

Closed string theory without level-matching at the free level

Harold Erbin, Maxime Médevielle

► To cite this version:

Harold Erbin, Maxime Médevielle. Closed string theory without level-matching at the free level. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2023, 2023 (3), pp.91. 10.1007/JHEP03(2023)091. cea-04077592

HAL Id: cea-04077592 https://cea.hal.science/cea-04077592

Submitted on 21 Apr 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution | 4.0 International License

Published for SISSA by 🖉 Springer

RECEIVED: October 2, 2022 REVISED: February 21, 2023 ACCEPTED: February 28, 2023 PUBLISHED: March 14, 2023

Closed string theory without level-matching at the free level

Harold Erbin^{*a,b,c,1*} and Maxime Médevielle^{*d*}

E-mail: erbin@mit.edu, maxime.medevielle@liverpool.ac.uk

ABSTRACT: In its traditional form, the string field in closed string field theory is constrained by the level-matching condition, which is imposed beside the action. By analogy with the similar problem for the Ramond sector, it was understood by Okawa and Sakaguchi how to lift this condition and work with unconstrained field by introducing spurious free fields. These authors also pointed out that new backgrounds may exist thanks to a new gauge field which is trivial on flat space, but can generate fluxes on a toroidal background. In this paper, we perform a complete study of the free theory at the tachyonic and massless levels with the aim of setting the stage for studying backgrounds without level-matching.

KEYWORDS: String Field Theory, Bosonic Strings

ARXIV EPRINT: 2209.05585



^a Center for Theoretical Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, U.S.A.

^bNSF AI Institute for Artificial Intelligence and Fundamental Interactions, Cambridge, MA 02139, U.S.A.

^c Université Paris Saclay, CEA, LIST,

Gif-sur-Yvette, F-91191, France

^dDepartment of Mathematical Sciences, University of Liverpool, Peach Street, Liverpool L69 7ZL, U.K.

¹Corresponding author.

Contents

1	1 Introduction		
2	Closed string field theory without level-matching		
	2.1	Closed string field theory	2
	2.2	Removing the level-matching condition	4
3	Tachyonic and massless spacetime actions		
	3.1	Level expansion	7
	3.2	Level $(0, 0)$ — level-matched tachyonic fields	9
		3.2.1 Fields	9
		3.2.2 Gauge invariance and field redefinition	10
		3.2.3 Equation of motion	10
		3.2.4 Action	11
	3.3	Level $(1, 0)$ — non-level-matched tachyonic fields	11
		3.3.1 Fields	11
		3.3.2 Gauge invariance and field redefinition	11
		3.3.3 Equation of motion	13
		3.3.4 Action	14
	3.4	Level $(1, 1)$ odd — level-matched massless fields	15
		3.4.1 Fields	15
		3.4.2 Gauge invariance and field redefinition	15
		3.4.3 Equation of motion	17
		3.4.4 Action	18
	3.5	Level $(1, 1)$ even — level-matched massless fields	18
		3.5.1 Fields	18
		3.5.2 Gauge invariance and field redefinition	19
		3.5.3 Equation of motion	20
		3.5.4 Action	21
	3.6	Level $(2, 0)$ — non-level-matched massless fields	21
		3.6.1 Field	21
		3.6.2 Gauge invariance and field redefinition	22
		3.6.3 Equation of motion	23
		3.6.4 Action	24
4 Discussion			25
A Useful formulas			26

1 Introduction

Physical closed string states satisfy the level-matching condition [1-5]

$$L_0^- := L_0 - \bar{L}_0 = 0, \tag{1.1a}$$

where L_0^- generates translation along the periodic spatial direction σ of the string using cylinder coordinates. This is necessary to fix the origin of σ when parametrizing the closed string worldsheet: indeed, there is no natural gauge fixing condition and this must be imposed as a constraint. In the BRST formalism, one needs to impose the equivalent condition on the associated *b*-ghost:

$$b_0^- := b_0 - b_0 = 0. \tag{1.1b}$$

This condition extends to off-shell states by investigating the properties of off-shell amplitudes: metrics in patches whose coordinates differ by a phase cannot be distinguished (rotations in the complex plane are generated by L_0^-). A corollary is that the antibracket from the BV algebra on the moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces with general local coordinates is degenerate [6]. Moreover, moduli space topology implies that no global choice of local coordinates exists, making off-shell amplitudes without level-matching multi-valued. Finally, (1.1) becomes clearly apparent in string field theory (SFT) [5, 7–9]: the propagator obtained by factorizing amplitudes in Siegel gauge contains a factor $b_0^- \delta(L_0^-)$. Inverting the propagator gives a degenerate kinetic term if the string field does not obey (1.1) and, while the degeneracy due to the Siegel gauge condition $b_0^+ := b_0 + \bar{b}_0 = 0$ can be lifted by restoring the gauge invariance, this does not appear to be possible for the level-matching condition. Similarly, the natural inner-product between closed string states (used for example to define string products from interaction vertices) includes a factor $c_0^-\delta(L_0^-)$.

This situation is not completely satisfactory. Indeed, fields in a fundamental theory should arguably not be restricted by any condition outside representation theory, and all constraints should derive from dynamics or gauge fixing. Additionally, constraints can be understood as degrees of freedom integrated out, which makes the theory more complicated than it should be [10, p. 28]. Recently, it was understood by Okawa and Sakaguchi [11, 12] that the level-matching conditions (1.1) can be lifted by introducing a new spurious field (see also [13, p. 10]). This mirrors exactly what happens when attempting to describe the Ramond sector in the superstring theory. While the kinetic term for the NS sector is not degenerate (after imposing the level-matching condition for the closed superstring), it is not the case for the Ramond sector. One solution is to impose constraints on the field and define a non-degenerate inner-product [10, 14, 15], which is formally the same as imposing the level-matching conditions. Another approach was proposed in [9, 16-18], where it was shown that a free field could be introduced to write an unconstrained Ramond field. This new spurious field has a non-trivial kinetic term and seems to couple to the original string field, but it can be shown that it describes only free degrees of freedom. Moreover, the additional degrees of freedom in the unconstrained string field are not physical, and correspond to gauge and auxiliary fields. Given the analogy mentioned above, the same strategy can be followed to relax (1.1) [11, 12].

Removing the level-matching condition is interesting for several reasons. First, it was observed in [11, 12] that the additional fields, which are trivial in a flat background, can yield fluxes in a toroidal background. Hence, they can lead to new backgrounds whose physics should be explored, for example, its T-duality properties. Second, the level-matching condition descends to the weak constraint in double field theory [8], such that the same technique could be relevant to formulate double field theory without constraint. Finally, interacting closed SFT is non-polynomial and difficult to describe: removing the level-matching condition could help in finding new simpler formulations [10], ideally cubic. Indeed, this new formulation allows for more flexibility in writing the kinetic term as the operator B can be replaced by other operators (again, in full analogy with the superstring, where different kinetic terms are used) [11].

In this paper, we focus on free closed bosonic SFT. Our goal is to understand better this theory after removing the level-matching condition, with the long-term goal of studying T-duality and Buscher rules. We will expand the string field in terms of spacetime fields up to the massless sectors, compute the equations of motion, action and gauge transformations. The main additions of our work to [11, 12] are: 1) working out the massless even sector (containing the *B* field, which is needed to discuss *T*-duality), and the level (2,0), then 2) showing that fields are non-dynamical by using field redefinitions to reach a formulation transforming canonically under field redefinitions, 3) making explicit the reality condition on the new fields and gauge parameters, proving that the action is real.

In section 2, we will set up our notations and reproduce the derivation from [11, 12] of the SFT action without level-matching. In section 3, we compute the spacetime properties for the levels (0,0) (tachyon), (1,0) (non-level-matched tachyon), (1,1) (massless), (2,0) (non-level matched massless).

Note added. The current paper is scheduled to appear together with [11]. A large part of this work has been realized independently, using the results announced in [12]. However, the authors from [11] have shared their draft such that we could compare our results with theirs. We tried to provide reference to [11] for every result derived there, and we encourage the readers to read [11] first, as it provides more details on the construction, in particular, including interactions.

2 Closed string field theory without level-matching

In this section, we start by reviewing the free string field theory (SFT) for the closed bosonic string (section 2.1). In particular, we show how the level-matching condition (1.1) appears to be necessary to write the kinetic term. Afterwards, we will show how this condition can be removed by extending the space in which lives the string field (section 2.2).

2.1 Closed string field theory

String field theory is a second quantized version of worldsheet string theory. The string background on which the theory lives is described by a 2d theory made of a matter sector and a bc reparametrization ghost sector. In this paper, we consider a flat Minkowski

background with d = 26 free scalar fields X^{μ} ($\mu = 0, ..., d - 1$) for the matter sector. We provide the necessary CFT definitions and formulas in appendix A, and refer the reader to the literature for more details [5, 7, 9, 10].

The string field is a general element of the CFT Hilbert space restricted to states with ghost number 2 satisfying the level-matching condition (1.1):

$$N_{\rm gh}(\Psi) = 2, \qquad L_0^- |\Psi\rangle = b_0^- |\Psi\rangle = 0,$$
 (2.1)

together with the reality condition

$$|\Psi\rangle^{\dagger} = -\langle\Psi|\,,\tag{2.2}$$

where $\langle \Psi | := |\Psi \rangle^t$ is the BPZ conjugate of $|\Psi \rangle$ and the dagger denotes Euclidean conjugation (both defined in appendix A).

The classical action for the free closed bosonic SFT is:

$$S[\Psi] = \frac{1}{2} \langle \Psi | \,\delta(L_0^-) c_0^- Q_B | \Psi \rangle \,, \tag{2.3}$$

where Q_B is the BRST charge. This action is real thanks to (2.2). The c_0^- insertion is necessary to reach $N_{\rm gh} = 6$, as required by the ghost number anomaly on the sphere. Hence, the natural inner-product in closed string theory is $\langle \cdot | c_0^- | \cdot \rangle$.

The action (2.3) clearly shows why it is necessary to impose the level-matching condition (1.1). Indeed, an unconstrained field can be decomposed as:

$$|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi_{-}\rangle + c_{0}^{-} |\Psi_{+}\rangle, \qquad b_{0}^{-} |\Psi_{\pm}\rangle = 0.$$
(2.4)

Inserting such a field in the action yields a degenerate kinetic term, since $\langle \Psi | c_0^- = \langle \Psi_- | c_0^-$. The constraint $b_0^- |\Psi\rangle = 0$ implies that $\Psi_+ = 0$, and the kinetic term is well-defined.

The action is invariant under the gauge transformation

$$\delta_{\Lambda} \left| \Psi \right\rangle = Q_B \left| \Lambda \right\rangle, \tag{2.5}$$

where the gauge parameter satisfies the condition:

$$N_{\rm gh}(\Lambda) = 1, \qquad L_0^- |\Lambda\rangle = b_0^- |\Lambda\rangle = 0.$$
(2.6)

Physical states are solutions to the equation of motion

$$Q_B |\Psi\rangle = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

up to gauge transformations, since c_0^- is invertible in the subspace of level-matched states.

Not all Λ gauge parameters are independent, since the gauge transformation is trivial when $|\Lambda\rangle = Q_B |\Omega\rangle$. Indeed, $Q_B^2 = 0$ implies that Λ itself transforms as:

$$\delta_{\Omega} |\Lambda\rangle = Q_B |\Omega\rangle, \qquad N_{\rm gh}(\Omega) = 0, \qquad L_0^- |\Omega\rangle = b_0^- |\Omega\rangle = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

In turn, there is a gauge invariance of Ω , and this continues recusively: however, we will not need to consider more parameters in this paper.

The gauge symmetry (2.5) can be fixed with the Siegel condition:

$$b_0^+ |\Psi\rangle = 0. \tag{2.9}$$

In this case, the action (2.3) becomes

$$S_{\rm gf}[\Psi] = \frac{1}{2} \langle \Psi | \, \delta(L_0^-) c_0^- c_0^+ L_0^+ | \Psi \rangle \,, \qquad (2.10)$$

which allows identifying the propagator:

$$\Delta := \frac{b_0^+}{L_0^+} B, \qquad B := b_0^- \delta(L_0^-).$$
(2.11)

The string propagator is a cylinder with two moduli: a length (proper-time) s and a twist θ . Scale dilatations correspond to shifting s and are generated by L_0^+ , rotations are generated by L_0^- ; b_0^+ and b_0^- are the BRST anti-ghost modes corresponding to these transformations (in off-shell string theory, they arise from Beltrami differentials used to write a form on the moduli space). In momentum space, the first term in the r.h.s. becomes the well-known $(k^2 + m^2)^{-1}$ from local QFT (see section 3), while the second term *B* has no direct QFT interpretation.

Since $(Bc_0^-)^2 = Bc_0^-$, the operator B can be used to rewrite the level-matching condition (1.1) as a projection equation [11]:

$$Bc_0^- |\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle. \tag{2.12}$$

This is formally the same as the condition $XY |\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle$ for the Ramond sector superstring field (X and Y are so-called picture changing operators and are not needed in this paper) [10, 14, 15]. Note also that operator B commutes with the BRST operator:

$$\{Q_B, B\} = \delta(L_0^-) \{Q_B, b_0^-\} = \delta(L_0^-) L_0^- = 0.$$
(2.13)

2.2 Removing the level-matching condition

Given an operator K on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} which is not invertible, there are two ways to define a non-degenerate inner-product. The first, as we have seen above, is to restrict the Hilbert space to the subspace where K is invertible. The second runs in the opposite direction and consists in embedding \mathcal{H} into a higher-dimensional space \mathcal{H}_{ext} and defining a new operator A + BK (with A and B matrices) which is invertible in this space, without using K^{-1} . This idea was successfully applied to the Ramond sector in [16–18], and it was understood in [11, 12] that it can also be used for the level-matching condition. In the rest of this subsection, we summarize the results from [11, 12] relevant for the free theory, and refer the readers to them for more details.

Starting from the gauge-fixed action (2.10), we introduce a new spurious¹ field $\tilde{\Psi}$ and write

$$S_{\text{ext,gf}}[\Psi, \tilde{\Psi}] = \frac{1}{2} \langle \tilde{\Psi} | c_0^+ L_0^+ B | \tilde{\Psi} \rangle + \langle \tilde{\Psi} | c_0^+ L_0^+ | \Psi \rangle , \qquad (2.14)$$

¹This is not an auxiliary field because it is dynamical, whereas an auxiliary field would have an algebraic equation of motion. However, its main role is to behave like a Lagrange multiplier in the action, without affecting the dynamics since it remains free.

where we need

$$N_{\rm gh}(\tilde{\Psi}) = 3 \tag{2.15}$$

from the ghost anomaly on the sphere. The kinetic operator in the basis $(\tilde{\Psi}, \Psi)$ reads

$$K := c_0^+ L_0^+ \begin{pmatrix} B & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(2.16)

and its inverse is:

$$K^{-1} = \frac{b_0^+}{L_0^+} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 1 & B \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.17)

The lower-right component reproduces the propagator Δ between string fields (Ψ, Ψ) . Given this structure, it is clear that states which do not satisfy (1.1) have a non-trivial propagator, and the inner-product in the action is not degenerate.

It is straightforward to remove the Siegel gauge fixing condition to get the gauge invariant action:

$$S_{\text{ext}}[\Psi, \widetilde{\Psi}] = \frac{1}{2} \langle \widetilde{\Psi} | Q_B B | \widetilde{\Psi} \rangle + \langle \widetilde{\Psi} | Q_B | \Psi \rangle.$$
(2.18)

Both fields Ψ and $\tilde{\Psi}$ are completely unconstrained (except for the ghost number condition). The action is invariant under two sets of transformations:

$$\delta_{\Lambda} |\Psi\rangle = Q_B |\Lambda\rangle, \qquad \delta_{\Lambda} |\Psi\rangle = 0, \qquad (2.19a)$$

$$\delta_{\tilde{\Lambda}} |\Psi\rangle = 0, \qquad \qquad \delta_{\tilde{\Lambda}} |\tilde{\Psi}\rangle = Q_B |\tilde{\Lambda}\rangle, \qquad (2.19b)$$

where the gauge parameters have no constraints, except:

$$N_{\rm gh}(\Lambda) = 1, \qquad N_{\rm gh}(\Lambda) = 2.$$
 (2.20)

While we are not interested in interactions, let us note that they take the same form as in the constrained closed SFT, which means that $\tilde{\Psi}$ only appears in the quadratic terms. Like for (2.8), not all Λ and $\tilde{\Lambda}$ gauge parameters are independent [11]:

$$\delta_{\Omega} |\Lambda\rangle = Q_B |\Omega\rangle, \qquad N_{\rm gh}(\Omega) = 0, \qquad \delta_{\tilde{\Omega}} |\tilde{\Lambda}\rangle = Q_B |\tilde{\Omega}\rangle, \qquad N_{\rm gh}(\tilde{\Omega}) = 1. \tag{2.21}$$

Like before, the second-order parameters have themselves a gauge invariance, and so on.

We can recover the original action (2.3) for level-matched components by imposing the following constraints:

$$\left|\tilde{\Psi}\right\rangle = -\delta(L_0^-) c_0^- \left|\Psi\right\rangle, \qquad L_0^- \left|\Psi\right\rangle = L_0^- \left|\tilde{\Psi}\right\rangle = 0, \qquad b_0^- \left|\Psi\right\rangle = c_0^- \left|\tilde{\Psi}\right\rangle = 0, \qquad (2.22)$$

where the sign in the first condition is a consequence of c_0^- being BPZ odd. Note that, even though the gauge symmetry is larger in the theory without level-matching (because of the additional parameters $\delta(L_0^-) |\Lambda\rangle$, $b_0^- |\Lambda\rangle$ and $|\tilde{\Lambda}\rangle$), the original theory cannot be reached by gauge fixing because the field combinations above are generically not BRST closed.² Rather, they are analogue to self-duality constraints which must be imposed beside the action. We

²We thank Ted Erler for discussions.

want to stress that we are not setting to zero all the degrees of freedom in Ψ , since this would be inconsistent with the non-linear equations of motion, but also remove the kinetic term in the action (free or interacting). Instead, we set those free fields components equal to the ones of Ψ . This is exemplified at level (0,0) in section 3.2.4. Exactly the same holds for the superstring, where the original theory is recovered by setting $\tilde{\Psi} = \Psi$ (NS sector) and $\tilde{\Psi} = Y\Psi$ (R sector), which is equivalent to the condition $\mathcal{G}\tilde{\Psi} = \Psi$ [16] for fields satisfying $\Psi = XY\Psi$ [15] (where X and Y are PCO operators, \mathcal{G} is the identity in the NS sector, and X in the Ramond sector).

The action (2.18) is real if:

$$|\Psi\rangle^{\dagger} = -\langle\Psi|, \qquad |\widetilde{\Psi}\rangle^{\dagger} = \langle\widetilde{\Psi}|. \qquad (2.23)$$

Indeed, we have:

$$\begin{split} \left< \widetilde{\Psi}, Q_B B \widetilde{\Psi} \right>^{\dagger} &= \left< B Q_B \widetilde{\Psi}^{\dagger}, \widetilde{\Psi}^{\dagger} \right> = \left< B Q_B \widetilde{\Psi}, \widetilde{\Psi} \right> = - \left< \widetilde{\Psi}, B Q_B \widetilde{\Psi} \right> = \left< \widetilde{\Psi}, Q_B B \widetilde{\Psi} \right>, \\ \left< \widetilde{\Psi}, Q_B \Psi \right>^{\dagger} &= \left< Q_B \Psi^{\dagger}, \widetilde{\Psi}^{\dagger} \right> = - \left< Q_B \Psi, \widetilde{\Psi} \right> = \left< \widetilde{\Psi}, Q_B \Psi \right>. \end{split}$$

For the first equation, we took the Euclidean conjugate in the first step, using that Q_B and B are Euclidean self-adjoint. Then, we inserted the reality conditions (2.23), used the relation (A.32) (there is a sign since $\tilde{\Psi}$ and $BQ_B\tilde{\Psi}$ are both Grassmann-odd), and finally that B and Q_B anti-commute with each other. The second derivation is similar. This can be expected since $N_{\rm gh}(\tilde{\Psi}) = 3$, which means that the Euclidean conjugate of $\tilde{\Psi}$ contains one additional c ghost compared to Ψ , giving an additional sign in the BPZ conjugate given (A.28). This condition was not discussed in full generality in [11], but agrees with the conditions on the level expansion, assuming that all spacetime fields are real. Note that it is not the same condition as in [19], since the spurious fields for the Ramond sector have $N_{\rm gh} = 2$.

The gauge parameters obey the following reality conditions:

$$|\Lambda\rangle^{\dagger} = -\langle\Lambda|, \qquad |\widetilde{\Lambda}\rangle^{\dagger} = -\langle\widetilde{\Lambda}|.$$
 (2.24)

To obtain these relations, we impose that $Q_B |\Lambda\rangle$ and $Q_B |\tilde{\Lambda}\rangle$ have the same transformation as Ψ and $\tilde{\Psi}$ respectively:

$$(Q_B |\Lambda\rangle)^{\dagger} = \langle \Lambda^{\dagger} | Q_B, \qquad \langle Q_B \Lambda | = -\langle \Lambda | Q_B^t = \langle \Lambda | Q_B, (Q_B |\tilde{\Lambda}\rangle)^{\dagger} = \langle \tilde{\Lambda}^{\dagger} | Q_B, \qquad \langle Q_B \tilde{\Lambda} | = \langle \tilde{\Lambda} | Q_B^t = -\langle \tilde{\Lambda} | Q_B.$$

For Λ , we used that Q_B is Euclidean self-adjoint (first equation), and that it is BPZ odd and anti-commutes with Λ since $N_{\rm gh}(\Lambda) = 1$ (second equation). Matching with (2.23) implies the relation above for Λ . The only difference with $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is that $N_{\rm gh}(\tilde{\Lambda}) = 2$, such that its state commutes with Q_B , and we need a different sign for the reality condition.

The equations of motion obtained by varying (2.18) with respect to Ψ and Ψ :

$$Q_B B \left| \Psi \right\rangle + Q_B \left| \Psi \right\rangle = 0, \qquad (2.25a)$$

$$Q_B \left| \tilde{\Psi} \right\rangle = \left| J(\Psi) \right\rangle. \tag{2.25b}$$

We have added a Ψ -dependent source (which would get replaced by interactions in the full theory) for illustrating why $\tilde{\Psi}$ contains only free degrees of freedom. Multiplying the second equation by B and using the first equation to substitute $\tilde{\Psi}$, we get (remember that Q_B anti-commutes with B)

$$Q_B |\Psi\rangle = B |J(\Psi)\rangle. \tag{2.26}$$

This reproduces the equation of motion (2.7) for the field Ψ with a source $B|J(\Psi)\rangle$ when Ψ satisfies (1.1). For the full proof of equivalence in the presence of a source, we refer the reader to [11]. Once a solution for Ψ is found from the previous equation, the second equation of motion fixes $\tilde{\Psi}$ up to a free field. As a consequence, both theories with and without level-matching are perturbatively equivalent.

3 Tachyonic and massless spacetime actions

The objective of this section is to provide an extensive analysis of tachyonic and massless sectors of the free closed SFT. To achieve this, we perform a level expansion of the string field, impose reality conditions, and compute the action (2.18) together with the equations of motion and gauge transformations.

Next, in order to show that the additional spacetime fields are not physical, we perform field redefinitions to work with fields which have canonical gauge transformations. Indeed, it is well-known that the spacetime fields appearing in the string field expansion have non-standard gauge transformations, and one must perform appropriate field redefinitions to put them in a canonical form [20-24]. One possible strategy is to start with the field whose gauge transformation has the lowest power of momentum, and then modify the other fields to make them invariant.

This section provides the new results of this paper: while the levels (0,0) and (1,0), and the odd sector of (1,1) have been worked out in [11], we use a different approach to show that the new fields are not physical (in flat space). Moreover, we also consider the even sector of the level (1,1), as well as the level (2,0). CFT formulas used in this section are gathered in appendix A.

We have made the computations with Cadabra [25, 26]. The code can be found at github:teaduality/closed-sft-without-level-matching.

3.1 Level expansion

Let's start by focusing first on the SFT with level-matching (section 2.1). We decompose the string field Ψ in eigenstates of the level operators (N, \bar{N}) and of the momentum operator p as:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d k}{(2\pi)^d} |\Psi(k)\rangle, \qquad |\Psi(k)\rangle = \sum_{\ell,\bar{\ell}\geq 0} |\Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k)\rangle, \qquad (3.1)$$

where

$$p |\Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k)\rangle = k |\Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k)\rangle,$$

$$N |\Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k)\rangle = \ell |\Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k)\rangle, \qquad \bar{N} |\Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k)\rangle = \bar{\ell} |\Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k)\rangle.$$

$$(3.2)$$

This implies:

$$L_{0}^{+} |\Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k)\rangle = \left(\frac{\alpha'k^{2}}{2} + \ell + \bar{\ell} - 2\right), \qquad L_{0}^{-} |\Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k)\rangle = (\ell - \bar{\ell}) |\Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k)\rangle.$$
(3.3)

We will often omit the momentum dependence when there is no ambiguity. The BPZ conjugate are defined as:

$$\langle \Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k) | := |\Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k)\rangle^t. \tag{3.4}$$

The reality condition (2.2) becomes

$$|\Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k)\rangle^{\dagger} = -\langle\Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(-k)|.$$
(3.5)

Since N and \overline{N} commute with the BRST charge and $c_{\overline{0}}^-$, the fields at different levels are orthogonal, such that the action splits into a single sum over ℓ and $\overline{\ell}$ of $S[\Psi_{\ell,\overline{\ell}}]$. Moreover, fields with different worldsheet parity are orthogonal, so it is possible to separate each term $S_{\ell,\overline{\ell}}$ even further into even and odd sectors. The final simplification is achieved by noting that the inner-product is proportional to $\delta^{(d)}(k+k')$ from (A.30), such that there is a single integral over momentum. Thus, the action can be written as:

$$S = \sum_{\ell,\bar{\ell}\geq 0} \left(S^+_{\ell,\bar{\ell}} + S^-_{\ell,\bar{\ell}} \right),$$

$$S^{\pm}_{\ell,\bar{\ell}} := \frac{1}{2V} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \left\langle \Psi^{\pm}_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(-k) \right| c^-_0 Q_B \left| \Psi^{\pm}_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k) \right\rangle,$$
(3.6)

where V is the spacetime volume (to cancel $\delta^{(d)}(0)$ from the momentum inner-product), and Ψ^+ and Ψ^- are respectively even and odd under worldsheet parity:

$$\Omega |\Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}^{\pm}(k)\rangle = \pm |\Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}^{\pm}(k)\rangle.$$
(3.7)

We will omit the parity index for levels where the field has a definite parity, and in generic formulas below.

The equation of motion of a given component is simply:

$$Q_B \left| \Psi_{\ell \,\bar{\ell}} \right\rangle = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

The gauge parameters are expanded in the same manner:

$$|\Lambda\rangle = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d k}{(2\pi)^d} |\Lambda(k)\rangle, \qquad |\Lambda(k)\rangle = \sum_{\ell,\bar{\ell}\geq 0} |\Lambda_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k)\rangle, \qquad (3.9)$$

and also satisfy the reality condition:

$$|\Lambda_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k)\rangle^{\dagger} = \langle \Lambda_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(-k)|.$$
(3.10)

Then, string field components transform as:

$$\delta_{\Lambda} |\Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}\rangle = Q_B |\Lambda_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}\rangle. \tag{3.11}$$

The same considerations apply for the parameter Ω in (2.8), but it will be non-trivial only for the even sector of the level (1, 1) (for other levels, it is not possible to satisfy all the constraints).

In the theory without level-matching (section 2.2), we also expand Ψ and Λ in level, momentum, and parity eigenstates. All formulas are similar to the one above, except for the action [11]:

$$S_{\text{ext}} = \sum_{\ell,\bar{\ell}\ge0} \left(S_{\text{ext},\ell,\bar{\ell}}^+ + S_{\text{ext},\ell,\bar{\ell}}^- \right), \tag{3.12a}$$

$$S_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}^{\pm} := \frac{1}{V} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}^{\mp}(-k) \right| Q_B B \left| \tilde{\Psi}_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}^{\mp}(-k) \right\rangle + \left\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}^{\mp}(-k) \right| Q_B \left| \Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}^{\pm}(-k) \right\rangle \right], \quad (3.12b)$$

and reality condition:

$$|\Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k)\rangle^{\dagger} = -\langle \Psi_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(-k)|, \qquad |\widetilde{\Psi}_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(k)\rangle^{\dagger} = \langle \widetilde{\Psi}_{\ell,\bar{\ell}}(-k)|.$$
(3.13)

The even-parity component of $\tilde{\Psi}$ couples with the odd-parity part of Ψ because of the difference in ghost numbers. By convention, the sign superscript on the action corresponds to the parity of the physical string field Ψ . Note that the first vanishes for fields which are not level-matched since B contains $\delta(L_0^-)$.

We will not discuss the equations of motion for the spurious field Ψ , with the exception of the level (0,0) and (1,0) as illustrations of the field redefinitions (see [11] for a detailed analysis). For the same reason, we do not search for field redefinitions of those fields.

3.2 Level (0, 0) — level-matched tachyonic fields

3.2.1 Fields

Physical string field. The string field reads:

$$|\Psi_{0,0}(k)\rangle = T(k) |k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle, \qquad (3.14)$$

where T(k) is the tachyon, and $|k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle := c_1 \bar{c}_1 |k, 0\rangle$ is the ghost energy vacuum, built on top of the SL(2, \mathbb{C}) vacuum (appendix A). The Euclidean and BPZ conjugates are:

$$|\Psi_{0,0}(k)\rangle^{\dagger} = -T(k)^{*}\langle k, -|, \qquad \langle \Psi_{0,0}(k)| = T(k)\langle -k, -|, \qquad (3.15)$$

such that the reality condition (2.23) implies:

$$T(k)^* = T(-k).$$
 (3.16)

Spurious string field. The spurious field is:

$$|\tilde{\Psi}_{0,0}\rangle = \left(A_{+}(k) c_{0}^{+} + A_{-}(k) c_{0}^{-}\right) |k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle.$$
 (3.17)

The Euclidean and BPZ conjugates are:

$$|\tilde{\Psi}_{0,0}(k)\rangle^{\dagger} = -\langle k, -| \left(A_{+}(k)^{*} c_{0}^{+} + A_{-}(k)^{*} c_{0}^{-} \right),$$

$$\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{0,0}(k)| = -\langle -k, -| \left(A_{+}(k) c_{0}^{+} + A_{-}(k) c_{0}^{-} \right),$$
(3.18)

and the reality condition (2.23) implies that the spacetime fields are real:

$$T(k)^* = T(-k).$$
 (3.19)

For the other levels, we will not work out the BPZ and Euclidean conjugates nor the reality condition for the physical and spurious fields, since it is simple to compute them.

3.2.2 Gauge invariance and field redefinition

Physical string field. The string field has no gauge transformation:

$$\delta |\Psi_{0,0}(k)\rangle = 0 \implies \delta T(k) = 0. \tag{3.20}$$

Spurious string field. The spurious field has the following gauge parameter:

$$|\tilde{\Lambda}_{(0,0)}(k)\rangle = \alpha(k) |k, -\rangle, \qquad (3.21)$$

with $\alpha(k)$ real. We get the gauge transformations by acting with Q_B :

$$\delta \left| \tilde{\Psi}_{(0,0)} \right\rangle = Q_B \left| \tilde{\Lambda}_{(0,0)} \right\rangle = \left(\frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} - 2 \right) \alpha(k) c_0^+ \left| k, \downarrow \downarrow \right\rangle, \qquad (3.22)$$

which gives:

$$\delta A_{+}(k) = \left(\frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} - 2\right) \alpha(k), \qquad \delta A_{-}(k) = 0.$$
 (3.23)

3.2.3 Equation of motion

Physical string field. We have

$$Q_B |\Psi_{0,0}\rangle = \left(\frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} - 2\right) T(k) c_0^+ |k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle, \qquad (3.24)$$

which gives:

$$0 = \left(k^2 - \frac{4}{\alpha'}\right)T(k). \tag{3.25}$$

One recognizes the equation of motion for a tachyonic scalar with mass $m^2 = -4/\alpha'$.

Spurious string field. We have

$$Q_B |\tilde{\Psi}_{0,0}\rangle = \left(-\frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} + 2\right) A_-(k) c_0^- c_0^+ |k, -\rangle, \qquad (3.26)$$

which gives

$$0 = \left(k^2 - \frac{4}{\alpha'}\right) A_{-}(k).$$
 (3.27)

This is also the equation of motion of a tachyonic scalar of the same mass. The field A_+ has no equation of motion, and it is pure gauge off-shell, according to (3.23).

3.2.4 Action

The action reads:

$$S_{0,0} = -\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \left[\frac{1}{2} A_-(-k) \left(\frac{\alpha' k^2}{4} - 1 \right) A_-(k) + A_-(-k) \left(\frac{\alpha' k^2}{4} - 1 \right) T(k) \right].$$
(3.28)

We see that the auxiliary field A_+ does not appear inside, which is expected since it does not have any equation of motion. The reason is that T and A_+ are odd under the worldsheet parity, and A_- is even: from (3.6), it implies that A_- cannot couple to any physical field.

Setting $A_{-} = -T$ as given in (2.22) gives the usual action for the tachyon in Euclidean signature:

$$S_{0,0} = \frac{\alpha'}{8} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d k}{(2\pi)^d} T(-k) \left(k^2 - \frac{4}{\alpha'}\right) T(k).$$
(3.29)

Varying this equation gives the equation (3.25) as needed. We see that the action can be canonically normalized if one defines $T \to 2T/\sqrt{\alpha'}$. Note that the action above is not obtained by integrating out $A_{-} = -2T$, since it gives a vanishing action.

3.3 Level (1, 0) — non-level-matched tachyonic fields

3.3.1 Fields

Physical string field. The string field reads:³

$$|\Psi_{1,0}(k)\rangle = \left(iD_{\mu}(k)\,\alpha_{-1}^{\mu} + C_{+}(k)\,c_{0}^{+}b_{-1} + C_{-}(k)\,c_{0}^{-}b_{-1}\right)|k,\downarrow\downarrow\rangle\,.$$
(3.30)

All fields are correctly real.

Spurious string field. The spurious field is:

$$|\tilde{\Psi}_{1,0}\rangle = \left(E(k)\,c_0^- c_0^+ b_{-1} + J(k)\,c_{-1} + \mathrm{i}F_\mu(k)\,c_0^- \alpha_{-1}^\mu + \mathrm{i}H_\mu(k)\,c_0^+ \alpha_{-1}^\mu\right)|k,\downarrow\downarrow\rangle.$$
(3.31)

3.3.2 Gauge invariance and field redefinition

Physical string field. The gauge parameter reads:

$$|\Lambda_{1,0}(k)\rangle = \lambda(k) \, b_{-1} \, |k, \downarrow \downarrow\rangle \,. \tag{3.32}$$

The action of Q_B gives

$$Q_B |\Lambda_{1,0}\rangle = \lambda(k) \left[\left(\frac{\alpha'}{2} k^2 - 1 \right) c_0^+ b_{-1} + c_0^- b_{-1} + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} k \cdot \alpha_{-1} \right] |k, \downarrow \downarrow \rangle, \qquad (3.33)$$

which gives the gauge transformations of each spacetime fields:

$$\delta D_{\mu}(k) = -\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k_{\mu} \lambda(k), \qquad \delta C_{+}(k) = \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2} k^{2} - 1\right) \lambda(k), \qquad \delta C_{-}(k) = \lambda(k). \tag{3.34}$$

³We use the basis (c_0^+, c_0^-) , while [11] uses (c_0, \bar{c}_0) .

In the gauge transformations above, C_{-} has no power of k, which suggests the following field redefinitions:

$$\bar{C}_{-}(k) = C_{-}(k), \qquad \bar{C}_{+}(k) = C_{+}(k) + C_{-}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k^{\mu} D_{\mu}(k),$$

$$\bar{D}_{\mu}(k) = D_{\mu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k_{\mu} C_{-}(k),$$
(3.35)

such that

$$\delta \bar{C}_{-}(k) = \lambda(k), \qquad \delta \bar{C}_{+}(k) = \delta \bar{D}_{\mu}(k) = 0. \tag{3.36}$$

Note that C_+ is a Nakanishi-Lautrup (NL) field for D_{μ} , but it is not interesting to exploit this property here. In terms of these new variables, the string field is:

$$\begin{split} |\Psi_{1,0}(k)\rangle &= \left[\mathrm{i}\bar{D}_{\mu}(k) \left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu} + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \, k^{\mu} \, c_{0}^{+} b_{-1} \right) + \bar{C}_{+}(k) \, c_{0}^{+} b_{-1} \\ &+ \bar{C}_{-}(k) \left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \, k_{\mu} \alpha_{-1}^{\mu} + \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2} \, k^{2} - 1 \right) c_{0}^{+} b_{-1} + c_{0}^{-} b_{-1} \right) \right] |k, \downarrow \downarrow \rangle \,. \end{split}$$

$$(3.37)$$

Spurious string field. The gauge parameter of the spurious field is:

$$\left|\tilde{\Lambda}_{1,0}(k)\right\rangle = \left(\gamma(k) c_0^+ b_{-1} + \zeta(k) c_0^- b_{-1} + \mathrm{i}\epsilon_\mu(k) \alpha_{-1}^\mu\right) \left|k, \downarrow\downarrow\right\rangle.$$
(3.38)

The gauge parameter for the gauge transformation is

$$|\Omega_{1,0}(k)\rangle = \sigma(k) \, b_{-1} \, |k, \downarrow \downarrow \rangle \,, \tag{3.39}$$

such that

$$\delta\gamma(k) = \left(\frac{\alpha'k^2}{2} - 1\right)\sigma(k), \qquad \delta\zeta(k) = \sigma(k), \qquad \delta\epsilon_{\mu}(k) = -\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}\,\mathrm{i}k_{\mu}\sigma(k). \tag{3.40}$$

This suggests the following redefinitions for the parameters:

$$\bar{\gamma}(k) = \gamma(k) - \left(\frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} - 1\right) \zeta(k), \qquad \bar{\zeta}(k) = \zeta(k),$$

$$\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}(k) = \epsilon_{\mu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k_{\mu} \zeta(k).$$
(3.41)

The action of Q_B gives the following gauge transformations:

$$\delta E(k) = \gamma(k) - \left(\frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} - 1\right) \zeta(k),$$

$$\delta J(k) = -\gamma(k) - \zeta(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k^{\mu} \epsilon_{\mu}(k),$$

$$\delta F_{\mu}(k) = \epsilon_{\mu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k_{\mu} \zeta(k),$$

$$\delta H_{\mu}(k) = \left(\frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} - 1\right) \epsilon_{\mu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k_{\mu} \gamma(k).$$

(3.42)

We perform the change of variables for the gauge parameters, gauge fixing $\zeta = 0$, which gives:

$$\delta E(k) = \bar{\gamma}(k), \qquad \delta J(k) = -\bar{\gamma}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \,\mathrm{i}k^{\mu}\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}(k),$$

$$\delta F_{\mu}(k) = \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}(k), \quad \delta H_{\mu}(k) = \left(\frac{\alpha'k^2}{2} - 1\right)\bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \,\mathrm{i}k_{\mu}\bar{\gamma}(k).$$
(3.43)

We perform the field redefinitions

$$\bar{E}(k) = E(k), \qquad \bar{J}(k) = E(k) + J(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \,\mathrm{i}k^{\mu}F_{\mu}(k),$$

$$\bar{F}_{\mu}(k) = F_{\mu}(k), \quad \bar{H}_{\mu}(k) = H_{\mu}(k) - \left(\frac{\alpha'k^2}{2} - 1\right)F_{\mu}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \,\mathrm{i}k_{\mu}E(k),$$
(3.44)

such that

$$\delta \bar{E}(k) = \bar{\gamma}(k), \qquad \delta \bar{F}_{\mu}(k) = \bar{\epsilon}_{\mu}(k), \qquad \delta \bar{J}(k) = \delta \bar{H}_{\mu}(k) = 0. \tag{3.45}$$

3.3.3 Equation of motion

Physical string field. We have

$$Q_{B} |\Psi_{1,0}\rangle = \left[\left(\frac{\alpha'}{2} k^{2} - 1 \right) i D_{\mu}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} k_{\mu} C_{+}(k) \right] \alpha_{-1}^{\mu} c_{0}^{+} |k, \downarrow \downarrow\rangle + \left[i D_{\mu}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} k_{\mu} C_{-}(k) \right] \alpha_{-1}^{\mu} c_{0}^{-} |k, \downarrow \downarrow\rangle + \left[C_{+}(k) - \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2} k^{2} - 1 \right) C_{-}(k) \right] c_{0}^{-} c_{0}^{+} b_{-1} |k, \downarrow \downarrow\rangle + \left[\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k^{\mu} D_{\mu}(k) - C_{+}(k) - C_{-}(k) \right] c_{-1} |k, \downarrow \downarrow\rangle,$$
(3.46)

which gives the equations of motion:

$$0 = \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}k^2 - 1\right)D_{\mu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}ik_{\mu}C_{+}(k), \quad 0 = D_{\mu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}ik_{\mu}C_{-}(k),$$

$$0 = \left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}k^2 - 1\right)C_{-}(k) - C_{+}(k), \quad 0 = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}ik^{\mu}D_{\mu}(k) - C_{+}(k) - C_{-}(k).$$
(3.47)

In terms of the redefined fields (3.35), the equations of motion become, after simplification:

$$0 = \bar{C}_{+}(k) = \bar{D}_{\mu}(k). \tag{3.48}$$

Note that $\bar{C}_{-}(k)$ does not appear anymore, which is normal since it can be completely gauge fixed, this shows that there are no dynamical degrees of freedom as expected.

Spurious string field. The equations of motion are:

$$0 = J(k) + E(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\mu} F_{\mu}(k),$$

$$0 = H_{\mu}(k) - \left(\frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} - 1\right) F_{\mu}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik_{\mu} E(k),$$

$$0 = -\left(\frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} - 1\right) J(k) + E(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\mu} H_{\mu}(k).$$

(3.49)

We can now perform the field redefinitions and set $\bar{E} = \bar{H}_{\mu} = 0$ with a gauge transformation:

$$0 = \left(\frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} - 1\right) J(k), \qquad 0 = \left(\frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} - 1\right) F_{\mu}(k),$$

$$0 = J(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k^{\mu} F_{\mu}(k).$$

(3.50)

This corresponds to free equations of motion for J and F_{μ} as it should for the spurious fields. The additional constraint means that J describes the spin 0 component of the massive vector field F_{μ} .

3.3.4 Action

The action is

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}} \left[E(-k) \left(-C_{+}(k) - C_{-}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \mathrm{i}k^{\mu}D_{\mu}(k) \right) + J(-k) \left(-C_{+}(k) + \left(\frac{\alpha'k^{2}}{2} - 1\right)C_{-}(k) \right) - F^{\mu}(-k) \left(\left(\frac{\alpha'k^{2}}{2} - 1\right)D_{\mu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \mathrm{i}k_{\mu}C_{+}(k) \right) + H^{\mu}(-k) \left(D_{\mu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \mathrm{i}k_{\mu}C_{-}(k) \right) \right].$$
(3.51)

Note that we cannot integrate out the spurious field to get an action reproducing the equations of motion (3.47). Performing the field redefinitions (3.35) and (3.44), the action becomes:

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}} \left[-\bar{E}(-k)\bar{C}_{+}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \bar{F}_{\mu}(-k)\mathrm{i}k_{\mu}\bar{C}_{+}(k) - \left(\bar{J}(-k) - \bar{E}(-k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \mathrm{i}k^{\mu}\bar{F}_{\mu}(-k)\right) + \left(\bar{J}(-k) - \bar{E}(-k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \mathrm{i}k^{\mu}\bar{D}_{\mu}(k)\right) + \left(\bar{C}_{+}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \mathrm{i}k^{\mu}\bar{D}_{\mu}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \mathrm{i}k_{\mu}\bar{E}(-k)\right)\bar{D}_{\mu}(k) \right].$$

$$(3.52)$$

Note that \bar{C}_{-} does not appear at all in the action.

3.4 Level (1, 1) odd — level-matched massless fields

3.4.1 Fields

Physical string field. The string field reads:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_{1,1}^{-}(k)\rangle &= \left(G_{\mu\nu}(k)\left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\nu} + \alpha_{-1}^{\nu}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu}\right) + \mathrm{i}E_{\mu}^{-}(k)c_{0}^{+}\left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{b}_{-1} + b_{-1}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu}\right) \\ &+ \mathrm{i}A_{\mu}^{-}(k)c_{0}^{-}\left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{b}_{-1} - b_{-1}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu}\right) + D(k)\left(b_{-1}\bar{c}_{-1} - c_{-1}\bar{b}_{-1}\right) \\ &+ B(k)c_{0}^{-}c_{0}^{+}b_{-1}\bar{b}_{-1}\right)|k,\downarrow\downarrow\rangle, \end{aligned}$$
(3.53)

where $G_{\mu\nu} = G_{\nu\mu}$ corresponds to the metric, D to the ghost-dilaton, and E_{μ}^{-} to the NL field associated with diffeomorphisms. All fields are correctly real.

Spurious string field. The spurious field is:

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{\Psi}_{1,1}^{+}\rangle &= \left(M(k) \left(b_{-1}\bar{c}_{-1} - c_{-1}\bar{b}_{-1} \right)c_{0}^{-} + N(k) \left(b_{-1}\bar{c}_{-1} + c_{-1}\bar{b}_{-1} \right)c_{0}^{+} \right. \\ &+ \mathrm{i}P_{\mu}(k) \left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{b}_{-1} + b_{-1}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu} \right)c_{0}^{-}c_{0}^{+} + \mathrm{i}Q_{\mu}(k) \left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{c}_{-1} - c_{-1}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu} \right) \\ &+ R_{\mu\nu}(k) \left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\nu} + \alpha_{-1}^{\nu}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu} \right)c_{0}^{-} \\ &+ S_{\mu\nu}(k) \left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\nu} - \alpha_{-1}^{\nu}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu} \right)c_{0}^{+} \right) |k,\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \,. \end{split}$$
(3.54)

All fields are correctly real.

3.4.2 Gauge invariance and field redefinition

Physical string field. The gauge parameter reads:

$$|\Lambda_{1,1}^{-}(k)\rangle = \left(\chi^{-}(k)\,c_{0}^{-}b_{-1}\bar{b}_{-1} + \mathrm{i}\xi_{\mu}^{-}(k)\left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{b}_{-1} + b_{-1}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu}\right)\right)|k,\downarrow\downarrow\rangle\,,\tag{3.55}$$

and its components are real.

The gauge transformations we obtain by acting with Q_B are

$$\delta B(k) = -\frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} \chi^-(k), \qquad \delta E^-_{\mu}(k) = -\frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} \xi^-_{\mu}(k), \delta D(k) = \chi^-(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k^{\mu} \xi^-_{\mu}(k), \qquad \delta A^-_{\mu}(k) = -\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k_{\mu} \chi^-(k), \qquad (3.56)$$
$$\delta G_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (i k_{\mu} \xi^-_{\nu}(k) + i k_{\nu} \xi^-_{\mu}(k)).$$

With the same logic as in the (1,0) case, we perform the following field redefinition:

$$\bar{B}(k) = B(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \,\mathrm{i}k^{\mu}A^{-}_{\mu}(k), \qquad (3.57)$$

which renders $\overline{B}(k)$ gauge invariant. Next, we also redefine the ghost-dilaton and the NL fields as [24, 27]

$$\bar{D}(k) = D(k) + G^{\mu}_{\mu}(k),$$

$$\bar{E}^{-}_{\mu}(k) = E^{-}_{\mu}(k) - A^{-}_{\mu}(k) - 2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\nu}G_{\mu\nu}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik_{\mu}D(k),$$
(3.58)

such that \overline{D} is invariant under reparametrizations generated by ξ_{μ}^{-} , and \overline{E}_{μ}^{-} is completely gauge invariant. With this parametrization, the metric is in the string frame [27]. We could perform further field redefinitions to get the metric in the Einstein frame [24, 27], but it is sufficient to keep the string frame. Note that \overline{D}^{-} still transforms under the transformation with parameter χ^{-} , and in a way which says that it is pure gauge. This is expected since the ghost-dilaton is non-trivial only in the semi-relative cohomology where $b_{0}^{-} = 0$ [28–30]. We could make A_{μ}^{-} gauge invariant and gauge fix χ^{-} by removing D, but it is simpler to keep the ghost-dilaton as a state and gauge fix χ^{-} with a condition on $A_{\mu}^{-.4}$ Hence, we do not change the remaining fields:

$$\bar{G}_{\mu\nu}(k) = G_{\mu\nu}(k), \qquad \bar{A}^{-}_{\mu}(k) = A^{-}_{\mu}(k).$$
 (3.59)

Spurious string field.

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{\Lambda}_{1,1}^{+}(k)\rangle &= \left(\alpha(k)\left(b_{-1}\bar{c}_{-1} + c_{-1}\bar{b}_{-1}\right) + \mathrm{i}\beta_{\mu}(k)\left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{b}_{-1} - b_{-1}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu}\right)c_{0}^{+} \right. \\ &+ \mathrm{i}\gamma_{\mu}(k)\left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{b}_{-1} + b_{-1}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu}\right)c_{0}^{-} \\ &+ \delta_{\mu\nu}(k)\left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\nu} - \alpha_{-1}^{\nu}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu}\right)\right)|k,\downarrow\downarrow\rangle\,. \end{split}$$
(3.60)

The gauge transformations we obtain by acting with Q_B are

$$\delta M(k) = -\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k^{\mu} \gamma_{\mu}(k),$$

$$\delta N(k) = \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} \alpha(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k^{\mu} \beta_{\mu}(k) \qquad (3.61)$$

$$\delta P_{\mu}(k) = \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} \gamma_{\mu}(k),$$

$$\delta Q_{\mu}(k) = \beta_{\mu}(k) - \gamma_{\mu}(k) - i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} k_{\mu} \alpha(k) - 2i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} k^{\nu} \delta_{\mu\nu}(k),$$

$$\delta R_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (i k_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu}(k) + i k_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu}(k)),$$

$$\delta S_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (i k_{\nu} \beta_{\mu}(k) - i k_{\mu} \beta_{\nu}(k)) + \alpha' k^2 \delta_{\mu\nu}(k) \right]. \qquad (3.62)$$

⁴However, the zero-momentum mode $\chi^{-}(0)$ remains and can be used to gauge-fix the zero-momentum dilaton. As a result, it is not clear which operator changes the string coupling. We thank Ted Erler for discussions on this point.

3.4.3 Equation of motion

Physical string field. Omitting computational details, $Q_B |\Psi_{1,1}^-\rangle = 0$ gives:

$$0 = \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} D(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\mu} E_{\mu}^{-}(k) + B(k),$$

$$0 = \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} A_{\mu}^{-}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik_{\mu} B(k),$$

$$0 = \alpha' k^2 G_{\mu\nu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (ik_{\nu} E_{\mu}^{-}(k) + ik_{\mu} E_{\nu}^{-}(k)),$$

$$0 = E_{\mu}^{-}(k) - A_{\mu}^{-}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik_{\mu} D(k) - \sqrt{2\alpha'} ik^{\nu} G_{\mu\nu}(k),$$

$$0 = B(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\mu} A_{\mu}^{-}(k),$$

$$0 = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (ik_{\nu} A_{\mu}^{-}(k) - ik_{\mu} A_{\nu}^{-}(k)).$$

(3.63)

As usual, some equations are redundant and can be obtained by combining others and their products with k_{μ} . The penultimate equation shows that B is a NL field for A_{μ}^{-} , consistently with the transformation (3.56), motivating further the choice for the redefinition (3.57).

We can combine the 3rd and 4th equations of motion to get:

$$0 = \alpha' \left[k^2 G_{\mu\nu} + k_{\mu} k_{\nu} G_{\rho}^{\rho} - k_{\nu} k^{\rho} G_{\mu\rho} - k_{\mu} k^{\rho} G_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\nu} k^2 G_{\rho}^{\rho} + \eta_{\mu\nu} k^{\rho} k^{\sigma} G_{\rho\sigma} \right] + \alpha' \left(\frac{k^2}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} - k_{\mu} k_{\nu} \right) \bar{D} + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \left(i k_{\nu} A_{\mu}^- + i k_{\mu} A_{\nu}^- \right) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k^{\rho} A_{\rho}^- \eta_{\mu\nu}.$$
(3.64)

The first line corresponds to the linearized Einstein equation.

The last equation tells that the field strength of A^-_{μ} is zero:

$$F_{\mu\nu}(A^{-}) = 0, \tag{3.65}$$

which implies $A_{\mu}^{-} = 0$ on flat space (the r.h.s. of this equation stays zero even after including interaction, such that this field is genuinely non-propagating [11]). However, on a toroidal background it is possible to have non-trivial fluxes, implying that new solutions may be found without the level-matching condition [11]. This is the observation which sparked our interest in studying the complete free action, before being able to investigate properties of the background such as *T*-duality. On flat space, the penultimate equation implies B = 0, such that the other equations reduce to the usual equations of motion for the ghost-dilaton, metric and NL vector field. Hence, closed string theories with and without level-matching are equivalent at the perturbative level, but may be inequivalent non-perturbatively. After performing the field redefinition, we get:

$$0 = E_{\mu}^{-}(k) = B(k),$$

$$0 = \alpha' k^{2} \bar{D}(k) + \alpha' (\eta^{\mu\nu} k^{2} + k^{\mu} k^{\nu}) \bar{G}_{\mu\nu}(k) - 2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\mu} \bar{A}_{\mu}^{-}(k),$$

$$0 = \alpha' k^{2} \bar{G}_{\mu\nu}(k) - \alpha' (k_{\nu} k^{\rho} \bar{G}_{\mu\rho}(k) + k_{\mu} k^{\rho} \bar{G}_{\nu\rho}(k)) - \alpha' k_{\mu} k_{\nu} \bar{D}(k)$$

$$+ \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (ik_{\nu} A_{\mu}^{-}(k) + ik_{\mu} \bar{A}_{\nu}^{-}(k)),$$

$$0 = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (ik_{\nu} \bar{A}_{\mu}^{-}(k) - ik_{\mu} \bar{A}_{\nu}^{-}(k)).$$
(3.66)

3.4.4 Action

The action is:

$$\begin{split} S_{1,1}^{-} &= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}} \left[M(-k) \frac{\alpha' k^{2}}{4} M(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} P_{\mu}(-k) \mathrm{i} k^{\mu} M(k) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} P^{\mu}(-k) P_{\mu}(k) - R_{\mu\nu}(-k) \frac{\alpha' k^{2}}{2} R^{\mu\nu}(k) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} R_{\mu\nu}(-k) (\mathrm{i} k_{\nu} P_{\mu}(k) + \mathrm{i} k_{\mu} P_{\nu}(k)) \right] \right] \\ &\quad \left. + \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}} \left[M(-k) \left(\frac{\alpha' k^{2}}{2} D(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \mathrm{i} k^{\mu} E_{\mu}^{-}(k) + B(k) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + N(-k) \left(B(k) + \mathrm{i} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} k^{\mu} A_{\mu}^{-}(k) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + P^{\mu}(-k) \left(E_{\mu}^{-}(k) - A_{\mu}^{-}(k) - \mathrm{i} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} k_{\mu} D(k) - 2\mathrm{i} G_{\mu\nu}(k) \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} k^{\nu} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - Q^{\mu}(-k) \left(\frac{\alpha' k^{2}}{2} A_{\mu}^{-}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \mathrm{i} k_{\mu} B(k) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - R^{\mu\nu}(-k) \left(\alpha' k^{2} G_{\mu\nu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (\mathrm{i} k_{\nu} E_{\mu}^{-}(k) + \mathrm{i} k_{\mu} E_{\nu}^{-}(k)) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + S^{\mu\nu}(-k) \left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (\mathrm{i} k_{\nu} A_{\mu}^{-}(k) - \mathrm{i} k_{\mu} A_{\nu}^{-}(k)) \right) \right]. \end{split}$$

3.5 Level (1, 1) even — level-matched massless fields 3.5.1 Fields

Physical string field. The string field reads:

$$|\Psi_{1,1}^{+}(k)\rangle = \left(D^{+}(k)\left(b_{-1}\bar{c}_{-1} + c_{-1}\bar{b}_{-1}\right) + iE_{\mu}^{+}(k)\left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{b}_{-1} - b_{-1}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu}\right)c_{0}^{+} + iA_{\mu}^{+}(k)\left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{b}_{-1} + b_{-1}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu}\right)c_{0}^{-} + B_{\mu\nu}(k)\left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\nu} - \alpha_{-1}^{\nu}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu}\right)\right)|k,\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$$
(3.68)

where $B_{\mu\nu} = -B_{\nu\mu}$ corresponds to the Kalb-Ramond field, and E^+_{μ} its NL field.

Spurious string field. The spurious field is:

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{\Psi}_{1,1}^{-}\rangle &= \left(M'(k) \left(b_{-1}\bar{c}_{-1} + c_{-1}\bar{b}_{-1} \right) c_{0}^{-} + N'(k) \left(b_{-1}\bar{c}_{-1} - c_{-1}\bar{b}_{-1} \right) c_{0}^{+} \right. \\ &+ \mathrm{i} P'_{\mu}(k) \left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{b}_{-1} - b_{-1}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu} \right) c_{0}^{-} c_{0}^{+} + \mathrm{i} Q'_{\mu}(k) \left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{c}_{-1} + c_{-1}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu} \right) \\ &+ R'_{\mu\nu}(k) \left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\nu} + \alpha_{-1}^{\nu}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu} \right) c_{0}^{+} \\ &+ S'_{\mu\nu}(k) \left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\nu} - \alpha_{-1}^{\nu}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu} \right) c_{0}^{-} \right) |k, \downarrow \downarrow\rangle \,. \end{split}$$
(3.69)

3.5.2 Gauge invariance and field redefinition

Physical string field. The gauge parameter reads:

$$|\Lambda_{1,1}^{+}(k)\rangle = \left(\chi^{+}(k) c_{0}^{+} b_{-1} \bar{b}_{-1} + i\xi_{\mu}^{+}(k) \left(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu} \bar{b}_{-1} - b_{-1} \bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu}\right)\right) |k, \downarrow \downarrow\rangle.$$
(3.70)

By acting with Q_B , we obtain the gauge transformations:

$$\delta D^{+}(k) = -\chi^{+}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \,\mathrm{i}k^{\mu}\xi^{+}_{\mu}(k),$$

$$\delta E^{+}_{\mu}(k) = -\frac{\alpha'k^{2}}{2}\xi^{+}_{\mu}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \,\mathrm{i}k_{\mu}\chi^{+}(k), \qquad \delta A^{+}_{\mu}(k) = 0, \qquad (3.71)$$

$$\delta B_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \left(\mathrm{i} k_{\nu} \xi_{\mu}^{+}(k) - \mathrm{i} k_{\mu} \xi_{\nu}^{+}(k) \right).$$

The gauge parameter for the transformation of $\Lambda^+_{1,1}(k)$ is:

$$\left|\Omega_{1,1}^{+}(k)\right\rangle = \omega^{+}(k) \, b_{-1}\bar{b}_{-1} \left|k, \downarrow\downarrow\right\rangle,\tag{3.72}$$

and we find:

$$\delta\chi^{+}(k) = \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} \,\omega^{+}(k), \qquad \delta\xi^{+}_{\mu}(k) = -\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \,\mathrm{i}k_{\mu}\omega^{+}(k). \tag{3.73}$$

Hence, we can redefine the $\Lambda^+_{1,1}$ parameters as:

$$\bar{\chi}^+(k) = \chi^+(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \,\mathrm{i}k^\mu \xi^+_\mu(k), \qquad \bar{\xi}^+_\mu(k) = \xi^+_\mu(k).$$
(3.74)

This gives the new transformations for the Ψ components:

$$\delta D^{+}(k) = -\bar{\chi}^{+}(k), \qquad \delta A^{+}_{\mu}(k) = 0,$$

$$\delta E^{+}_{\mu}(k) = \frac{\alpha'}{2} i k^{\mu} (i k_{\nu} \bar{\xi}^{+}_{\mu}(k) - i k_{\mu} \bar{\xi}^{+}_{\nu}(k)) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k_{\mu} \bar{\chi}^{+}(k), \qquad (3.75)$$

$$\delta B_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (i k_{\nu} \xi^{+}_{\mu}(k) - i k_{\mu} \bar{\xi}^{+}_{\nu}(k)).$$

Note that the field strength of $\bar{\xi}^+_{\mu}(k)$ now appears in the transformations of both E^+_{μ} and $B_{\mu\nu}$.

The field D^+ is pure gauge, and we can make the following field redefinition to make E^+ gauge invariant [24]:

$$\bar{E}^{+}_{\mu}(k) = E^{+}_{\mu}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \left(2ik^{\nu}B_{\mu\nu}(k) + ik_{\mu}D^{+}(k) \right).$$
(3.76)

Spurious string field. The gauge parameter of the spurious field is

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{\Lambda}_{1,1}^{-}(k)\rangle &= \left(\epsilon(k)\,c_{0}^{-}c_{0}^{+}b_{-1}\bar{b}_{-1} + \kappa(k)\,(b_{-1}\bar{c}_{-1} - c_{-1}\bar{b}_{-1}) \right. \\ &+ \mathrm{i}\theta_{\mu}(k)\,(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{b}_{-1} + b_{-1}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu})c_{0}^{+} + \mathrm{i}\lambda_{\mu}(k)\,(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{b}_{-1} - b_{-1}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu})c_{0}^{-} \right. \tag{3.77} \\ &+ \rho_{\mu\nu}(k)\,(\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\nu} + \alpha_{-1}^{\nu}\bar{\alpha}_{-1}^{\mu}))\,|k,\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \end{split}$$

So the gauge transformations we obtain by acting with Q_B are

$$\delta M'(k) = \epsilon(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\mu}\lambda_{\mu}(k),$$

$$\delta N'(k) = \epsilon(k) - \frac{\alpha'k^2}{2}\kappa(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\mu}\theta_{\mu}(k),$$

$$\delta P'_{\mu}(k) = \frac{\alpha'k^2}{2}\lambda_{\mu}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik_{\mu}\epsilon(k),$$

$$\delta Q'_{\mu}(k) = \theta_{\mu}(k) - \lambda_{\mu}(k) - 2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\mu}\rho_{\mu\nu}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik_{\mu}\kappa(k),$$

$$\delta R'_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{\alpha'k^2}{2}\rho_{\mu\nu}(k) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (ik_{\nu}\theta_{\mu}(k) + ik_{\mu}\theta_{\nu}(k)),$$

$$\delta S'_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (ik_{\nu}\lambda_{\mu}(k) - ik_{\mu}\lambda_{\nu}(k)).$$
(3.78)

3.5.3 Equation of motion

Physical string field. The equations of motion obtained from (2.7) are:

$$0 = \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} D^+(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\mu} E^+_{\mu}(k),$$

$$0 = \alpha' k^2 B_{\mu\nu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (ik_{\nu} E^+_{\mu}(k) - ik_{\mu} E^+_{\nu}(k)),$$

$$0 = \sqrt{2\alpha'} ik^{\mu} B_{\mu\nu}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik_{\nu} D^+(k) + E^+_{\nu}(k) - A^+_{\nu}(k),$$

$$0 = \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} A^+_{\mu}(k), \qquad 0 = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\mu} A^+_{\mu}(k),$$

$$0 = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (ik_{\nu} A^+_{\mu}(k) + ik_{\mu} A^+_{\nu}(k)).$$

(3.79)

Let us prove that $A^+_{\mu} = 0$, implying that there is no additional propagating degree of freedom. Writing $\mu = 0, 1, i$ with $i = 2, \ldots, d-1$ and $k^{\mu} = (k^0, k^1, k^i)$, the equation $k^2 A^+_{\mu} = 0$ implies $k^1 = \pm k^0$. Then, $k^{\mu} A^+_{\mu} = 0$ yields $A^+_1 = \pm A^+_0$. The last equation for $(\mu, \nu) = (0, i)$ gives $A^+_i = 0$, and $A^+_0 = A^+_1 = 0$ for $(\mu, \nu) = (0, 0)$.

After applying the field redefinition, the first equation becomes:

$$0 = ik^{\mu}E^{+}_{\mu}(k). \tag{3.80}$$

We can obtain the usual equation of motion for the Kalb-Ramond field by multiplying the third equation with k^{ρ} and anti-symmetrizing, before combining with the second equation:

$$0 = \alpha' \Big[k^2 B_{\mu\nu} + k_{\mu} k^{\rho} B_{\nu\rho} - k_{\nu} k^{\rho} B_{\rho\mu} \Big] + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \left(i k_{\nu} A^{+}_{\mu}(k) - i k_{\mu} A^{+}_{\nu}(k) \right).$$
(3.81)

3.5.4 Action

The action is:

$$S_{1,1}^{+} = \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \left[-\frac{1}{4} M'(-k) \alpha' k^2 M'(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} P'_{\mu}(-k) i k^{\mu} M'(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} S'_{\mu\nu}(-k) (i k_{\nu} P'_{\mu}(k) - i k_{\mu} P'_{\nu}(k)) - \frac{1}{2} P'^{\mu}(-k) P'_{\mu}(k) - \frac{1}{2} S'_{\mu\nu}(-k) \alpha' k^2 S'^{\mu\nu}(k) \right] + \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \left[-M'(-k) \left(\frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} D^+(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k^{\mu} E^+_{\mu}(k) \right) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} N'(-k) i k^{\mu} A^+_{\mu}(k) - \frac{1}{2} Q'^{\mu}(-k) \alpha' k^2 A^+_{\mu}(k) - P'^{\mu}(-k) \left(\sqrt{2\alpha'} i k^{\mu} B_{\mu\nu}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k_{\nu} D^+(k) + E^+_{\nu}(k) - A^+_{\nu}(k) \right) + S'^{\mu\nu}(-k) \left(\alpha' k^2 B_{\mu\nu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (i k_{\nu} E^+_{\mu}(k) - i k_{\mu} E^+_{\nu}(k)) \right) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} R'^{\mu\nu}(-k) (i k_{\nu} A^+_{\mu}(k) + i k_{\mu} A^+_{\nu}(k)) \right].$$
(3.82)

3.6 Level (2, 0) — non-level-matched massless fields 3.6.1 Field

Physical string field. The string field reads:

$$|\Psi_{2,0}(k)\rangle = \left(R(k) \, b_{-2}c_0^- + S(k) \, b_{-2}c_0^+ + X(k) \, b_{-1}c_{-1} + \mathrm{i}Y_\mu(k) \, b_{-1}\alpha_{-1}^\mu c_0^+ + \mathrm{i}W_\mu(k) \, b_{-1}\alpha_{-1}^\mu c_0^- + Z_{\mu\nu}(k) \, \alpha_{-1}^\mu \alpha_{-1}^\nu + \mathrm{i}V_\mu(k) \, \alpha_{-2}^\mu\right) |k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle.$$
(3.83)

Spurious string field. The spurious field is

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{\Psi}_{(2,0)}(k)\rangle &= \left(I(k) \, b_{-2} c_0^- c_0^+ + J(k) \, c_{-2} + A(k) \, b_{-1} c_{-1} c_0^- + B(k) \, b_{-1} c_{-1} c_0^+ \right. \\ &+ \mathrm{i} C_\mu(k) \, b_{-1} \alpha_{-1}^\mu c_0^- c_0^+ + \mathrm{i} D_\mu(k) \, c_{-1} \alpha_{-1}^\mu \\ &+ E_{\mu\nu}(k) \, \alpha_{-1}^\mu \alpha_{-1}^\nu c_0^- + F_{\mu\nu}(k) \, \alpha_{-1}^\mu \alpha_{-1}^\nu c_0^+ \\ &+ \mathrm{i} G_\mu(k) \, \alpha_{-2}^\mu c_0^+ + \mathrm{i} H_\mu(k) \, \alpha_{-2}^\mu c_0^- \right) |k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle \,. \end{split}$$
(3.84)

3.6.2 Gauge invariance and field redefinition

Physical string field. The gauge parameter reads:

$$|\Lambda_{2,0}(k)\rangle = \left(\alpha(k) \, b_{-2} + \mathrm{i}\beta_{\mu}(k) \, b_{-1}\alpha_{-1}^{\mu}\right) |k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle \,. \tag{3.85}$$

The gauge transformations we obtain by acting with Q_B are

$$\delta R(k) = -2\alpha(k), \qquad \delta S(k) = -\frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} \alpha(k),$$

$$\delta X(k) = -3\alpha(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\mu}\beta_{\mu}(k), \qquad (3.86)$$

$$\delta Y_{\mu}(k) = -\frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} \beta_{\mu}(k), \qquad \delta W_{\mu}(k) = -2\beta_{\mu}(k),$$

$$\delta Z_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (ik_{\mu}\beta_{\nu}(k) + ik_{\nu}\beta_{\mu}(k)), \qquad \delta V_{\mu}(k) = \beta_{\mu}(k).$$

We perform the following field redefinitions:

$$\begin{split} \bar{R}(k) &= R(k), & \bar{S}(k) = S(k) - \frac{\alpha' k^2}{4} R(k), \\ \bar{X}(k) &= X(k) - \frac{3}{2} R(k) - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} i k^{\mu} W_{\mu}(k), \\ \bar{V}_{\mu}(k) &= V_{\mu}(k), & \bar{W}_{\mu}(k) = W_{\mu}(k) + 2V_{\mu}(k), \\ \bar{Y}_{\mu}(k) &= Y_{\mu}(k) + \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} V_{\mu}(k), \\ \bar{Z}_{\mu\nu} &= Z_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \left(i k_{\mu} V_{\nu}(k) + i k_{\nu} V_{\mu}(k) \right), \end{split}$$
(3.87)

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \bar{R}(k) &= -2\alpha(k), \quad \delta V_{\mu}(k) = \beta_{\mu}(k), \quad \delta \bar{S}(k) = 0, \\ \delta \bar{X}(k) &= 0, \quad \delta \bar{Y}_{\mu}(k) = 0, \quad \delta \bar{W}_{\mu}(k) = 0, \quad \delta Z_{\mu\nu}(k) = 0. \end{aligned}$$
(3.88)

This shows that \bar{R} and \bar{V}_{μ} are pure gauge fields.

Spurious string field. The gauge parameter of the spurious field is

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{\Lambda}_{2,0}\rangle &= \left(\gamma(k) \, b_{-2} c_0^- + \delta(k) \, b_{-2} c_0^+ \right. \\ &+ \epsilon(k) \, b_{-1} c_{-1} + \mathrm{i} \kappa_\mu(k) \, b_{-1} \alpha_{-1}^\mu c_0^+ + \mathrm{i} \theta_\mu(k) \, b_{-1} \alpha_{-1}^\mu c_0^- \\ &+ \lambda_{\mu\nu}(k) \, \alpha_{-1}^\mu \alpha_{-1}^\nu + \mathrm{i} \pi_\mu(k) \, \alpha_{-2}^\mu\right) |k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle \,, \end{split}$$
(3.89)

and the gauge transformations we obtain by acting with Q_B are

$$\delta I(k) = -2\delta(k) + \frac{\alpha'k^2}{2}\gamma(k), \qquad \delta J(k) = 2\delta(k) + 2\gamma(k) + 3\epsilon(k),$$

$$\delta A(k) = 2\epsilon(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}ik^{\mu}\theta_{\mu}(k) - 3\gamma(k),$$

$$\delta B(k) = \frac{\alpha'k^2}{2}\epsilon(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}ik^{\mu}\kappa_{\mu}(k) - 3\delta(k),$$

$$\delta C_{\mu}(k) = -2\kappa_{\mu}(k) + \frac{\alpha'k^2}{2}\theta_{\mu}(k),$$

$$\delta D_{\mu}(k) = \kappa_{\mu}(k) + \theta_{\mu}(k) + 2\pi_{\mu}(k) - \sqrt{2\alpha'}ik^{\nu}\lambda_{\mu\nu}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}ik_{\mu}\epsilon(k),$$

$$\delta G_{\mu}(k) = \frac{\alpha'k^2}{2}\pi_{\mu}(k) + \kappa_{\mu}(k), \qquad \delta H_{\mu}(k) = 2\pi_{\mu}(k) + \theta_{\mu}(k),$$

$$\delta E_{\mu\nu}(k) = 2\lambda_{\mu\nu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}ik_{\mu}\theta_{\nu}(k),$$

$$\delta F_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{\alpha'k^2}{2}\lambda_{\mu\nu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}ik_{\mu}\kappa_{\nu}(k).$$
(3.90)

3.6.3 Equation of motion

Physical string field. The equations of motion are:

$$0 = -2S(k) + \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} R(k), \qquad 0 = 2X(k) - 3R(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\mu} W_{\mu}(k),
0 = Y_{\mu}(k) + \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} V_{\mu}(k), \qquad 0 = 2S(k) + 2R(k) + 3X(k),
0 = -2Y_{\mu}(k) + \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} W_{\mu}(k), \qquad 0 = 2V_{\mu}(k) + W_{\mu}(k),
0 = Y_{\mu}(k) + \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} V_{\mu}(k), \qquad 0 = 2S(k) + 2R(k) + 3X(k),
0 = 2Z_{\mu\nu}(k) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (ik_{\nu} W_{\mu}(k) + ik_{\mu} W_{\nu}(k)),
0 = -3S(k) + \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} X(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\mu} Y_{\mu}(k)
0 = \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} Z_{\mu\nu}(k) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (ik_{\nu} Y_{\mu}(k) + ik_{\mu} Y_{\nu}(k)),
0 = Y_{\mu}(k) + W_{\mu}(k) + 2V_{\mu}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik_{\mu} X(k) - 2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\nu} Z_{\mu\nu}(k). \qquad (3.91)$$

Setting $R = V_{\mu} = 0$ through a gauge transformation, the equations of motion simplify to:

$$0 = \bar{S}(k) = \bar{X}(k) = \bar{Y}_{\mu}(k) = \bar{W}_{\mu}(k) = \bar{Z}_{\mu\nu}(k), \qquad (3.92)$$

which shows that there are no physical degrees of freedom.

Spurious string field. The equations of motion are:

$$\begin{split} 0 &= 3A(k) - 2J(k) - 2I(k), & 0 = B(k) - \frac{\alpha' k^2}{4} A(k), \\ 0 &= 2H_{\mu}(k) - 2D_{\mu}(k) - C_{\mu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\nu} E_{\mu\nu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik_{\mu} A(k), \\ 0 &= 2iF_{\mu\nu}(k), & 0 = \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} E_{\mu\nu}(k), \\ 0 &= \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} k^{\mu} E_{\mu\nu}(k), & 0 = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} k^{\mu} F_{\mu\nu}(k), & 0 = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} k_{\mu} C_{\nu}(k), \\ 0 &= C_{\mu}(k) + 2G_{\mu}(k) - \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} H_{\mu}(k), & 0 = 2I(k) + 3B(k) - \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} J(k), \\ 0 &= C_{\mu}(k) + 2G_{\mu}(k) - \frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} D_{\mu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\nu} F_{\mu\nu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik_{\mu} B(k), \\ 0 &= 3I(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} ik^{\mu} C_{\mu}(k). \end{split}$$
(3.93)

3.6.4 Action

The action is

$$S_{2,0} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{d}k}{(2\pi)^{d}} \left[I(-k) \left(S(k) + R(k) + \frac{3}{2} X(k) \right) + J(-k) \left(S(k) - \frac{\alpha' k^{2}}{4} R(k) \right) \right. \\ \left. + A(-k) \left(\frac{\alpha' k^{2}}{4} X(k) - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \mathrm{i} k^{\mu} Y_{\mu}(k) - \frac{3}{2} S(k) \right) \right. \\ \left. + B(-k) \left(-X(k) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \mathrm{i} k^{\mu} W_{\mu}(k) + \frac{3}{2} R(k) \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} C^{\mu}(-k) \left(Y_{\mu}(k) + W_{\mu}(k) + 2V_{\mu}(k) - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (2\mathrm{i} k^{\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + \mathrm{i} k_{\mu} X(k)) \right) \right. \\ \left. + D^{\mu}(-k) \left(Y_{\mu}(k) - \frac{\alpha' k^{2}}{4} W_{\mu}(k) \right) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} E^{\mu\nu}(-k) \left(\alpha' k^{2} Z_{\mu\nu}(k) + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (\mathrm{i} k_{\mu} Y_{\nu}(k) + \mathrm{i} k_{\nu} Y_{\mu}(k)) \right) \right. \\ \left. + F^{\mu\nu}(-k) \left(2Z_{\mu\nu}(k) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} (\mathrm{i} k_{\mu} W_{\nu}(k) + \mathrm{i} k_{\nu} W_{\mu}(k)) \right) \right. \\ \left. + G^{\mu}(-k) \left(2V_{\mu}(k) + W_{\mu}(k) \right) - H^{\mu}(-k) \left(\frac{\alpha' k^{2}}{2} V_{\mu}(k) + Y_{\mu}(k) \right) \right].$$

4 Discussion

In this paper, we have pushed further the study of closed bosonic string field theory without the level-matching condition, which has been constructed [11]. We have studied the equations of motion for the physical fields Ψ up to the massless level, and given the action for all levels except (2,0). In particular, we have studied the even sector of the (1,1) level, which contains the Kalb-Ramond *B* field. The total action computed in this way provides the quadratic part of the low-energy effective theory without level-matching.

As was observed in [11] and discussed in section 3.4, string theory without level-matching may not be equivalent to string theory with level-matching condition at the non-perturbative level, since it admits additional background solutions. It would be interesting to investigate these new backgrounds with more details, especially, from the point of view of T-duality and double field theory. A first step would be to make contact with the sigma model fields associated to the new components of the string field, following [27]. Another possibility is to reproduce the analysis from [31] for the Ramond sector to get an interpretation of the additional fields.

As discussed in [11], it is not clear how to interpret interactions without level-matching. Indeed, off-shell amplitudes (and string vertices) become multi-valued for states which are not level-matched: while it is always possible to write string vertices which project each input on level-matched states, this does not seem very interesting. This also requires investigating the ghost-dilaton theorem [28, 29, 32], by understanding both which operator changes the string coupling (see footnote 4) and how to deal with the more general interactions. The solution could arise again by analogy with the superstring:⁵ super-SFT can also be formulated in terms of super-Riemann surfaces [33, 34] (see [35] for a proof of the equivalence), and PCOs appear after bosonization of the $\beta\gamma$ system and act as projectors. Hence, one can ask if there is a generalized notion of Riemann surface which can incorporate string fields without level-matching. Another avenue is to study hyperbolic string field theory [36–39] without level-matching to see how it is affected. We hope to come back to these issues later.

Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to Yuji Okawa for many discussions and sharing the draft from [11]. We would also like to thank Atakan Hilmi Fırat, Thomas Mohaupt and Barton Zwiebach for comments on the draft and discussions.

HE has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 891169. This work of HE is supported by the National Science Foundation under Cooperative Agreement PHY-2019786 (The NSF AI Institute for Artificial Intelligence and Fundamental Interactions, http://iaifi.org/). The work of MM has been supported by an EPSRC DTP International Doctoral Scholarship (project number 2271092).

 $^{^5\}mathrm{We}$ would like to thank Atakan Hilmi Fırat for this observation.

A Useful formulas

We consider closed bosonic string theory in the critical dimension, d = 26, described by a 2*d* CFT made of d = 26 free scalar fields X^{μ} ($\mu = 0, \ldots, d - 1$) and a *bc* ghost system (see [5] for more details). Except when necessary, we provide formulas only for the holomorphic sector $\partial X^{\mu}(z)$, b(z) and c(z), since the formulas involving $\bar{\partial} X^{\mu}(z)$, $\bar{b}(z)$ and $\bar{c}(z)$ for the anti-holomorphic sector follow by adding a bar.

$$i\partial X^{\mu}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\alpha_n^{\mu}}{z^{n+1}}, \qquad b(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{b_n}{z^{n+2}}, \qquad c(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{c_n}{z^{n-1}}.$$
 (A.1)

The zero-modes of $\partial X^{\mu}(z)$ and $\overline{\partial} X^{\mu}(z)$ are related to the momentum operator

$$\alpha_0^{\mu} = \bar{\alpha}_0^{\mu} := \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} p^{\mu},$$
(A.2)

whose eigenvalue k^{μ} gives the momentum of the string center-of-mass.

The energy-momentum tensor is:

$$T(z) := T^{\mathrm{m}} + T^{\mathrm{gh}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{L_n}{z^{n+2}}, \qquad L_n := L_n^{\mathrm{m}} + L_n^{\mathrm{gh}},$$
(A.3)

where

$$T^{\rm m} = -\frac{1}{\alpha'} \partial X \cdot \partial X, \qquad T^{\rm gh} = -2 \, b \partial c - \partial b \, c,$$
 (A.4)

and

$$L_n^{\rm m} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n \cdot \alpha_{m-n}, \qquad L_n^{\rm gh} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (m+n) b_{m-n} c_n.$$
(A.5)

It is also useful to introduce the following combinations of zero-modes:

$$L_0^{\pm} := L_0 \pm \bar{L}_0, \qquad b_0^{\pm} := b_0 \pm \bar{b}_0 \qquad c_0^{\pm} := \frac{1}{2} (c_0 \pm \bar{c}_0).$$
 (A.6)

The BRST charge admits the following useful decomposition:

$$Q_B := c_0^+ L_0^+ - b_0^+ M^+ + c_0^- L_0^- - b_0^- M^- + \hat{Q}_B^+,$$

$$\hat{Q}^{\pm} := \sum (c_{-n} L_n^{\rm m} \pm \bar{c}_{-n} \bar{L}_n^{\rm m})$$
(A.7)

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{m,n\neq 0\\m+n\neq 0}} (m-n)(c_{-m}c_{-n}b_{m+n}\pm \bar{c}_{-m}\bar{c}_{-n}\bar{b}_{m+n}),$$
(A.8)

$$M^{\pm} := \sum_{n>0} n(c_{-n}c_n \pm \bar{c}_{-n}\bar{c}_n), \tag{A.9}$$

$$L_0^+ := N + \bar{N} + \frac{\alpha'}{2} p^2 - 2, \tag{A.10}$$

$$L_0^- := N - \bar{N}, \tag{A.11}$$

$$L_m^{\mathbf{m}} := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \neq 0, m} \alpha_n \cdot \alpha_{m-n} + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} p \cdot \alpha_m \qquad (m \neq 0), \tag{A.12}$$

where the level and number operators are defined as:

$$N := N^{\mathrm{m}} + N^{\mathrm{b}} + N^{\mathrm{c}},\tag{A.13}$$

$$N^{\mathrm{m}} := \sum_{n>0} n N_n^{\mathrm{m}}, \qquad \qquad N_n^{\mathrm{m}} := \frac{1}{n} \alpha_{-n} \cdot \alpha_n, \qquad (A.14)$$

$$N^{\rm b} := \sum_{n>0} n N_n^{\rm b}, \qquad \qquad N_n^{\rm b} := b_{-n} c_n \qquad (A.15)$$

$$N^{c} := \sum_{n>0} n N_{n}^{c}, \qquad N_{n}^{c} := c_{-n} b_{n}, \qquad (A.16)$$

$$N_{\rm gh} := \hat{N}_{\rm gh} + c_0 b_0 + \bar{c}_0 \bar{b}_0 + 2, \tag{A.17}$$

$$\hat{N}_{\rm gh} := \sum_{n>0} (N_n^{\rm c} - N_n^{\rm b}) \tag{A.18}$$

The (anti)-commutation relations between the different modes appearing previously are:

$$[\alpha_m^{\mu}, \alpha_n^{\nu}] = m\eta^{\mu\nu}\delta_{m+n}, \qquad \{b_m, c_n\} = \delta_{m+n}, \qquad (A.19)$$

$$[L_n^m, \alpha_{-n}^\mu] = n \alpha_{m-n}^\mu, \tag{A.20}$$

$$[N_n^{\rm m}, \alpha_{-n}^{\mu}] = \delta_{m,n} \alpha_{-m}^{\mu}, \qquad [N^{\rm m}, \alpha_{-n}^{\mu}] = m \alpha_{-m}^{\mu}, \qquad (A.21)$$

$$[N_n^{\rm b}, b_{-n}] = \delta_{m,n} b_{-m}, \qquad [N_n^{\rm c}, c_{-n}] = \delta_{m,n} c_{-m}. \qquad (A.22)$$

The string Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a Fock space built from the vacuum $|k,\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ which is defined as:

$$b_0^{\pm} |k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle = 0, \qquad p^{\mu} |k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle = k^{\mu} |k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle, \qquad (A.23)$$

$$>0: \qquad \alpha_n^{\mu} |k,\downarrow\downarrow\rangle = 0, \quad L_n^{\rm m} |k,\downarrow\downarrow\rangle = 0, \tag{A.24}$$

$$n > 0: \qquad \begin{array}{c} n + j + i \\ b_n |k, \downarrow \downarrow \rangle = 0, \quad c_n |k, \downarrow \downarrow \rangle = 0. \end{array}$$
(A.24)

The previous definitions immediately imply:

$$L_0^+ |k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle = \left[\frac{\alpha' k^2}{2} - 2\right] |k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle,$$

$$L_0^- |k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle = \hat{Q}^{\pm} |k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle = M^{\pm} |k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle = 0.$$
(A.25)

For all computations in section 3 except at level (2,0), we need only the first terms in each expansion:

$$\widehat{Q}_B^+ \sim c_{-1} L_1^{\rm m} + c_1 L_{-1}^{\rm m} + \bar{c}_{-1} \bar{L}_1^{\rm m} + \bar{c}_1 \bar{L}_{-1}^{\rm m}, \qquad (A.26a)$$

$$M^{\pm} \sim c_{-1}c_1 \pm \bar{c}_{-1}\bar{c}_1,$$
 (A.26b)

$$L_{\pm 1}^{\rm m} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2} k \cdot \alpha_{\pm 1}},$$
 (A.26c)

$$[L_1^{\rm m}, \alpha_{-1}^{\mu}] = \alpha_0^{\mu} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} p^{\mu}, \qquad (A.26d)$$

$$L_{-1}^{\mathrm{m}} |k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2} k \cdot \alpha_{-1} |k, \downarrow\downarrow\rangle}, \qquad (A.26e)$$

$$[\alpha_1^{\mu}, \alpha_{-1}^{\nu}] = \eta^{\mu\nu}, \qquad \{b_1, c_{-1}\} = 1.$$
(A.26f)

Euclidean and BPZ conjugations are respectively defined as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda A_1 \cdots A_n | 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix}^{\dagger} = \lambda^* \langle 0 | A_n^{\dagger} \cdots A_1^{\dagger}, \\ \phi_n^{\dagger} = \phi_{-n}, \qquad | k \rangle^{\dagger} = \langle k |, \\ | k, \downarrow \downarrow \rangle^{\dagger} = \langle -k | \bar{c}_{-1} c_{-1} =: -\langle k, \downarrow \downarrow |, \end{cases}$$
(A.27)

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda A_1 \cdots A_n | 0 \rangle \end{pmatrix}^t = \lambda \langle 0 | A_1^t \cdots A_n^t,$$

$$\phi_n^t = (-1)^h \phi_{-n}, \qquad |k\rangle^t = \langle -k |,$$

$$\alpha_n^t = -\alpha_{-n}, \qquad b_n^t = b_{-n}, \qquad c_n^t = -c_{-n},$$

$$|k, \downarrow \downarrow \rangle^t = \langle -k | c_{-1} \overline{c}_{-1} =: \langle -k, \downarrow \downarrow |,$$

$$(A.28)$$

where we use I(z) = 1/z for the inversion, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and ϕ is any field with conformal weight h. The BPZ operator is BPZ odd and self-adjoint:

$$Q_B^t = -Q_B, \qquad Q_B^\dagger = Q_B. \tag{A.29}$$

This allows to define the BPZ inner-product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ which is normalized as:

$$\langle k, \downarrow \downarrow | c_0^- c_0^+ | k', \downarrow \downarrow \rangle = \langle k, 0 | c_{-1} \bar{c}_{-1} c_0^- c_0^+ c_1 \bar{c}_1 | k', 0 \rangle := \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^d \delta^{(d)}(k - k')$$
(A.30)

since

$$\langle -k, \downarrow \downarrow | := |k, \downarrow \downarrow \rangle^t = \langle k | c_{-1} \bar{c}_{-1}, \qquad c_0^- c_0^+ = \frac{1}{2} c_0 \bar{c}_0.$$
 (A.31)

The inner-product has the following properties:

$$\langle A, B \rangle = (-1)^{AB} \langle B, A \rangle, \qquad \langle A, B \rangle^{\dagger} = \langle B^{\dagger}, A^{\dagger} \rangle, \qquad (A.32)$$

where an operator in exponent indicates its Grassmann parity.

Finally, the worldsheet parity Ω exchanges the left- and right-moving modes:

$$\Omega \alpha_n^{\mu} \Omega^{-1} = \bar{\alpha}_n^{\mu}, \qquad \Omega b_n \Omega^{-1} = \bar{b}_n, \qquad \Omega c_n \Omega^{-1} = \bar{c}_n, \tag{A.33}$$

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited. SCOAP³ supports the goals of the International Year of Basic Sciences for Sustainable Development.

References

- P.C. Nelson, Covariant Insertion of General Vertex Operators, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 993 [INSPIRE].
- [2] H.-S. La and P.C. Nelson, Effective Field Equations for Fermionic Strings, Nucl. Phys. B 332 (1990) 83 [INSPIRE].

- J. Distler and P.C. Nelson, Topological couplings and contact terms in 2-d field theory, Commun. Math. Phys. 138 (1991) 273 [INSPIRE].
- [4] C.M. Becchi, R. Collina and C. Imbimbo, On the semirelative condition for closed (topological) strings, Phys. Lett. B 322 (1994) 79 [hep-th/9311097] [INSPIRE].
- [5] H. Erbin, String Field Theory: A Modern Introduction, Lect. Notes Phys. 980 (2021) 1 [INSPIRE].
- [6] A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, Background independent algebraic structures in closed string field theory, Commun. Math. Phys. 177 (1996) 305 [hep-th/9408053] [INSPIRE].
- B. Zwiebach, Closed string field theory: Quantum action and the B-V master equation, Nucl. Phys. B 390 (1993) 33 [hep-th/9206084] [INSPIRE].
- [8] C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, Double Field Theory, JHEP 09 (2009) 099 [arXiv:0904.4664]
 [INSPIRE].
- C. de Lacroix et al., Closed Superstring Field Theory and its Applications, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 32 (2017) 1730021 [arXiv:1703.06410] [INSPIRE].
- T. Erler, Four Lectures on Closed String Field Theory, Phys. Rept. 851 (2020) 1 [arXiv:1905.06785] [INSPIRE].
- [11] Y. Okawa and R. Sakaguchi, Closed string field theory without the level-matching condition, arXiv:2209.06173 [INSPIRE].
- [12] Y. Okawa and R. Sakaguchi, *Closed string field theory without the level-matching condition*, arXiv:2209.06173 [INSPIRE].
- [13] S. Faroogh Moosavian, A. Sen and M. Verma, Superstring Field Theory with Open and Closed Strings, JHEP 01 (2020) 183 [arXiv:1907.10632] [INSPIRE].
- [14] H. Kunitomo and Y. Okawa, Complete action for open superstring field theory, PTEP 2016 (2016) 023B01 [arXiv:1508.00366] [INSPIRE].
- [15] T. Erler, Y. Okawa and T. Takezaki, Complete Action for Open Superstring Field Theory with Cyclic A_{∞} Structure, JHEP 08 (2016) 012 [arXiv:1602.02582] [INSPIRE].
- [16] A. Sen, Gauge Invariant 1PI Effective Superstring Field Theory: Inclusion of the Ramond Sector, JHEP 08 (2015) 025 [arXiv:1501.00988] [INSPIRE].
- [17] A. Sen, Covariant Action for Type IIB Supergravity, JHEP 07 (2016) 017
 [arXiv:1511.08220] [INSPIRE].
- [18] A. Sen, BV Master Action for Heterotic and Type II String Field Theories, JHEP 02 (2016) 087 [arXiv:1508.05387] [INSPIRE].
- [19] A. Sen, Reality of Superstring Field Theory Action, JHEP 11 (2016) 014 [arXiv:1606.03455]
 [INSPIRE].
- [20] D. Ghoshal and A. Sen, Gauge and general coordinate invariance in nonpolynomial closed string theory, Nucl. Phys. B 380 (1992) 103 [hep-th/9110038] [INSPIRE].
- [21] J.R. David, U(1) gauge invariance from open string field theory, JHEP 10 (2000) 017 [hep-th/0005085] [INSPIRE].
- [22] E. Coletti, I. Sigalov and W. Taylor, Abelian and nonAbelian vector field effective actions from string field theory, JHEP 09 (2003) 050 [hep-th/0306041] [INSPIRE].

- [23] M. Asano and M. Kato, New Covariant Gauges in String Field Theory, Prog. Theor. Phys. 117 (2007) 569 [hep-th/0611189] [INSPIRE].
- [24] M. Asano and M. Kato, Closed string field theory in a-gauge, JHEP 09 (2012) 121 [arXiv:1206.3901] [INSPIRE].
- [25] K. Peeters, Introducing Cadabra: A Symbolic computer algebra system for field theory problems, hep-th/0701238 [SPIN-06-46] [INSPIRE].
- [26] K. Peeters, Cadabra2: computer algebra for field theory revisited, J. Open Source Softw. 3 (2018) 1118 [INSPIRE].
- [27] H. Yang and B. Zwiebach, A Closed string tachyon vacuum?, JHEP 09 (2005) 054 [hep-th/0506077] [INSPIRE].
- [28] O. Bergman and B. Zwiebach, The Dilaton theorem and closed string backgrounds, Nucl. Phys. B 441 (1995) 76 [hep-th/9411047] [INSPIRE].
- [29] A. Belopolsky and B. Zwiebach, Who changes the string coupling?, Nucl. Phys. B 472 (1996) 109 [hep-th/9511077] [INSPIRE].
- [30] A. Astashkevich and A. Belopolsky, String center-of-mass operator and its effect on BRST cohomology, Commun. Math. Phys. 186 (1997) 109 [hep-th/9511111] [INSPIRE].
- [31] A. Sen, Massless RR Sector in Superstring Field Theory, 22 September 2021 [https://indico.cern.ch/event/1042834/contributions/4487406/attachments/2314312/ 3940259/sen_rr_masses.pdf].
- [32] S. Rahman and B. Zwiebach, Vacuum vertices and the ghost dilaton, Nucl. Phys. B 471 (1996)
 233 [hep-th/9507038] [INSPIRE].
- [33] K. Ohmori and Y. Okawa, Open superstring field theory based on the supermoduli space, JHEP 04 (2018) 035 [arXiv:1703.08214] [INSPIRE].
- [34] T. Takezaki, Open superstring field theory including the Ramond sector based on the supermoduli space, arXiv:1901.02176 [UT-Komaba/19-1] [INSPIRE].
- [35] C. Wang and X. Yin, On the Equivalence between SRS and PCO Formulations of Superstring Perturbation Theory, arXiv:2205.01106 [INSPIRE].
- [36] S.F. Moosavian and R. Pius, Hyperbolic geometry and closed bosonic string field theory. Part I. The string vertices via hyperbolic Riemann surfaces, JHEP 08 (2019) 157 [arXiv:1706.07366]
 [INSPIRE].
- [37] S.F. Moosavian and R. Pius, Hyperbolic geometry and closed bosonic string field theory. Part II. The rules for evaluating the quantum BV master action, JHEP 08 (2019) 177
 [arXiv:1708.04977] [INSPIRE].
- [38] K. Costello and B. Zwiebach, *Hyperbolic string vertices*, *JHEP* **02** (2022) 002 [arXiv:1909.00033] [INSPIRE].
- [39] A.H. Fırat, *Hyperbolic three-string vertex*, *JHEP* **08** (2021) 035 [arXiv:2102.03936] [INSPIRE].