Recent work with electronic radon detectors for continuous Radon-222 monitoring

Authors: K. Mitev[1,*], S. Georgiev[1], I. Dimitrova[1], V. Todorov[1], A. Popova[1], Ch. Dutsov[2], B. Sabot[3]

Affiliation:

[1)] Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski", Faculty of Physics, Sofia, 1164, Bulgaria

[2)] Paul Scherrer Institute, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland

[3)] Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, LIST, Laboratoire National Henri Becquerel (LNE-LNHB), F-91120 Palaiseau, France

* Corresponding author. E-mail: kmitev@phys.uni-sofia.bg

Abstract

Background: Sensitive electronic radon detectors can be an advantageous solution for continuous monitoring of radon dynamics in dwellings and workplaces. In order to investigate their applicability, such detectors must be subjected to adequate metrological assurance and their performance in field conditions must be tested and evaluated.

Objectives: To perform laboratory and field tests in order to evaluate the applicability of RadonEye^{+2} instruments for continuous radon monitoring

Results: In this work we have performed laboratory tests of 36 RadonEye+^{2} detectors, which appear to have linear response for ^{222}Rn concentrations below 3.5 kBq/m^3 and a non-linear response (<15%) in the interval from 3.5 to 7 kBq/m^3. Their response to ^{222}Rn at 4.7 kBq/m^3 is within 15% to the reference. In experiments with sharp variation of the ^{222}Rn concentration, the detectors show fast response within two hours. For the application of the detectors in dwellings and workplaces we have developed a database, which collects, stores and visualises the RadonEye data. The database proved to be very useful tool, not only for data analysis, but also for identification of interruptions in the detectors

operation and/or their connection to the internet. In a pilot 10-month-long study with three detectors located in different dwellings, we have observed more than 91 % uptime of the online data collection from the detectors and more than 96% uptime of the data recording in the internal memory of the instruments.

Conclusions: Overall, the results show that the RadonEye^{+2} instruments are very suitable for continuous radon monitoring and may be useful for follow-up of radon dynamics in long-term measurement campaigns in homes and workplaces.

Introduction

A significant number of electronic radon monitors have emerged in the last two decades. These detectors offer an interesting possibility for continuous radon monitoring in dwellings and workplaces. In principle, they can provide useful information for assessment of indoor ^{222}Rn dynamics. However, prior their wide-scale usage, the electronic radon detectors should be a subject of sound metrological assurance in which many aspects of their performance should be tested. Among these are: calibration factor, linearity of response, temporal response, thoron cross-interference, etc. In a previous study the thoron cross-interference of various electronic detectors has been studied systematically (1). During these tests the RadonEye+^{2} (RE) electronic radon detectors (2) demonstrated excellent sensitivity to ^{222}Rn and quick temporal response, which outlined them as good candidates to be tried out in campaigns for continuous ^{222}Rn monitoring. This type of detector has been preferred also for improving the assessment of indoor exposure to radon in workplaces (3).

In this work we present results from metrological tests of the calibration factor, linearity of response and temporal response of 36 RadonEye+^{2} radon detectors, which were performed in the Sofia University (Bulgaria) and in the French primary metrology laboratory LNE-LNHB (Laboratoire National Henri Becquerel). To facilitate the monitoring of indoor ^{222}Rn dynamics with these detectors,

we developed a database for storage, online visualization and analysis of the radon data gathered by them. The features of the database and the applicability of the electronic detectors for field studies are discussed. Pilot results from the applications of such detectors in radon surveys in dwellings and workplaces are presented and discussed.

Methods and materials

The study employed 36 RadonEye detectors purchased in 2020 from FTLab corporation. The detectors come with common calibration certificate, which states that the RadonEye+^{2} has been individually calibrated by equipment traceable to international standards and has been inspected. The declared specifications are given in Table 1:

Table 1. RadonEye specifications as declared by the producer (2).

Radon activity concentration (measurement range)	4 – 9435 Bq/m^3
Temperature (operating range)	10 – 40 ^{o}C
Relative humidity (RH) (operating range)	< 80 %
Sensitivity	0.5 cpm/pCi/L (~20 cpm/Bq/m^3)
Precision (Reproducibility) at 370 Bq/m^3	< 10 %
Accuracy at 370 Bq/m^3	<10% (min. error < 0.5 pCi/L)

According to the producer, the detectors perform radon activity concentration measurements on a 10-minute basis, calculate the 60-minute moving average every 10 minutes and report its value. The detectors store the data in the internal memory every hour (i.e. each sixth reading is stored) and this data can be read via Bluetooth connection from a mobile phone (hereafter the data collected in this way is referred to as Bluetooth RE data). When the REs are connected to the Wi-Fi network, every 10

minutes they broadcast the result (the 60-minute moving average) over the Internet and this data can be collected (this data is referred as Web RE data). Thus, the Bluetooth RE data contains records on hourly basis and the Web RE data contains records on 10-minute basis.

The application of the RadonEye2^{+} detectors for radon surveys and studies of the radon dynamics requires suitable tool that allows gathering and storage of the measurement data. As the RadonEyes are not initially designed for this use, such tool, to the best of our knowledge, is not available even by the producer. Therefore, we have developed a web accessible database (further referred to as SPIRAD, see Fig 1) which has the following functionality: collects and stores the Web RE data and manages active REs (which were set-up to stream data over Wi-Fi) and their locations. Previous locations and their time intervals are listed for quick reference during data analysis. The web interface of the database also shows real-time RE values of the active detectors to identify problems with the Wi-Fi connection and response of devices and can visualise the RE data (^{222}Rn concentration, temperature and humidity vs time). The records of an individual detector or a selected group can be exported in convenient format: the record (written in a CSV-file) can include the whole measurement data or it can be restricted to a certain period of time, can include certain type of measurement (e.g. radon concentration and/or temperature and/or humidity) and/or other available data. The Bluetooth RE data can also be stored in the database by uploading it via the web interface. The Web and Bluetooth RE data is stored under different flags so that it can be visualised, downloaded and analysed together or separately. Examples of the interface to the database and its visualisation capabilities are given in Fig 2. Hereafter, in the tables and in the figures in this manuscript, the RadonEye detectors are noted either by their product number (e.g. PE22101200007) or our internal laboratory number (e.g. RE07).



Figure 1. Schematic representation of the SPIRAD database and its functionality.



Figure 2. An example of the control and visualisation capabilities of the SPIRAD database.

The tests of the response of the RE were performed at the $^{222}Rn/^{220}Rn$ laboratory facility at Sofia University (Fig. 3). This facility can create ^{222}Rn and/or ^{220}Rn concentrations with a predefined temporal pattern (4). In this work the facility was upgraded with a larger (200 L) exposure volume to allow testing more detectors simultaneously. The 200 L volume has hermetic power supply and USB plug-ins. This allows to conduct experiments with longer duration and to read the detectors at any time. The 200 L volume also has several inlets and outlets with hermetic valves. The facility was also upgraded with a pump with adjustable flow-rate up to 30 L/min. This allows to create low level (about 350 Bq/m^3) constant ^{222}Rn concentrations in a flow-through mode (Fig. 4, second exposure) and to conduct calibrations and studies of detectors at concentrations closer to the typical indoor radon. The high flow-rate of the pump allows faster homogenization of the activity in the beginning of the experiment and respectively reduces the duration of the transient processes. The reference radon monitor used at Sofia University is an AlphaGUARD PQ2000 PRO.

For this experiment 35 REs, one AlphaGUARD and two other radon monitors (AlphaE and RAD7) were arranged in the 200 L volume and all the detectors were power-supplied via the plug-in available (Fig. 3). The REs were connected to a Wi-Fi router installed close to the 200 L vessel, while the AlphaGUARD was connected to a computer via the USB plug-in. A certified radon source was connected to the pump described above and was fed to an inlet of the 200 L volume and the outlets were opened. This open-loop system allows to create different low radon concentrations (down to 350 Bq/m^3) by varying the flow-rate of the pump (Fig. 4). Due to the heat generated by the power supply adaptors of the detectors, two fans (available in the 200 L vessel) were switched-on to ensure air circulation. The walls of the vessel were cooled by a conventional room air conditioner, which ensured the efficient cooling of the whole system in an open loop with an increase of the temperature in the chamber within 1-2 ^oC (no more than 20 monitors can be efficiently cooled in an closed system).

Fig. 3. The $^{222}Rn/^{220}Rn$ laboratory facility at Sofia University (left). Centre: The new 200L exposure chamber. Right: the exposure chamber filled with radon detectors.



Fig. 4. Examples of two exposures (first: 08/06/21 – 16/06/21; second: 25/06/21 – 03/07/21) of ^{222}Rn measurement instruments at Sofia University. All the readings are from the four RadonEye instruments.

The exposures at LNHB were performed at the recently developed noble gas reference system shown in Fig. 5. The system allows quick and sharp changes of ^{222}Rn concentrations, exposures to ^{222}Rn-free air, ^{222}Rn transfer from the ^{222}Rn primary standard of LNHB (5) and measurements with reference ^{222}Rn measurement instruments (6). Due to the smaller volume of the exposure chamber in LNHB, only nine REs were exposed (these nine REs were exposed also in Sofia University). In the current

experiments the reference radon instrument was the AlphaGUARD PQ2000 PRO, which is the well characterized ^{222}Rn reference instrument of IRSN. The two AlphaGUARD monitors (the one of the Sofia University and the one of IRSN) were successfully compared in the frame of a recent intercomparison carried out in IRSN (7).



Fig. 5. The ^{222}Rn/^{220}Rn laboratory facility at LNHB (left). Center: The REs in the system together with the reference instrument AlphaGUARD. Right: The volume used to transfer the radon in the system from the primary ^{222}Rn standard.

In order to quantify the performance of the REs, we use the response factor (*R*), defined in this work as:

$$R = \frac{A_V^{ref}(t)}{A_V^{RadonEye}(t)} \quad , \tag{1}$$

where $A_V^{ref}(t)$ is the ^{222}Rn activity concentration measured by the reference instrument at a moment *t* and $A_V^{RadonEye}(t)$ is the ^{222}Rn reading of the RE instrument at the same moment *t*. The response factor *R* is determined in simultaneous exposures of the reference instrument and the REs in the exposure facilities.

Results

The response factor of a RE estimated in one of the exposures at Sofia University is shown in Fig. 6 (left). The detectors were exposed to different activity concentrations up to 7 kBq/m^3. A non-linearity of the response of the REs was observed – a typical example is shown in Fig. 6(right). From these results it appears that the response of the instruments seems linear up to 3.5 kBq/m^3 and has a slight non-linearity (<15%) in the range 3.5 - 7 kBq/m^3. The variation of the estimated response factor is due to variations in the response of the tested instrument (RE) and the variations of the response of the reference instrument (AlphaGUARD PQ2000 PRO). At low radon activity concentrations (< 300 Bq/m^3) both instruments contribute to the variation of *R* and further studies are planned in order to evaluate the intrinsic variation of the response of the RE instrument.



Fig. 6. Left: example of the results of the exposure performed at Sofia University. The blue line indicates $A_V^{ref}(t)$ and the red line indicates the observed response factor for one of the insruments. Right: example of the obesreved non-linearity of the response of REs. The uncertainty bars on the right figure indicate the overall estimated statistical uncertanty of the response factor.

During the acceptance tests of the REs we observed differences in the maximum range of the readings of different detectors. This situation is illustrated in Fig. 7, where one of the detectors (RE36) saturates at 5900 Bq/m^3 and the other (RE22) saturates at about 6300 Bq/m^3 while the other continue to operate normally and its readings are close to the reference monitor. One misleading feature of the REs is that when they saturate at high activity concentration they start to record zeroes as measurement results (Figs. 7 and 8). This aberration can cause bias, because such saturation can occur in dwellings and workplaces (e.g. underground or spa workplaces). In cases with zero readings in the RE data, the database of stored RE data can be used for recovery of the exposure history. Due to the different saturation levels of the detectors, the maximum activity concentration used in this study was set at 7 kBq/m^3.



Fig. 7. Examples for saturation of the detectors. When saturated the detectors record 0 Bq/m^3 – RE36 (orange line) in the left graph and RE50 (green line) in the left graph. The time format on the abscissa is "Month-day hour".



Fig. 8. After high activity spike all detectors record zeroes. Immediately after flushing the exposure chamber with clean air, there is significant difference between the detector readings while they return to their working range. The time format on the abscissa is "Month-day hour".



Figure 9. Exposure of the instruments at LNE-LNHB. The black dots show the readings of the Reference instrument. The black solid line (Reference) shows the reference ^{222}Rn activity concentration $\left(A_V^{ref}(t)\right)$ during the exposure. The lines "Ref UP" and "Ref low" show the one-sigma interval around the reference activity concentration $(A_V^{ref}(t) \pm \sigma_{A_V^{ref}(t)})$.

Figure 9 depicts the data obtained from the exposures at LNE-LNHB. Two spikes with ^{222}Rn were performed, one short (~5 h) spike with small activity and a long one (30 h) with higher activity (4.7 kBq/m^3). The objective of the first spike was to test the time response of the detectors and how quickly they return to their background levels after the end of the exposure, when the system was flushed with ^{222}Rn-free air. Therefore, the activity concentration (of the order of 1 kBq/m^3) was not controlled during the first spike. In these experiments the data from the REs was collected through the Bluetooth

connection, thus it was stored in the device each hour. Overall, we observe a quick response of the REs and a quick return to their baseline levels (< 10 Bq/m^3) within 2 hours after the end of the exposure. The response factors determined in this exposure are given in Table 2. The background signal of the REs obtained in a 24-hour exposure in radon-free air is also shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Response factors (*R*) at 4.7 kBq/m^3 and background signal estimated from the exposures at LNE-LNHB. The numbers in the brackets indicate the estimated standard uncertainties of *R* or the standard deviation of the background signal. The average response factor, averaged over all nine instruments, is $\bar{\bar{R}} = 1.09(14)$.

RadonEye #	**Response factor *R***	**Background signal, Bq/m^3**
PE22101200023	1.210(66)	2.7(20)
PE22101200025	0.876(56)	3.8(39)
PE22101200028	1.155(70)	3.6(24)
PE22101200031	0.959(52)	3.0(31)
PE22101200036	1.138(63)	3.4(26)
PE22101200044	1.306(74)	2.3(23)
PE22101200046	1.025(54)	2.9(30)
PE22101200048	1.159(61)	2.6(19)
PE22101200049	0.976(55)	2.2(21)

The information from the REs can be obtained by two modes – by Bluetooth connection (Bluetooth RE data, recorded each hour) or by Wi-Fi – connection to the internet (Web RE data, broadcasted every 10 minutes). In order to compare the types of data harvesting, we performed pilot, long-term exposures of

the REs in several locations in Bulgaria, summarized in Table 3. An example of the data collected from such exposures (duration 10 months) is shown in Fig. 10. The data in Fig. 10 shows that there are some gaps in the Web RE data, which are probably caused by interruptions of the RE connection to the web. However, the internal memory data (the blue line) is available during these interruptions. Table 3 shows some statistics of the ^{222}Rn data harvesting from the pilot experiments with several detectors in dwellings and workplaces.

Table 3. Summary of the data collection statics from the pilot exposure of detectors at dwellings and workplaces and a description of the studied locations.

Data collection mode	**Detector ID / Location type**	**Building type Floor**	**Uptime**	**Downtime**	**Uptime, %**
Web RE data	RE07 Dwelling	Four-floors block of flats 1	263 days 20:21:37	23 days 10:37:39	91.8
	RE11 Workplace	Four-floors building 1	264 days 23:17:55	21 days 06:54:43	92.6
	RE16 Workplace	Four-floors building 1	314 days 23:03:15	19 days 07:30:10	94.2
	RE19 Dwelling	House 1	272 days 22:46:30	14 days 05:47:23	95.0
	RE33 Dwelling	Six-floors block of flats 5	272 days 01:00:43	14 days 05:38:42	95.0
	RE34	House	75 days	6 days 08:53:51	92.3

	Dwelling	1	23:44:43		
Bluetooth RE data	RE07 Dwelling	Four-floors block of flats 1	270 days 03:41:22	10 days 20:07:50	96.2
	RE11 Workplace	Four-floors building 1	285 days 13:24:31	0 days 14:57:11	99.8
	RE33 Dwelling	Six-floors block of flats 5	285 days 16:26:00	0 days 10:53:24	99.8







Figure 10. Data collected from 10-month exposure of RE07-dwelling (top), RE11-workplace (middle) and RE33-dwelling (bottom) detectors. The red line indicates the Web ^{222}Rn data collected over the Internet (Wi-Fi connection of the instrument to the Web) and the blue line indicates the Bluetooth RE data. The data is used to calculate the quantities shown in Table 4.

The statistics in Table 3 shows very good data collection efficiency both with the Web and Bluetooth RE data with no differences between workplaces and dwellings. The Bluetooth RE data shows more than 96 % uptime for a 10 month period, with the downtime periods being attributed to moments with electricity breakdown or failure of the RadonEyes+^{2} to resume measurements after an electricity breakdown. We identified seldom cases in which after electricity recovery the RadonEyes+^{2} turn themselves on and seem to be operational, but failed to broadcast data and record it in their memory. It should be noted that one of the workplaces is the Faculty of Physics of Sofia University and the other is another scientific institution. During the Covid-19 quarantine periods they operated mostly in remote-work regime. Additionally, the studied 10 month period covers the summer season. These are prerequisites for significant changes in the building exploitation habits (e.g. power supply or WiFi shut-down during time the building is not occupied). Nevertheless, we observe high efficiency of both data

collection methods, which implies high data collection efficiency in other workplaces. However, to be more conclusive, more data have to be collected, including from other workplaces.

To get the comparison between the Web and Bluetooth collection methods one step further, we analyse the radon activity concentration data obtained from long-term measurements with three REs (shown in Fig. 10). Table 4 shows a comparison of the mean, standard deviation, median and median absolute deviation values calculated from the data shown in Fig. 10. An excellent agreement between the Web and Bluetooth data is observed for all the three detectors. This indicates that both data collection methods give coherent results and can be used for ^{222}Rn estimates.

Table 4. Comparison of ^{222}Rn estimates calculated from the Web and Bluetooth data.

	Detector RE07		Detector RE11		Detector RE33	
	Web RE data (10 min cycle)	Bluetooth RE data (1 h cycle)	Web RE data (10 min cycle)	Bluetooth RE data (1 h cycle)	Web RE data (10 min cycle)	Bluetooth RE data (1 h cycle)
Mean ^{222}Rn concentration (Bq/m^3)	42.5	42.6	33.8	33.3	73.7	74.2
Standard deviation (Bq/m^3)	22.9	22.9	21.6	21.4	49	49.5
Median ^{222}Rn concentration (Bq/m^3)	39	39	27	27	63	63
Median absolute deviation (Bq/m^3)	12	12	11	11	28	28

As a pilot test, the results of the RE07, RE33 (shown in Fig. 10) and RE16 were used to test an evaluation of seasonal ^{222}Rn fluctuations. The results are shown in Table 5. Overall, the results in Table 5 suggest

that technically the RE detectors can be used to study the seasonal ^{222}Rn fluctuations. However, a large number of such measurements are required in order to obtain reliable estimates.

Table 5. Estimation of seasonal ^{222}Rn fluctuations. The data used for RE07 and RE33 is shown in Fig. 10.

	RE07 (10 months in a dwelling, Bluetooth data)				**RE16 (11 months in a workplace, WiFi data)**				**RE33 (10 months in a dwelling, Bluetooth data)**			
	AUT	WINT	Full period	AUT/Full period	AUT	WINT	Full period	AUT/Full period	AUT	WINT	Full period	AUT/Full period
Mean ^{222}Rn concentration (Bq/m^3)	41.8	35.1	42.5	0.984	38.4	19	40.5	0.948	68.4	65.7	73.7	0.928
Standard deviation (Bq/m^3)	15.3	11	22.9		27.1	10.8	30.1		40.3	29.1	49	
Standard deviation of the mean (Bq/m^3)	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.2	0.1	0.1		0.4	0.3	0.2	
Median ^{222}Rn concentration (Bq/m^3)	41	35	39	1.051	31	17	31	1.000	59	63	63	0.937
Median absolute deviation (Bq/m^3)	10	7	12		14	7	16		26	20	28	

Discussion

The results of this study imply that the RadonEye+[2] are suitable instruments for continuous monitoring of radon dynamics in dwellings and workplaces. The RandonEyes appear to be linear below 3.5 kBq/m^3 and a non-linearity below 15% is observed for radon concentrations in the interval from 3.5 to 7 kBq/m^3. The response to ^{222}Rn at 4.7 kBq/m^3 was within 15% to the reference. As the radon data collected from the REs allows to apply an a posteriori correction to the radon readings, we plan to study in more details and characterise better their response in the entire activity range.

The developed software tools for web collection of RE data and the database appear to be very useful for large-scale continuous ^{222}Rn monitoring. They allow unambiguous data collection and storage, and raise warnings when there are problems in receiving data from the detectors. These warnings are very useful for ensuring the collection of reliable long-term ^{222}Rn data. We observed excellent data collection efficiencies with more than 91 % uptime for online data collection and more than 96% uptime with data collection from the internal RE memory. The software tool is also very suitable for finding “zero”-records in case of detector saturation, which is very important for the correct exposure estimation in dwellings or workplaces (e.g. underground workers) with very high radon concentrations. It could be also set to raise warnings when the detector is operated outside the producer defined operating range that could be a reason for detector failure (e.g. RH above 80% – e.g. in spas or caused by rapid drop of temperature; temperature outside the range 10-40^{o}C or other improper use).The results of this work support the results obtained in (3) and the idea for the application of RadonEyes for continuous ^{222}Rn monitoring in workplaces proposed there. The RE detectors combined with the software and the database provide reliable data for indoor radon dynamics, which may be useful for various purposes like: evaluation of yearly average radon concentration, evaluation of exposure in workplaces based on occupancy factors, evaluation of seasonal correction factors, radon

correlation with environmental factors and evaluation of radon exposure in smart, energy efficient buildings that change their ventilation/air conditioning according to their occupation.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Bulgarian National Science Fund under project "Surveillance and Perusal of Indoor Radon Dynamics (SPIRAD)", FNI contract KP-06-H48/3 from 26.11.2020.

References

1. Turtiainen T, Mitev K, Dehqanzada R, Holmgren O, Georgiev S. Testing of thoron cross-interference of continuous radon measuring instruments", Journal of the European Radon Association, 2022, 3: 7694 http://dx.doi.org/10.35815/radon.v3.7694

2. RadonFTLab-web site, 2022. RadonEye Plus2 (BLE & Wi-Fi) descriptions and specifications. Available online, accessed 18-Jun-2022. URL: http://radonftlab.com/radon-sensor-product/radon-detector/new-rd200p-radon-detector/

3. Turtiainen, T., Kojo, K., Laine, J.P., Holmgren, O., Kurttio, P., 2021. Improving the assessment of occupational exposure to radon in above ground workplaces. Radiation Protection Dosimetry 196. doi:10.1093/ 508 rpd/ncab127.

4. Pressyanov D, Mitev K, Georgiev S, Dimitrova I, Kolev J. Laboratory facility to create reference radon + thoron atmosphere under dynamic exposure conditions, Journal of Environmental Radioactivity, 166 (2017) 181 – 187.

5. B. Sabot, S. Pierre, P. Cassette, An absolute radon-222 activity measurement system at LNE-LNHB, Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 118 (2016) 167–174 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2016.09.009
6. Sabot B, Rodrigues M, Pierre S. Experimental facility for the production of reference atmosphere of radioactive gases (Rn, Xe, Kr, and H isotopes), Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 155 (2020) 108934 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2019.108934
7. MetroRADON project, Metrology for radon monitoring (EMPIR 16ENV10), Available online, accessed 18-Jun-2022. URL: http://metroradon.eu/