

# Estimation of Correction factors for RadonEye Continuous Monitors

I. Dimitrova<sup>1</sup>, K. Mitev<sup>1</sup>, S. Georgiev<sup>1</sup>, V. Todorov<sup>1</sup>, Z. Daraktchieva<sup>2</sup>, C B Howarth<sup>2</sup>, J. M. Wasikiewicz<sup>2</sup>, B. Sabot<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski", Faculty of Physics, Sofia, 1164, Bulgaria

<sup>2</sup>UK Health Security Agency, CRCE, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0RQ, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup>Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, LIST, Laboratoire National Henri Becquerel (LNE-LNHB), F-91120 Palaiseau, France

# INTRODUCTION

Low-cost electronic radon monitors are becoming more widely used. Metrological assurance of such devices is necessary, especially when used for testing the non-exceedance of the recommended threshold (300 Bq.m<sup>-3</sup> in Europe). Even the same type of devices show large differences [1, 2] and therefore, individual calibration is recommended.

# MATERIALS

RadonEye Plus 2 (in short RadonEye, by RadonFTLab, South Korea) is an electronic

Table 1. Uncertainty budget of the estimated correction factors for the RadonEye monitors.

Quantity	Components	Method	Relative standard uncertainty, %
Referent C <sub>A</sub> by Atmos	Efficiency of referent monitor, including unc. of radon gas standard, calibration volume and statistical counting unc.	By standard calibration certificate, calculation of the volume unc. and statistics of measurements	2.7

radon monitor based on a pulsed-ionization chamber. An hour average is recorded in the memory every hour (a 60-min moving average can be reported over the WiFi every 10 min). The following specifications are given by the producer: Sensitivity:  $0.0135 \text{ cpm/(Bq·m^{-3})}; \text{Range: 7 to } 9,435 \text{ Bq·m^{-3}}; \text{Reproducibility } : < \pm 10\% \text{ at } 370 \text{ Bq·m^{-3}}$ and Accuracy :  $< \pm 10\%$ .

Previous studies indicate that the reproducibility of measurements with different RadonEyes is within 10%, but their individual accuracy can differ by more than 10% [2]. A non-linearity of the signal is observed [2, 3], but it is significant above 3500  $Bq \cdot m^{-3}$ . The background of new detectors is of the order of a few  $Bq \cdot m^{-3}$  [4]. Different devices saturate at different levels, but in some, the saturation leads to zero signal even below 6000 Bq·m<sup>-3</sup> [4]. The response time of RadonEyes is about 90 min for reaching 90% of their plateau [3]. They also have sensitivity to thoron [5].

**UKHSA radon chamber** is a walk-in chamber with a volume of 43 m<sup>3</sup>. Two primary instruments are used for control of the activity concentration in the chamber: Atmos 12 DPX and Alphaguard P2000. The instruments are regularly calibrated by radon sources traceable to primary standards supplied by LNHB. The measurement of the volume used during the instrument calibration is traceable to UK National Measurement Regulation Office.

**The correction factor** of a RadonEye monitor is defined as:

# RESULTS

The background signal of the RadonEye monitors was estimated by a 10-day exposure at nitrogen atmosphere at UKHSA. All 20 devices showed similar background of 2 - 3Bq.m<sup>-3</sup> with comparable standard deviation.

Two exposure modes were tried – at constant (Fig.1) and at slowly increasing activity concentration (Fig.2). For all 20 detectors the estimates of *R* (the slopes of the fits, e.g. Fig. 3-4) coincided for the two modes within the statistical uncertainty – see Fig. 5. An uncertainty budget is shown in Table 1.

RadonEye response	Statistical counting unc.	Uncertainty of the slope		
		of the linear fit, assuming		
		normal distribution of 2.3		
		the residuals		
Combined standard uncertainty of		(k=1)	3.5	
$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{A}, \text{ ref}} / \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{A}}$		(k=2	7.0	

# **STATISTICAL VARIATION OF RADONEYE READINGS**

The producer of RadonEYEs does not provide values for the measurement uncertainty or number of counts. A rough estimate could be made by using the declared sensitivity of k =0.0135 cpm/(Bq/m<sup>3</sup>). Neglecting the background uncertainty and assuming Poisson distribution of the counts one gets:  $\sigma_{stat}(C_A) = C_A \cdot \delta_{stat} = \frac{C_A}{\sqrt{N}} = \frac{C_A}{\sqrt{k \cdot C_A \cdot \Delta t}} = \sqrt{\frac{C_A}{k \cdot \Delta t}}$ 

where  $\delta_{stat}$  is the relative uncertainty of the counts N and  $\Delta t$  is the duration of the measurement interval (60 minutes).

A comparison with the standard deviation during exposures at constant activity concentration in the UKHSA and SU chambers is shown in Table 2.

		Relative statistical uncertainty of readings, %		
Table 2. Estimates of the relative statistical uncertainty of the RadonEye readings (60- minute measurement duration) made by the Eq. (2) and observed standard deviation in laboratory exposures.	Radon activity concentration, Bq·m-3	Using producer sensitivity and Poisson statistics	By statistics of a series of measurements in lab exposures (range for 20 devices)	
	300	6.4	8 - 10	
	350	5.9	7 - 10	
	450	5.2	6 - 10	
	900	3.7	5 - 6	
	1800	2.6	2.5 - 4	
	3000	2.0	3	



Fig 3. Atmos vs RadonEye average activity concentration for the interval in Fig.2. The error bars



 $R_i = \frac{C_{A,ref}}{C_{A,ref}}$ 

For all detectors the standard deviation during the laboratory exposures is slightly higher than the above estimate (see Table 2). This could be attributed to smaller sensitivity of the detectors, to non-stable activity in the chambers (less probably) or to the oversimplification of the estimate. Variations in homes are much higher even when intervals with relatively constant daily average are selected – see Figs 6-7. The laboratory exposures can help to estimate the statistical variation and study the variations due to external factors.



Fig.6. Time dependence of the readings of a RadonEye (RExx49) during laboratory exposure. The relative standard deviation at 1075 Bq/m<sup>3</sup> is 5 %.

### Fig.7. Time dependence of the readings of a RadonEye (RExx49 – same as in Fig.6) during exposure in a private home. A region with constant daily average is selected. The relative standard deviation at 974 Bq/m<sup>3</sup> is 14 %.

# CONCLUSIONS

1400

1200

1000

800

600

m3

Bq

 $\alpha$  For the 20 studied RadonEye Plus2 monitors the correction factors ranged from 0.70 to 1.06 with an average of 0.88 and a rel. st. dev. of 9.0 %.

### are the 1-sigma stat. uncertainty estimated as a st.dev.

### Fig.3. The error bars are the 1-sigma stat. uncertainty estimated as a st.dev.

divelina@phys.uni-sofia.bg



Fig.5. Comparison of the correction factors obtained by exposure at intervals with constant  $C_{A}$  (Fig.1) and at one interval with slowly increasing  $C_{A}$  (Fig. 2). The values coincide within the uncertainty of the slope of the fits (e.g. Figs 3-4), which for all RadonEyes is higher than 2 % at the level of 1-sigma.

- Exposures were at slowly increasing activity concentration as well as at constant. The results show that continuous monitors can be exposed under activity concentration that is changing very little for the duration of a single measurement and for the time of monitors' response.
- $\alpha$  The statistical variations of the RadonEye readings when exposed at constant activity concentration in the laboratory are slightly higher than it could be estimated from the producer specifications, e.g. 8 - 10 % at 300 Bq/m<sup>3</sup>.

[1] Z Daraktchieva, C B Howarth, J M Wasikiewicz, C A Miller, H Fonseca. Results from the 3-month comparison ... 9th International Conference on Protection against Radon at Home and at Work, Prague September 16 20, 2019

[2] I. Dimitrova, S. Georgiev, K. Mitev, V. Todorov, Ch. Dutsov, B. Sabot. Measurement 207, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2022.112409.

[3] T. Turtiainen, K. Kojo, J.-P. Laine, O. Holmgren, P. Kurttio. Radiat. Prot. Dosim. 196 (1–2) (2021) 44–52, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/rpd/ ncab127.

[4] K. Mitev, S. Georgiev, I. Dimitrova, V. Todorov, A. Popova, Ch. Dutsov, B. Sabot. J. Eur. Radon Assoc. (Dec. 2022) DOI: 10.35815/radon.v3.8844.

[5] T. Turtiainen, K. Mitev, R. Dehqanzada, O. Holmgren, S. Georgiev., J. Eur. Radon Assoc. 3 (2022) http://dx.doi.org/10.35815/radon.v3.7694

This