 20 mode maskage='theorie 5 Use of : node maskage='theorie 8' MS.bruit=0e+00 MS.bruit=1e-04 MS.bruit=5e-04 235 branch Q MS.bruit=1e-03 MS.bruit=5e-03 MS.bruit=6e-03 and 0.6 238 lines R5 R6 0.4 628.280 628.285 628.290 628.295 628.300 628.305 628.310 cm⁻¹ - (extension du masque = 1.0e-03 cm⁻¹) 0.2 molecule='238UF6' / mode maskage='theorie 8' / Trot=5K 0.0 ruit=5.0e-03: T = 5.0 J 628.24 628.26 628.30 628.32 10-5 bruit=7.0e-03: T = 5.0 k 10ruit—8 0e-03: T — 5 0 k ruit=1.0e-02: T = 5.0 k 10^{-9} MS.bruit=2.0e-02: T = 2.0 K MS.bruit=5.0e-02; T = 2.0 K MS.bruit=0e+00 \Rightarrow Numerical maximum noise determination MS.bruit=5e-03 MS.bruit=6e-03 0.8 MS.bruit=7e-03 \rightarrow acceptable to assess temperature MS.bruit=8e-03 0.6 MS.bruit=9e-03 0.4 MS.bruit=1e-02 MS.bruit=2e-02 0.2 MS.bruit=5e-02 0.0 628.24 628.26 628.28 628.30 628.32

L. Bruel - P.A. Bausson - P. Lemaire - A. Pailloux; The French Alternative Energies and Atomic Energy Commission (CEA) – m⁻¹ - (extension du masque = 0.0e+00 cm⁻¹) www.cea.fr

Measurement signals with demodulation

Scan with modulation (example 400kHz / 50mV) on 0,7% 235 UF₆:



Cez

Improvements over existing items

Online automatic measurement :

- Small tank filled with UF_6 + He mixture under pressure
- Process execution
- Drain tank to chemical trap
- Technical sovereignty
 - Non cryogenic QCL (MirSense)
 - ► No international patent
- ► High measurement accuracy expected for mid assay :
 - ▶ full spectrum analysis with HTDS(235) & HTDS(238)

Conclusion



• Current measurement accuracy for low assay : $n(^{235}U) / n(^{238}U + ^{235}U) = (0,7 \pm 0,3) \%$ (=3.10⁻³ absolute)

Raw measurement without averaging and framing (commercial spectrometers do!)

▶ With averaging → expected gain in order of $<\sqrt{n}$

Improvements :

► Carrier gas optimization

Measurement averaging & calibration on reference standard

► No cryogenic QCL (MirSense)

► Volume reduction

Measurement accuracy expected for mid assay :

▶ Much less than 3.10⁻³ absolute...







Thanks

CEA MARCOULE

30207 Bagnols sur Cèze Cedex

France

laurent.bruel@cea.fr

CEA geographical locations



cea