

Crystal–chemical and biological controls of elemental incorporation into magnetite nanocrystals

Matthieu Amor, J. Frederick W. Mosselmans, Ernesto Scoppola, Chenghao Li,

Damien Faivre, Daniel Chevrier

To cite this version:

Matthieu Amor, J. Frederick W. Mosselmans, Ernesto Scoppola, Chenghao Li, Damien Faivre, et al.. Crystal–chemical and biological controls of elemental incorporation into magnetite nanocrystals. ACS Nano, 2023, 17 (2), pp.927-939. 10.1021/acsnano.2c05469 cea-03993863

HAL Id: cea-03993863 <https://cea.hal.science/cea-03993863v1>

Submitted on 20 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

 $\frac{31}{32}$

38
39

21 **Abstract**

 22 Magnetite nanoparticles possess numerous fundamental, biomedical and industrial applications, many of which depend on tuning the magnetic properties. This is often achieved by the 12 24 incorporation of trace and minor elements into the magnetite lattice. Such incorporation was 25 shown to depend strongly on the magnetite formation pathway (*i.e.*, abiotic *vs* biological), but 26 the mechanisms controlling element partitioning between magnetite and its surrounding precipitation solution remain to be elucidated. Here, we used a combination of theoretical 28 modelling (lattice and crystal field theories) and experimental evidence (high-resolution 29 inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry and X-ray absorption spectroscopy) to $\frac{25}{26}$ 30 demonstrate that element incorporation into abiotic magnetite nanoparticles is controlled 28 31 principally by cation size and valence. Elements from the first series of transition metals (Cr to 32 Zn) constituted exceptions to this finding as their incorporation appeared to be also controlled by the energy levels of their unfilled 3d orbitals, in line with crystal field mechanisms. We 34 finally show that element incorporation into biological magnetite nanoparticles produced by 35 magnetotactic bacteria (MTB) cannot be explained by crystal-chemical parameters alone, 36 which points to the biological control exerted by the bacteria over the element transfer between MTB growth medium and the intracellular environment. This screening effect generates 38 biological magnetite with a purer chemical composition than the abiotic materials formed in a 39 solution of similar composition. Our work establishes a theoretical framework for 48 40 understanding the crystal-chemical and biological controls of trace and minor cation 41 incorporation into magnetite, thereby providing predictive methods to tailor the composition of 42 magnetite nanoparticles for improved control over magnetic properties.

 44 **Keywords:** Trace Element Partitioning, Magnetotactic Bacteria, Magnetite Nanoparticles, 45 Biomineralization, Lattice and Crystal Field Theories

37
38
39 $\frac{42}{43}$ 47
48 52
53
54 2 3 8 10 13 14
 15 $\frac{1}{19}$ 20 23 24
25 38 30 $\frac{33}{34}$ 35 40 45 50 55 60

1

⁴ 46 **Main Text** 5 47 Magnetite $[Fe(II)Fe(III)$ O] is a naturally-occurring ubiquitous Fe oxide that can be 6 6 $2⁴$ 7 48 precipitated through abiotic chemical reactions.¹ Microorganisms can also promote magnetite 9 49 formation. Among them, only magnetotactic bacteria (MTB) are known to synthesize ¹¹ 50 nanoparticles of magnetite in a genetically controlled manner by incorporating Fe into
¹¹ 51 organelles called magnetosomes for magnetite formation.² MTB are proposed to represent some organelles called magnetosomes for magnetite formation.² MTB are proposed to represent some 14 $\,$ 52 of the most ancient microorganisms on Earth,³ and are markers of oxic/anoxic transitions in the 16 53 environment.⁴ Nanoparticles of magnetite are of fundamental scientific interest in industrial
17 54 and biomedical applications.^{5,6,7} with many of these interests relying on careful tuning and and biomedical applications,^{5,6,7} with many of these interests relying on careful tuning and 19 55 optimization of magnetic properties. A particular way of doing so directly relies on modifying 22 56 the chemical composition of magnetite, into which cations can substitute for Fe, incorporating 57 into the magnetite lattice structure and thereby modifying its magnetic properties such as 58 coercivity, saturation magnetization or heat release capacity.^{8,9} Cation incorporation has been ²⁶ 59 shown to depend strongly on the nature of the magnetite formation pathways (*i.e*., abiotic or 2760 biologic),¹⁰ but the mechanisms controlling such incorporation remain unknown. 29 61 The content of trace and minor elements in magnetite is also of critical interest for the 31 62 detection of extra-terrestrial and ancient terrestrial life⁴ as exemplified by the controversial 63 origin of magnetite in the Martian meteorite ALH84001.¹¹ This meteorite contains nanocrystals 34 64 of magnetite encapsulated in (Ca, Mg, Fe)-carbonates showing a pure chemical composition ³⁶ 65 (*i.e*., they contain no detectable dopant elements).¹² They were proposed to be produced from 66 the thermal decomposition of the carbonate matrix,¹³ although laboratory experimentation 39 67 showed that incorporation of Mg and Ca into the generated magnetite should occur during such 41 68 processes.¹⁴ An alternative biological origin of this Martian magnetite was thus suggested, since 69 only MTB were shown to generate magnetite with pure chemical composition.¹⁰ Such 44 70 characteristic property of biological magnetite was proposed as a reliable tool for the 46 71 identification of fossils of MTB in ancient terrestrial and extra-terrestrial environments.⁴ 72 Therefore, understanding the mechanisms leading to trace and minor element incorporation into 49 73 magnetite, as well as the capacity of MTB to screen for element incorporation, has important 51 74 implications in exobiology and (paleo)environmental sciences.⁴ 52 75 The goal of the present work is to establish the crystal-chemical parameters controlling the 54 76 incorporation of trace and minor elements into magnetite nanocrystals. Scheme 1 illustrates the 56 77 partitioning of tested elements into magnetite nanocrystals of synthetic and biological origin. 57 78 Using the concentrations of chemical elements in magnetite and residual solution $([X_{\text{magnetic}}]$ 58 magnetite and the contract of the contract 59 79 and [Xsolution], respectively) measured from high-resolution inductively coupled plasma mass Page 5 of 36 ACS Nano

114 **Results and Discussion**

129

130

115 **Chemical composition of abiotic and biological magnetite**

 116 Magnetite possesses an inverse spinel structure, with trivalent cations [Fe(III)] contained in 117 both octahedral (6-coordination) and tetrahedral sites (4-coordination) while divalent cations 10 118 [Fe(II)] occupy octahedral sites only.¹ During abiotic or biological formation, distinct trace and 119 minor cations can substitute Fe(II) or Fe(III) by incorporating into the lattice structure. A 120 previous study quantified the trace element content of abiotic and biological (AMB-1 strain)
 15 16 121 magnetite nanoparticles.¹⁰ Magnetite nanoparticles were thoroughly purified in order to 122 eliminate adsorbed elements (see ref.¹⁰ for high-resolution TEM). X-ray diffraction and high- 123 resolution electron microscopy analyses of the samples revealed pure magnetite crystals, with 124 no additional precipitated phases potentially induced by element doping.¹⁰ The concentrations of trace and minor elements (X) in magnetite and in the residual solution after nanoparticle 126 formation were measured using HR-ICP-MS. For each element, a partition coefficient (D^X) 24
25 26 127 between magnetite and solution was calculated from the equation:
27 128

$$
D^X = \frac{[X_{magnetic}]}{[X_{solution}]}
$$
 (1)

33 131 where [X_{magnetite}] and [X_{solution}] are the massic concentrations of an element X in magnetite and 132 in the residual solution after precipitation, respectively, expressed in ppb. Thus, the partition 133 coefficient quantifies the capacity of an element to incorporate into magnetite and does not 36
37 134 depend on the initial concentration of trace elements in the precipitation solution, at least in 135 first approximation. We show below that element partitioning can be explained by crystal- 136 chemical parameters according to established models, demonstrating that the element 137 concentration used (100 ppb for each element) did not induce competition between elements 138 for incorporation into magnetite. The same set of partition coefficients was calculated in the $^{44}_{45}$ 139 case of biological formation by AMB-1 with identical dopant concentrations in the initial $\frac{1}{48}$ 140 study.¹⁰ Elemental concentrations in the residual growth media as well as magnetite samples 141 thoroughly purified were quantified using HR-ICP-MS. Measured elemental concentrations 142 and partition coefficients are given in Tables S1 and S2. 53 143
54 144 144 **Lattice strain control of element incorporation into magnetite**

 59
60 145 The partitioning of a trace element between a given lattice site (M) and melts under high 58 146 temperature and pressure conditions is controlled by the ion radius and valence.¹⁵ For a given 147 valence $(n+$, with n = 1, 2, 3 or 4), incorporation of a trace element is maximized when the ionic Page 7 of 36 ACS Nano and the Second Sec

60

148 radius (r^X) matches the size of the site M ($r_n^0(M)$). A shift from this ideal size generates an elastic 12 153 a maximum value $(D_{n+1}^{(M)})$ corresponding to a fictive element perfectly matching the size of the 18 157 Thus, $D_{n+1}^{O(M)}$ corresponding to each valence also follow a parabolic trend with a maximum 149 deformation that is accommodated by the neighboring crystal lattice, translating into a penalty 150 in energy for the ion incorporation and, consequently, into a decrease of D^X . A size shift of the ⁹ 151 same magnitude but with opposite sign corresponds to the same energy penalty. The 152 distribution of D^X values for an isovalent series of elements thus follows a parabolic trend with 14 154 lattice site (*i.e.*, strain-compensated D^{X})¹⁵ (see Eqs. 2 and 3 in Materials and Methods). 155 Similarly, heterovalent cation substitution entails accommodation of excess electric charge by 17 156 the crystal lattice that will also translate into a penalty in energy for element incorporation.¹⁵ 2020 158 coefficient $D^{00(M)}$ corresponding to an ion causing no electrostatic charging (*i.e.*, homovalent 22 159 substitution).

32 165 a lattice strain model. The strain-compensated $D_{n+1}^{0(M)}$ extracted from Fig. 1 and represented $\frac{35}{36}$ 167 $D_n^{0(M)}$ corresponding to $D^{00(M)}$ was observed for a cation charge of +2.7, almost identical to the 46
47 51 24 160 We tested such a model in the case of magnetite to determine the role of ion radius and 161 valence in the distribution of D^X but under different conditions at ambient temperature and 162 atmospheric pressure. For all valences, the experimentally determined D^X plotted against their 29 163 cation radius were close to the predicted values calculated from Eq. 2 (Fig. 1). This shows that 164 the incorporation of most trace and minor elements into abiotic magnetite can be predicted by 34 166 against ionic charge also followed the expected parabolic trend (Fig. 2) (Eq. 3). The maximum
35 167 D₀0/M corresponding to D₀₀/M was shorted for a sation sharp of 12.7 slmost identical to the 37 168 bulk Fe valence in magnetite $(+2.67)$. Overall, the distribution of D^X values determined 39 169 experimentally in abiotic precipitation followed a lattice strain model, demonstrating that cation ⁴¹ 170 radius and valence control the incorporation of trace and minor elements in abiotic magnetite. 171 However, a few exceptions were observed: Cr(II), Cr(III), Mn(II), Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II) and 44 172 Zn(II)(grey circles in Fig. 1), which all belong to the first series of transition metals (3d metals) 45 173 also containing Fe, showed higher D^X than expected from their radii and valence. Such 47 174 preferential incorporation cannot be explained by potential co-precipitation of distinct 48 49 175 (oxyhydr)oxides phases as shown by X-ray diffraction and electron microscopy performed on 50 176 the samples used for the determination of D^{X} .¹⁰

56 ⁵² 177 Next, we consider the partition coefficients of trace and minor elements between the 53 54 178 biological magnetite and the growth medium, which shows a distinct picture from abiotic 55 179 results (Fig. 1). D^X values were consistently lower than those predicted from a lattice strain $\frac{57}{2}$ 180 model (Eq. 2). Such depletion corresponds to a factor of ~5 x 10¹ [Cs(I)] up to ~9 x 10⁷ [La(III)]. 58 59 181 The range of variation for D^X was also narrower in the case of biological magnetite, with most

182 values ranging between 10^{-1} and 10^{2} , compared to the D^{x} variation in abiotic magnetite of 7 183 orders of magnitude (Rb to Bi). Finally, the distribution of partition coefficients could not be 184 modeled by the lattice strain theory using Eq. 2, showing that crystal-chemical parameters 185 cannot explain the partitioning of trace and minor elements between AMB-1 magnetite and the 186 external growth medium.

 187

 $\frac{4}{5}$

188 **Biological control over element transfer to magnetosomes in magnetotactic bacteria**

29
30 31
32 189 Our work aims at understanding the mechanisms controlling the incorporation of cations 190 distinct from Fe into magnetite. It demonstrates that partitioning of trace and minor elements 191 between abiotic magnetite and surrounding solution is controlled by cation radius and valence 192 (with the exception of the first series of transition metals, see below for details). The biological 193 case showed a distinct picture as the distribution of D^X could not be modeled from lattice strain. 24 194 MTB selectively incorporate Fe into the intracellular medium, and sequester it in magnetosome 195 for magnetite formation thus excluding distinct trace and minor elements that cannot substitute 25
26
27 196 with Fe for their incorporation into magnetite. The low partition coefficients and low variation 297 of D^X in the biological conditions are in line with the proposed hypothesis of Fe biopurification ¹⁹⁸ during magnetite formation, establishing the chemical purity of magnetite as ^a signature of 199 MTB activity.

35
36
37 55
57 200 MTB precipitate magnetite in lipid vesicles (*i.e*., the intramagnetosomal environment), 201 which are physically separated from the extracellular medium. They create local specific 202 intracellular environments in magnetosomes with defined chemical conditions (pH, redox 39 203 potential etc.) that allow magnetite formation.¹⁶ The variability induced by MTB on trace and 204 minor element partitioning could arise from the homeostasis of chemical conditions in the 205 intracellular environment, which are distinct from the external solution and maintained by the 44 206 metabolic activity of the bacteria.^{16–18} These chemical conditions control the cation valence and 207 speciation (and thus its size) in solution, which may in turn shift element partitioning (Eqs 2 208 and 3, Figs. 1 and 2). However, the variability of cation size and valence evidenced by XAS 49 209 measurements (see below) is too low to account for the decrease of D^X in the biological 210 conditions (up to 7 orders of magnitude, see Fig. 1). 211 Although not demonstrated, the lower levels of doped cations in biological magnetite were 212 discussed as being caused by active and selective Fe incorporation into magnetosomes that 213 excludes distinct elements.¹⁰ A notable difference between both conditions of magnetite 214 precipitation is the decrease of partition coefficients in bacterial cultures as all elements showed

59 215 lower D^X values than those predicted from the lattice strain theory (Fig. 1). The range of

Page 9 of 36 ACS Nano

 β 219 linearly with the increase of cation radius, down to the ideal size $(r_n^{0(M)})$ that is associated with 12 221 again for cation radii higher than $r_n^{\alpha}(M)$ (Figs. 3A and 3D). Accordingly, the depletion of trace 216 partition coefficients was also narrower in AMB-1 cultures compared to abiotic magnetite (Fig. 217 1). Consequently, the enrichment of trace elements in AMB-1 magnetite quantified by the ratio 218 of measured D^X normalized to D^X values predicted from the lattice strain theory decreases 220 the maximum D^X (Fig. 3). Enrichment of doping elements in MTB magnetite then increases 222 and minor elements from MTB magnetite is higher for elements showing high affinity for
223 magnetite, and minimal for cations with the lowest abiotic D^X (Fig. 3) This illustrates a 15 223 magnetite, and minimal for cations with the lowest abiotic D^{χ} (Fig. 3). This illustrates a 224 screening effect induced by the bacterial membranes that limits the transfer of cations distinct 225 from Fe from the external solution to the location of magnetite formation regardless of the 226 cation size and valence. Silver was one exception to this picture, as it was more enriched in 227 AMB-1 magnetite than the rest of the monovalent elements (Fig. 3A). This could reflect the 228 antimicrobial properties of $Ag(I)^{19}$ and its sequestration into magnetite for detoxification as 229 previously proposed for toxic cations.²⁰ Alternatively, such preferential inclusion of Ag in 230 AMB-1 could arise from passive diffusion between the external solution and the intracellular 231 medium. 233 **Investigating the crystal-chemical properties of trace and minor elements belonging to the first** 234 **series of transition metals** 235 We show in Fig. 1 that elements from the first series of transition metals (Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, 236 Cu and Zn) behave in a distinct manner from other metal groups: their partitioning could not 237 be explained from the lattice strain theory with D^X values higher than those predicted. Such 238 enhanced incorporation was not evidenced in the case of biological magnetite produced by 239 AMB-1. To ensure that these observations are real and were not generated by specific 240 precipitation conditions or bacterial strain, we performed additional magnetite syntheses with 241 a mixture of Co, Mn and Zn using distinct protocols and bacterial strain. The choice of metals 242 is made by their frequent use as dopants to tune magnetic properties of magnetite.^{8,9,21} First, 243 abiotic magnetite nanoparticles were synthesized using a titration device (see Materials and 244 Methods) following a well-established protocol to produce highly pure magnetite.^{22–24} Using 245 this device, the pH of the precipitation solution is kept constant over magnetite precipitation by 246 adding NaOH solution, and the Fe, Co, Mn and Zn mixture is progressively added to the 247 precipitation solution. In addition, the magnetotactic strain *Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense*

248 MSR-1 was cultivated with the same Co, Mn and Zn mixture at the same concentration (see

249 Materials and Methods). Three replicates were prepared for each condition.

30
31
32 35
36
37 45
46 Fig. 4 shows representative transmission electron microscopy images of biological 6 magnetite synthesized by MTB (Figs. 4A and 4B) and abiotic magnetite nanoparticles 252 synthesized via co-precipitation of Fe(II) and Fe(III) (Fig. 4C). To confirm the crystal phase 253 purity of magnetite, both samples were characterized with X-ray diffraction (XRD) as presented 254 in Fig. 5A (see Fig. S1 for XRD comparison of AMB-1 and MSR-1). X-ray diffraction showed 255 similar peaks for all samples which are consistent with magnetite as the sole crystal phase 256 contained in the samples. We note the abiotic sample has broader peaks due to the smaller and 257 more dispersed crystallite sizes. Due to the nature of the co-precipitation synthesis, which does $\frac{15}{17}$ 258 not use any surface protecting ligands, the aggregation of nanoparticles is inevitable (Fig. 4C) 259 and thus renders it difficult to measure individual particle sizes. Therefore, XRD measurement 260 and peak refinement yielded mean crystal sizes of about 12 and 32 nm for abiotic and biological 261 magnetite, respectively (Table S3), though the range of particle sizes is wider in the case of 262 biological formation. The oxidation state and coordination of Fe, Mn, Co and Zn in magnetite 263 was determined using X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS). Iron K-edge XAS spectra in Figs. $\frac{25}{26}$ 27 264 5B and 5C, presented as *k*-space and R-space, show corresponding post-edge XAFS oscillations 265 that overall match in phase, though small deviations are noticed for the abiotic sample. We 266 attribute this to the high amount of small nanoparticles in the synthetic product (see Fig. 4C) 267 where higher surface area to volume can shift the average scattering distances for Fe-O and Fe- 268 Fe bonds. This difference is reflected in the EXAFS refinement of the abiotic sample (see. Fig. 269 S2 and Table S4) where the bond distances are slightly longer and the Fe-O scattering 270 contributions in R-space are more dominant, again reflecting the smaller particle sizes. 271 In both abiotic and MSR-1 cases, the magnetite samples were recovered and purified (see 272 Materials and Methods), and their content in Fe, Co, Mn and Zn was measured using HR-ICP-273 MS. D^X coefficients were then determined by also analyzing the residual solution corresponding 274 to the precipitation solution or MSR-1 growth medium. These results, given in Table S6, 275 confirm our previous findings with D^X values highly similar or identical to those previously 276 obtained within analytical uncertainties (Fig. 1). They further demonstrate enhanced 277 incorporation of Co, Mn and Zn in magnetite and suggest that bacterial element screening is 278 universal to MTB and not specific to strain type. Indeed, incorporation of Co, Cu, Mn, Ni and 279 Zn occurs at thermodynamic equilibrium.¹⁶ Consequently, trace and minor element 280 incorporation do not occur at thermodynamic equilibrium in MTB, which further points to the 281 biological control exerted by the bacteria over element transfer from the external solution to 282 magnetite. We demonstrate below that crystal-chemical mechanisms specifically stabilizing 3d 283 metals in the magnetite lattice correspond to crystal field effects.

 $\frac{31}{32}$ 35
36
37 45
46 55
57 increases the total mass of trace elements contained in magnetite, which in turn decreases the 6 319 concentrations of trace elements in the residual medium and lead to an increase of D^X . We thus 7 320 calculated partition coefficients of Mn, Co and Zn normalized to Fe (D^{X/F_e}) to compare values 321 obtained from AMB-1 and MSR-1, and obtained similar results in both strains (Table S7) 10 322 demonstrating that Co, Mn and Zn are highly similarly processed in AMB-1 and MSR-1. 323 Abiotic and biological magnetite samples were measured with XAS in powdered form at the ¹⁴ 324 respective dopant K-edges to confirm the valence and site occupancy of doped metals in 325 magnetite. Due to the dopant-level concentration of each metal in magnetite, fluorescence $\frac{15}{17}$ 326 detection was employed to collect XAS spectra. In the case of Co for abiotic magnetite 327 nanoparticles, the fluorescence signal was too weak to collect usable spectra, which is 328 understandable given the ten-fold lower Co concentration abiotic in magnetite relative to Mn 329 and Zn (see Table S6). Nevertheless, from similar previous characterizations of Co-ferrite 24 330 nanoparticles $(Co_xFe_{3-x}O_4)$ prepared via different synthetic methods, Co is well known to 331 occupy mainly octahedral sites $33,34$ and was used forth in the CFSE calculation. $\frac{25}{26}$ 27 332 K-edge XAS for Mn-doped abiotic magnetite (Fig. 6A) has near-edge structural features that 29 333 resemble a $Mn_{0.5}Fe_{2.5}O_4$ reference (where Mn is a mixture of Mn(II) located in tetrahedral sites 30 334 and Mn(III) in octahedral sites).^{35–37} Comparison with Mn-based oxides shows an oxidation 335 state between Mn(II) and Mn(III) but closer to MnO. This is reflected by the position of the 336 main rising edge (Fig. S5). Weaker pre-edge and post-edge features (6562 eV) potentially 337 originate from the dilute and mixed nature of the dopant metal in the magnetite lattice compared 338 to the Mn-ferrite reference. Interestingly, it was found than Mn K-edge XANES of our sample 339 well matched Mn-ferrite nanocrystals prepared in the stoichiometry of the mineral jacobsite 40 340 (MnFe₂O₄).³⁸ This reference (called MnFe₂O₄) is included for comparison in Fig. 6A. Here, 341 similar edge position and near-edge features confirms comparable local environments for Mn, 342 which was ascribed to be partially oxidized Mn(II) in 80% tetrahedral sites (*i.e*., having 0.2 343 degree of inversion). Since the extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) region is too 344 noisy to fit scattering paths for structural information, *ab initio* simulations of the Mn K-edge 345 XANES for tetrahedral and octahedral Mn substitutions in magnetite (Fig. S6) provided another 50 346 perspective. The relative energy positions of near-edge absorption features for Mn_g Fe α and $\frac{51}{2.5 \text{ }} \frac{1}{4}$ 0.5 2.5 4 52 347 MnFe₂O₄ references match better with tetrahedral coordination from the simulated XANES. 348 Zinc K-edge XANES for Zn-doped abiotic magnetite (Fig. 6C) has poorly defined near- 349 edge features and very weak EXAFS. When compared with a Zn-ferrite reference, known to 350 have a normal spinel crystal structure with Zn(II) occupying tetrahedral sites, the width and 351 positioning of the XANES aligns well but clear near-edge features are less apparent.

Page 13 of 36 ACS Nano

water.^{45–47} The appropriateness of doped magnetite nanomaterials with tailored

Methods

abiotic counterpart, 10 Mn, Co and Zn were added at higher concentration to

method for magnetite production.^{10,22}

30
31
32 35
36
37 45
46 maximize their incorporation into MSR-1 magnetite for XAS measurements (see Fig. S10 for 6 455 doping trials with Cu and Ni). Doping elements were added at similar concentrations (33 μ M 7 456 for each element in the mixed-element condition, and $100 \mu M$ for single-doped samples from 457 dissolution of chloride salts purchased from Sigma-Aldrich) in MSR-1 growth medium. They 458 did not induce toxicity for the bacteria, as shown by similar cell densities in doped cultures 459 when compared to bacteria cultivated with no doping elements (Table S9). MSR-1 magnetite 460 was then extracted for XAS and HR-ICP-MS analyses: MSR-1 bacteria were lysed using a 461 French Press (3 runs at 7 000 kPa), recovered with a magnet, and purified with an EDTA- $\frac{15}{17}$ 462 Triton-SDS preparation following a previously described procedure.¹⁰ Complete removal of the 463 magnetosome membrane using this protocol was previously confirmed from electron 464 microscopy (no membrane features after purification) and energy dispersive X-ray 465 spectroscopy (no detectable phosphorous and nitrogen after purification, which are elements 466 contained in organic matter and absent from magnetite). This was also confirmed for our 467 biological magnetite samples, which showed no residual membrane after purification (Fig. 4). $\frac{25}{26}$ 468 Finally, all magnetite samples were leached using an EDTA solution for 20 minutes to remove 29 469 elements adsorbed on the magnetite surface but not incorporated into the crystal lattice.¹⁰ Such 470 methodology was shown not to dissolve magnetite.¹⁰ The partition coefficients normalized to 471 iron obtained in this work were identical (within analytical uncertainty) to the ones previously 472 obtained in the initial study establishing the purification protocol, further demonstrating that 473 magnetite samples were efficiently purified.¹⁰ 475 **Transmission electron microscopy** 476 Abiotic magnetite nanoparticles and MSR-1 bacteria were deposited on carbon-coated 477 copper grids for electron microscopy characterizations. MSR-1 grids were rinsed using Milli- 478 Q water to remove salts precipitated from the growth medium. Samples were then observed 479 with a FEI Tecnai G2 Biotwin microscope operating at 100 kV. The size of magnetite particles 480 produced by MSR-1 was measured with the ImageJ software. The size of abiotic magnetite 481 nanoparticles could not be measured reliably from electron microscopy due to agglomeration, 482 and was instead determined from X-ray diffraction (see below). 484 **Selection of trace and minor elements** 485 Four elements (B, Ca, K, Mg) from the initial work¹⁰ were excluded for the present work: B 57 486 and K are contained in the glassware (borosilicates) used for the preparation and storage of

487 samples, and thus contaminate the magnetite and solution samples, while only one replicate 488 could be obtained in the case of Ca and Mg.

⁹ 490 **Determination of element oxidation state in solution and magnetite**

The oxidation state of all doping trace and minor elements in precipitation solutions was 12 492 determined at the pH $/E_h$ conditions representative of the abiotic precipitation solution and the 14 493 proposed magnetosome internal solution¹⁸ with the geochemical code CHESS⁵² using the 494 THERMODDEM⁵³ database. Because Fe(II) is found in octahedral sites in magnetite, all 17 495 divalent cations were considered as occupying octahedral sites in magnetite. Similarly, half of ¹⁹ 496 Fe(III) is present in octahedral sites magnetite, the other half being contained in tetrahedral sites. 497 We thus considered trivalent cations to be incorporated in both 6- (50%) and 4-coordination 22 498 (50%).

²⁵ 500 **Prediction of trace and minor element partition coefficients from lattice strain theory**

27 501 The distribution of D^X values for an isovalent series of elements can be described by ref.¹⁵:

$$
30 \t\t\t\t 502
$$
\n
$$
503
$$
\n
$$
D^{X} = D_{n+}^{0(M)} \times exp\left\{\frac{-4\pi N E^{M} \left[\frac{1}{2} r^{0(M)} (r^{X} - r^{0(M)})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (r^{X} - r^{0(M)})^{3} \right]}{R T} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
504
$$
\n
$$
504
$$
\n(2)

 $\frac{36}{9}$ 506 strain (*i.e.* strain-compensated D^X), E_{n+}^M the lattice strain parameter describing the Young's $\frac{38}{39}$ 507 Modulus (in GPa) of the site M, N_Athe Avogadro's number, R the perfect gas constant, and T 35 505 With $D^{0(M)}$ the partition coefficient for a fictive element with $r^X = r^{0(M)}$ and causing no elastic $n +$ $n +$ 508 the temperature in Kelvin. Similarly, heterovalent cation substitution entail excess electric 42 509 charge accommodated by the crystal lattice that will also translate into a penalty in energy for 510 element incorporation¹⁵:

$$
D_{n+}^{0(M)} = D^{00(M)} \times exp\left\{ \frac{-N_A e^2 (Z^X - Z^{0(M)})^2}{(2\varepsilon \rho RT)} \right\}
$$
(3)

513

 $^{0(M)}$, and E^M) are given in Table S5. Selected Young's modulus range between 90 and 430 52
53
54 58 51 514 Where $D^{00(M)}$ is the strain-compensated partition coefficient for a fictive ion causing no 515 electrostatic charging (*i.e.*, homovalent substitution), e the electron charge, ρ the radius of the 516 region over which the excess charge is distributed, ε the dielectric constant of that region, and 55 56 517 Z^X and $Z^{0(M)}$ the ionic charge and the optimum ionic charge at the site M, respectively. $57 - 518$ The parameters used for the calculation of predicted partition coefficients using Eq. 2 ($D^{0(M)}$, 518
519 60 $n + n +$

521 nanoparticles of magnetite.^{54–56} Higher variability in n_+ than that observed here for magnetite 30 536 coordination of 3d metals in magnetite" for details) to determine the values of $D_{n+1}^{(0)}$ and $D^{(0)}(M)$ $\frac{13}{15}$ 30
31
32 37
38
39 $\frac{42}{43}$ 52
53
54 GPa , which is in good agreement with the range of published values for macrocrystals and \mathcal{E}^M 522 has already been reported in many mineral phases, including plagioclase, diopside, augite, 9 523 anorthite, albite, diopside or fluorite.^{57,58} Such variability is related to the ion charge and the case of magnetic, it can also be explained by the variability is relation – oxygen distance.¹⁵ In the case of m cation – oxygen distance.¹⁵ In the case of magnetite, it can also be explained by the variability 525 induced by the two lattice sites under consideration (tetrahedral and octahedral). For Eq. 3, a 526 dielectric constant (ε) of 55 and a lattice region with a radius (ρ) of 9 nm were considered. Such 527 values correspond to published ϵ for magnetite.^{59,60} Due to the agglomerated nature of abiotic 528 magnetite samples, it was impossible to assess the particle size from electron microscopy. XRD 529 peak fitting indicated a mean radius of 6 nm for abiotic nanoparticles. However it is known to 530 generate lower (up to 50 %) values than direct observation from electron microscopy.²² Given 22 531 the discrepancy between these two techniques, we consider our theoretical value of 9 nm to be 532 compatible with the size of our abiotic magnetite samples. 533 We note that the partition coefficient D^X is expressed differently from other publications of 25
26
27 534 our group.^{10,16} Specifically, it is not normalized to iron (except for the AMB-1/MSR-1 535 comparison, see section "Crystal field constraints on element incorporation into magnetite and 537 coefficients). 538 539 **Inductively Coupled Plasma – Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) analyses** 540 The concentrations of Fe, Mn, Co and Zn in the abiotic and MSR-1 magnetite nanoparticles 541 (samples only from the metal mixture culture were used), in the residual precipitation solution 41 542 and in the residual bacterial growth media were determined using a Perkin Elmer NexIon 300X 543 mass spectrometer (collision mode configuration with a Rh103 internal standard) at the *Centre* 544 *Européen de Recherche et d'Enseignement en Géosciences de l'Environnement* (CEREGE 46 545 Aix-en-Provence, France). Three replicates were performed for each experimental condition.
47 547 **X-ray diffraction (XRD)** 548 X-ray diffraction measurements were performed at the Spot beamline at the BESSY II facility 549 (Helmholtz Zentrum für Materialien und Energie, Berlin, Germany).⁶¹ Measurements were 550 carried out using a B4C/Mo Multilayer (2 nm period) monochromator and an energy of 15 keV. 56 551 A sequence of pinholes was used to select $100x100 \mu m^2$ spot size. The data was normalized on 552 primary beam intensity and the background was subtracted. Transmission through the sample 553 was calculated from an X-ray fluorescence signal collected from a lead beamstop using

Page 19 of 36 ACS Nano

554 RAYSPEC Sirius SD-E65133-BE-INC detector equipped with an 8 μ m beryllium window, where the primary beam intensity was monitored using an ion chamber. Diffraction data were collected by an Eiger 9M detector with $75x75 \mu m^2$ pixel area. Further data processing and 557 reduction was done using the directly programmable data analysis kit (DPDAK).⁶² Diffraction 10 558 patterns were radially integrated and the scattered intensity $I(Q)$ was calculated as a function of 11 12 559 the momentum transfer Q, defined as

$$
Q = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sin(\frac{1}{2})
$$
 (4)

563 with λ and θ the photon wavelength and the scattering angle, respectively. Sample to detector 564 distance was set to 189 mm and calibrated by using quartz powder (NIST, standard reference material 1878a). Determination of peak position and particle size was performed following 566 established methodology with an in-house python-based script exploiting Scipy library. Fits 25 567 were performed by assuming a Lorentzian distribution and by taking into account experimental errors and instrumental broadening (Fig. S11).

569

570 **X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy (XAS)**

 The abiotic and biological magnetite samples were measured under cryogenic conditions in 572 powdered form. To prepare the samples for measurement, the purified abiotic and biological 573 magnetite nanoparticles were spread onto Kapton tape and folded to seal the sample. The samples were kept frozen during storage, shipment and measurement. Principal data was 575 collected at the I20-Scanning beamline (Diamond Light Source, Didcot, UK). Preliminary XAS ⁴⁰ 576 data (additional Fe, Ni and Cu K-edge spectra) was collected at the Sector BM-20 beamline (Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, IL, USA). Additional reference 578 materials were acquired and energy calibrated for comparison with abiotic and biological 579 samples. Due to the dilute nature of dopant metals, fluorescence detection was employed to 47 580 collect XAS spectra. Several scans were collected and averaged to produce the spectra 48 49 581 presented in this work. XAS of reference foils for Mn, Co and Zn were simultaneously collected 582 downstream via ionization chambers for energy calibration. Athena and WinXAS programs 52 583 were used for background subtraction, energy calibration, normalization and transformation to 584 FT-EXAFS spectra. Athena was implemented for linear combination fitting and WinXAS for 585 refined EXAFS fitting. The computational package FEFF8.2 was employed to simulate 58 586 scattering paths for EXAFS fitting and to simulate Mn K-edge XANES of Mn in octahedral 587 and tetrahedral lattice sites in magnetite. Reported errors in EXAFS fitting results were

 computed from off-diagonal elements of the correlation matrix, which were weighted by the square root of the reduced chi-squared values obtained from each simulated fit. The amount of 590 experimental noise was also taken into consideration for each Fourier transformed R-space 9 591 spectrum from 15-25 Å.
10 ϵ 62

593 **Acknowledgements**

6

 $\frac{11}{12}$

37
38
39 594 MA is supported by a grant through the *Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale* 16 595 (ARF201909009123). DMC acknowledges research funding through a European Union Marie-
17 596 Skłodowska Curie Action International Fellowship (MSCA-IF Project 797431: 596 Skłodowska Curie Action International Fellowship (MSCA-IF Project 797431: 597 BioNanoMagnets) and from a CNRS starting grant. CLS@APS facilities (Sector 20-BM) at the ²1 598 Advanced Photon Source (APS) are supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), 599 NSERC Canada, the University of Washington, the Canadian Light Source (CLS), and the APS. 600 Use of the APS is supported by the DOE under Contract No. DE-AC02−06CH11357. We 24
25 601 acknowledge Diamond Light Source for beamtime on I20-scanning under proposal SP25495. 602 We thank beamline scientists Michael Pape (APS) for technical assistance during beamtimes. 27
28
29 603 The groups of Thomas N. Stokes, Daniela Carta, Alicia Muela and M. Luisa Fdez-Gubieda are 604 thanked for sharing XAS data on ferrite references. We thank Bernard Angeletti and Jean-Paul 605 Ambrosi from the *Centre Européen de Recherche et d'Enseignement des Géosciences de* $\frac{33}{33}$
34 606 *l'Environnement (*Aix-en-Provence, France*)* for assistance with the mass spectrometry 607 measurements. We thank Lucas Kuhrts for providing additional reference XRD diffractogram 608 of magnetite and Paul N. Duchesne for constructive feedback and comments on the manuscript. 610 **Associated Content**

49
50
51 611 Supporting Information is available free of charge at https:// 612 pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano… The file contains additional X-ray diffraction and X-ray 613 absorption spectroscopy data for abiotic and biological magnetite samples, plots and tabulated 614 values of calculated partition coefficients, massic concentration of elements from ICP-MS 615 measurements, particle size measurements, EXAFS fitting results, ideal radii and Young's 616 modulus values for lattice strain calculations and results from dopant metal tolerance study. 617 This manuscript was initially deposited on a pre-print server and can be found with the 618 following information: Matthieu Amor, Damien Faivre, Daniel M. Chevrier, Crystal-chemical

54
55
56 619 and biological controls of trace and minor element incorporation into magnetite nanocrystals. 620 2021, ChemRxiv. [https://chemrxiv.org/engage/chemrxiv/article-](https://chemrxiv.org/engage/chemrxiv/article-details/6177bd06913a742b636afc83)⁶²¹ [details/6177bd06913a742b636afc83](https://chemrxiv.org/engage/chemrxiv/article-details/6177bd06913a742b636afc83) (accessed December 16, 2022).

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

623 **References**

 629
630 (1) Usman, M.; Byrne, J. M.; Chaudhary, A.; Orsetti, S.; Hanna, K.; Ruby, C.; Kappler, A.; Haderlein, S. B. Magnetite and Green Rust: Synthesis, Properties, and Environmental Applications of Mixed-Valent Iron Minerals. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118* (7), 3251–3304. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00224. (2) Uebe, R.; Schüler, D. Magnetosome Biogenesis in Magnetotactic Bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2016**, *14* (10), 621–637. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2016.99. 630 (3) Lin, W.; Paterson, G. A.; Zhu, Q.; Wang, Y.; Kopylova, E.; Li, Y.; Knight, R.; Bazylinski, D. A.; Zhu, R.; Kirschvink, J. L.; Pan, Y. Origin of Microbial Biomineralization and Magnetotaxis during the Archean. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2017**, *114* (9), 2171– 2176. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1614654114. (4) Amor, M.; Mathon, F. P.; Monteil, C. L.; Busigny, V.; Lefevre, C. T. Iron-Biomineralizing Organelle in Magnetotactic Bacteria: Function, Synthesis and Preservation in Ancient Rock Samples. *Environ. Microbiol.* **2020**, *22* (9), 3611–3632. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.15098. (5) Plan Sangnier, A.; Preveral, S.; Curcio, A.; K A Silva, A.; Lefèvre, C. T.; Pignol, D.; Lalatonne, Y.; Wilhelm, C. Targeted Thermal Therapy with Genetically Engineered Magnetite Magnetosomes@RGD: Photothermia Is Far More Efficient than Magnetic Hyperthermia. *J Control Release* **2018**, *279*, 271–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2018.04.036. 643 (6) Felfoul, O.; Mohammadi, M.; Taherkhani, S.; de Lanauze, D.; Xu, Y. Z.; Loghin, D.; Essa, S.; Jancik, S.; Houle, D.; Lafleur, M.; Gaboury, L.; Tabrizian, M.; Kaou, N.; Atkin, M.; Vuong, T.; Batist, G.; Beauchemin, N.; Radzioch, D.; Martel, S. Magneto-Aerotactic Bacteria Deliver Drug-Containing Nanoliposomes to Tumour Hypoxic Regions. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* , *11* (11), 941–947. https://doi.org/10.1038/NNANO.2016.137. (7) Zingsem, B. W.; Feggeler, T.; Terwey, A.; Ghaisari, S.; Spoddig, D.; Faivre, D.; Meckenstock, R.; Farle, M.; Winklhofer, M. Biologically Encoded Magnonics. *Nature* 650 *Communications* **2019**, *10* (1), 4345. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12219-0. (8) Byrne, J. M.; Coker, V. S.; Moise, S.; Wincott, P. L.; Vaughan, D. J.; Tuna, F.; Arenholz, E.; van der Laan, G.; Pattrick, R. A. D.; Lloyd, J. R.; Telling, N. D. Controlled Cobalt Doping in Biogenic Magnetite Nanoparticles. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* , *10* (83), 20130134. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2013.0134. (9) Byrne, J. M.; Coker, V. S.; Cespedes, E.; Wincott, P. L.; Vaughan, D. J.; Pattrick, R. A. D.; Laan, G. van der; Arenholz, E.; Tuna, F.; Bencsik, M.; Lloyd, J. R.; Telling, N. D. Biosynthesis of Zinc Substituted Magnetite Nanoparticles with Enhanced Magnetic Properties. *Advanced Functional Materials* **2014**, *24* (17), 2518–2529. https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201303230. (10) Amor, M.; Busigny, V.; Durand-Dubief, M.; Tharaud, M.; Ona-Nguema, G.; Gélabert, A.; Alphandéry, E.; Menguy, N.; Benedetti, M. F.; Chebbi, I.; Guyot, F. Chemical Signature of Magnetotactic Bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2015**, *112* (6), 1699–1703. 663 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1414112112. (11) Thomas-Keprta, K. L.; Bazylinski, D. A.; Kirschvink, J. L.; Clemett, S. J.; McKay, D. S.; Wentworth, S. J.; Vali, H.; Gibson, E. K.; Romanek, C. S. Elongated Prismatic Magnetite Crystals in ALH84001 Carbonate Globules: Potential Martian Magnetofossils. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **2000**, *64* (23), 4049–4081. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(00)00481- 6. (12) Thomas-Keprta, K. L.; Clemett, S. J.; McKay, D. S.; Gibson, E. K.; Wentworth, S. J. 670 Origins of Magnetite Nanocrystals in Martian Meteorite ALH84001. *Geochim. Cosmochim.*

 Serrano, A.; Valencia, S.; Abrudan, R.; Fernández Barquín, L.; García-Arribas, A.; Muela, A.; Fdez-Gubieda, M. L. Magnetic Study of Co-Doped Magnetosome Chains. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2018**, *122* (13), 7541–7550. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.8b01187. (28) Muñoz, D.; Marcano, L.; Martín-Rodríguez, R.; Simonelli, L.; Serrano, A.; García-Prieto, A.; Fdez-Gubieda, M. L.; Muela, A. Magnetosomes Could Be Protective Shields 726 against Metal Stress in Magnetotactic Bacteria. *Sci Rep* **2020**, *10* (1), 11430. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-68183-z. (29) Prozorov, T.; Perez-Gonzalez, T.; Valverde-Tercedor, C.; Jimenez-Lopez, C.; Yebra-Rodriguez, A.; Körnig, A.; Faivre, D.; Mallapragada, S. K.; Howse, P. A.; Bazylinski, D. A.; Prozorov, R. Manganese Incorporation into the Magnetosome Magnetite: Magnetic Signature of Doping. *Eur. J. Mineral.* **2014**, *26* (4), 457–471. https://doi.org/10.1127/0935- 1221/2014/0026-2388. 733 (30) Staniland, S.; Williams, W.; Telling, N.; Van der Laan, G.; Harrison, A.; Ward, B. Controlled Cobalt Doping of Magnetosomes in Vivo. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2008**, *3* (3), 158– 162. https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2008.35. (31) Li, J.; Menguy, N.; Arrio, M.-A.; Sainctavit, P.; Juhin, A.; Wang, Y.; Chen, H.; Bunau, O.; Otero, E.; Ohresser, P.; Pan, Y. Controlled Cobalt Doping in the Spinel Structure of Magnetosome Magnetite: New Evidences from Element- and Site-Specific X-Ray Magnetic Circular Dichroism Analyses. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **2016**, *13* (121), 20160355. 740 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2016.0355. 745
746 (32) Heyen, U.; Schuler, D. Growth and Magnetosome Formation by Microaerophilic Magnetospirillum Strains in an Oxygen-Controlled Fermentor. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* , *61* (5–6), 536–544. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-002-1219-x. (33) Tsvetkov, M.; Milanova, M.; Ivanova, I.; Neov, D.; Cherkezova-Zheleva, Z.; Zaharieva, J.; Abrashev, M. Phase Composition and Crystal Structure Determination of 746 Cobalt Ferrite, Modified with Ce, Nd and Dy Ions by X-Ray and Neutron Diffraction. *Journal of Molecular Structure* **2019**, *1179*, 233–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molstruc.2018.07.083. (34) Amiri, S.; Shokrollahi, H. The Role of Cobalt Ferrite Magnetic Nanoparticles in Medical Science. *Materials Science and Engineering: C* **2013**, *33* (1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2012.09.003. (35) Mazarío, E.; Mayoral, A.; Salas, E.; Menéndez, N.; Herrasti, P.; Sánchez-Marcos, J. Synthesis and Characterization of Manganese Ferrite Nanoparticles Obtained by 753 Electrochemical/Chemical Method. *Mater. Des.* **2016**, *111*, 646–650. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2016.09.031. (36) Stokes, T. N.; Bromiley, G. D.; Gatta, G. D.; Rotiroti, N.; Potts, N. J.; Saunders, K. Cation Distribution and Valence in Synthetic Al–Mn–O and Fe–Mn–O Spinels under Varying Conditions. *Mineralogical Magazine* **2018**, *82* (4), 975–992. https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2018.109. (37) Liang, X.; Zhong, Y.; Zhu, S.; He, H.; Yuan, P.; Zhu, J.; Jiang, Z. The Valence and 760 Site Occupancy of Substituting Metals in Magnetite Spinel Structure Fe3−xMxO4 (M = Cr, Mn, Co and Ni) and Their Influence on Thermal Stability: An XANES and TG-DSC Investigation. *Solid State Sci.* **2013**, *15*, 115–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solidstatesciences.2012.10.005. (38) Carta, D.; Casula, M. F.; Falqui, A.; Loche, D.; Mountjoy, G.; Sangregorio, C.; Corrias, A. A Structural and Magnetic Investigation of the Inversion Degree in Ferrite 766 Nanocrystals MFe2O4 (M = Mn, Co, Ni). *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, *113* (20), 8606–8615. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp901077c. (39) Swatsitang, E.; Phokha, S.; Hunpratub, S.; Usher, B.; Bootchanont, A.; Maensiri, S.; Chindaprasirt, P. Characterization and Magnetic Properties of Cobalt Ferrite Nanoparticles. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds* **2016**, *664*, 792–797.

 825
826 Mechanical Properties of Magnetite (Fe3O4), Hematite (Alpha-Fe2O3) and Goethite (Alpha-FeO Center Dot OH) by Instrumented Indentation and Molecular Dynamics Analysis. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *129* (3), 862–870. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2011.05.056. (55) Fine, M. E.; Kenney, N. T. Moduli and Internal Friction of Magnetite as Affected by the Low-Temperature Transformation. *Phys. Rev.* **1954**, *94* (6), 1573–1576. 826 https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.94.1573. (56) Gholizadeh, A. A Comparative Study of Physical Properties in Fe3O4 Nanoparticles Prepared by Coprecipitation and Citrate Methods. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2017**, *100* (8), 3577– 3588. https://doi.org/10.1111/jace.14896. (57) Blundy, J.; Wood, B. Prediction of Crystal-Melt Partition-Coefficients from Elastic-Moduli. *Nature* **1994**, *372* (6505), 452–454. https://doi.org/10.1038/372452a0. (58) van Hinsberg, V. J.; Migdisov, A. A.; Williams-Jones, A. E. Reading the Mineral 833 Record of Fluid Composition from Element Partitioning. *Geology* **2010**, *38* (9), 847–850. https://doi.org/10.1130/G31112.1. (59) Pimenov, A.; Tachos, S.; Rudolf, T.; Loidl, A.; Schrupp, D.; Sing, M.; Claessen, R.; Brabers, V. A. M. Terahertz Conductivity at the Verwey Transition in Magnetite. *Phys. Rev. B* **2005**, *72* (3), 035131. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.035131. (60) Zulfiqar; Afzal, S.; Khan, R.; Zeb, T.; Rahman, M. ur; Burhanullah; Ali, S.; Khan, G.; Rahman, Z. ur; Hussain, A. Structural, Optical, Dielectric and Magnetic Properties of PVP 840 Coated Magnetite (Fe3O4) Nanoparticles. *J Mater Sci: Mater Electron* **2018**, *29* (23), 20040– 845
846 20050. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-018-0134-6. (61) Zizak, I. The MySpot Beamline at BESSY II. *Journal of large-scale research facilities JLSRF* **2016**, *2* (0), 102. https://doi.org/10.17815/jlsrf-2-113. (62) Benecke, G.; Wagermaier, W.; Li, C.; Schwartzkopf, M.; Flucke, G.; Hoerth, R.; Zizak, I.; Burghammer, M.; Metwalli, E.; Müller-Buschbaum, P.; Trebbin, M.; Förster, S.; 846 Paris, O.; Roth, S. V.; Fratzl, P. A Customizable Software for Fast Reduction and Analysis of Large X-Ray Scattering Data Sets: Applications of the New DPDAK Package to Small-Angle X-Ray Scattering and Grazing-Incidence Small-Angle X-Ray Scattering. *J Appl Cryst* **2014**, (5), 1797–1803. https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600576714019773. (63) Shannon, R. D.; Prewitt, C. T. Effective Ionic Radii in Oxides and Fluorides. *Acta Crystallographica Section B* **1969**, *25* (5), 925–946. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0567740869003220. 853 (64) Fischer, A.; Schmitz, M.; Aichmayer, B.; Fratzl, P.; Faivre, D. Structural Purity of Magnetite Nanoparticles in Magnetotactic Bacteria. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **2011**, *8* (60), 1011– 1018. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2010.0576. 856

-
-
-
-

-
-

-
-

859 **Scheme 1.** Illustration of trace and minor elements analyzed in abiotic and biological magnetite.

22 860 $[X_{\text{magnetic}}]$ refers to element concentration in magnetite, and $[X_{\text{solution}}]$ indicates the element 861 concentration in the residual solution and residual growth medium in the case of abiotic and 862 biological magnetite formation, respectively.

41 872 (D) indicate the position of ideal cation radius $(r_n^0(\mathcal{M}))$ and strain-compensated partition 43 873 coefficient $(D_{n+}^{0(M)})$. **866 Figure 1.** Partition coefficients (D^X) of (A) monovalent, (B) divalent, (C) trivalent and (D) 867 tetravalent cations in the case of abiotic (black and grey circles) and biological (AMB-1, open 368 diamonds) magnetite. Dashed line indicate the predicted values of D^X based on a lattice strain 869 model (Eq. 2). Grey symbols point to 3d metals [Co(II), Cr(II), Cr(III), Cu(II), Mn(II), Ni(II), 870 Zn(II)] showing variations from the predicted values in the abiotic precipitation of magnetite. $\frac{39}{40}$ 871 Cation radius taken from Shannon and Prewitt and summarized in Table S10⁶³. Black lines in

35 887 tetravalent elements. $r_n^{\{0\}}$ values reported here are extracted from Fig. 3 and Table S5. **885 Figure 3.** Biological D^X obtained from AMB-1 cultures normalized to the D^X predicted from 886 lattice strain theory (Eq. 2) in the case of (A) monovalent, (B) divalent, (C) trivalent and (D)

 892 **Figure 4.** Transmission electron microscopy images of (A) MSR-1 bacteria containing 893 magnetite nanoparticles, (B) biological magnetite nanoparticles extracted from MSR-1 and ²² 894 purified (see Materials and Methods), and (C) abiotic magnetite nanoparticles.
²⁵ 2²

 ⁸⁹⁸ **Figure 5.** (A) X-ray diffractograms of doped abiotic and biological magnetite. An additional ⁸⁹⁹ undoped abiotic magnetite reference is given for comparison. Abiotic magnetite shows all peaks $\frac{57}{2}$ 900 typical of magnetite⁶⁴. The broader peaks for abiotic magnetite can be explained by smaller

908 Co K-edge and (C) Zn K-edge.

910 **Figure 7.** (A) Partition coefficients (D^X) and (B) ratio of measured partition coefficients and 29 911 partition coefficients predicted from a lattice strain theory (Eq. 2) of Mn, Co and Zn represented
20 912 against their XAS-corrected Crystal Field Stabilization Energy (CFSE). Black and open against their XAS-corrected Crystal Field Stabilization Energy (CFSE). Black and open ⁹¹³ symbols represent abiotic and biological conditions, respectively.

 $\mathbf{1}$

-
-