

European edge fluid modelling tools towards self-consistent reactor-relevant conditions

P Tamain, G Brethes, H Bufferand, M Capasso, S Carli, A J Coelho, R

Coosemans, A Coroado, W Dekeyser, J Fausty, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

P Tamain, G Brethes, H Bufferand, M Capasso, S Carli, et al.. European edge fluid modelling tools towards self-consistent reactor-relevant conditions. FEC 2023 - 29th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, Oct 2023, Londres, United Kingdom. cea-04509894

HAL Id: cea-04509894 https://cea.hal.science/cea-04509894v1

Submitted on 18 Mar 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





¹P. Tamain^{*}, ²G. Brethes, ¹H. Bufferand, ²M. Capasso, ³S. Carli, ⁴A.J. Coelho, ³R. Coosemans, ⁴A. Coroado, ³W. Dekeyser, ²J. Fausty, ⁵Y. Marandet, ⁶A.H. Nielsen, ⁶A.S. Poulsen, ⁵M. Raghunathan, ⁴P. Ricci, ¹N. Rivals, ⁷A. Stegmeir, ⁴L. Stenger, ⁸D. Tskhakaya, ⁶M. Wiesenberger, ⁷W. Zholobenko

¹CEA, IRFM, Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France ²Aix-Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, M2P2, Marseille, France ³KU Leuven, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Leuven, Belgium ⁴Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Swiss Plasma Center (SPC), Lausanne,

Switzerland


⁵Aix-Marseille Univ., CNRS, PIIM, Marseille, France

⁶Department of Physics, Technical University of Denmark (DTU), Denmark ⁷Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Garching, Germany ⁸Institute of Plasma Physics of the CAS, Prague, Czech Republic

* E-mail: patrick.tamain@cea.fr

CAPTURING ACCURATELY THE WALL GEOMETRY

Major challenge but mandatory for accurate density regimes



ID: 27938

BACKGROUND

• Project gathering European expertise to progress towards self-consistent fluid modelling of the edge plasma in reactor-relevant conditions (EUROfusion TSVV3) • Strategy: progressively incorporate in turbulence codes necessary ingredients to model divertor regimes

	Self-consist. ⊥transport	Neutrals		Plasma and wall geom.		Acceptable runtime
Mean-field		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	~	~
Turbulence	\checkmark					

• 4 edge turbulence codes involved: FELTOR, GBS, GRILLIX, SOLEDGE3X

MODELS


Upstream development of models:


- revised **sheath BC** for highly collisional conditions: [D. Tskhakaya, in prep.]

$$M_{\parallel} = 1 + \chi - \sqrt{\chi^2 + 2\chi} \qquad \qquad \chi = \frac{(\nu_{mt}(1 - \alpha) + \nu_{ei})x_0}{2c_s \sin\theta}$$

- Landau **non-local closure** implemented in GRILLIX [C. Pitzal, submit. PoP]
- multi-temperature collisional closure [M. Raghunathan, PPCF 2022]
- gyro-fluid model including collisions and reaction terms:

- Immersed boundaries in SOLEDGE3X, GRILLIX, FELTOR
- Combined with penalization in GRILLIX (non-local wall?) [A. Stegmeir, CPC 2023]
- Combined with curvilinear grid in SOLEDGE3X
- SOLEDGE3X simulations up-to-the-wall in WEST & ITER





NEUTRALS AND MULTIPSECIES PHYSICS

- GBS, GRILLIX and SOLEDGE3X feature self-consistent neutrals models
 - kinetic (method of characteristics) in GBS
 - kinetic (EIRENE) in SOLEDGE3X, but limited to 2D or low resolution 3D 0
 - fluid (CX-dominated model) in GRILLIX and SOLEDGE3X [N. Horsten, NF 2017] 0
- Significant impact of neutrals on turbulence and transport in the edge plasma

		0
		1

 $p_e + p_{D^+} + p_{D_2^+}$ OMP

$\Lambda_{P_{\perp}} = s_{p_{\perp}} - \Delta_{\perp} \left(\frac{1}{2qB^2} \right) - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{B^2} \right)$ $\Lambda_{E_{\parallel}} = s_{e_{\parallel}}$

COMPLIANT PLASMA AND WALL GEOMETRIES

ARBITRARY AXISYMMETRIC PLASMA GEOMETRY

All codes compliant with arbitrary axisymmetric magnet geometries but with different technical choices [M. Wi

Y	
magnetic	
[M. Wiesenberger, submitted to PPCF]	electron density <i>n_e</i> / 10 ¹⁹ m ⁻³ 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 1 1

600 625 650 675 700 725

 $R[\rho_{s0}]$

Phys.: Conf. Series 2022]

Code	Poloidal grid type	Grid alignment	Poloidal discretization	Parallel / toroidal discretization
FELTOR	Structured, Cartesian	None	Discontinuous Galerkin	FCI
GBS	Structured, Cartesian	None	Finite Differences	Finite differences
GRILLIX	Unstructured, locally Cartesian	None	Finite Differences	FCI
SOLEDGE3X	Locally structured, curvilinear	Flux-surface	Finite Volumes	Finite volumes


3D MAGNETIC EQUILIBRIA

GBS and GRILLIX extended to 3D magnetic equilibria

smoothened by turbulence


[T. Boinnard, NF 2023]


GBS confronted to TJ-K experiment, W7-AS proof-of-principle in GRILLIX \bullet




600 625 650 675 700 725

 $R[\rho_{s0}]$







 $(n_{D} - n_{T})/n_{D}$ [%]


2.5


3.5

- Zhdanov closure (non trace impurities) implemented in GBS and SOLEDGE3X:
 - Applied to D-T-Ne cases => D/T imbalance in divertor [H. Bufferand, PPCF 2022]
 - Extension to 3D turbulence raises questions on \bigcirc tractability of numerical algorithm
- Common validation against dedicated TCV pulse in highdensity regime started

UPSCALING SIMULATIONS

- Strong effort invested in parallel towards upscaling simulations to reactor size









This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.