

High energy photon reference radiation beam for radiation protection based on medical LINAC facility

jean-marc bordy, Charlotte Dutilleul, Maïwenn Le Roy

▶ To cite this version:

jean-marc bordy, Charlotte Dutilleul, Maïwenn Le Roy. High energy photon reference radiation beam for radiation protection based on medical LINAC facility. CIM 2023 - 21 ème congrès international de métrologie, Mar 2023, lyon, France. 2023. cea-04152299

HAL Id: cea-04152299 https://cea.hal.science/cea-04152299

Submitted on 5 Jul2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Jean-Marc Bordy, Charlotte Dutilleul and Maïwenn Le Roy CEA, LIST, Laboratoire National Henri Becquerel, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France.







High energy photon reference radiation beam for radiation protection based on medical LINAC facility

Faisceau de référence en photons de haute énergie pour la radioprotection produit à partir d'un LINAC médical

Context: The type tests of photon radiation protection dosimeters described in IEC standards require radiation fields from a few keV to 10 MeV. For the high energy range above 1.33 MeV up to 10 MeV, ISO4037 standard proposes facilities based on particle accelerators or nuclear reactors. To avoid using such high cost facilities, the CEA LIST LNE LNHB, has proposed to mutualize the use of a medical LINAC already dedicated to national references for radiotherapy.

State of the art:





Spectrometry (HPGe)

	Traçability K _{air} : ⁶⁰ Co	Traçability K _{air} : ⁶⁰ Co (1990)
	Coef. Conv. <i>H</i> *(10) et <i>H</i> _p (10)	Coef. Conv. <i>H</i> *(10) et <i>H</i> _p (10)
	Spectrometry (NaI(Tl))	Spectrometry (BGO)
С	comparison with PTB 2016 - no CMC	(Rad, Prot, Dosim, 30, 4, 237-243)

Primary K_{air} (Flat Ion. Chamber) CMC *K*_{air} : u = **1.25 %** CMC *H**(10) : u = **1.5** %

Design of the target/filter/attenuator assembly:

The assembly is put on the LINAC head in the

18 MeV electron beam (Varian-Truebeam) to get a photon radiation protection beam with an average energy above 6 MeV.



(1) based on ICRU57 conversion coefficients, for energies above 10 MeV the conversion coefficients were calculated.

Air kerma primary measurements:

$$\dot{K_a} = \frac{I_{cor/monit}}{V \rho_{air}} (W/e)_{air} \prod_i k_i$$

: Air kerma rate normalized to monitor Gy / M.U.

*I*_{cor/Monit} : Corrected curent (ambient condition, BG, ...) normalized to monitor J / M.U. $(W/e)_{air}$: average energy to produce an ion-electron pair / electron charge ρ_{air} : Dry air density at 293,15 K and 1013,25 hPa kg V: Effective collection volume <u>Measured at the French primary lab. LNE</u>

 $\dot{K_a}$





 $\prod_i k_i$: Correction factors for the perturbation due to the IC itself (electron and photon fluence, attenuation diffusion in the ionization chamber wall, radiative air kerma)

= Gy / M.U.

 $I_{BG,XX}$: Average value of the current at the measurement point with the beam off. (M.U.) $\prod_i k_i$: Correction factors (humidity, X-Rays diffusion stick, capacitor temperature ...).

Conclusions and perspectives:

- > The calibration point is fixed at 3 m from the copper target to get a good comprised solution between scattered and direct beam components. The profile of the beam is not as flat as foreseen, a maximum 2.4% variation is terms of air kerma is found for a beam diameter of 18 cm.
- > The standard uncertainty on the calibration coef. of the LINAC monitor is 2,3% (including 0.5% due to the primary chamber measurement). The standard uncertainty on the calibration coef. of a customer dosemeter is 2.4% (for ionization chambers). > Ionization chambers and passive dosemeters can be calibrated in this radiation beam, but other dosemeters based on proportional counter or G.M. cannot be calibrated. The next step of the study is to improve the flatness of the beam profile.