

Stability and dispersibility of microplastics in experimental exposure medium and their dimensional characterization by SMLS, SAXS, Raman microscopy, and SEM

Chardel Ompala, Jean-Philippe Renault, Olivier Taché, Émeline Cournède, Stéphanie Devineau, Carine Chivas-Joly

► To cite this version:

Chardel Ompala, Jean-Philippe Renault, Olivier Taché, Émeline Cournède, Stéphanie Devineau, et al.. Stability and dispersibility of microplastics in experimental exposure medium and their dimensional characterization by SMLS, SAXS, Raman microscopy, and SEM. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2024, 469, pp.134083. 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2024.134083. cea-04525155

HAL Id: cea-04525155 https://cea.hal.science/cea-04525155v1

Submitted on 4 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Stability and dispersibility of microplastics in experimental exposure						
2	medium and their dimensional characterization by SMLS, SAXS, Raman						
3	microscopy, and SEM						
4							
5	Chardel Ompala ^{a,b} , Jean-Philippe Renault ^a , Olivier Taché ^a , Émeline Cournède ^a , Stéphanie						
6	Devineau ^{a,c*} , Carine Chivas-Joly ^{b*}						
7	^a Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, NIMBE, 91191 Gif Sur Yvette, France						
8	^b Laboratoire National de métrologie et d'Essais, Nanometrology, CARMEN Platform, 29 avenue						
9	Hennequin, 78197 Trappes Cedex, France						
10	^c Université Paris Cité, CNRS, Unité de Biologie Fonctionnelle et Adaptative, 75013 Paris, France						
11							
12	Corresponding Authors						
13	* Carine Chivas-Joly - LNE, Laboratoire National de Métrologie et d'essais, CARMEN Platform, 29, avenue						
14	Roger Hennequin, 78197 Trappes, France. Email: carine.chivas-joly@lne.fr						

15 * Stéphanie Devineau – Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, NIMBE, 91191 Gif Sur Yvette, France. Email:

16 <u>stephanie.devineau@cea.fr</u>

17 Abstract

18 The plastic production that contributes to the global plastic reservoir presents a major challenge for 19 society in managing plastic waste and mitigating the environmental damage of microplastic (MP) 20 pollution. In the environment, the formation of biomolecular corona around MPs enhance the stability 21 of MP suspensions, influencing the bioavailability and toxicity of MPs. Essential physical properties 22 including MP stability, dispersibility, agglomeration, and dimensional size must be precisely defined 23 and measured in complex media taking into account the formation of a protein corona. Using static 24 multiple light scattering (SMLS), small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS), Raman microscopy, and 25 scanning electron microscopy (SEM), we measured the particle size, density, stability, and 26 agglomeration state of polyethylene and polypropylene MPs stabilized in aqueous suspension by BSA. 27 SEM analysis revealed the formation of nanoplastic debris as MP suspensions aged. Our results 28 suggest that protein adsorption favors the formation of secondary nanoplastics, potentially posing an 29 additional threat to ecosystems. This approach provides analytical methodologies by integrating SEM, 30 SMLS, and SAXS, for characterizing MP suspensions and highlights the effect of the protein corona 31 on size measurements of micro/nanoplastics. Our analysis demonstrates the detectability of secondary 32 nanoplastics by SEM, paving the way for monitoring and controlling human exposure.

33 Keywords

34 Microplastics, nanoplastics, size, dispersibility, polyethylene

35

36 **1. Introduction**

37 Global plastic production reached 500 million metric tons in 2020 and contributes to an annual plastic 38 waste generation of 400 million metric tons and the emission of 2.2 GtCO₂ [1]. With a doubling of the 39 plastic demand expected by 2050, the total plastic stocks in use, which already amount to 3.2 Gt of 40 plastic, may increase to 7.7 Gt by 2050 if no measures are taken to reduce this trend. Due to their high 41 stability and resistance to chemical, physical, and mechanical stresses, most plastics in use are not 42 biodegradable. Moreover, technical solutions are currently missing to recycle widely used plastics 43 such as polyethylene and polypropylene [2]. With the continued growth of the plastic sector and the 44 implementation of new production units worldwide, past and ongoing plastic production pose a major 45 challenge to our societies to manage plastic waste and to mitigate the environmental damages of 46 plastic pollution. As the impact of plastic pollution may extend well beyond the end of plastic release 47 in the environment, a global 'toxicity debt' associated with plastic pollution has emerged [3]. Lower 48 density polymers such as polyethylene (PE) and polypropylene (PP) represent between 25 and 40% of 49 plastic particles in surface waters, with their ultimate fate remaining unknown, whereas denser 50 polymers such as polyesters and acrylics are more prevalent in the deep-sea and sediments, which can 51 represent a potential sink for these plastics [8]. Interestingly, the size of buoyant plastic particles vary 52 in the water column, with smaller particles more susceptible to vertical transport than larger ones, a 53 process that can be favoured by biofouling [9]. As a result, the mass metrics of plastics (in g/m^3) decrease more rapidly than the number metrics (in number/m³) [10]. Fragmentation of plastic wastes 54 55 into microplastics (MPs) by weathering has generated an estimated 15 to 55 trillion MPs in the world's 56 oceans, putting marine organisms at risk [11]. The reduction of plastic size under the combined effects 57 of photodegradation by UV irradiation, shear forces, hydrolysis, and biodegradation favors the long-58 range transportation of MPs in water and in air, leading to global MP dissemination [12] [13]. 59 Together with polymer ageing, size reduction can favor the release of additives and the sorption of 60 chemical contaminants by increasing the specific surface area and changing the microstructure of the 61 particles [14]. Finally, smaller particles may cross biological barriers as evidenced by the discovery of 62 MPs in human blood and in human placenta [15] [16]. However, there is currently no agreement on 63 the size limits that define microplastics and nanoplastics. Indeed, the fragmentation of plastic waste 64 into debris results in a range of particle sizes, where plastic particles with external dimensions below a certain threshold (either 100 nm or 1 µm, depending on the definition used) transition from 65 66 microplastics to nanoplastics. These nanoplastics can be considered a specific category of 67 nanomaterials.

68 Considering their nature and shape, MPs are defined by ECHA as solid plastic particles composed of 69 mixtures of synthetic polymers and functional additives. The EFSA defined primary MPs as the 70 original manufactured products, such as polystyrene pellets, and secondary MPs as particles that 71 originate from fragmentation. A unique definition was provided for MPs based on their size as 'a 72 heterogeneous mixture of differently shaped materials referred to as fragments, spheroids, granules, 73 pellets, flakes or beads, in the range of 0.1 to 5,000 μ m' [17]. MPs have been recently integrated in 74 REACH regulation [18]. In the preparation of its Microplastic Act, the European Commission 75 suggested that the lower limit of 100 nm could be lowered to 1 nm to reduce human exposure to 76 nanoplastics [19] [20]. However, the 100-nm size limit will probably remain in the final Act until 77 technical and analytical solutions become available to measure MP concentration in this size range 78 [21]. The lack of analytic means to characterize MPs may thus prevent the protection of exposed 79 populations.

In the literature, several definitions have been proposed to conceptualize the presence of micro/nanoplastics and plastic debris in colloidal suspensions. Recently, Caldwell *et al.* [22] defined macro- (25-50 mm), meso- (5-25 mm), micro- (<5 mm), and nanoplastics ($<1 \mu$ m) following the recommendations of the Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection (GESAMP) [23]. While the European Commission defined nanomaterials as < 100 nm [24] [25], the OECD guidelines recently recommended to extend this size limit to $<1 \mu$ m [21]. Gigault *et al.* [26] proposed the following categories: nanoplastics $(1 \text{ nm} - 1 \mu\text{m})$ with a subdivision between nanoplastics (1 - 100 nm) and submicron plastics $(100 \text{ nm} - 1 \mu\text{m})$; microplastics $(1 \mu\text{m} - 1 \text{ mm})$; mesoplastics (1 - 10 mm); and macroplastics (> 1 cm). An overview of the size limits used in the literature to define micro/nanoplastics and disparities between recommendations from different regulatory bodies are presented in Table 1. There is no harmonized definition of microplastics. Thus,

91 the size limit between micro- and nanoplastics is not well defined and varies between studies.

92 **Table 1.** Disparities of the definitions of microplastics and nanoplastics proposed by the European

93 Commission, EFSA, GESAMP, and examples from the literature adapted from Hartmann et al. 2019

94 [26] and Caldwell *et al.* 2019 [22].

	Macro-	Meso-	Micro-	Sub-micron	Nano-	Ref.
Browne et al. (2007)	> 5 mm		1 μm – 1 mm	n.a.	< 1 µm	[27]
Moore et al. (2008)	> 5 mm		< 5 mm		[28]	
Ryan et al. (2009)	> 20 mm	2 – 20 mm	< 2 mm			[29]
Costa et al. (2010)	n.a.		< 1 mm			[30]
European Commission (2011, 2022)	n.a.			< 100 nm	[24] [25]	
Desforges et al. (2014)	n.	a.	$1 \ \mu m - 5 \ mm$		[31]	
Eriksen et al. (2014)	> 200 mm	4.76 – 200 mm	0.33 – 4.76 mm	n.a.		[7]
Wagner et al. (2014)	> 5 mm	2.5 – 5 mm	20 – 500 µm	n.a.	< 20 µm	[32]
Koelmans et al. (2015)	> 5 mm		1 μm – 5 mm	n.a.	1 – 100 nm	[33]
Andrady (2015)	25 mm – 1 m	1 – 25 mm	1 – 100 µm	n.a.	< 1 µm	[34]
Ivleva et al. (2017)	> 25 mm	5 – 25 mm	1 – 5 µm	0.1 – 1 µm	< 100 nm	[35]
Hernandez et al. (2019)	n.a.		1 – 200 µm	< 1 µm	< 100 nm	[20]
EFSA (2016)	n.a.		0.1 μm – 5 mm	n.a.	1 – 100 nm	[17]
Gigault et al. (2018)	> 10 mm	1 – 10 mm	1 μm – 1 mm	1 – 100 µm	1 – 100 nm	[26]
GESAMP (2019)	25 mm – 1 m	5 – 25 mm	< 5 mm	n.a.	< 1 µm	[23]
Caldwell et al. (2019)	> 20 mm	5 – 20 mm	1 μm – 5 mm	n.a.	n.a.	[22]
OECD (2022)	n.a.				< 1 µm	[21]

95

96 Toxicological studies require model or standard materials that are both reproducible and easy to 97 manipulate in order to investigate the effects of defined chemical composition, size, shape, and 98 concentration on the biological response at a molecular, cellular or organism level. Standardized test 99 nanomaterials in the form of stabilized colloidal suspensions with well-defined sizes provided by the 100 Joint Research Centre (JRC) have been extensively used in the field of nanotoxicology for toxicity 101 studies, interlaboratory comparisons, calibration of analytical devices, and development of bioassays 102 [36]. However, no such reference materials exist for MP suspensions, with the exception of103 functionalized polystyrene spheres.

104 The stabilization of MPs is not straightforward due to the high hydrophobicity of synthetic polymers 105 such as PE and PP and their low density resulting in fast or instant creaming of the particles from the 106 suspension. One common method consists in exposing particles to proteins, such as bovine serum 107 albumin (BSA), which results in the formation of a stabilizing protein corona. The exceptional coating 108 properties of BSA with respect to PE and PP MPs for the preparation of MP suspensions has been 109 demonstrated [37]. In the environment, a similar phenomenon spontaneously occurs in the presence of 110 biomolecules resulting in the formation of an eco-corona [38]. This biomolecular corona enhances the 111 transport of MPs by changing their mobility [39] and changes their bioavailability, uptake, and toxicity 112 with respect to living organisms [40]. However, the question of the state and the fate of MPs in such dispersions is far from being understood. Key physical properties – MP stability, dispersibility, 113 114 agglomeration, and dimensional size – must be defined and measured in complex media, such as the 115 ones used in toxicological studies, by taking into account the formation of both naturally or 116 intentionally developed corona. This knowledge will help to understand the behavior of 117 micro/nanoplastics in suspension, provide adapted analytical methods to characterize MPs and develop 118 reference materials for toxicity studies.

The stabilization of MPs in suspension by a biomolecular corona is essential to perform the dimensional characterization of MPs and to reproduce real-life scenarios of exposure. The dimensional measurement of particles in suspension is difficult if stability and dispersibility are not ensured. The main objectives of this study are to complete the dimensional characterization of MPs in suspension and to improve the current comprehension of the behavior and impact of the corona on size measurements of micro/nanoplastics. Our specific aims were as follows:

(i) to examine the role of the corona on the stability, dispersibility, and dimensional size of MPs;

(ii) to elucidate the influence of the corona on the fate of micro/nanoplastics and the possible release ofsecondary nanoplastics;

128 (iii) to explore the dimensional size analysis of micro/nanoplastics in suspension.

To better understand the interactive effects and mechanisms between the corona and MPs, we focused our study on two polyolefin MPs, polyethylene and polypropylene, which are widely present in MP pollution, and a model protein, BSA, which is compatible with toxicity studies. The dimensional size analysis of MPs in freshly prepared suspension was compared by SEM, SMLS, SAXS, and Raman microscopy as a function of BSA concentration. The MP suspensions were then aged for 7 days in shelf storage conditions to evaluate the stability, dispersibility, and size evolution of the MPs over time.

136 **2. Experimental**

137 The combination of existing methods and the development of novel methodologies are necessary to

fully characterize micro- and nano-plastic suspensions. PE and PP MPs with a corona formed by BSA
were characterized by SMLS, SAXS, SEM, Raman microscopy, and zetametry.

140 **2.1. Materials**

- 141 Polyethylene and polypropylene MPs are widely present in the environment [8] and as contaminants in
- 142 tap water [42]. PE and PP MPs were purchased from Clariant (Germany) in powder form (Ceridust
- 143 3610, Ceridust 60050M). The characterization of PE and PP MPs in powder form is provided in Table
- 144 2 [37]. Densities of 0.97 and 0.90 g.cm⁻³ for PE and PP respectively were indicated by the
- 145 manufacturer. No surface contaminants were detected by XPS [37].

Physical properties	Technique	PE	PP	
		Ceridust 3610	Ceridust 60050M	
Diameter (µm)	Optical	4.2	10.0	
	microscopy			
Surface specific area (m ² /g)	SAXS	2.5	1.1	
Number of crystalline	Raman	3	1	
phases	microscopy			

146 **Table 2.** Physical properties of PE and PP microplastics in powder form [37]

147

BSA was chosen as a model protein to stabilize PE and PP MPs in aqueous suspensions based on its 148 149 high affinity for MPs and its good surfactant properties [37] [43]. The isoelectric point of BSA is around 4.8 and its molecular mass is 66,450 Da. BSA has been widely used for the stabilization of 150 151 nanomaterials in the field of nanotoxicology [44], and is a good candidate for the preparation of 152 reference test materials for the study of MP toxicity. Lyophilized BSA was purchased from Sigma-153 Aldrich (France) (reference A7030), dissolved in milliQ water, dialyzed using a porous membrane with a cut off of 3.5 kDa (Spectra/Por) at 4°C, and centrifuged at 15,000 g for 5 minutes. BSA 154 solutions were freshly prepared before use, and the protein concentration was checked by UV 155 156 spectroscopy.

157 **2.2. Sample preparation**

158

2.2.1.Dispersion protocol and formation of a protein corona

159 The dispersion protocol of MPs in BSA solutions adapted from [37] is presented in Fig. 1. PE and PP

- 160 in powder form were weighed and dispersed in a BSA solution to obtain final MP suspensions with a
- 161 particle concentration of 10 g/L and BSA concentrations of 0.03, 0.09, 0.15, and 0.3 g/L. The colloidal
- 162 suspensions were mixed on a rotating wheel at 3 rpm at 20°C for 3 days.



163

Fig. 1. Schematic of the dispersion protocol of polyethylene and polypropylene MPs in BSA solutions, adapted from [37]. The final concentration of MPs was 10 g/L and the total concentration of BSA varied between 0.03 and 0.3 g/L to form a complete protein corona on PE and PP MPs with increasing concentrations of free BSA in solution.

- The adsorption isotherms of BSA on PE and PP microplastics adapted from [37] are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. S1. Note that the available surface is higher for PE than for PP MPs at a fixed MP mass concentration of 10 g/L (Table 2). The minimum concentration of BSA (0.03 g/L) was chosen so that
- a quasi-complete corona was formed on both PE and PP particles with a minimal amount of free BSA
- in solution. Higher BSA concentrations (0.09, 0.15, and 0.3 g/L) were chosen to provide an excess of
- 173 free BSA. For PE MPs, there was a 1-fold excess for a total BSA concentration of 0.09 g/L (40 mg
- adsorbed + 50 mg free in solution), a 3-fold excess at 0.15 g/L (40 mg adsorbed + 110 mg in solution),
- and a 6-fold excess at 0.3 g/L (40 mg adsorbed + 260 mg in solution).



176

177Fig. 2. Adsorption isotherms of BSA on PE MPs represented by the Langmuir model, adapted from178[37]. The corresponding concentrations of total BSA are shown by arrows for C(BSA) = 0.03 g/L and179C(BSA) = 0.09 g/L.

180 2.2.2. Ageing of MPs suspensions

181 The use of a purified protein such as BSA allowed us to follow the evolution of MP suspensions over longer periods. The PE and PP MP suspensions prepared as described above were aged for 7 days in 182 183 shelf-storage condition at 20°C without agitation to monitor the release of secondary nanoplastics in 184 the suspension. The aged suspensions were gently mixed for 10 min on a rotating wheel at 3 rpm before analysis by SMLS, SEM, and Raman microscopy. No bacterial contamination of MPs or MP 185 suspensions was observed. The analysis was performed for 4 different BSA concentrations. The same 186 187 suspensions were analyzed before and after ageing.

188 2.3. Characterization techniques and measurands

189 In this study, colloidal stability was evaluated by zeta potential and SMLS. The zeta potential of MP 190 suspensions was measured as a function of BSA concentration. The SMLS technique allows the 191 measurement of the Turbiscan Stability Index (TSI) and the creaming rate of MP dispersions, which is 192 a predictive indicator of colloidal stability. Size can be characterized by measuring the initial mean 193 size of particle by SMLS and SEM. Each technique has its own dimensional measurand (d_{SMLS} (t=0) 194 and d_{SEM} respectively) and thus brings complementary information about MP dispersion state, whereas 195 Raman provides a molecular fingerprint spectrum based on the polarizability of chemical bonds. 196 Raman microscopy can also provide *in situ* information on the constituent size of MPs in agglomerates 197 from colloidal suspensions. The Stokes diameter (d_{Stokes}) corresponding to the equivalent diameter 198 from the particle creaming rate also depends on the particle density, which was experimentally 199 determined by SAXS.

200 The definitions of the main terms based on ISO standards are provided here for clarity [41]. Stability is 201 defined as the evolution of the physico-chemical state of MPs over time, whereas dispersibility 202 represents the property of MPs to be uniformly distributed in a specific medium. An aggregate is 203 defined as an assemblage of particles in a rigid structure, usually formed in an irreversible process by 204 covalent bonds. By contrast, an agglomerate is a 'loosely coherent assembly of particles (or 205 aggregates) held together by weak physical interactions and with a total surface area virtually equal to 206 the sum of the surface areas of the constituent particles' [41].

207

2.3.1. Zeta Potential and pH

208 The zeta potential of MP suspensions was measured as a function of BSA concentration on a Malvern 209 Zetasizer at 25°C in water. Three measurements were done for each suspension. The zeta potential 210 was calculated using the Smoluchowski method. Data correspond to the mean and the standard 211 deviation of 3 independent series (n = 3). The pH of the suspensions was measured at room 212 temperature (20°). The pH value corresponds to the mean \pm standard deviation of 3 independent 213 replicates.

214 **2.3.2.** Static multiple light scattering (SMLS)

SMLS is a technique based on static light scattering dedicated to the measurement of the particle mean
size in the initial conditions, the creaming rate, and the macroscopic stability of colloidal suspensions
[45]. MP colloidal suspensions were analyzed by SMLS with a Turbiscan® Lab (Formulaction,
France).

219 Monochromatic infrared light of wavelength $\lambda = 880$ nm propagated in the MP suspension contained 220 in a cylindrical glass tube. Two detectors collected the light scattered by the medium. The first one is

placed at an angle of 0° to the source (i.e., opposite to the incident wave) to collect light transmitted by the medium. The other detector is placed at an angle of 135° to collect the backscattered signal (BS).

The highest intensity was measured for backscattered light with both PE and PP suspensions. The first scan measured at t = 0 s was used to evaluate the mean particle size by volume, d_{SMLS} (t = 0), assuming

the particle concentration is homogeneous in the suspension.

When particles rose towards the surface, a clarification zone formed at the bottom of the sample favoring the onset of a creaming peak. The peak width as a function of time is proportional to the creaming speed of MPs. It is thus possible to calculate the Stokes diameter, d_{Stokes} (t \neq 0), corresponding to a hydrodynamic diameter, from the particle creaming velocity. In addition to particle diameters, a stability index called TSI (Turbiscan Stability Index) was evaluated from the differences in backscattered intensity at a given time (eq. 1):

$$TSI(t) = \frac{1}{N_z} \sum_{t_i=1}^{t_{max}} \sum_{z_i=z_{min}}^{z_{max}} |BST(t_i, z_i) - BST(t_{i-1}, z_i)|$$
(1)

with t_{max} the time at which the *TSI* is calculated and BST the considered signal. Consequently, when the TSI is high, the colloidal suspension tends to be unstable. Each measurement is the average of 3 replicates. The mean values of TSI, d_{SMLS}, and d_{Stokes} correspond to the mean of 3 independent series (n = 3), except for aged suspensions (n = 1).

237

2.3.3. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

238 7.5 µL of BSA-PE or BSA-PP suspensions was deposited on a silicon substrate using a LabSpin 6 spin coater system (SUSS MicroTec) (Fig. 3). To improve the deposit of negatively charged particles, the 239 240 substrate was pre-coated with positively-charged polylysine (PLL) (Serva Electrophoresis, Thermo 241 Fischer scientific). The effect of PLL coating on the quality of MP deposits was evaluated by 242 comparing the SEM images of the same samples, with and without PLL coating of the Si wafer. MPs 243 were imaged using an SEM Zeiss ULTRA-Plus equipped with a Field Emission Gun (FEG) source 244 and in-Lens SE detector. The images were measured using secondary electrons collected by the In-245 Lens detector at 3 kV at a 3.0 mm working distance. The charge compensator system was used to blow 246 nitrogen gas near the samples and neutralize negative charges. The diameter equivalent to the 247 projected particle surface was measured using Platypus[™] software [46] assuming that particles were 248 spherical. We measured MP size by manually adjusting an ellipse on the entire particle using 249 Platypus[™] software (Fig. S2). Then the particle edge and corresponding area inside the edge were

- automatically calculated. The primary size measurement of MPs was done on a stack of 10 to 15 SEM
- 251 images to measure a minimum number of 300 particles. The expansion of the distribution σ was 252 measured in triplicate on MP suspensions with a 95% confidence interval.



253

Fig. 3. Schematic of the deposition of micro- and nano-plastic suspensions on silicon wafers by spin-

coating for SEM analysis after pre-coating by PLL.

According to our observations, PLL functionalization promoted immediate adhesion of nanoplastics (<
1 μm) to the silicon wafer.

The measurand used for the SEM corresponds to an area-equivalent diameter. For particles with irregular shapes, an equivalent diameter was calculated from a projected surface area assuming that the particles are spherical. The average diameter, D, corresponding to the average of area-equivalent diameters, and the population number-weighted size distribution were determined. The repeatability uncertainties related to the measurements (u_R) correspond to the standard deviation calculated on the average diameters of 3 independent replicates.

264 2.3.4. Raman microscopy

265 The agglomeration state of MP suspensions was investigated *in situ* by confocal Raman microscopy. 10 µL of PE and PP BSA-MPs suspensions was deposited between two fused silica substrates (ESCO 266 267 Optics, UK) and held in an AttoFluor Cell Chamber (Invitrogen, France) to limit particle motion 268 during imaging. Raman images were acquired on a WITec alpha300 RA Raman microscope (Oxford 269 Instruments) with a Zeiss 100x oil immersion objective (NA 1.3) using an excitation wavelength of 270 532 nm and a grating of 600 g/mm. Exposure time was set to 10 ms and laser power to 10 mW for a 271 spatial step of 0.3 µm. Raman spectra were treated using WITec Program 5 software to remove cosmic 272 rays and subtract baseline. Raman images were reconstructed using the True Component Analysis 273 toolbox.

274 The Raman images of PE were further segmented to measure the size of the constituent particles in the

agglomerates using the SAM segmentation model developed by META [47]. This approach allowed

276 good segmentation of the Raman images without pre-treatment and preliminary training of the model,

277 taking advantage of META SA-1B dataset.

The same experimental setup was used for Raman imaging and for recording movies of MP suspensions with a 100x oil immersion objective and white light illumination in reflection mode. Movies were recorded at 25 frames per second for 10 s. Bright field images were analyzed with Fiji software (version 1.53t) [48].

282

2.3.5. Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS)

283 The particle density of PE and PP MPs were measured in suspension by SAXS using a Xeuss 3.0 284 instrument (Xenocs, France) equipped with a copper source and an automated sampler (BioCube with 285 robotic arm). Possible limitations in SAXS analysis of MP suspensions are the creaming of the 286 particles during measurement, MP loss by adhesion to surfaces, and MP agglomeration. To avoid 287 these effects, we used automated sampling and we chose MP suspensions that contained an excess of BSA to favor passivation of the tubing and surfaces. MP suspensions were analyzed under vacuum in 288 289 glass capillary tubes (d = 1.5 mm) with a sample-to-detector distance of 1.8 m and a counting time of 290 1,800 s. Data correspond to the average of 3 measurements. Data were corrected for the capillary 291 thickness and the water signal was subtracted. The density of PE MPs was calculated using the plateau 292 value of the Porod limits assuming that particles have a smooth surface.

293 The quantity of interfaces in the suspension were measured by the Porod's limit (eq. 2) [49]:

$$\lim_{q \to \infty} Iq^4 = 2\pi \times \Delta \rho^2 \times \sum_{Porod} VSSA \tag{2}$$

where $\lim_{q\to\infty} Iq^4$ is the Porod's limit in cm⁻¹.Å⁻⁴, I(q) the intensity of scattered light, $\Delta\rho^2$ the contrast in scattering length density (SLD) which depends on the density of the particle ρ_{MP} and the density of the solvant ρ_{H2O} , and $\sum_{Porod} VSSA$ the amount of interfaces per volume unit of dispersion in cm⁻¹. No difference in SLD was observed between BSA solutions and water in these conditions.

299 $\sum_{Porod} VSSA$ is related to the amount of interface per mass of particle $\sum_{Porod} SSA$ in m².g⁻¹ (eq. 3) :

300
$$\sum_{Porod} VSSA = \sum_{Porod} SSA \times C \tag{3}$$

301 where C is the mass concentration of particle in solution.

302 $\sum_{Porod} SSA$ in m².g⁻¹ was calculated as the ratio of the surface S of a particle by its mass m_{MP} 303 (expressed as the product of its volume V and density ρ_{MP}). S and V are then expressed as a function 304 of the particle diameter d_{Porod} to obtain eq. 4:

305
$$\sum_{Porod} SSA = \frac{S}{\rho_{MP} \times V} = \frac{6}{\rho_{MP} \times d_{Porod}}$$
(4)

306

307 2.4. Statistical analysis

308 SEM, SMLS and RAMAN data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation of 3 replicates. Statistical 309 analysis was performed with Prism GraphPad Software (v.10.0). Data were tested for normality with a 310 Shapiro-Wilk test and analyzed by multiple two-way ANOVA with a post-hoc Tukey test. P-values < 311 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

312 The repeatability uncertainties of SEM measurements were determined following the methodology 313 developed by Bouzakher-Ghomrasni et al [50]. Briefly, the estimation of the law parameters that best 314 fits the SEM data was carried out using R-Studio software. The size distribution was estimated using 315 the Maximum-Likelihood estimation statistical method. Prior to the calculation, a choice has to be 316 made regarding the theoretical probability distribution that is suitable to represent the size distribution. 317 Four theoretical models: Gaussian, Lognormal, Gaussian mixture, and Lognormal mixture, were used 318 to draw the histograms. The probability density function (PFD) of the 4 models was determined for the 319 measured sizes of the single particles. To discriminate between the 4 models, we used the Akaike 320 Information Criteria (AIC) and the Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC). The best model is the one that 321 has a minimum AIC and/or BIC, with the BIC criterion preferred in case of conflict. Lognormal 322 distribution was selected for the size measurement of MPs by SEM based on this criteria.

323

324 **3. Results**

325 **3.1. Stability of MP suspensions with a BSA corona**

326 PE and PP MPs were stabilized in aqueous solution by the formation of a BSA protein corona, 327 following previous work by Schvartz et al. [37]. The formation of a protein corona is necessary to 328 obtain MP suspensions, since no particles were detected in solution without protein. First, the stability 329 of MP dispersions in BSA solutions was assessed by SMLS to evaluate the effect of the concentration 330 of free BSA in solution. The Turbiscan Stability Index (TSI), which provides macroscopic information 331 on the stability of colloidal suspensions, was measured after 12 h without mixing (Fig. 4a). No 332 significant change of the TSI was observed by increasing the concentration of BSA from 0.03 to 0.3 333 g/L corresponding to a complete protein corona with no excess to a 6-fold excess of free BSA, 334 respectively (Fig. 2, see 2.2.1). A similar effect was observed for PP MP suspensions (Fig. S3). The 335 creaming rate Vc of PE MPs did not vary significantly with BSA concentration, with values between 2.2 and 2.6 mm/h (Fig. 4b). PE MP suspensions with and without an excess of free BSA were aged for 336 7 days (shelf storage at 20°C) to investigate the release of secondary particles and/or aggregation over 337 time. After ageing in shelf-storage conditions for 7 days and gentle mixing for 10 min, the TSI and 338 339 creaming rate of the colloidal suspensions were measured again. No increase in TSI and Vc was 340 observed, confirming the good stabilization of PE MPs by BSA.

- 341 While the increase in free BSA had no impact on the stability of PE and PP MPs, a higher TSI (TSI >
- 342 32) and creaming rate (Vc > 10 mm/h) were observed for PP compared to PE MPs (Fig. S3). The fast
- 343 creaming of PP MPs was illustrated by pictures taken after 1 and 3 h resting in all the conditions tested
- 344 (Fig. S4). The lower stability of PP MPs suspensions over time despite the formation of a complete
- protein corona could be explained by the larger size of PP particles compared to PE, as reported by
- 346 Schvartz *et al.* [37]. We note that in the case of PP MPs, there was a 9-fold excess of free BSA at a

concentration of 0.3 g/L (Fig. S1).

The surface charge of MPs with a BSA corona was evaluated by zeta potential measurement. PE MPs were negatively charged ($\zeta = -35.9 \pm 1.5 \text{ mV}$) with no significant change with increasing BSA concentration (Fig. S5). A negative zeta potential was also measured for PP MPs covered by negatively-charged BSA ($\zeta = -48.4 \pm 1.4 \text{ mV}$). The pH of the suspensions did not change significantly with BSA concentration (pH = 7.4 ± 0.1) (Table S1). This is in agreement with the stabilization of hydrophobic MPs by adsorbed BSA that acts as a charged surfactant [43] and improves the hydrophilicity of the particles.





Fig. 4. Stability of PE MP suspensions with a protein corona measured by SMLS as a function of BSA concentration. (a) TSI (Turbiscan Stability Index) measured at 12 h, and (b) Creaming rate V_c (mm/h) of fresh MP suspensions (grey bars) and MP suspensions aged for 7 days (pink bars). C(MP) = 10 g/L. Values correspond to the mean and standard deviations of 3 independent series (n = 3), except for the aged series (n = 1).

361 **3.2. Determination of MP dimensional size and dispersibility by SEM**

The utilization of SEM for characterizing nanomaterials in environmental or biological samples has become a standard practice in the field of nanotoxicology [51] [52]. However, this technique is still seldom used efficiently for micro- and nanoplastics as it requires specific operating conditions to prevent any fusion or bubbling of the polymer under the electron beam. In this study, we recorded high quality SEM images of MPs without carbon coating by using a charge compensator system. The SEM images of PE MPs deposited on PLL-treated and untreated Si wafers as a function of the concentration of BSA in the suspension are shown in Fig. 5.

The projected diameter of primary particles, either isolated or in agglomerates/aggregates, was measured after segmentation using PLATYPUS software, as illustrated in Fig. S2. The mean diameter of PE MPs in suspension, $d_{SEM} = 4.7 \pm 0.6 \mu m$ for condition without excess BSA in solution ($C_{BSA} =$ 0.03 g/L) (Fig. 6a) is in good agreement with the diameter of 4.2 µm measured on PE in powder form (Table 2) [37], confirming that drying of MPs suspensions on a Si substrate did not alter the size measurement. Good agreement was also obtained for PP MP suspensions with a mean diameter of the primary particles $d_{SEM} = 11 \pm 2 \mu m$ compared to a diameter of 10 µm in powder form (Fig. S6-S7).



Fig. 5. SEM images of PE MPs deposited on silicon wafers as a function of BSA concentration in the
suspension. The conditions presented are (a) no surface treatment, (b) PLL-coated Si, (c) ageing of PE

379 MPs suspension for 7 days. The series numbers are indicated. Scale bar 20 μ m.





Fig. 6. Dimensional size of primary particles of PE MPs with a BSA corona measured by SEM. (a) Average SEM diameter as a function of BSA concentration for fresh suspensions (grey) and suspensions aged for 7 days (pink). n = 3 for fresh MPs suspensions, n = 1 for aged MPs suspensions. (b) Representative image of an agglomerate/aggregate of PE MPs (C_{BSA} = 0.09 g/L). Scale bar 2 µm.

385 The dispersibility of MPs can be qualitatively estimated by the homogeneity and the surface density of 386 the isolated particles of the deposits, reflecting the propensity of primary particles to remain dispersed 387 and to preserve their original size in suspension. To estimate the homogeneity of the deposit, the 388 expansion of the distribution σ was determined for each MP suspension. The smaller the expansion of 389 the distribution, the narrower is the particle size distribution. σ was estimated with a 95% confidence 390 interval to 0.3 and 0.4 for PE and PP MPs, respectively. For $\sigma > 0.3$, the equivalent diameter becomes 391 larger due to particle agglomeration/aggregation in suspension. To illustrate this effect, a 392 representative SEM image of an aggregate/agglomerate of PE MPs is shown in Fig. 6b. However, few 393 large objects were observed in all the conditions tested. The number of aggregates/agglomerates was 394 estimated to less than 5% of the total number of objects observed in SEM deposits. The 395 characterization of the aggregates/agglomerates by SMLS and Raman imaging will be presented in the 396 next sections.

397 Additionally, as same-charge particles and substrates may impact particle deposition, we tested the surface treatment of Si wafers by positively-charged PLL before spin-coating of negatively-charged 398 399 MPs suspensions (Fig. 3). No significant change of the dispersibility or primary particle diameter was 400 observed with and without PLL-surface treatment, suggesting that this protocol was not necessary for 401 the deposition of MPs with a BSA corona in the micron-size range. Besides, no significant difference 402 in d_{SEM} was observed for PE and PP MPs as a function of BSA concentration. Overall, the MP 403 diameter and the dispersibility of PE and PP MPs in suspension appeared unchanged with the increase 404 of BSA concentrations.

405 **3.3. Determination of MP density by SAXS**

The density of MPs is an important factor for the calculation of the Stokes diameter by SMLS, which is calculated from the particle creaming velocity [45]. We developed a methodology based on SAXS to precisely determine the MP density in suspension. The advantages of this approach are to take into account the biomolecular corona and to provide a measurement of the density with a better accuracy compared to other techniques. The density of PP MPs could not be measured by SAXS due to the fast creaming and lower stability of the suspensions.

412 Porod's limit depends on the intensity of scattered light I(q), the amount of interfaces, and the contrast 413 in scattering length density (SLD) (eq. 2). The SLD depends on the electron density, hence on the 414 atomic composition and on the material density. The atomic composition of PE MPs is known, but not 415 the particle density. The density of the particles can be calculated using the plateau value of the Porod's limits, as shown by Garcia-Diez et al [53, 54]. The particle diameter d_{Porod} can be directly 416 associated to the measured Porod's limit $\lim_{q\to\infty} Iq^4$ and particle density ρ_{MP} in g.cm⁻³ (eq. 3, eq. 4) 417 (see Methods section for detailed calculation). The calculated particle Porod diameter d_{Porod} as a 418 function of the particle density ρ_{MP} for PE MPs was plotted for the Porod's limit values of 4.6 x 10⁻¹⁰ 419 cm^{-1} .A⁻⁴ measured for C(BSA) = 0.06 g/L (Fig. 7). We can notice that our approach is simpler than the 420 421 one described in the literature, as the particle diameter was determined by SEM independently from 422 the SAXS measurements. The uncertainty on the density is given here by the minimum and maximum Porod's limits values of 3.6 x 10⁻¹⁰ cm⁻¹.A⁻⁴ and 5.9 x 10⁻¹⁰ cm⁻¹.A⁻⁴ respectively measured on 3 423 independent replicates. We chose MP suspensions that contained an excess of BSA to favor the 424 425 passivation of the tubing by BSA during automated sampling and to limit MP loss and/or aggregation. 426 This is shown by the deviation observed at C(BSA) = 0.03 g/L, while good consistency was observed 427 at higher BSA concentrations (Fig. S8). d_{Porod} was assimilated to d_{SEM} as both techniques are sensitive 428 to the electron density of the material. Using d_{SEM} in each condition and the observation that the 429 particles are relatively smooth based on SEM imaging of the surface (Fig. S9), we could deduce a density range for PE MPs in suspension corresponding to an average density $\rho_{MP} = 0.90 \pm 0.01$. The 430 particle density measured by SAXS significantly differ from the value of 0.97 g.cm⁻³ given by the 431 manufacturer for the HDPE source material (without indication on the method used). Our SAXS data 432 indicate that the density of PE particles is closer to 0.90 g.cm⁻³, suggesting that the MPs are composed 433 of LDPE rather than HDPE. The difference between our results and the provider's ones may be due to 434 435 the processing of an initially high density raw material into microparticles that may decrease the particle density, possibly through the increase of the amorphous or low cristallinity phases identified 436 437 by Raman microscopy [37].



438

Fig. 7. Calculated MP diameter as a function of MP density determined from the Porod's limits measured by SAXS for PE MPs suspensions with C(BSA) = 0.06 g/L. The average of 3 independent replicates is shown (green line). Minimum and maximum values of Porod's limits are represented by the grey area.

A difference of < 1% of the density was obtained between C(BSA) = 0.06 g/L and C(BSA) = 0.3 g/L 443 444 (Fig. S8). This difference can be explained by the uncertainty in the concentration of MP suspensions 445 used to calculate the amount of interface. Indeed, the mass of a complete BSA corona represents only 0.2% (0.01 pg per particle) and 0.05% (0.3 pg per particles) of the mass of PE and PP particles, 446 447 respectively. The effect of the BSA corona on the measurement of MP density in suspension is thus 448 negligible. Note that this measurement cannot be performed without a protein corona to stabilize MPs 449 in aqueous suspension. By combining SEM and SAXS, this methodology allows the measurement of 450 the density of MPs in suspension with a sensitivity that is difficult to achieve by other techniques.

451 **3.4. Determination of MP dimensional size and Stokes diameter by SMLS**

452 Two diameters can be deduced from the SMLS measurements: d_{SMLS} (t = 0) and d_{Stokes} (t \neq 0) (Fig. 8, 453 Fig. S10). d_{SMLS} was measured at the initial time point from the light scattering properties of the 454 particle suspension, while d_{Stokes} was measured during the evolution of the dispersion with time based 455 on its hydrodynamic properties [45]. The mean diameters of PE MPs measured in situ by SMLS and 456 *ex situ* by SEM were $d_{SMLS} = 3.6 \pm 0.6 \mu m$ and $d_{SEM} = 4.7 \pm 0.6 \mu m$ for C(BSA) = 0.03 g/L. The mean 457 diameters of PP MPs were $d_{SMLS} = 10.1 \pm 1.3 \ \mu m$ and $d_{SEM} = 10.9 \pm 2.2 \ \mu m$ for the same conditions. 458 The difference between d_{SEM} and d_{SMLS} may arise from the anisotropy of the particles as d_{SEM} is related to a projected surface whereas d_{SMLS} is associated with volumetric information. No significant 459 460 difference in d_{SMLS} was observed with different concentrations of free BSA in solution. Moreover, no 461 effect of ageing was observed in any of the conditions tested (Fig. 8a), confirming the good stability of 462 PE MP suspensions after storage and re-suspension.



463

464 **Fig. 8**. *In situ* dimensional size analysis of PE MP suspensions by SMLS as a function of BSA 465 concentration. (a) Average SMLS diameter measured at t = 0 and (b) average Stokes diameter 466 measured at $t \neq 0$ for fresh suspensions (grey) and suspensions aged for 7 days (pink). n = 3 for fresh 467 MP suspensions, n = 1 for aged MPs suspensions. The average SEM diameter measured for C(BSA) = 468 0.03 g/L is reported on the dotted blue line.

469 The Stokes diameter of PE MPs, $d_{\text{Stokes}} = 6.7 \pm 0.3 \,\mu\text{m}$ for C(BSA) = 0.03 g/L, is not significantly 470 different from d_{SMLS} and d_{SEM} , except for the highest concentrations of BSA (Fig. 8b). This result 471 suggests that agglomeration or aggregation took place in the suspension during the experimental time 472 of 24 hours. Interestingly, this effect did not hamper the stability of the dispersion as evidenced by the 473 low creaming rate, suggesting that MP density is the main determinant of particle creaming. In the 474 case of PP MPs, d_{Stokes} was equivalent to d_{SEM} and d_{SMLS}. However, fast creaming suggests that the 475 largest particles have been removed from the suspension by creaming during the experimental time 476 (Fig. S10b). Note that stability, dispersibility, and the average diameter of PE MPs did not change 477 after 7 days of ageing in shelf-storage conditions.

478 **3.5.** *In situ* analysis of particle-particle interactions by Raman microscopy

479 To further investigate the formation and nature of MP agglomerates or aggregates in the suspensions, 480 *in situ* observation of particle assemblages with sizes $> 15 \mu m$ was conducted by Raman microscopy. 481 Large objects were observed in PE suspensions only, while only single particles were detected in PP 482 suspensions in the same experimental conditions. The Raman images revealed a high degree of 483 hydration with a layer of water separating loosely bound particles that assembled in dynamic 484 agglomerates (Fig. 9a). The deconvoluted Raman spectra are shown in Fig. S11. The same 485 organization of hydrated agglomerates was observed for all BSA concentrations (Fig. S12). The horizontal stray lines visible on some Raman images are due to the binding or detachment of particles 486 487 to/from the agglomerate during scanning. This was confirmed by the observation of highly dynamic 488 particle-particle interactions by video-microscopy in reflection mode (Movies S1-S4). Overall, these 489 results show that PE MPs do not form tight and covalently-bound aggregates, but highly dynamic and 490 hydrated agglomerates that can appear and disappear through transient particle-particle interactions 491 inside the suspension.



492

Fig. 9. *In situ* analysis of PE MPs agglomerates by Raman microscopy. (a) From left to right: bright field image, Raman image of PE, Raman image of H₂O, combined Raman image of PE (red) and H₂O (blue). A small and large agglomerate are shown for the same concentration C(BSA) = 0.3 g/L. Scale bar 6 µm (top) and 10 µm (bottom). White arrows indicate the binding or detachment of particles to/from the agglomerate during scanning. (b) Raman images of PE (red) from dry deposits on Si wafers from PE suspensions with C(BSA) = 0.03 g/L (top) and 0.3 g/L (bottom). Scale bar 10 µm.

The size of the primary particles in the agglomerate can be estimated after segmentation of the Raman image of PE (Fig. S13) and compared to the diameter of single PE particles from the dry deposits (Fig. 9b). The size analysis was not performed on single particles in suspension due to Brownian motion that impairs Raman imaging. The size analysis of the primary particles in the agglomerates *in situ* compared to particles from dry deposits confirmed that the agglomerates are composed of primary particles of the same size, excluding the trapping of smaller or larger MPs in the agglomerates.

505 3.6. Identification of secondary nanoplastics released during ageing

506 The analysis of PE MP suspensions by SEM revealed the presence of nanoplastics ($d < 1 \mu m$) in 507 suspensions aged for 7 days without agitation (Fig. 10). Particles with irregular shapes and a diameter 508 between 200 and 500 nm were clearly identified for $C(BSA) \ge 0.03$ g/L. The fraction of nanoplastics 509 is significant and could be underestimated due to the loss and/or the agglomeration of the smallest 510 particles during deposition. By contrast, no nanoplastics were observed in fresh suspensions or in PP 511 MP suspensions aged under the same conditions. Our results clearly suggest that the ageing of PE MPs 512 with an excess of BSA can lead to the release of nanoplastics debris. BSA acts as a surfactant and can 513 favor the dissociation of nanoplastics through the reorganization of the polymer chains, followed by 514 the stabilization of the released particles in suspension by fast protein adsorption that increases the

- 515 surface hydrophilicity and charge. The difference in the behavior of PP and PE particles may be
- related to the polycrystalline nature of PE chains that forms subdomains within each particle [37].



517

518 **Fig. 10.** Identification of nanoplastics from PE MP suspensions by SEM after ageing of the 519 suspensions for 7 days in BSA solution. (a) SEM images and (b) size analysis of PE nanoplastics as a 520 function of BSA concentration. A total number n = 45 nanoplastic particles were analyzed. Scale bar 521 200 nm.

These observations confirm that SEM is a method of choice for the dimensional size characterization of micro- and nano-plastics and provides evidence of the influence of the corona on the release of nanoplastic debris from soft polymeric microparticles in suspension.

525

526 **4. Discussion**

527 4.1. Influence of the corona on the dimensional analysis of MPs

The analysis of MP suspensions is not possible without a biocorona because the MPs do not mix or enter the aqueous solution due to their high hydrophobicity. Indeed, no particles were detected in the suspension without BSA. The deposition of micro or nanoplastics on SEM substrate for single particle analysis also requires the stabilization of MPs in suspension. Similarly, the measurement of MP density by SAXS is not possible on the dry powder.

533 The adsorption of BSA on nanomaterials has been widely studied in the literature, for example by Norde et al on silica nanoparticles [55], by Yoon et al and Baier et al on polystyrene microspheres [56, 534 535 57] to cite just a few examples. Depending on surface chemistry, surface charge, and particle size, 536 both enthalpic (ΔH_{ads}) and entropic (T. ΔS_{ads}) contributions can drive BSA adsorption through 537 electrostatic interactions, hydrogen bonding, protein conformational changes (ΔH_{ads}), or hydrophobic interactions mediated by the desorption of water molecules (T. ΔS_{ads}). Notably, the adsorption of 538 539 negatively charged BSA on negatively charged particles, including functionalized polystyrene 540 microspheres, was reported [57]. In this case, clusters of basic residues such as arginine may facilitate electrostatic interactions and H bonding [58], while hydrophobic interactions predominate at lowsurface charge for functionalized polystyrene [56].

543 More recently, the adsorption of BSA on PVC MPs [59] and polyolefin MPs [37] was investigated by 544 isothermal calorimetry (ITC), vibrational spectroscopy, and synchrotron-radiation deep UV 545 fluorescence microscopy. The thermodynamic analysis showed that electrostatic interactions and 546 conformation changes play a role in the adsorption of BSA on PVC [59]. Label-free imaging of 547 adsorbed BSA by deep UV fluorescence microscopy showed that the protein quality, expressed in terms of surface coverage and homogeneity at a single particle level, was different between PE and PP 548 549 MPs. BSA formed a more homogeneous and denser protein corona on PP MPs compared to PE [37]. 550 This is in agreement with the higher amount of adsorbed BSA on PP MPs $(1.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ mg/m}^2 \text{ for PE}, 2.6 \text{ mg/m}^2)$ 551 $\pm 0.2 \text{ mg/m}^2$ for PP) [37].

Based on the mass of adsorbed BSA, we can estimate the number of adsorbed molecules to 1.4×10^{16} 552 and 2.4 x10¹⁶ molecules/m² for PE and PP MPs respectively. The hydrodynamic radius of BSA in pure 553 554 water is 3.9 nm [60]. Assuming a spherical protein, the available surface for one BSA molecule within 555 the protein corona can be estimated to 73 nm² on PE MPs and 42 nm² on PP MPs. By comparison, the 556 average surface of one BSA molecule in water corresponds to 48 nm². This calculation confirms that 557 BSA forms a fully packed corona on PP and a looser corona on PE MPs that can be evaluated to one 558 and up to 2 layers of adsorbed proteins. It is in agreement with the study of Yoon et al, who calculated 559 an adsorbed layer thickness of BSA on functionalized microspheres of 4.6 to 7.1 nm corresponding to 560 a monolayer of side-on or end-on BSA molecules [56]. Thermodynamic analysis and simulations of 561 protein adsorption [61] could provide information on the driving forces and molecular mechanisms of 562 protein-MP interactions in future work.

563 In this study, we developed methodologies to characterize the dimensional size of PE and PP MPs 564 with a BSA corona and investigate the effect of the protein corona on the size analysis of micro- and 565 nano-plastic suspensions. The diameters of MPs in suspension d_{SEM}, d_{SMLS} and d_{Stokes} measured by 566 SEM and SMLS using the density values determined by SAXS are compared in Fig. 11 and Fig. S14. 567 The full data sets are presented in Table S2-S3. The diameters measured by SEM and SMLS (t = 0 s)568 are very similar, which suggests that suspensions are mostly composed of constitutive particles with 569 few agglomerates. The increase of free BSA concentration in solution does not significantly change 570 the average SEM and SMLS diameters of PE and PP MPs, suggesting that the initial stabilization of 571 microplastics in suspension by the protein corona is not altered. At higher BSA concentrations, 572 variability in size analysis between the different techniques is observed, suggesting differential 573 behaviors and the onset of instability in the suspensions. While a complete corona is formed in all the 574 conditions, these results suggest that the excess of free BSA may favor agglomeration, particle-particle 575 interactions and dynamics in the suspension, a process in which a transient, low affinity, soft protein 576 corona may be involved [61]. It is worth noting that the dimensional analysis of MP suspensions also 577 provides the opportunity to measure density through its integration with specific surface 578 measurements, such as SAXS. The density of PE MPs in suspension was measured by combining 579 SEM to determine the average particle diameter and SAXS to determine the Porod's limit of the 580 particles.



581

Fig. 11. Comparison of SEM, SMLS, and Stokes diameters of PE MPs measured in the experimental medium as a function of BSA concentration (n = 3) * p < 0.05, n.s. non-significant.

584 In toxicological studies, stability and dispersibility of MPs are key parameters that govern the 585 exposure of cells in vitro and organisms in vivo. The number and size of particles that come into 586 contact with cells/organisms depend on the creaming or sedimentation, and aggregation or fragmentation during exposure. The control of MP stability and dispersibility in suspension by BSA 587 588 combined with their dimensional characterization provides a useful framework to evaluate the dose of 589 exposure. Note that this study was performed at 20°C with purified BSA. The same methodology 590 could be applied to the study of the size, stability, and dispersibility of various types of MPs in other 591 media at lower or higher temperature.

592 **4.2.** Release of secondary nanoplastics and potential consequences

593 In the recent report 'Nanoplastics – State of knowledge and environmental and human health impacts' 594 mandated by the European Commission [62], the occurrence and the formation of secondary 595 nanoplastics were discussed. Environmental studies revealed the presence of nano-sized (< 1 μ m) 596 plastics debris generated from secondary sources in the atmosphere and in aquatic environments [63, 597 64]. The identified sources of secondary nanoplastics are physical abrasion [64], degradation 598 processes, and ageing [65]. Nowack et al showed that textiles are a significant source of nanoplastics 599 released by abrasion during washing [66]. The release of nanoplastic debris by the ageing of PE MPs 600 in suspension identified in this study reminds us that PE and PP are indeed called plastics because of 601 their mechanical plasticity. This property originates from the mobility of the polymeric chains inside 602 the material. As a consequence, MPs are dynamic materials that are prone to evolution under 603 mechanical, thermal, or chemical stress. The observation of secondary nanoplastics formed in aged 604 suspensions without heating, photodegradation, or mechanical stress suggests that an equilibrium 605 between a high and a low specific surface area form of the same material is observed here. According 606 to this model, the excess of BSA would shift the equilibrium towards the high specific surface area 607 form. Thus, the fraction released from plastics through erosion of their surfaces produce fragments of microplastics (d > 1 μ m), but also particles smaller than 1 μ m in size, which we define here as 608 609 nanoplastics ($d = 1 \text{ nm} - 1 \mu m$). To date, the characterization of nanoplastics remains challenging. The 610 number of nanoplastic fragments increased with increasing exposure time of MPs in BSA media 611 without mechanical stress (Fig. 10b). A variety of plastic fragment sizes, morphologies and shapes 612 were found in our experiments. Debris released in the suspension could be described as small and 613 irregular fragments and cracked particles (Fig. 12b). PE MPs produced a higher number of small 614 fragments including nanoplastics (200 - 500 nm) compared to PP MPs under the same experimental 615 conditions. Our observations detailed in this study reveal the potential release of nanoplastics if we 616 consider a size threshold of 1 µm for this type of nanomaterial. The release of nanoplastics could result 617 from a continuous mechanism in spatiotemporally dynamic media with specific physico-chemical 618 properties, including ionic strength gradients [67, 68]. Bonacci et al suggested that solid-solid contacts 619 play a role in the ageing mechanisms of dense colloidal suspensions at rest [69]. This contact-620 controlled ageing may concern a whole range of materials, including MPs.





Fig. 12. Illustration of the release of secondary (nano)-plastics after ageing in BSA solution: (a) PE
MPs before ageing showing irregular shapes and surface defects, (b) PE MPs and NPs after ageing.

The release of nanoplastics is also critical in terms of pollution as an increase in specific surface area may favor the release of toxic additives or the adsorption of toxic contaminants such as perfluoroalkyls (PFAS) [70]. As nanoplastics are stabilized by a corona, the sorption of contaminants will also depend on the exchange between adsorbed proteins and free contaminants that directly depends on the affinity of the contaminant for the surface and the concentration of the contaminant in 629 the environment. As proteins are adsorbed in a non-covalent way with a moderate affinity, the 630 exchange of small hydrophobic pollutants, such as bisphenols or perfluoroalkyls, which could further 631 enter the polymeric structure by diffusion, may occur.

632 Compared to extensive studies on abiotic mechanisms, few occurrences of MP fragmentation by biotic processes have been reported. Yet, the fragmentation of ingested MPs into nanoplastics was evidenced 633 in aquatic organisms, such as in the Antarctic krill Euphausia superba [71] and in the freshwater 634 635 amphipod Gammarus duebeni [72] that were able to rapidly fragment PE MPs. The formation of a 636 biocorona has also been shown to favour particle size reduction through dissolution of cobalt 637 nanoparticles in biological media [73]. We further demonstrated in this study that nanoplastic debris 638 were formed in PE MP suspensions without mechanical stress, a process that is favoured by the 639 formation of a biocorona on MPs. Together, these results suggest that biotic processes that are not 640 limited to specific enzymatic degradation of synthetic polymers may play a crucial and understudied 641 role in the fate of MPs in organisms.

642 **4.3. Methodologies for the metrology of MP suspensions**

Despite the identification of many emerging sources of nanoplastics in the environment, there is a gap between the ability to sample, isolate, detect nanoplastics on one hand, and the possibility to characterize and quantify nanoplastics on the other hand [22, 74]. Nanoplastics size is below the instrumental limit of detection of infrared spectroscopy and coherent Raman microscopy. For this reason, few studies have provided images of nanoplastics. It is therefore crucial to explore new methodologies to analyze nanoplastics.

649 SEM, TEM, FTIR and Raman microscopy, and to a lesser extent fluorescence microscopy, have been 650 used for the identification and size measurement of microplastics [62]. Quian et al recently 651 demonstrated the detection and identification of nanoplastics using Stimulated Raman Scattering [75]. 652 This approach has significantly lifted the limitation of Raman microscopy in terms of spatial 653 resolution and sensitivity for the detection of nanoplastics. DLS (Dynamic Light Scattering) is the 654 reference method for the study of nanoparticles stability in suspension, although the size range of the 655 instrument and the low stability of MPs in aqueous suspensions make it more difficult to apply to MPs 656 compared to manufactured nanomaterials [74]. In this study, we developed the analysis of MP size and 657 stability using SMLS and the analysis of MP density in suspension by SAXS. Lee et al used SMLS to 658 monitor the sedimentation of polystyrene microspheres in methanol during synthesis [76]. This 659 technique was also used to study the aggregation of core-shell polystyrene-iron oxide nanoparticles in 660 Pickering emulsions by Han et al [77]. There are few reports on the analysis of other types of MPs by 661 SMLS to our knowledge. Even if SEM is common for imaging MPs, the European Commission report 662 on nanoplastics [62] underlined the current limitations of SEM analysis due to quantification 663 difficulties, sample preparation, and charging effect. We solved these problems by improving sample 664 deposition on silicon wafers and preventing charging effects by flowing nitrogen gas near the surface to neutralize the charge effect. Using this method, we successfully measured nanoplastics size by SEM with high spatial resolution. The PE and PP MPs chosen in this study have a spherical shape, even if they are not perfect spheres (such as polystyrene microspheres). It would be interesting to extend the dimensional analysis of MPs by SEM to MP fibres to characterize the release of synthetic textile fibres of various lengths and diameters in the environment [78].

670 Despite the formation of a protein corona on PP MPs, the fast creaming rate of the particles and the

671 lower stability of the suspensions prevented the accurate measurement of PP density by SAXS. We

also observed differences between replicates that suggests that instability was triggered by other
mechanisms. Future work could further investigate the molecular mechanisms that drive such
instability, depending on the exposure medium and experimental conditions.

675 **4.4. Towards a unified definition of nanoplastics**

676 Plastic pollution takes three forms, depending on the size of the pieces of plastic found in the 677 environment. These are macroplastics, microplastics, and nanoplastics. Various definitions are 678 employed to conceptualize the presence of micro/nanoplastics and debris in marine, freshwater, sludge 679 and environmental ecosystems as well as in food or drinking water. Significant differences exist in the 680 definition on size. The lack of global standardization of nomenclature, harmonization of test methods 681 for dimensional characterization, and reference materials complicates the situation. The 'grey' area 682 regarding the class boundary between micro and nanoplastics is undoubtedly due to the high level of 683 equipment required to observe nanoplastics. Collaboration between research teams, involving 684 nanoplastics specialists, could provide an initial solution.

- It is necessary to employ multiple methods for detection and characterization, and methods available to date for micro and nanoplastics may not be appropriate to detect and quantify nanoplastics [22, 35, 74]. Moreover, standardization of size class limits is needed to facilitate the exchange of results between teams, enabling comparisons that will facilitate the understanding of the phenomena. SEM is a method of choice that provides data on nanoplastic morphology, information that is still missing. Additionally, this method can be combined with chemical analysis strategies by EDX (Energy-Dispersive X-ray spectroscopy) and EBSD (Electron Backscatter Diffraction) [26].
- 692

693 **5. Conclusions**

Using SEM, SMLS, SAXS, and Raman microscopy, we developed methodologies for the dimensional size analysis and the physicochemical characterization of PE and PP MPs with a BSA corona in suspension. We completed the dimensional characterization of microplastics stabilized by a protein corona, including the measurement of Stokes diameter and particle density. The analysis of the effect of the biocorona on the dimensional characterization of MPs revealed that ageing of MPs in suspensions resulted in the release of secondary nanoplastics. SEM can be used for *ex situ* dimensional analysis of micro- and nano-plastics, with the advantage of existing normalized methods for particle 701 analysis, where it showed good agreement with size analysis of suspensions by SMLS. MP density 702 could be determined in suspension with high sensitivity by SEM combined with SAXS to determine 703 the particle diameter and Porod's limits respectively. Moreover, SEM was successfully applied to the 704 detection of nanoplastic fractions that would have been obscured by other methods due to the small 705 fraction of nanoplastics. The identification of nanoplastic debris in MP suspensions suggests that an excess of BSA favors the release of nanoplastics with a high specific surface area, potentially posing 706 707 an additional threat to ecosystems. This observation strongly supports the adoption of a unified 708 definition of nanoplastics and the inclusion of nanoplastics with a maximum size threshold of 1 µm in 709 future regulations. In addition to SEM, we can also foresee the possible use of EDX and EBSD for 710 their characterization.

711 Declaration of Competing Interest

712 The authors declare no competing interest.

713 Data availability

The datasets produced in this study are available from the corresponding authors upon request.

715 Acknowledgements

- 716 This work received state support managed by the French National Research Agency (ANR) under the
- 717 France 2030 framework (FastNano, ANR-22-PEXD-0006). The authors acknowledge the Raman-
- 718 AFM Platform (MPQ, Université Paris Cité) funded by ANR-18-IDEX-0001, IdEx Université Paris
- 719 Cité. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and
- 720 Innovation Program under the grant agreement No. 965367 (PlasticsFate).

721 **References**

- 722
 1.
 Stegmann, P., et al., Plastic futures and their CO2 emissions. Nature, 2022. 612(7939): p.

 723
 272-276.
- Babaremu, K.O., et al., *Sustainable plastic waste management in a circular economy*.
 Heliyon, 2022. 8(7): p. e09984.
- MacLeod, M., et al., *The global threat from plastic pollution*. Science, 2021. **373**(6550): p. 61 65.
- Carpenter, E.J., et al., *Polystyrene Spherules in Coastal Waters*. Science, 1972. **178**(4062): p. 749-750.
- 730 5. Carpenter, E.J. and K.L. Smith, *Plastics on the Sargasso Sea Surface*. Science, 1972.
 731 175(4027): p. 1240-1241.
- Venrick, E.L., et al., *Man-made Objects on the Surface of the Central North Pacific Ocean*.
 Nature, 1973. 241(5387): p. 271-271.
- 7. Eriksen, M., et al., *Plastic Pollution in the World's Oceans: More than 5 Trillion Plastic*735 *Pieces Weighing over 250,000 Tons Afloat at Sea.* PLOS ONE, 2014. 9(12): p. e111913.
- 8. Erni-Cassola, G., et al., *Distribution of plastic polymer types in the marine environment; A meta-analysis.* J Hazard Mater, 2019. **369**: p. 691-698.
- Kooi, M., et al., Ups and Downs in the Ocean: Effects of Biofouling on Vertical Transport of Microplastics. Environmental Science & Technology, 2017. 51(14): p. 7963-7971.

- Reisser, J., et al., *The vertical distribution of buoyant plastics at sea: an observational study in the North Atlantic Gyre.* Biogeosciences, 2015. **12**(4): p. 1249-1256.
- 74211.Tanaka, K. and H. Takada, Microplastic fragments and microbeads in digestive tracts of743planktivorous fish from urban coastal waters. Scientific Reports, 2016. 6(1): p. 34351.
- T44 12. Zhang, Y., et al., *Importance of atmospheric transport for microplastics deposited in remote areas*. Environmental Pollution, 2019. 254: p. 112953.
- Ross, P.S., et al., *Pervasive distribution of polyester fibres in the Arctic Ocean is driven by Atlantic inputs.* Nature Communications, 2021. 12(1): p. 106.
- Bhagat, K., et al., *Aging of microplastics increases their adsorption affinity towards organic contaminants*. Chemosphere, 2022. 298: p. 134238.
- 15. Leslie, H.A., et al., *Discovery and quantification of plastic particle pollution in human blood*.
 Environment International, 2022. 163: p. 107199.
- Ragusa, A., et al., *Plasticenta: First evidence of microplastics in human placenta*.
 Environment International, 2021. 146: p. 106274.
- 17. EFSA CONTAM Panel, Presence of microplastics and nanoplastics in food, with particular
 focus on seafood. EFSA Journal, 2016. 14(6): p. e04501.
- 75618.Commission, E., COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) 2023/2055 of 25 September 2023757amending Annex XVII to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of758the Council concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of759Chemicals (REACH) as regards synthetic polymer microparticles, in L 238/67. 2023.
- 19. European Commission, Annex XVII to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European
 Parliament and of the Council concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and
 Restriction of Chemicals (REACH) as regards synthetic polymer microparticles. Official
 Journal, 2022.
- Hernandez, L.M., et al., *Plastic Teabags Release Billions of Microparticles and Nanoparticles into Tea*. Environ Sci Technol, 2019. 53(21): p. 12300-12310.
- 766 21. OECD, Test No. 125: Nanomaterial Particle Size and Size Distribution of Nanomaterials.
 767 2022.
- Caldwell, J., et al., *The micro-, submicron-, and nanoplastic hunt: A review of detection methods for plastic particles.* Chemosphere, 2022. 293: p. 133514.
- GESAMP, Guidelines or the monitoring and assessment of plastic litter and microplastics in the ocean, P.J. Kershaw, A. Turra, and F. Galgani, Editors. 2019. p. 130.
- European Commission, *Commission Recommendation of 18 October 2011 on the definition of nanomaterial Text with EEA relevance*. Official Journal, 2011(275): p. 38-40.
- European Commission, Commission Recommendation of 10 June 2022 on the definition of nanomaterial C/2022/3689. Official Journal, 2022.
- 776 26. Gigault, J., et al., *Current opinion: What is a nanoplastic?* Environ Pollut, 2018. 235: p. 1030-1034.
- Browne, M.A., T. Galloway, and R. Thompson, *Microplastic--an emerging contaminant of potential concern?* Integr Environ Assess Manag, 2007. 3(4): p. 559-61.
- Moore, C.J., Synthetic polymers in the marine environment: A rapidly increasing, long-term threat. Environmental Research, 2008. 108(2): p. 131-139.
- Ryan, P.G., et al., *Monitoring the abundance of plastic debris in the marine environment*.
 Royal Society, 2009. **354**(1526): p. 1999-2012.
- 78430.Costa, M.F., et al., On the importance of size of plastic fragments and pellets on the785strandline: a snapshot of a Brazilian beach. Environ Monit Assess, 2010. 168(1-4): p. 299-786304.
- 787 31. Desforges, J.P., et al., *Widespread distribution of microplastics in subsurface seawater in the* 788 *NE Pacific Ocean.* Mar Pollut Bull, 2014. **79**(1-2): p. 94-9.
- Wagner, M., et al., *Microplastics in freshwater ecosystems: what we know and what we need to know*. Environmental Sciences Europe, 2014. 26(1): p. 12.
- 33. Koelmans, A.A., et al., *Microplastic as a Vector for Chemicals in the Aquatic Environment: Critical Review and Model-Supported Reinterpretation of Empirical Studies.* Environmental
 Science & Technology, 2016. 50(7): p. 3315-3326.

- Andrady, A.L., *Persistence of Plastic Litter in the Oceans*, in *Marine Anthropogenic Litter*,
 M. Bergmann, L. Gutow, and M. Klages, Editors. 2015, Springer International Publishing:
 Cham. p. 57-72.
- 797 35. Ivleva, N.P., A.C. Wiesheu, and R. Niessner, *Microplastic in Aquatic Ecosystems*.
 798 Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 2017. 56(7): p. 1720-1739.
- 79936.Martin, S., et al., Pre-validation of a reporter gene assay for oxidative stress for the rapid800screening of nanobiomaterials. Frontiers in Toxicology, 2022. 4.
- Schvartz, M., et al., *Role of the Protein Corona in the Colloidal Behavior of Microplastics*.
 Langmuir, 2023. **39**(12): p. 4291-4303.
- 803 38. Fadare, O.O., et al., *Eco-Corona vs Protein Corona: Effects of Humic Substances on Corona*804 *Formation and Nanoplastic Particle Toxicity in Daphnia magna*. Environmental Science &
 805 Technology, 2020. 54(13): p. 8001-8009.
- 39. Zhu, M., et al., *Eco-Corona Dictates Mobility of Nanoplastics in Saturated Porous Media:* 7 *The Critical Role of Preferential Binding of Macromolecules*. Environmental Science &
 7 Technology, 2023. 57(1): p. 331-339.
- 40. Cao, J., et al., *Coronas of micro/nano plastics: a key determinant in their risk assessments.*Particle and Fibre Toxicology, 2022. 19(1): p. 55.
- 41. ISO, Dispersibility of solid particles into a liquid (ISO/TS 22107:2021). 2021: p. 22.
- 42. Tong, H., et al., Occurrence and identification of microplastics in tap water from China.
 813 Chemosphere, 2020. 252: p. 126493.
- 43. Thi-Yen Le, T., et al., On the equilibrium surface tension of aqueous protein solutions –
 Bovine serum albumin. Journal of Molecular Liquids, 2022. 347: p. 118305.
- 816 44. Nanogenotox, Standard operating procedures for characterization of the selected
 817 manufactured nanomaterials types, E. Commission, Editor. 2011. p. 80.
- 818 45. Sentis, M.P.L., et al., *Numerical prediction of long-term stability of liquid formulations*819 *determined by visual observation and static multiple light scattering*. Colloids and Surfaces A:
 820 Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 2023. 663: p. 131070.
- 46. Foucher, J., et al., *The coming of age of the first hybrid metrology software platform dedicated to nanotechnologies (Conference Presentation)*. SPIE Advanced Lithography. Vol. 10145.
 2017: SPIE.
- 47. Kirillov, A., et al., *Segment Anything*. arXiv, 2023. 2304.02643.
- 48. Schindelin, J., et al., *Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis.* Nature
 Methods, 2012. 9(7): p. 676-682.
- 49. Feigin, L.A. and D.I. Svergun, *Structure Analysis by Small-Angle X-Ray and Neutron*828 *Scattering*. 1987: Springer New York, NY.
- 829 50. Bouzakher-Ghomrasni, N., et al., *Dimensional measurement of TiO2 (Nano) particles by*830 SAXS and SEM in powder form. Talanta, 2021. 234: p. 122619.
- 831 51. Hondow, N., et al., *STEM mode in the SEM: A practical tool for nanotoxicology*.
 832 Nanotoxicology, 2011. 5(2): p. 215-227.
- 833 52. Roth, G.A., N.M. Neu-Baker, and S.A. Brenner, *SEM analysis of particle size during*834 *conventional treatment of CMP process wastewater*. Science of the Total Environment, 2015.
 835 508: p. 1-6.
- S3. Garcia-Diez, R., et al., Simultaneous size and density determination of polymeric colloids by
 continuous contrast variation in small angle X-ray scattering. European Polymer Journal,
 2016. 81: p. 641-649.
- S4. Garcia-Diez, R., C. Gollwitzer, and M. Krumrey, *Nanoparticle characterization by continuous contrast variation in small-angle X-ray scattering with a solvent density gradient*. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 2015. 48: p. 20-28.
- 55. Giacomelli, C.E. and W. Norde, *The Adsorption–Desorption Cycle. Reversibility of the BSA–*Silica System. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 2001. 233(2): p. 234-240.
- Solution State State

- 847 57. Baier, G., et al., BSA Adsorption on Differently Charged Polystyrene Nanoparticles using
 848 Isothermal Titration Calorimetry and the Influence on Cellular Uptake. Macromolecular
 849 Bioscience, 2011. 11(5): p. 628-638.
- 850 58. Mathé, C., et al., Structural Determinants for Protein adsorption/non-adsorption to Silica
 851 Surface. PLOS ONE, 2013. 8(11): p. e81346.
- 59. Ju, P., et al., New insights into the toxic interactions of polyvinyl chloride microplastics with
 bovine serum albumin. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2021. 28(5): p. 55205531.
- 855 60. Zhang, X., et al., *Tracking structural transitions of bovine serum albumin in surfactant*856 solutions by fluorescence correlation spectroscopy and fluorescence lifetime analysis. Soft
 857 Matter, 2015. 11(12): p. 2512-2518.
- 858 61. Sanchez-Guzman, D., et al., In Situ Analysis of Weakly Bound Proteins Reveals Molecular
 859 Basis of Soft Corona Formation. ACS Nano, 2020. 14(7): p. 9073-9088.
- 860 62. European Commission, Nanoplastics State of knowledge and environmental and human
 861 *health impacts*. 2023: Publications Office of the European Union.
- 862 63. Bianco, A. and M. Passananti, Atmospheric Micro and Nanoplastics: An Enormous Microscopic Problem. Sustainability, 2020. 12(18): p. 7327.
- 86464.Gangadoo, S., et al., Nano-plastics and their analytical characterisation and fate in the865marine environment: From source to sea. Sci Total Environ, 2020. 732: p. 138792.
- 866 65. Brewer, A., I. Dror, and B. Berkowitz, *The Mobility of Plastic Nanoparticles in Aqueous and Soil Environments: A Critical Review.* ACS ES&T Water, 2021. 1(1): p. 48-57.
- 868 66. Yang, T., J. Luo, and B. Nowack, *Characterization of Nanoplastics, Fibrils, and*869 *Microplastics Released during Washing and Abrasion of Polyester Textiles.* Environmental
 870 Science & Technology, 2021. 55(23): p. 15873-15881.
- 67. Cid-Samamed, A. and M.S. Diniz, *Recent Advances in the Aggregation Behavior of Nanoplastics in Aquatic Systems*. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 2023. 24(18):
 p. 13995.
- 874 68. Singh, N., et al., Understanding the stability of nanoplastics in aqueous environments: effect
 875 of ionic strength, temperature, dissolved organic matter, clay, and heavy metals.
 876 Environmental Science: Nano, 2019. 6(10): p. 2968-2976.
- 877 69. Bonacci, F., et al., *Contact and macroscopic ageing in colloidal suspensions*. Nature
 878 Materials, 2020. 19(7): p. 775-780.
- 879 70. Llorca, M., et al., Adsorption of perfluoroalkyl substances on microplastics under
 880 environmental conditions. Environmental Pollution, 2018. 235: p. 680-691.
- 71. Dawson, A.L., et al., *Turning microplastics into nanoplastics through digestive fragmentation by Antarctic krill.* Nature Communications, 2018. 9(1): p. 1001.
- 883 72. Mateos-Cárdenas, A., et al., *Rapid fragmentation of microplastics by the freshwater amphipod Gammarus duebeni (Lillj.)*. Sci Rep, 2020. 10(1): p. 12799.
- 885 73. Mei, N., et al., Influence of Biocorona Formation on the Transformation and Dissolution of 886 Cobalt Nanoparticles under Physiological Conditions. ACS Omega, 2019. 4(26): p. 21778-887 21791.
- Caputo, F., et al., *Measuring particle size distribution and mass concentration of nanoplastics and microplastics: addressing some analytical challenges in the sub-micron size range.*Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 2021. 588: p. 401-417.
- Rapid single-particle chemical imaging of nanoplastics by SRS microscopy.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2024. 121(3): p. e2300582121.
- Kee, J., et al., Synthesis of highly monodisperse polystyrene microspheres via dispersion polymerization using an amphoteric initiator. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 2006.
 298(2): p. 663-671.
- Han, S., et al., *High-Performance Magnetorheological Suspensions of Pickering-Emulsion- Polymerized Polystyrene/Fe3O4 Particles with Enhanced Stability*. Langmuir, 2018. 34(8): p.
 2807-2814.
- Wang, Z.-M., et al., *SEM/EDS and optical microscopy analyses of microplastics in ocean trawl and fish guts.* Science of The Total Environment, 2017. **603-604**: p. 616-626.