

# **High-quality** *de novo* **genome assembly of the** *Dekkera bruxellensis* **yeast using Nanopore MinION sequencing**

Téo Fournier, Jean-Sébastien Gounot, Kelle Freel, Corinne Cruaud, Arnaud Lemainque, Jean-Marc Aury, Patrick Wincker, Joseph Schacherer, Anne Friedrich

# **To cite this version:**

Téo Fournier, Jean-Sébastien Gounot, Kelle Freel, Corinne Cruaud, Arnaud Lemainque, et al.. Highquality *de novo* genome assembly of the *Dekkera bruxellensis* yeast using Nanopore MinION sequencing. G3, 2017, 7 (10), pp.3243-3250.  $10.1534/g3.117.300128$ . cea-04315763

# **HAL Id: cea-04315763 <https://cea.hal.science/cea-04315763v1>**

Submitted on 30 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Copyright © 2017 Fournier et al. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.117.300128>

published Early Online August 9, 2017.

[doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1).

[schacherer@unistra.fr](mailto:schacherer@unistra.fr)

<sup>1</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

Knowledge in biology has been greatly improved by exploring a large diversity of species as well as evolutionary contexts. No single species is representative of the evolution of either an entire phylum or a whole genus. Exploration of the genetic diversity of nonmodel species is essential to have a better insight into the variation of the population history, recombination, selection, mutation, and the genotype-phenotype

Manuscript received June 16, 2017; accepted for publication August 7, 2017;

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License [\(http://creativecommons.org/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction

Supplemental material is available online at [www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1)

<sup>2</sup>Corresponding authors: GMGM, UMR 7156, University of Strasbourg, CNRS, 28, rue Goethe, 67000 Strasbourg, France. E-mail: [anne.friedrich@unistra.fr](mailto:anne.friedrich@unistra.fr);

in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# High-Quality de Novo Genome Assembly of the Dekkera bruxellensis Yeast Using Nanopore MinION Sequencing

Téo Fournier,\*,<sup>1</sup> Jean-Sébastien Gounot,\*,<sup>1</sup> Kelle Freel,\* Corinne Cruaud,<sup>†</sup> Arnaud Lemainque,<sup>†</sup> Jean-Marc Aury,† Patrick Wincker,† Joseph Schacherer,\*,2 and Anne Friedrich\*,2

\*Génétique Moléculaire, Génomique, Microbiologie, Unité Mixte de Recherche 7156, University of Strasbourg, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, F-67000, France and †Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique, Institut de Biologie François-Jacob, Genoscope, BP5706, 91057 Evry, France

ABSTRACT Genetic variation in natural populations represents the raw material for phenotypic diversity. Species-wide characterization of genetic variants is crucial to have a deeper insight into the genotypephenotype relationship. With the advent of new sequencing strategies and more recently the release of long-read sequencing platforms, it is now possible to explore the genetic diversity of any nonmodel organisms, representing a fundamental resource for biological research. In the frame of population genomic surveys, a first step is to obtain the complete sequence and high-quality assembly of a reference genome. Here, we sequenced and assembled a reference genome of the nonconventional Dekkera bruxellensis yeast. While this species is a major cause of wine spoilage, it paradoxically contributes to the specific flavor profile of some Belgium beers. In addition, an extreme karyotype variability is observed across natural isolates, highlighting that D. bruxellensis genome is very dynamic. The whole genome of the D. bruxellensis UMY321 isolate was sequenced using a combination of Nanopore long-read and Illumina short-read sequencing data. We generated the most complete and contiguous de novo assembly of D. bruxellensis to date and obtained a first glimpse into the genomic variability within this species by comparing the sequences of several isolates. This genome sequence is therefore of high value for population genomic surveys and represents a reference to study genome dynamic in this yeast species.

> relationship. In this context, the Saccharomycotina subphylum (budding yeasts), which includes the baker's yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, represents an ideal group of nonmodel organisms for population genomic studies (Peter and Schacherer 2016).

> Recent years have seen a burst of population genomic surveys focusing on various nonconventional yeasts associated with different objectives. This has a bearing on several aspects of evolutionary biology. Analysis of resequencing data of a large sample of isolates from the same species has been focused on yeast model organisms such as S. cerevisiae (Liti et al. 2009; Schacherer et al. 2009; Skelly et al. 2013; Bergström et al. 2014; Almeida et al. 2015; Strope et al. 2015; Gallone et al. 2016; Gonçalves et al. 2016; Zhu et al. 2016) and the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe (Fawcett et al. 2014; Jeffares et al. 2015), as well as on the nonmodel yeast species Saccharomyces paradoxus (Leducq et al. 2016), Saccharomyces uvarum (Almeida et al. 2014), Candida albicans (Ford et al. 2015; Hirakawa et al. 2015), and Lachancea kluyveri (Brion et al. 2015, 2016; Friedrich et al. 2015). Altogether, these data and analysis enhanced our knowledge about the evolutionary history of

# **KEYWORDS**

genetic diversity reference genome population genomics MinION sequencing yeast Dekkera bruxellensis genome report

GENOME REPORT



## ■ Table 1 Description of the *D. bruxellensis* isolates used in this study



species (Almeida et al. 2014), the forces involved in genome evolution (Friedrich et al. 2015), and the genetic basis of the phenotypic diversity (Ford et al. 2015).

Among the Saccharomycotina, Dekkera bruxellensis is a yeast species associated with human fermentation processes that is well known as a major cause of wine spoilage, and also as an essential contributor to Belgium lambic and gueuze beer fermentation (Schifferdecker et al. 2014; Masneuf-Pomarede et al. 2015). In addition to its industrial properties, this species is of interest at the evolutionary level. Natural isolates show different ploidy levels (Borneman et al. 2014; Curtin and Pretorius 2014) and extensive chromosomal rearrangements, which were observed through electrophoretic karyotypes (Hellborg and Piskur 2009). These observations indicate a rapid evolution at the intraspecific level. Recent findings suggest that the ploidy level could be linked to the substrate of origin of the strain and related to adaptive processes linked to specific environments (Albertin et al. 2014). Consequently, a genome-wide polymorphism survey based on a representative set of D. bruxellensis individuals would be of interest. The exploration of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), small indels, as well as structural variants such as large indels, and inversions and translocations at the species level would help provide insight into the forces that shape genomic architecture and evolution. However, to conduct a population genomic survey, the availability of a high-quality reference sequence for the species at a completeness level to cover the majority of the genomic variation and a contiguity level to efficiently detect structural variants, is a prerequisite.

To date, population genomic studies have mostly been performed on species for which chromosomal-scale genome assemblies were available; however, this necessary high-quality assembly was unfortunately not yet available for the D. bruxellensis species. Here, we present the de novo sequence and high-quality genome assembly of the UMY321 D. bruxellensis isolate with a combination of long Oxford Nanopore and short Illumina reads. By aligning the short-read sequencing data from a total of eight sequenced natural isolates on the generated assembly, as well as other previously available assemblies (Curtin et al. 2012; Piškur et al. 2012; Borneman et al. 2014; Crauwels et al. 2014; Olsen et al. 2015), we tested the capacity of our assembly to be used as a reference assembly for future population genomic studies of this nonmodel species. The results showed that we generated the most complete and contiguous de novo assembly of D. bruxellensis necessary to explore the intraspecific genetic diversity of this unique and economically relevant species.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Yeast strains and DNA preparation

We selected three *D. bruxellensis* diploid isolates from various ecological and geographical origins (Table 1). The UMY321 isolate was chosen for the generation of a high-quality assembly and was therefore

subjected to Oxford Nanopore and Illumina sequencing. The two other isolates, UMY315 and 133, were only subjected to Illumina sequencing for comparative analysis purposes.

Yeast cell cultures were grown overnight at 30° in 20 ml of YPD medium to early stationary phase before cells were harvested by centrifugation. Total genomic DNA was than extracted using the QIAGEN Genomic-tip 100/G according to the manufacturer's instructions.

## Flow cytometry

Samples were prepared for DNA content analysis using flow cytometry. Cells were grown in YPD medium at  $30^{\circ}$  to reach exponential phase. They were then pelleted and washed with 1 ml water. In order to fix the cell, the pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of 70% ethanol. After centrifugation, supernatant was discarded and cells were resuspended in 1 ml sodium citrate buffer (trisodium citrate 50 mM; pH 7.5). Cells were pelleted once more and resuspended in 1 ml sodium citrate buffer supplemented with 10  $\mu$ l of RNase A (100 mg/ml) and incubated at 37° for 2 hr. Samples were then sonicated (Sonics Vibra-Cell VC750) for 20 sec with a 20% amplitude. After sonication, 1 ml sodium citrate buffer supplemented with 10  $\mu$ l propidium iodide (1.6 mg/ml) and left in the dark at  $4^{\circ}$  for 12 hr. Once the cells were stained with propidium iodide, cell DNA content was assessed by measuring fluorescence intensity using flow cytometry (CyFlow Space; Partec).

## MinION library preparation and sequencing

We sheared 2  $\mu$ g genomic DNA to ~8000 bp with g-TUBE. After clean-up using 1× AMPure XP beads, Nanopore's 8-kb two-dimensional (2D) sequencing libraries were prepared according to the SQK-MAP005- MinION gDNA Sequencing Kit protocol.

The sequencing mix was prepared with  $8 \mu$  of the DNA library, water, Fuel Mix, and Running buffer, according to the SQK-MAP005 protocol. The sequencing mix was added to the R7.3 flowcell for a 48 hr run. The flowcell was reloaded one time at 24 hr with an addition of 8 µl of the DNA library.

## Illumina sequencing

Genomic Illumina sequencing libraries were prepared with a mean insert size of 280 bp and were subjected to paired-end sequencing  $(2 \times 100$  bp) on Illumina HiSeq2000 sequencers.

#### De novo genome assembly

Various sets of the longest MinION 2D reads, which refer to various theoretical genome coverage  $(10\times, 15\times, 20\times,$  and all the 2D reads, *i.e.*  $\sim$ 25 $\times$ ) taking 15 Mb as genome size estimate (Supplemental Material, Table S1 in [File S1\)](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1/FileS1.xls), were subjected to four assemblers: ABruijn (v0.3b) (Lin et al. 2016), Canu (v1.1) (Berlin et al. 2015), miniasm (v0.2-r137-dirty) (Li 2016), and SMARTdenovo [\(https://github.com/ruanjue/smartdenovo](https://github.com/ruanjue/smartdenovo)).



Figure 1 Metrics related to the constructed assemblies, per assembler and dataset.

ABruijn and miniasm were run with default parameters, while "genomeSize=13 m, minReadLength=2500, mhapSensitivity=high, corMhapSensitivity=high, and corOutCoverage=500" was set for Canu and "-c 1 -k 14 -J 2500 -e zmo" for SMARTdenovo. After the assembly step, we polished each set of contigs with Pilon (v1.18) (Walker et al. 2014), using  $\sim$ 100× of Illumina 2 × 100 bp paired-end reads. SSPACE-LongRead (v1.1) (Boetzer and Pirovano 2014) was finally used to scaffold the selected assembly using longreads information.

#### Assembly completeness evaluation

The completeness of our assembly was evaluated firstly, through the proportion of unmapped short reads (see Short-read mapping) determined with Samtools (v0.1.19) (Li et al. 2009) using the option "view -f 4 -c"; and secondly, through the proportion of ultraconserved core eukaryotic genes recovered by CEGMA (v2.5) (Parra et al. 2007), with default parameters.

#### Whole genome comparison

Whole genome comparisons were performed with MUMmer (v3.0) (Kurtz et al. 2004). nucmer was used to align the sequences (with –maxmatch option). The alignments coordinates were extracted to determine the proportion of non-N residues of each assembly that were covered. The delta files were filtered for alignments  $<$  5 kb and plots were generated with mummerplot.

#### Short-read mapping

Reads were mapped with BWA (v0.7.4) (Li and Durbin 2009) and unmapped reads were estimated with Samtools (v0.1.19) (Li et al. 2009). GATK (v3.3) (McKenna et al. 2010) was used for local realignment of the reads around indels, SNPs calling, and to add allele balance information in the vcf file.

## Data availability

All sequencing data generated in this study, as well as the UMY321 reference assembly (in FASTA format), have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive under the accession number PRJEB21262.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Three D. bruxellensis isolates (UMY321, UMY315, and 133) were sequenced in this study (Table 1). These strains were determined to be diploid based on flow cytometry analysis and were all isolated from wine or grape must in Italy or South Africa. The genome of the UMY321 isolate was sequenced using a combination of Nanopore long-read and Illumina short-read sequencing data to obtain a highquality assembly. By contrast, the UM315 and 133 isolates were only sequenced using a short-read strategy. In addition, these genomes were compared to previously genome sequences of six other D. bruxellensis isolates (Table 1) (Curtin et al. 2012; Piškur et al. 2012; Borneman et al. 2014; Crauwels et al. 2014; Olsen et al. 2015).

# De novo genome assembly construction and comparison

For the UMY321 isolate, a total of three MinION Mk1 runs were performed with the R7.3 chemistry using 2D library types with 8 kb mean fragmentation size. A total of 115,559 reads representing a cumulative size of 1.15 Gb were generated, among which 41,686 2D





reads showed an average quality greater than nine (2D pass reads). We focused on these 2D pass reads representing a total of 376.8 Mb, with the longest read being 70,058 bp (mean =  $9033$  bp and median =  $8676$  bp) [\(Figure S1](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1/FigureS1.eps)). Four subsets of our 2D pass reads (10 $\times$ , 15 $\times$ , and 20 $\times$  of the longest 2D pass reads, and all of them, *i.e.*  $\sim$ 25 $\times$ ) (Table S1 in [File S1\)](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1/FileS1.xls) were submitted to four assemblers: ABruijn (Lin et al. 2016), Canu (Berlin et al. 2015), miniasm (Li 2016), and SMARTdenovo ([https://](https://github.com/ruanjue/smartdenovo) [github.com/ruanjue/smartdenovo](https://github.com/ruanjue/smartdenovo)). As the MinION sequencing technology is known to be associated with high error rates ( $\sim$ 10% for 2D pass reads) (Jain et al. 2016), we polished the assemblies with Pilon (Walker et al. 2014) using  $100 \times$  of Illumina paired-end reads. The lengths of the constructed assemblies were all in the same order of magnitude and ranged from 11.7 to 13.7 Mb (Table S2 in [File S1](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1/FileS1.xls)).

Using these various datasets and assemblies, the objective was to define the best assembler and the minimal coverage needed. Hence, we computed the standard contiguity metrics for all assemblies to evaluate their quality, which is related to both the assembler and the dataset (Figure 1 and Table S2 in [File S1](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1/FileS1.xls)). First, we observed that, considering the results by assembler, the number of scaffolds obtained with the  $10\times$ dataset is much higher compared to the other datasets, which suggests that a 10× coverage of MinION reads is too low to obtain a good quality assembly. By assembler, the results obtained for the higher coverages

are comparable. Using Canu, the number of scaffolds is much higher and N90 as well as N50 are much lower, producing the less connected assemblies (Figure 1 and Table S2 in [File S1](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1/FileS1.xls)). The contiguity metrics associated with the assemblies constructed with SMARTdenovo, ABruijn, and miniasm were closely related, and it seemed difficult to select a single best assembly on the sole basis of these measurements, especially since good contiguity metrics are not necessarily associated with assembly completeness.

Considering these results,we decided tomap the Illumina paired-end reads back on the generated assemblieswith BWA (Li and Durbin 2009). Among all the assemblies, the proportion of unmapped reads ranged from 1.12 to 11% (Figure 1 and Table S2 in [File S1](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1/FileS1.xls)). Surprisingly, the assemblies constructed with miniasm were less complete, as  $>5\%$  of the reads did not map back, compared to  $\leq$ 1.5% for the ABruijn and SMARTdenovo assemblies.

By comparing standard metrics and the proportion of unmapped reads, the most accurate assembly was obtained with the 20× 2D reads dataset combined with the SMARTdenovo assembler. This assembly is composed of nine scaffolds, i.e., very close to the estimated number of chromosomes, which appears to vary between four and nine among different strains of this species (Hellborg and Piskur 2009), for a complete assembly size of 12.97 Mb. This was then submitted



Figure 2 Comparison of the CBS11270 and UMY321 assemblies. The alignments and the plot were generated with the MUMmer software suite. Red lines: sequences aligning in the same direction. Blue lines: sequences aligning in the opposite direction.



Figure 3 Mapping of the Illumina reads vs. the UMY321 reference assembly. (A) Illumina reads coverage along the reference genome. (B) Frequency of the reference allele at heterozygous sites along the genome. (Each color corresponds to a scaffold).

to SSPACE-longreads, which reduced the number of scaffolds to eight after grouping the two smallest ones, based on our long-read information, and a further Pilon run. The final assembly contains eight scaffolds and shows a cumulative size of 12,965,163 bp (Table 2). We also evaluated the completeness of our assembly at the gene content level by running CEGMA (Parra et al. 2007): 245 out of the 248 most extremely conserved genes in eukaryotes were detected in our assembly, through 242 complete and three partial alignments. Altogether, these results reveal a high level of completeness of our assembly.

#### Comparison with available assemblies of D. bruxellensis

To date, several assemblies of the D. bruxellensis species have already been released (Curtin et al. 2012; Piskur et al. 2012; Borneman et al. 2014; Crauwels et al. 2014; Olsen et al. 2015). These assemblies are related to isolates from different ecological and geographical origins (Table 1). They were mostly constructed by combining several sequencing methods, such as 454, PacBio, and Illumina, as well as optical mapping in the most recently published assembly (Olsen *et al.* 2015).

The assemblies have very variable metrics associated with each of them (Table 2). In terms of contiguity, our assembly and the assembly generated for the CBS11270 isolate are close, and reach a chromosomescale resolution. However, the CBS11270 assembly is much larger than the others (17.3 Mb vs. 12.7–13.4 Mb), although it does also contain  $\sim$ 2.5 Mb of undetermined (N) residues.

By comparing the assembly metrics, we determined that our assembly is closer to that for CBS11270, which was generated by combining PacBio and Illumina sequencing methods as well as optical mapping, and much better than the other three available for comparison, which were much more fragmented and comprised at least 84 scaffolds.

A MUMmer comparison of our UMY321 assembly to that of CBS11270 indicates that 91 and 99.6% of the assemblies aligned, respectively, with one another and revealed that the scaffolds are mostly

collinear (Figure 2). However, some large repetitive regions can be observed in the CBS11270 assembly, e.g., on chromosome 1, between chromosomes 1 and 6, and between chromosomes 4 and 5 (Figure 2 and [Figure S2\)](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1/FigureS2.eps) that are absent in our assembly, and could explain the size differences between the assemblies (17.3 Mb vs. 12.97 Mb). Moreover, some synteny breaks can be observed, at the level of scaffolds, specifically between three and four. All the inconsistencies between the assemblies could be related either to structural rearrangements between the isolates or to assembly errors, and would require further investigations to reach a conclusion as to their most likely source.

#### Suitability of our assembly for population genomics studies

As previously mentioned, to function as a valuable resource for conducting population genomics studies, a reference genome should combine high contiguity (for the detection of structural variants) and completeness (for the efficient detection of SNPs and small indels). At the contiguity level, our assembly is close from a chromosomal-scale resolution, which suggests that it would be highly suitable for gross structural rearrangement detection (translocations, inversions, and long insertions/deletions).

To test our assembly for the detection of polymorphism along the genome, we further investigated the mapping of the Illumina reads. As previously mentioned, 98.89% of the UMY321 Illumina reads mapped on our assembly. The read coverage was homogeneous along the scaffolds (Figure 3A), which suggests that the strain is devoid of aneuploidy and segmental duplication, and confirms the lack of large repetitive regions within our assembly.

A total of 83,006 SNPs were detected with GATK (McKenna et al. 2010), among which 374 were homozygous and 82,632 were heterozygous (Table S3 in [File S1\)](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1/FileS1.xls). The 374 homozygous SNPs could be considered as false positives. Although not completely negligible, this

**n** Table 3 Proportion of D. bruxellensis unmapped Illumina reads on the available assemblies

		<b>Assemblies</b>				
		<b>UMY321</b>	CBS11270	<b>CBS2499</b>	ST05.12/22	<b>AWRI1499</b>
Illumina paired-end reads	<b>UMY321</b>	1.11	9.95	4.13	2.12	5.29
	CBS11270	4.74	12.43	5.88	3.48	9.49
	CBS2499	1.68	9.4	4.92	2.45	5.68
	ST05.12/22	1.9	10.83		3.78	11.97
	<b>UMY315</b>	0.66	10.00	4.04	2.02	5.35
	133	0.82	8.82	3.11	1.57	4.44
	AWRI1608	14.87	22.65	17.42	15.39	19.91
	AWRI1613	9.69	16.89	11.04	8.98	13.38

number is very low and could be related to the high error rate of the MinION technology, which is not completely compensated by using Illumina short reads (Istace et al. 2017).

The UMY321 isolate that we sequenced is diploid, and the detection of these 82,632 heterozygous SNPs revealed that the two genomic copies are not identical and have a high heterozygosity level. These heterozygous positions are mostly evenly distributed all along the genome, with several regions showing loss of heterozygosity (LOH) on scaffolds 1, 2, 3, and 6 (Figure 3B).

Altogether, these results confirmed that our assembly performs well when mapping the reads that were used for its construction. However, to determine if an assembly is relevant in the context of population genomic studies, we also analyzed its performance when mapping reads from other isolates. To survey polymorphisms within a species, resequencing projects rely mainly on Illumina sequencing technology, therefore we mapped the short reads related to this species that were publically available as well as from two isolates we sequenced in the context of this project (Table S4 in [File S1\)](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1/FileS1.xls) against our assembly and reported the proportion of unmapped reads. We also aligned these reads against the publicly available assemblies to perform a comparative analysis

(Table 3). As expected, the UMY321 Illumina paired-end reads mapped better on our assembly with only 1.11% of unmapped reads. More surprisingly, short reads generated in the context of the other projects also mapped better on our assembly compared to their related assemblies, and more generally compared to all other assemblies (Figure 4). It is also worth noting that all the reads, including those related to the CBS11270 isolate, mapped less efficiently to the CBS11270 assembly compared to all other assemblies, which suggests that although this assembly is highly contiguous and much larger than the others available, it is less complete.

# Insight into the intraspecific genetic variability

Finally, we took advantage of the availability of Illumina reads related to different isolates in order to obtain a first glimpse into the genomic variability within this species, using our UMY321 assembly as a reference. The read coverage along the reference sequence was mostly homogeneous for all isolates, and only few deviations were observed, limited to small genomic regions, which are characteristic of segmental duplications, in the ST05.12/22 isolate (Figure 3A). This suggests that the structural variants within this species are mostly balanced. It can



Figure 4 Illumina unmapped reads per assembly. Boxplot of the percentage of unmapped Illumina reads, according to the assembly used for the mapping.

also be noticed that the coverage plot obtained from the CBS11270 Illumina reads did not show twofold deviations on scaffolds 1, 3, or 4 (data not shown), as expected from the comparison of the CBS11270 and UMY321 assemblies (Figure 2 and [Figure S2\)](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1/FigureS2.eps), suggesting that the repetitive regions highlighted in the CBS11270 assembly are most probably related to assembly errors.

Among the eight studied isolates, one is triploid (AWRI1608) and all the others are diploid (Table 1). A total of 1,268,172 SNPs were detected across these eight isolates, among which 82% are heterozygous (Table S3 in [File S1](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1/FileS1.xls)). These SNPs are distributed over 500,707 polymorphic positions, with a majority present as singletons (68.8% of the polymorphic sites). However, a significant proportion of this variability is related to the triploid strain AWRI1608. Indeed, when this strain was not included in the analysis, 829,313 SNPs were detected over 188,717 polymorphic positions with only 50,702 singletons (27%). This is in agreement with the proposition that AWRI1608 consists of a slightly heterozygous diploid set of chromosomes with an additional full set of more distantly related chromosomes (Borneman et al. 2014). The phylogenetic relationships between this small sample of isolates based on the whole set of polymorphic positions also reflect the high divergence of this triploid isolate [\(Figure](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1/FigureS3.eps) [S3](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1/FigureS3.eps)A). Ploidy levels across the genomes were also confirmed by taking advantage of allele frequency at heterozygous positions, which was  $\sim$ 0.5 for diploid isolates and 0.33/0.66 for the AWRI1608 genome [\(Figure S3](http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.117.300128/-/DC1/FigureS3.eps)B). These heterozygous positions are evenly distributed along the genome; however, LOH regions were detected in all the diploid isolates (Figure 3B).

#### Conclusions

D. bruxellensis is a yeast species of great importance in fermented beverage industries, largely thought of as a contaminant organism (Schifferdecker et al. 2014; Masneuf-Pomarede et al. 2015). This species is also an interesting model to study genome evolution and dynamics as it is characterized by a large genomic plasticity. For these reasons, we sought to generate a high-quality genome assembly and ultimately obtain a suitable reference genome for population genomics. Our analyses show that the *D. bruxellensis* assembly that we generated with a combination of moderate coverage (20×) MinION long-reads in addition to a higher coverage (100×) of Illumina reads utilized for sequence polishing purposes, is highly valuable for population genomic studies and outperforms previously available sequences. Preliminary comparison among a small set of nine isolates already highlights the presence of large regions of LOH, which appears to be key factor in the genome evolution and adaptation of a large number of yeast species (Magwene et al. 2011; Ford et al. 2015; Smukowski Heil et al. 2017). To obtain a species-wide view of the genetic variability of D. bruxellensis, many more isolates should be surveyed using both short-read as well as long-read sequencing techniques, which will allow for the exploration of the structural variant landscape.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Warren Albertin and Isabelle Masneuf-Pomarede for fruitful discussions and invaluable advice. We thank Jure Piskur and Anna Schifferdecker for generously providing the 133, UMY315 and UMY321 Dekkera bruxellensis isolates. We also thank the BioImage platform (IBMP-CNRS, Strasbourg, France) for their support. This work was supported by France Génomique (grant ANR-10-INBS-09-08), and the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (grant ANR-16-CE12-0019 to J.S.). T.F. and J.-S.G. are supported by a grant from the French "Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la

Recherche." J.S. is a Fellow of the University of Strasbourg Institute for Advanced Study (USIAS) and a member of the Institut Universitaire de France.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Albertin, W., A. Panfili, C. Miot-Sertier, A. Goulielmakis, A. Delcamp et al., 2014 Development of microsatellite markers for the rapid and reliable genotyping of Brettanomyces bruxellensis at strain level. Food Microbiol. 42: 188–195.
- Almeida, P., C. Gonçalves, S. Teixeira, D. Libkind, M. Bontrager et al., 2014 A Gondwanan imprint on global diversity and domestication of wine and cider yeast Saccharomyces uvarum. Nat. Commun. 5: 4044.
- Almeida, P., R. Barbosa, P. Zalar, Y. Imanishi, K. Shimizu et al., 2015 A population genomics insight into the Mediterranean origins of wine yeast domestication. Mol. Ecol. 24: 5412–5427.
- Bergström, A., J. T. Simpson, F. Salinas, B. Barré, L. Parts et al., 2014 A high-definition view of functional genetic variation from natural yeast genomes. Mol. Biol. Evol. 31: 872–888.
- Berlin, K., S. Koren, C.-S. Chin, J. P. Drake, J. M. Landolin et al., 2015 Assembling large genomes with single-molecule sequencing and locality-sensitive hashing. Nat. Biotechnol. 33: 623–630.
- Boetzer, M., and W. Pirovano, 2014 SSPACE-LongRead: scaffolding bacterial draft genomes using long read sequence information. BMC Bioinformatics 15: 211.
- Borneman, A. R., R. Zeppel, P. J. Chambers, and C. D. Curtin, 2014 Insights into the Dekkera bruxellensis genomic landscape: comparative genomics reveals variations in ploidy and nutrient utilisation potential amongst wine isolates. PLoS Genet. 10: e1004161.
- Brion, C., D. Pflieger, A. Friedrich, and J. Schacherer, 2015 Evolution of intraspecific transcriptomic landscapes in yeasts. Nucleic Acids Res. 43: 4558–4568.
- Brion, C., D. Pflieger, S. Souali-Crespo, A. Friedrich, and J. Schacherer, 2016 Differences in environmental stress response among yeasts is consistent with species-specific lifestyles. Mol. Biol. Cell 27: 1694–1705.
- Crauwels, S., B. Zhu, J. Steensels, P. Busschaert, G. De Samblanx et al., 2014 Assessing genetic diversity among Brettanomyces yeasts by DNA fingerprinting and whole-genome sequencing. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 80: 4398–4413.
- Curtin, C. D., and I. S. Pretorius, 2014 Genomic insights into the evolution of industrial yeast species Brettanomyces bruxellensis. FEMS Yeast Res. 14: 997–1005.
- Curtin, C. D., A. R. Borneman, P. J. Chambers, and I. S. Pretorius, 2012 De-novo assembly and analysis of the heterozygous triploid genome of the wine spoilage yeast Dekkera bruxellensis AWRI1499. PLoS One 7: e33840.
- Fawcett, J. A., T. Iida, S. Takuno, R. P. Sugino, T. Kado et al., 2014 Population genomics of the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. PLoS One 9: e104241.
- Ford, C. B., J. M. Funt, D. Abbey, L. Issi, C. Guiducci et al., 2015 The evolution of drug resistance in clinical isolates of Candida albicans. Elife 4: e00662.
- Friedrich, A., P. Jung, C. Reisser, G. Fischer, and J. Schacherer, 2015 Population genomics reveals chromosome-scale heterogeneous evolution in a protoploid yeast. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32: 184–192.
- Gallone, B., J. Steensels, T. Prahl, L. Soriaga, V. Saels et al., 2016 Domestication and divergence of Saccharomyces cerevisiae beer yeasts. Cell 166: 1397–1410.e16.
- Gonçalves, M., A. Pontes, P. Almeida, R. Barbosa, M. Serra et al., 2016 Distinct domestication trajectories in top-fermenting beer yeasts and wine yeasts. Curr. Biol. 26: 2750–2761.
- Hellborg, L., and J. Piskur, 2009 Complex nature of the genome in a wine spoilage yeast, Dekkera bruxellensis. Eukaryot. Cell 8: 1739–1749.
- Hirakawa, M. P., D. A. Martinez, S. Sakthikumar, M. Z. Anderson, A. Berlin et al., 2015 Genetic and phenotypic intra-species variation in Candida albicans. Genome Res. 25: 413–425.
- Istace, B., A. Friedrich, L. d'Agata, S. Faye, E. Payen et al., 2017 De novo assembly and population genomic survey of natural yeast isolates with the Oxford Nanopore MinION sequencer. Gigascience 6: 1–13.
- Jain, M., H. E. Olsen, B. Paten, and M. Akeson, 2016 The Oxford Nanopore MinION: delivery of nanopore sequencing to the genomics community. Genome Biol. 17: 239.
- Jeffares, D. C., C. Rallis, A. Rieux, D. Speed, M. Převorovský et al., 2015 The genomic and phenotypic diversity of Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Nat. Genet. 47: 235–241.
- Kurtz, S., A. Phillippy, A. L. Delcher, M. Smoot, M. Shumway et al., 2004 Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. Genome Biol. 5: R12.
- Leducq, J.-B., L. Nielly-Thibault, G. Charron, C. Eberlein, J. P. Verta et al., 2016 Speciation driven by hybridization and chromosomal plasticity in a wild yeast. Nat. Microbiol. 1: 15003.
- Li, H., 2016 Minimap and miniasm: fast mapping and de novo assembly for noisy long sequences. Bioinformatics 32: 2103–2110.
- Li, H., and R. Durbin, 2009 Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 25: 1754–1760.
- Li, H., B. Handsaker, A. Wysoker, T. Fennell, J. Ruan et al., 2009 The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25: 2078–2079.
- Lin, Y., J. Yuan, M. Kolmogorov, M. W. Shen, M. Chaisson et al., 2016 Assembly of long error-prone reads using de Bruijn graphs. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 113: E8396–E8405.
- Liti, G., D. M. Carter, A. M. Moses, J. Warringer, L. Parts et al., 2009 Population genomics of domestic and wild yeasts. Nature 458: 337–341.
- Magwene, P. M., Ö. Kayıkçı, J. A. Granek, J. M. Reininga, Z. Scholl et al., 2011 Outcrossing, mitotic recombination, and life-history trade-offs shape genome evolution in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108: 1987–1992.
- Masneuf-Pomarede, I., M. Bely, P. Marullo, and W. Albertin, 2015 The genetics of non-conventional wine yeasts: current knowledge and future challenges. Front Microbiol. 6: 1563.
- McKenna, A., M. Hanna, E. Banks, A. Sivachenko, K. Cibulskis et al., 2010 The genome analysis toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res. 20: 1297–1303.
- Olsen, R.-A., I. Bunikis, I. Tiukova, K. Holmberg, B. Lötstedt et al., 2015 De novo assembly of Dekkera bruxellensis: a multi technology approach using short and long-read sequencing and optical mapping. Gigascience 4: 56.
- Parra, G., K. Bradnam, and I. Korf, 2007 CEGMA: a pipeline to accurately annotate core genes in eukaryotic genomes. Bioinformatics 23: 1061– 1067.
- Peter, J., and J. Schacherer, 2016 Population genomics of yeasts: towards a comprehensive view across a broad evolutionary scale. Yeast 33: 73–81.
- Piskur, J., Z. Ling, M. Marcet-Houben, O. P. Ishchuk, A. Aerts et al., 2012 The genome of wine yeast Dekkera bruxellensis provides a tool to explore its food-related properties. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 157: 202–209.
- Schacherer, J., J. A. Shapiro, D. M. Ruderfer, and L. Kruglyak, 2009 Comprehensive polymorphism survey elucidates population structure of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nature 458: 342–345.
- Schifferdecker, A. J., S. Dashko, O. P. Ishchuk, and J. Piskur, 2014 The wine and beer yeast Dekkera bruxellensis. Yeast 31: 323–332.
- Skelly, D. A., G. E. Merrihew, M. Riffle, C. F. Connelly, E. O. Kerr et al., 2013 Integrative phenomics reveals insight into the structure of phenotypic diversity in budding yeast. Genome Res. 23: 1496–1504.
- Smukowski Heil, C. S., C. G. DeSevo, D. A. Pai, C. M. Tucker, M. L. Hoang et al., 2017 Loss of heterozygosity drives adaptation in hybrid yeast. Mol. Biol. Evol. 34: 1596–1612.
- Strope, P. K., D. A. Skelly, S. G. Kozmin, G. Mahadevan, E. A. Stone et al., 2015 The 100-genomes strains, an S. cerevisiae resource that illuminates its natural phenotypic and genotypic variation and emergence as an opportunistic pathogen. Genome Res. 25: 762–774.
- Walker, B. J., T. Abeel, T. Shea, M. Priest, A. Abouelliel et al., 2014 Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. PLoS One 9: e112963.
- Zhu, Y. O., G. Sherlock, and D. A. Petrov, 2016 Whole genome analysis of 132 clinical Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains reveals extensive ploidy variation. G3 6: 2421–2434.

Communicating editor: A. Dudley