

#### Nonlinear gyrokinetic simulations of boron density peaking: experimental comparisons and reduced transport model validation References

Manas P., Angioni C., Mcdermott R. M.

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Manas P., Angioni C., Mcdermott R. M.. Nonlinear gyrokinetic simulations of boron density peaking: experimental comparisons and reduced transport model validation References. TTF 2023 annual meeting - 27th Joint EU-US Transport task Force Meeting, Sep 2023, Nancy, France. . cea-04217094

#### HAL Id: cea-04217094 https://cea.hal.science/cea-04217094

Submitted on 25 Sep 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## Nonlinear gyrokinetic simulations of boron density peaking: experimental comparisons and reduced transport model validation

P. Manas<sup>1</sup>, C. Angioni<sup>2</sup>, R. M. McDermott<sup>2</sup> and the ASDEX Upgrade Team<sup>\*</sup>

CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint Paul-lez-Durance, France.
 Max-Planck Institut f
ür Plasmaphysik, Garching, Germany
 \* see author list of U. Stroth et al 2022 Nucl. Fusion 62 042006

## Introduction

Accurately predicting turbulent light impurity transport in tokamaks has been a challenge for gyrokinetic codes, e.g. **[1,2,3]** and remains as such, in some regimes where the impurity profiles are hollow **[3]**. Furthermore, impurity transport modelling using reduced transport models is becoming an important ingredient in integrated modelling frameworks due to additional nonlinearities involved via light and heavy impurity profiles evolution and is well illustrated e.g. in current ramp-up modelling **[4,5]**. In this context, a set of nonlinear gyrokinetic simulations with GKW **[6]** have been performed to investigate existing discrepancies with experimental data and possible discrepancies with the quasilinear reduced model QuaLiKiz **[7]**.

# Nonlinear vs quasilinear GKW simulations

**Comparison of nonlinear GKW simulations with quasilinear estimate using:** 

- Linear simulations at  $k_r \rho_i = 0$  and  $k_\theta \rho_i = 0.2$  to 0.9 using the mixing length rule:  $\frac{\gamma}{\langle k_r \rangle^2}$
- Good agreement for cases at  $\rho = 0.5$  on density peaking,  $D/\chi_i$  and  $D/\chi_e$
- Lowest  $R/L_{Ti}$  cases disagreement  $D/\chi$
- Maximum of  $|\phi|^2$  well reproduced with quasilinear rule but overestimation of small scale contributions

## AUG experimental database of

## boron peaking



- **Database of measured boron diffusion and convection coefficients described in [3]**
- Strong correlation of the boron density peaking with normalized ion temperature gradient
  - From dominant ECRH heating to dominant NBI heating
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Similar trends at  $\,\rho=0.5\,$  and  $\rho=0.4\,$
  - ► Choice for nonlinear simulations based on  $R/L_{Ti}$
- Outward convection not reproduced with neoclassical and gyrokinetic (quasilinear) simulations using GKW [6] and NEO [8] including fast ions [9]
  - Can we reproduce these hollow boron profiles with nonlinear gyrokinetic simulations?
  - How nonlinear compares to quasilinear and the reduced turbulent transport model QuaLiKiz? In particular in mixed ITG/TEM turbulence



## Reduced transport model validation: QuaLiKiz vs GKW

- Interest in reduced model for turbulent heat and particle transport (including impurities) within integrated modelling frameworks
  - ► The quasilinear gyrokinetic code QuaLiKiz routinely used in such frameworks, e.g. [11, 12]
  - ▶ Applied to  $\rho = 0.5$  and fluxes directly compared to nonlinear GKW simulations
  - Boron density peaking and ion heat fluxes in relatively good agreement BUT most of cases are found stable in particular at low R/L<sub>Ti</sub>



## Nonlinear gyrokinetic results vs measurements and power balance



Nonlinear gyrokinetic simulation settings (GKW)

- 6 gyrokinetic species: D, e<sup>-</sup>, 2 boron species with half measured densities to disentangle diffusion and convection, C to match Z<sub>eff</sub>, fast D from neutral beams
   k<sub>r</sub>ρ<sub>i</sub><sup>min</sup>=0.067, k<sub>r</sub>ρ<sub>i</sub><sup>max</sup>=11.3, k<sub>θ</sub>ρ<sub>i</sub><sup>min</sup>=0.06, k<sub>θ</sub>ρ<sub>i</sub><sup>max</sup>=2
   General geometry with CHEASE, collisions, toroidal rotation [10], ExB shearing
- Electromagnetic:  $A_{\parallel}, B_{\parallel}$



- QuaLiKiz input parameters
   modified varying *R*/*L*<sub>*Ti*</sub> and *R*/*L*<sub>*Te*</sub>
   to match GKW NL fluxes
  - GKW NL ion and electron heat fluxes reproduced except for lowest R/L<sub>Ti</sub> cases
  - Significantly higher R/L<sub>Te</sub> required (lack of electron heat transport [13])
  - Higher R/L<sub>Ti</sub> needed close to ITG stability threshold
  - Lower R/L<sub>Ti</sub> needed at higher values consistent with fast ion simulations (outlier from offaxis ECRH)
- When flux matched (with GKW NL fluxes), reasonable agreement on boron convection (underestimated) and diffusion coefficients
  - Boron density peaking higher in QuaLiKiz compared to GKW (also see D. Fajardo talk)
- Electrostatic potential spectra shapes in overall good agreement
   Maximum and small scale





#### **Comparison of heat fluxes with power balance analysis for** $\rho = 0.4$ and $\rho = 0.5$

- Electron heat flux quantitatively well reproduced
- lon heat flux underestimated at low  $R/L_{Ti}$  and overestimated at larger  $R/L_{Ti}$  in particular at  $\rho = 0.4$  (larger impact of fast ion stabilisation sensitive to fast ions pressure)

### **\Box** Comparison of boron fluxes with experimental data for ho=0.4 and ho=0.5

- ► Good predictions of density peaking but fails to reproduce hollow profiles
- ▶ Outlier at largest  $R/L_{Ti}$ , off-axis ECRH heating + NBI
- Range of variation of diffusion and convection coefficients reproduced except for outward convection
- ► Underprediction of convection and overprediction of diffusion
- Nonlinear simulations does not help recovering outward fluxes even in presence of fast ions
- contributions follows GKW nonlinear spectra
   Amplitude 3 to 5 times larger in QuaLiKiz despite flux matched simulations



- Nonlinear gyrokinetic simulations in good agreement with experimental transport coefficients for boron similarly to the quasilinear simulations of [3]
- **□** Electron and ion heat transport in reasonable agreement (fast ion stabilization included)
- Outward boron convection still missing even in nonlinear simulations
- Comparison to the reduced turbulent transport model QuaLiKiz
  - Lack of electron heat transport
  - > For flux matched simulations (with GKW NL) good predictions of boron convective and diffusive coefficients
  - > Electrostatic potential amplitude spectra shapes well reproduced but overestimation of the amplitude
- Difficulties in predicting heat and impurity transport simultaneously with QuaLiKiz

#### References

[1] C. Angioni et al, Nucl. Fusion <b>51</b> (2011) 023006
[2] F.J. Casson et al 2013 Nucl. Fusion 53 063026
[3] R. M. McDermott et al, Nucl. Fusion 62 (2022) 026006
[4] A. Ho et al , Nucl. Fusion 63 (2023) 066014
[5] M. Marin, TTF EU-US 2023

[6] A. G. Peeters et al, Computer Physics Communications 180 (2009) 2650
[7] C. Bourdelle et al, Phys. Plasmas 14 (2007) 112501
[8] E.A. Belli and J. Candy, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion, 50 (2008) 095010
[9] P. Manas et al, Nucl. Fusion 60 (2020) 056005
[10] Camenen Y. et al, Phys.Plasmas 16 (2009) 012503

[11] M. Marin et al, Nucl. Fusion 61 (2021) 036042
[12] O. Linder et al, Nucl. Fusion 59 (2019) 016003
[13] X. Yang et al, Nucl. Fusion 60 (2020) 086012

Contact

pierre.manas@cea.fr