## Physics of $\mathbf{E}\times\mathbf{B}$ Staircases

<u>G. Dif-Pradalier</u>, F. Clairet, P.H. Diamond<sup>†</sup>, P. Donnel, X. Garbet, Ph. Ghendrih, V. Grandgirard, A. Medvedeva<sup>\*</sup>, A. Milovanov<sup>‡</sup>, Y. Sarazin & L. Vermare<sup> $\circ$ </sup>

CEA, IRFM, France <sup>†</sup>UCSD, La Jolla, USA <sup>\*</sup>M2P2, Marseille, France <sup>‡</sup>ENEA, Frascati, Italy <sup>°</sup>LPP, Palaiseau, France

<u>Ackn.:</u> D.W. Hughes & "Festival de Théorie" participants, Aix-en-Provence since 2001: 12 editions [~ 100 participants]

since 2001: 12 editions [~ 100 participants]
 → plasmas / neutral fluids / GFD / astrophysics / complex systems
 special mention to 2009 (5<sup>th</sup>) Festival & M. McIntyre's opening lecture

### Tokamak magnetised plasmas, a brief primer



Visible light emission is where plasma is cold

#### Tokamak magnetised plasmas, a brief primer



Magnetic outer boundary of confined plasma

#### Tokamak magnetised plasmas, a brief primer



 $0^{th}$  order: helical traject. [many complications: rational q, trapping, stochasticity, ...]



**Turbulence is** ~quasi 2d [i.e. on flux surfaces  $\simeq (\theta, \varphi)$ ]...  $\rightarrow$  cross-field [r] transport key

gyrokinetics



- → wealth of instabilities , MHD ( $B_0 + \delta B$ ) & turb. ( $E = -\nabla \delta \phi$ )

→ strong anisotropy [
$$\sim 2d$$
], i.e.  $\frac{k_{\parallel}}{k_{\perp}} \sim 10^{-8}$ 

$$\rightarrow$$
 slow dyn.  $[\ll \omega_c] \rightarrow \mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{v}_{\parallel} \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{v}_{\perp}$ 

► model cross-field transport



gyrokinetics

- → wealth of instabilities , MHD ( $B_0 + \delta B$ ) & turb. ( $E = -\nabla \delta \phi$ )

$$\rightarrow$$
 strong anisotropy [ $\sim 2d$ ], i.e.  $\frac{k_{\parallel}}{k_{\perp}} \sim 10^{-8}$ 

- → slow dyn. [«  $\omega_c$ ] → **v** ≡  $v_{\parallel}$ **b** + **v**<sub>⊥</sub>
  - ► model cross-field transport
- → slow drive & fast relax. processes
  - non-linear saturation & control? transport barriers & staircases







#### transport barriers required

- **0** internal: q profile tailoring (**B** field geom.), rotation, ...
- external: helped revitalise fusion programme [Wagner PRL 82]
  - $\, {\scriptstyle {\scriptstyle {\scriptstyle \leftarrow}}} \,$  boundary-influenced bifurcations/transitions
  - $\, { \rightarrowtail \,}$  come in many flavours (H-, I-, QH-modes,  $\ldots)$
  - $\, {\scriptstyle {\scriptstyle \leftarrow}}\,$  often prone to deleterious instabilities (MHD)

common grounds?  $\equiv$  shear feedback



• benign repository for free energy

NB: better one large barrier or many small ones?

► other nonlinear saturation mechanisms? → evolution of vorticity key



<sup>[</sup>Hubbard NF 16]



However:

precise importance still not fully appreciated Radial direction emphasise multi-scale (fluctuation)  $\leftrightarrow$  (mean profile) interplay distrib. of scales  $\Delta_{[aval.]} \in ((\rho_i \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{system})) \rightarrow$  potentially BAD





# The name of the game so far? = study secondary patterns [focus on ZFs and (much less) fronts/avalanches]

natural **secondary patterns** for DW/interchange turbulence...mutually-exclusive:

- zonal flows: benign, shear feedback, transport barrier
- "avalanches": detrimental, potentially scale-independent mixing





# The name of the game so far? $\equiv$ study secondary patterns [focus on ZFs and (much less) fronts/avalanches]

natural **secondary patterns** for DW/interchange turbulence...mutually-exclusive:

- zonal flows: benign, shear feedback, transport barrier
- "avalanches": detrimental, potentially scale-independent mixing





3) <u>existence:</u> near marginal stability ; <u>robust:</u> kin. elec. response & E-M fluct.

#### Why care?

1) bigger should be better...





confinement 
$$D \sim v_{\star} \ell_{mix} \rightarrow$$
 relevant  $\ell_{mix}$ ?  $\equiv \{\ell_c \text{ (micro)}; \Delta \text{ (meso)}; \mathcal{L}_{\text{(macro)}}\}$ 

#### Why care?

1) bigger should be better...





#### Why care?

1) bigger should be better...







- $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  staircase as tertiary structure  $\rightarrow$  natural outcome of DW/interchange turb.  $\downarrow$  if so, why direct observation so scarce?
  - ▶  $\tilde{p}$  fluct. difficult,  $\nabla p$  better → lack (r,t) resolution
  - avalanches controversial (despite progress)
  - ▶  $\tilde{\phi}$  or flows better → direct ZF measurement notoriously difficult [Fujisawa PRL 04] + dynamics
- > a possible way forward: <u>fast-swept relectometry</u>
  - non-perturbative
  - full profile
  - high (r,t) resolution

[Clairet RSI 10, Hornung PPCF 13]





Regularly spaced shear flows  $\Rightarrow$  <u>local minima</u> in fluct. correlations + <u>spectral asymmetry</u> in reflectometer





#### **Expected staircase signatures:**

- local minima of the fluctuations size,
- sudden variation of the tilt angle, etc.

# cea

Regularly spaced shear flows  $\Rightarrow$  <u>local minima</u> in fluct. correlations + <u>spectral asymmetry</u> in reflectometer





sudden variation of the tilt angle, etc.





#### Staircase is visualised through its shear layers a converging set of hints



#### Main predictions recovered

- quasi-regularly spaced local minima turb. correlation lengths...
- ❷ ... sudden tilt in fluctuations angle
- $\delta ~~\delta^{
  m flow} pprox 11 \pm 4 
  ho_s~~$  [Hahm PPCF 00 ; Fujisawa PRL 04]
- **4**  $\Delta \sim 40 \rho_s$  & mesoscale [ $\sim 30 \rightarrow 100 \rho_s$ ]
- **\bigcirc** weakly correlated to q profile

Fast-sweep. reflecto. finds robust staircase signatures in Tore Supra

[Dif-Pradalier, PRL 15; Hornung NF 17]





experiment



#### Staircase is visualised through its shear layers a converging set of hints



 $\delta^{\mathrm{flow}}$ 

TS#47673

0.66 0.68

Δ r (mm)

Δ t (µs)

-10

r/a

0.7 e)

### Main predictions recovered

- quasi-regularly correlation leng
- ❷ ... sudden tilt i
- $\bullet$   $\delta^{\text{flow}} \approx 11 \pm 4$
- $\Phi \quad \Delta \sim 40 \rho_s \quad \&$
- weakly correlat

- 1. similar techniques, similar conclusions, different machine (HL2A) [Liu PoP 21]
- 2. identification of avalanches in-between shear layers (Kstar) [Choi, NF 19]

Ê

- 3. direct measurements poloidal rotation (TJ-II, stellarator) [Van Milligen, NF —private communication]
- theoretical understanding?

Fast-sweep. renecto. mus robust staircase signatures in Tore Supra

[Dif-Pradalier, PRL 15; Hornung NF 17]



20



#### Underlying principles?

If we are to better understand this, we need simple models

- robust control parameters, so far: near-marginal & low-collisional; Ip?
- quantitative theory still in its infancy, yet interesting ideas:







generic setup:

uniform density gradient [along z] + fluid is stirred robust outcome  $\rightarrow$  well-mixed layers with sharp interfaces [Phillips DSRO 72; Posmentier JPO 77]

#### fecund modelling framework:

► 1d diffusion: 
$$\partial_t s = -\partial_z \Gamma_s$$
, with flux  $\Gamma_s = f(\partial_z s)$   
 $\downarrow$ ,  $\partial_t s = -\frac{\partial \Gamma_s}{\partial_{\partial_z s}} \partial_z^2 s$   
 $> 0 \Rightarrow$  possible instability









generic setup:

uniform density gradient [along z] + fluid is stirred robust outcome  $\rightarrow$  well-mixed layers with sharp interfaces [Phillips DSR0 72: Posmentier JP0 77]

#### fecund modelling framework:







generic setup:

[Guo PPCF19]

uniform density gradient [along z] + fluid is stirred robust outcome  $\rightarrow$  well-mixed layers with sharp interfaces [Phillips DSR0 72: Posmentier JP0 77]

#### fecund modelling framework:

► 1d diffusion: 
$$\partial_t s = -\partial_z \Gamma_s$$
, with flux  $\Gamma_s = f(\partial_z s)$ 
↓  $\partial_t s = -\frac{\partial \Gamma_s}{\partial_{\partial_z s}} \partial_z^2 s$ 
▶ issues:
[Pruzina PRF 22]
Independent of the second second





#### Bi-stability may generate staircase-like patterns —Idea after [Balmforth *et al.*, J. Fluid. Mech. 98]

- start: H–W eqs [Ashourvan PRE16, PoP 17; Guo PPCF19]  $d_t \nabla^2 \phi = \eta \nabla^2_{\parallel} [\log n - \phi] + \mu \nabla^4_{\perp} \phi$   $d_t \log n = \eta \nabla^2_{\parallel} [\log n - \phi] + D \nabla^2_{\perp} n$
- expand vorticity, density &  $PV \equiv n u$ in terms of mean/fluct.

$$\partial_t \bar{\zeta} + \partial_x \langle \tilde{v}_x \tilde{\zeta} \rangle = \text{dissip.} + (\text{ext.production})$$

• key: mixing of 
$$\mathsf{PV} \rightarrow \zeta = \mathsf{PV} = q$$

- assume diffusive closure  $\langle ilde{v_x} ilde{q} 
  angle \equiv -D \partial_x ar{q}$
- dimensional args: D ~  $\ell^2 \, \tilde{q}^2 / \alpha_{\parallel}$
- what prescription for *l* ?
   ∃ at least 2 scales in model:
- **0** length scale  $\ell_F \equiv$  constant forcing for turb.
- **2** Rhines scale  $\ell_R \equiv$  cross-over from: [Malkov PRF 19, Pruzina PRF 22]

- eddy-like  $au_{ov}^{-1} \sim \tilde{v}/\ell_R \sim \tilde{q}$
- ► wave-like  $\omega_{DW} \sim \frac{k}{1+k^2} v_D \sim \frac{k}{1+k^2} \nabla \bar{n} \sim \ell_R \nabla \bar{q}$

• equating both: 
$$\ell_R \sim \frac{\tilde{q}}{\nabla \bar{q}}$$

# cea

#### Bi-stability may generate staircase-like patterns —Idea after [Balmforth *et al.*, J. Fluid. Mech. 98]







- stability of shear layers? (log. time scale!)
- Competition mergers/re-formation?
  - $\rightarrow$  what evidence from experiments?



— heat avalanches  $\leftrightarrow$  traffic flow

[Balmforth JFM 98]

- time delay btw heat flux & temperature gradient  $\leftrightarrow$  driver response time
- modified Burgers equation  $\rightarrow$  clustering instability



 $- DW/ZFs ≡ (WKE) \leftrightarrow (zonal mom. cons.) \rightarrow radially-periodic NL solutions$ [nonlinear solution WKE → wave action density → Reyn. stress → ZF]

- instability  $\rightarrow$  (i) wave trapping due to refraction by ZFs
- (ii) passing waves propagate at group velocity  $\leftrightarrow$  avalanches
- staircase motif  $\equiv$  interaction btw (i) & (ii)



 $\label{eq:linear} \begin{array}{l} --\mbox{ staircase } \equiv\mbox{ wavepacket coupled NL oscillators (node=ZF; spring=avalanche)} \\ --\mbox{ assume: NLSE with subquadratic nonlinearity} \end{array}$ 

→ (i) localisation + (ii) vibrations with white Lévy noise ↔ avalanche
 — staircase motif = dynamic equil. @"edge" localisation[i]/delocalisation[ii]
 → predict event-size distribution of plasma avalanches ↔ GYSELA



— mixing: through wave-particle resonances  $\leftrightarrow$  part. orbits leave footprint SC — tuning/detuning by ZFs of part. orbits  $\rightarrow$  resonant or non-resonant transport —  $\ell \in [\ell_{forcing}; \ell_O]$  in [Balmforth JFM 98]  $\leftrightarrow$  2 states of mixing: resonant/nonresonant  $\mathcal{D}_r \neq \mathcal{D}_{nr} \rightarrow$  Turing patterns  $\equiv$  staircase



<sup>2</sup>ropensity



- large sources of free energy, slowly-driven, fast relax. → near-marginal
- concentrate energy & momentum  $\rightarrow$  ZFs, barriers for transport & bifurcations
- intermittent relaxations of free energy content  $\rightarrow$  'avalanches'/fronts,...
- **E** × **B** staircase solves pattern coexistence pb
  - Transport problem ↔ scale selection problem
     ↓ what physics sets intensity & scale Δ? → can we predict & control?
     ↓ multiple interplaying scales/instabilities? role of avalanches?
     ↓ implications for modelling?
  - Triggered by theory/modelling, beginning of experimental observations
  - General understanding of layering/anti-diffusion captivating yet still elusive
- Amends understanding of transport in plasma core
  - ▶ altern. route  $\rightarrow$  improved confinement? [= single large vs. many weak barriers]