

# Sediment source apportionment using geochemical composite signatures in a large and polluted river system with a semiarid-coastal interface, Brazil

Rennan Cabral Nascimento, Angelo Jamil Maia, Ygor Jacques Agra Bezerra da Silva, Fábio Farias Amorim, Clístenes Williams Araújo Do Nascimento, Tales Tiecher, O. Evrard, Adrian Collins, Caroline Miranda Biondi, Yuri Jacques Agra Bezerra da Silva

### ▶ To cite this version:

Rennan Cabral Nascimento, Angelo Jamil Maia, Ygor Jacques Agra Bezerra da Silva, Fábio Farias Amorim, Clístenes Williams Araújo Do Nascimento, et al.. Sediment source apportionment using geochemical composite signatures in a large and polluted river system with a semiarid-coastal interface, Brazil. CATENA, 2023, 220, pp.106710. 10.1016/j.catena.2022.106710. cea-03832858

# HAL Id: cea-03832858 https://cea.hal.science/cea-03832858

Submitted on 28 Oct 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 2

6

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16 17

18

## Sediment source apportionment using geochemical composite signatures in a large and polluted river system with a semiarid-coastal interface, Brazil

Rennan Cabral Nascimento<sup>a</sup>, Angelo Jamil Maia<sup>a</sup>, Ygor Jacques Agra Bezerra da Silva<sup>a</sup>, Fábio
 Farias Amorim<sup>a</sup>, Clístenes Williams Araújo do Nascimento<sup>a</sup>, Tales Tiecher<sup>b</sup>, Olivier Evrard<sup>c</sup>,
 Adrian L. Collins<sup>d</sup>, Caroline Miranda Biondi<sup>a</sup>, Yuri Jacques Agra Bezerra da Silva<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Agronomy Department, Federal Rural University of Pernambuco (UFRPE), Dom Manuel de Medeiros Street, s/n -Dois Irmãos, 52171-900 Recife, PE, Brazil

<sup>b</sup>Department of Soil Science, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Interdisciplinary Research Group on Environmental Biogeochemistry (IRGEB), Bento Gonçalves Ave. 7712, 91540-000 Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil <sup>c</sup>Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (LSCE/IPSL), Unité Mixte de Recherche 8212 (CEA-CNRS-UVSQ), Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

<sup>d</sup>Net Zero and Resilient Farming, Rothamsted Research, North Wyke, Okehampton EX20 2SB, UK

eAgronomy Department, Federal University of Piauí (UFPI), Planalto Horizonte, 64900-000 Bom Jesus, PI, Brazil

#### Abstract

The Ipojuca River is the third most polluted fluvial system in Brazil. Sediment-associated 19 metal fluxes threaten the environmental health in the estuary of this system. However, the 20 21 sources supplying these particle-bound contaminants have not been determined yet. Sediment source fingerprinting provides a powerful technique to obtain such information. 22 23 Accordingly, the aim of this study was to quantify the source contributions to suspended 24 and bed sediments in this polluted river system with a semiarid-coastal interface using geochemical tracers. A total of 20 geochemical tracers measured on 207 source samples 25 were used as potential fingerprint properties to discriminate and quantify the 26 27 contributions of potential sources classified according to three distinct typologies (distribution of land uses, soil classes, and the environmental contrasts between the upper 28 29 and lower catchment). All analyzed elements passed the range test for conservative behaviour. Using the MixSIAR model, the lower catchment, Oxisols, and sugarcane 30 31 croplands were identified as the dominant sediment sources. These new data provide a 32 basis to target the management of excessive sediment loads and sediment-associated contaminants moving towards estuarine and coastal environments. The multiple sources 33 framework discussed herein can also help to improve the appeal of sediment source 34 35 fingerprinting among environmental policymakers given the capacity for informing 36 targeted management.

37

38 Keywords: soil erosion; sediment source fingerprinting; multiple sources framework;

39 Bayesian un-mixing model

40 **1. Introduction** 

41

In Brazil, high erosion rates have often been associated with changes in land cover, due 42 43 to the expansion of agriculture, and with the implementation of inappropriate practices of soil management, such as up and down slope farming without terraces and use of heavy 44 45 agricultural machinery (Didoné et al., 2015). Events with high rainfall intensities occur frequently in this part of the world (Anache et al., 2017). Combined, these conditions can 46 generate elevated volumes of surface runoff and high sediment loads in river systems 47 across the country (Molisani et al., 2006; Strauch et al., 2013). In this context, it is 48 49 fundamental to obtain reliable information on the origin of sediment in order to improve our understanding of key controlling processes and to support targeted mitigation plans. 50

The sediment fingerprinting approach is increasingly used worldwide (Haddadchi et al., 2013; Collins et al., 2020). In brief, this approach involves the comparison of conservative bio-physico-chemical properties of sediment source and target sediment samples to determine the relative contributions of individual sources through the application of statistical tests and numerical mass balance modelling (Walling et al., 1999; Collins et al., 2010). The critical requirements for robust applications of the fingerprinting approach have been discussed in Collins et al. (2017).

58 In large river catchments, the heterogeneous environmental features (i.e., geology, pedology, geomorphology and climate) can challenge the robust geochemical 59 characterization of potential individual sediment sources. This, in turn, can undermine 60 robust land use-based source discrimination and consequently generate more substantive 61 62 errors for sediment source apportionment (Pulley et al., 2017). To avoid these difficulties, sediment source apportionment based on soil type may provide a pragmatic alternative, 63 since soils express geochemical signatures inherited from the parent material and 64 pedogenetic processes. For instance, soils with elevated weathering rates tend to exhibit 65 66 higher contents of low mobility chemical elements, such as Al, Ti, Si, Th, Zr, and Fe, and lower contents of high mobility chemical elements, such as K, Na, Cl, Mg, and Ca, 67 compared to less weathered soils (Silva et al., 2020). Nevertheless, the geochemical 68 potential for source classification according to soil type has received little attention in the 69 literature so far in comparison with land use (Evrard et al., 2013; Lepage et al., 2016; Le 70 Gall et al., 2016; Silva et al., 2018a). Another alternative is the recent work of Batista et 71 al. (2019), who developed a regional source classification based on the interpretation of 72 73 lithological and soil maps, and the *a priori* knowledge of erosion processes in a large river basin of Minas Gerais, Southeastern Brazil. They divided the catchment into different parts (upper, middle and lower), revealing more robust discriminations (90% of source samples classified correctly) using the geochemical composition of particles <63  $\mu$ m. Most importantly, few studies have assessed different strategies to classify and quantify different sediment sources in the same river catchment - a relevant step to providing welldesigned policies and control strategies for protecting soil and water resources, especially in large complex catchments (Pulley et al., 2017).

81 The Ipojuca River catchment is considered to be one of the most polluted river 82 systems and the third worst for water quality indices in Brazil (IBGE, 2015). Surveys 83 have linked high loads of metals and natural radionuclides transported in suspended 84 sediment to natural hotspots and to battery factories, textile industries and some municipalities that directly discharge non-treated wastewater into the upstream river 85 86 (Lima Barros et al., 2013; Silva et al., 2015; Silva et al., 2018b; Nascimento et al., 2019). The construction of a Harbour Complex and dams near the estuary in the 1980s have 87 88 decreased the hydrological connectivity at the estuary-sea interface. Accordingly, the control of erosion and sediment delivery in these fragile ecosystems requires a better 89 90 understanding of sediment transfer processes in these areas. To this end, the current research provides one of the first sediment fingerprinting studies conducted in the 91 92 Northeastern region of Brazil. In this work, we assessed the apportionment patterns of different sediment source typologies (soil type, land use, and catchment zone) in the 93 Ipojuca River catchment using a suite of geochemical tracers. The implications of these 94 95 novel results for supporting the environmental recovery of this polluted river basin and its coastal environments are then discussed. 96

97

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 98 2.1 Study area

The Ipojuca River catchment (08  $^{\circ}$  09 ' 50 " - 08  $^{\circ}$  40 ' 20 " S and 34  $^{\circ}$  57 ' 52 " S 99 and 34 ° 57 ' 52 " - 37 ° 02 ' 48 " W; Fig. 1) extends from the western semiarid zone to 100 101 the humid coast of Pernambuco State, Northeastern Brazil. The total area of the catchment 102 is ~3435 km<sup>2</sup> and the main watercourse is 324 km long (CONDEPE / FIDEM, 2005). The 103 depth and width of downstream cross-sections of the main watercourse vary from 0.8 to 2.4 m and 21.8 to 30.3 m, respectively. Flow rates and suspended sediment discharge for 104 the same section vary from 1.2 to 25 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and 7.6 to 669 Mg day<sup>-1</sup> in periods of low and 105 high flow rate, respectively (Silva et al., 2015). 106

The mean annual rainfall ranges from 600 to 800 mm in the semiarid upstream 107 part with high spatial and temporal variability, and from 1800 to 2400 mm in the 108 downstream coastal zone where the rain is distributed more evenly throughout the 109 autumn-winter months. These different patterns of rain distribution promote an 110 111 intermittent fluvial regime in the upstream reaches, and a perennial regime in the middle to downstream reaches. The local average air temperature varies from 25 to 28 °C; typical 112 113 of Brazilian tropical conditions (CONDEPE / FIDEM 2005). Undulating or very undulating slopes are found in zones covering ~31.5% and ~12.4% of the total catchment 114 surface area, respectively (CPRM, 2015). A large transition zone separates the upper and 115 lower regions of the study basin, characterized by the sloping eastern escarpments of the 116 Borborema granite-gneiss massif. The soil parent materials are mainly metaluminous 117 granites (56%), orthogneisses (31%) and biotite-muscovite gneisses (8%) (Silva et al., 118 2015) (Fig. 1a). The main soil classes found in the study basin are Entisols (~37%), 119 Alfisols (~18%), Ultisols (~32%) and Oxisols (~9%) (Fig. 1b). 120





Fig. 1. Map of the Ipojuca River catchment, Northeastern Brazil, showing the distribution of the
main geological (a), pedological and sampling locations for target sediment (b) and land use zones
and sampling locations for potential sources (c). Dams are shown in (b).

125

The areas under vegetation (natural and semi-natural) and cropland cover 18%
and 19% of the Ipojuca River catchment area, respectively (CONDEPE / FIDEM 2005).
The *Caatinga* vegetation is found in the preserved and semi-preserved areas of the

upstream region, with typical endemic plant species resistant to arid conditions
(Supplementary Figure 1). The natural vegetation in the lower catchment is the Atlantic
Forest, which has been extensively degraded due to the expansion of agriculture.
Nowadays, this region is characterized by small and isolated fragments of vegetation,
often distant from the river network as a result of the limited preservation of riparian
vegetation as well as the expansion of sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*) monoculture,
which covers almost the entire agricultural landscape in this portion of the study basin.

- 136
- 137

#### 2.2 Collection of source and sediment samples and sediment source classification

138 Soil samples (n = 207; see Fig. 1c for distribution) were collected from the main 139 potential sources of sediment. These collection points were defined by a preliminary 140 assessment of sediment delivery pathways using satellite images, soil, geology and slope 141 maps, as well as field observations. Each sample (Fig. 2) was composed of 15 subsamples collected at 0-5 cm depth for superficial sources and from the lower parts of 142 143 channel bank profiles. Three source classification schemes were generated from the same 144 sample set, based, respectively, on the distribution of land uses (1), soil classes (2), and 145 the regional and environmental contrasts between the upper and lower portions of the 146 study basin (3):

- 1. The first typology was based on land uses and covers found in the study 147 basin. The land uses selected and the corresponding numbers of samples 148 (Fig. 2) were: Caatinga – natural vegetation (n = 17); channel banks (n = 17); 149 150 69); unpaved roads (n = 56), and; sugarcane cropland (n = 65). *Caatinga* samples represent the preserved and semi-preserved conditions found in 151 upper semiarid areas, comprising samples collected under riparian 152 vegetation and in areas connected to the main channel of the Ipojuca 153 River. 154
- 2. The classification of sources based on soil classes was executed using the 155 156 database of the Agroecological Zoning of Pernambuco (ZAPE) and the Brazilian System of Soil Classification (SiBCS) and by overlaying sample 157 158 locations on these maps. The following soil classes were included in this 159 classification scheme: Entisols (n = 11), Alfisols (n = 37), Ultisols (n = 37) 76), Entisols (Aquents) (n = 57) and Oxisols (n = 26). Entisols occur 160 mainly in the uppermost region of the study basin. The Alfisols in the 161 162 study basin usually manifest a pattern of acidity from light to neutral,

sodium saturation lower than 8% at the Bt horizon, and low content of 163 superficial organic matter. The Ultisols, mainly distributed in the 164 165 downstream region, are deep, with a low natural fertility, with strong to moderate acidity, and low contents of exchangeable calcium and 166 167 magnesium. The Ultisols of the upstream region are less deep, although they exhibit small chemical differences compared to the former. The 168 169 Entisols (Aquents) present varied chemical characteristics as a consequence of their spatial distribution. The Oxisols are deep, with 170 171 strong acidity, natural cohesion, high content of Al, and are developed mainly in the wetter areas of the basin and the upper sections of plains in 172 173 the downstream region.

3. In the third classification scheme for potential sources, the same sampling 174 175 set was divided into two environmentally contrasting regions: upper and lower catchment. Samples from the upstream region (n = 45) represent 176 177 the combination of the dry climate with a highly spatially- and temporally-variable hydrological regime, shallow soils, intermittent and 178 179 semi-intermittent fluvial regime, and the sparse occurrence of cropland. 180 The samples (n = 162) from the downstream zone represented wet 181 landscapes, a perennial fluvial regime, deep and well-developed soils, and 182 extensive areas of monoculture cropland.

Target suspended sediment samples (n = 4; Fig. 1b) were collected in a cross-183 section located at the outlet of the main river system, by means of time-integrated 184 185 samplers (Phillips et al., 2000) installed between April 2019 and February 2020, in order to capture the full range of hydrological responses (Table 1). Target bed sediment samples 186 (n = 6) were also collected in October 2019 at the overall outlet in order to permit 187 188 comparison of the source apportionment results for two target sediment types. Recovery 189 of this material was performed by scraping the accumulated sediment, taking care not to 190 exceed a depth of 5 cm.

- 191
- 192
- 193
- 194



Fig. 2. Representative landscapes for potential sediment sources and sampling sites in the Ipojuca
River catchment: (A) and (B) cross-sections selected downstream in the Ipojuca River for the
installation of the sediment samplers during the period of higher water discharge; (C) example of

an upstream channel bank sampled; (D) channel bank and connected areas of *Caatinga* vegetation
in the upstream region; (E) sugarcane in the early stages of development in downstream areas
connected to the main channel; (F) unpaved roads and sugarcane crops on soils formed over
typical slopes for the downstream portion of the study basin ("Mares de Morros"); (G) unpaved
roads close to the downstream croplands; (H) roads with direct connectivity to the main river
upstream. The orange arrows indicate the flow direction of the Ipojuca River.

226

227 Table 1. Sampling periods for target suspended sediment and the corresponding rainfall totals in

	228	the upstream and d	lownstream p	portions of	f the Ipc	ojuca River	catchment
--	-----	--------------------	--------------	-------------	-----------	-------------	-----------

Observation period*	Sample dry mass (g)	Amount of rainfall (mm) (upstream - downstream)**
04/15/19 - 05/22/19	20.4	165 – 249
05/22/19 - 06/10/19	38.9	121 - 473
09/27/19 - 12/03/19	90.0	32 - 147
12/11/19 - 02/10/20	17.2	28 - 105

229 \* channel cross-section location: 8°24'20.90"S - 35°03'37.22"W; \*\* (IPA, 2019).

230

#### 231 2.3 Sample preparation and particle size characterization

The source and target sediment samples were respectively air-dried and dried in a 232 233 forced-circulation stove at 50°C. All samples were then gently disaggregated and sieved 234 through a 2-mm mesh. Particle size distribution analysis was conducted to define the target fractions for sediment fingerprinting. Furthermore, organic matter in 2 g of each 235 sample was burned using 20 ml H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (25%) in a stove at 50°C for 24 to 48 h, and the 236 237 dispersion of the particles was achieved by the addition of 10 ml NaOH (6%) and agitation at 130 rpm for 12 h. The absolute size distribution of particles was determined after 238 dispersion in a liquid analyzer (Microtrac S3500), suitable for the size range 20-nm to 2-239 mm. In addition to the samples collected specifically for sediment fingerprinting, 25 240 composite samples from the superficial layer (0-20 cm) of reference soils in the study 241 basin collected for previous work (Silva et al., 2015) were used to support the particle 242 size characterization of the main soil classes in the area. The particle size distribution was 243 244 obtained according to Gee and Or (2002).

The average diameter and  $D_{90}$  of the target suspended sediment samples from the 245 outlet of the Ipojuca River (Supplementary Figure 2) were 12.2 µm and 24.3 µm, 246 247 respectively. The silt fraction represented approximately 85% of the particle size 248 composition of these samples. Therefore, all geochemical analyses were conducted on 249 the  $<32 \mu m$  fraction, with the aim to optimize the direct comparison of the properties of 250 the sources and target sediment.

#### 251 2.4 Geochemical analysis

252 The contents of 20 metals were used as potential fingerprint properties: Al, Ba, 253 Ce, Cr, Fe, La, Nd, Ni, Pb, Pr, Sc, Sm, Sn, Sr, Th, Ti, V, Y, Zn and Zr. The extraction of 254 these metals followed the method proposed by Estévez Alvarez et al. (2001). First, 0.5 g 255 of each source and target sediment sample was pre-digested in 10 ml of HF in a rest 256 system for 12 h. Then, the samples were put in vessels with 5 ml of HNO<sub>3</sub> and 3 ml of 257 HClO<sub>4</sub> and placed on a hot plate at 180 °C. The latter step was repeated to ensure the total dissolution of the samples. Each extract was then dissolved in 5 ml HCl and diluted in 258 259 deionized water to fill a 25 ml volumetric flask. Calibration and recalibration of curves, high purity acids, reagent blanks and standard reference materials (SRM 2709 Montana 260 261 Soil, National Institute of Standards and Technology, NIST, 2002) were used to ensure quality control of the analyses. The concentrations of metals in the final extracts were 262 263 determined by means of inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES/Optima DV7000, Perkin Elmer) with a coupled cyclonic chamber system to enhance 264 265 precision of the measurements. Only the total concentrations of Zr were determined by 266 means of X-Ray fluorescence spectrometry (S8 TIGER ECO - WDXRF-1KW model). 267 The recovery rates of the analyzed metals ranged from 48% (Zn) to 107% (Ni). More than 268 80% of the chemical elements showed recovery rates ranging from 80% to 100%.

#### 269

### 2.5 Selection of tracers and apportionment of sediment sources

270 The successive steps for tracer selection were: (1) assessment of conservative 271 behaviour (range test); (2) comparison of the individual sources (Kruskal-Wallis H-test), and; (3) linear discriminant analysis (forward stepwise tracer selection). Tracer 272 273 conservation was assessed using a conventional range test based on the individual 274 comparison of the minimum and maximum elemental concentrations in source material and target sediment samples. The Kruskal-Wallis H-test was used to explore and confirm 275 276 individual tracer capacity for distinguishing the sediment sources (p < 0.1). Linear 277 discriminant analysis (p < 0.1) used the minimization of Wilk's lambda to select three final composite signatures for discriminating the sediment sources on the basis of the 278 279 three classification schemes. To minimize problems associated with characterization of 280 sources and effects of point or non-point pollution, we removed any extreme or outlier 281 values from this fingerprinting modelling. MixSIAR (Stock and Semmens, 2016) was 282 applied to estimate the average relative contribution of the individual sediment sources, 283 using Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) maximum parameters: number of chains = 3; chain length = 3,000,000; burn = 2,700,000; thin = 300. The outputs from the models 284 285 were rejected if a variable was above 1.01 for the Gelman-Rubin diagnosis. The averages, standard deviations, confidence intervals, and posterior correlations of source 286 287 contributions were also estimated. The accuracy of the source estimates was evaluated 288 using virtual mixtures, which were generated to compare known and predicted source 289 contributions, using the composite signatures selected by LDA (Phillips and Gregg, 2003): 290

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i f_i \tag{1}$$

in which y is the virtual mixture, k is the result of the individual source using mixtures of target sediments, n is the number of tracers, i is the tracer used, and f is the individual source. The accuracy of the predicted source estimates was evaluated using the root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAE), viz.:

296 
$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{predicted} - Y_{known})^2}{n}}$$
(2)

297 
$$MAE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |Y_{predicted} - Y_{known}|}{n}$$
(3)

in which  $Y_{predicted}$  is the relative contribution of the sediment source predicted by the model,  $Y_{known}$  is the known relative contribution of the source to the virtual mixture and n represents the total number of sediment sources. All statistical procedures were undertaken using R software (version 3.6.1, R Core team, 2021).

#### **302 3. Results**

#### 303 3.1 Exploratory apportionment of sediment sources

The discrimination potential of regional sources (upstream and downstream), soil classes (Ultisol, Entisol (Aquent), Oxisol, Entisol and Alfisol) and land uses (Caatinga, channel banks, sugarcane and unpaved roads) were evaluated using all geochemical

tracers by forward stepwise LDA (p < 0.1) (Fig. 3). This analysis showed significant 307 overlaps between the ellipses of Ultisols and Entisols (Aquents) (Fig. 3a) as well as 308 309 between those of channel banks and unpaved roads (Fig. 3c). Thus, the final sources were 310 reclassified by merging these groups, resulting in the Ultisols + Entisols (Aquents) (Fig. 311 3b) and channel banks + unpaved roads (Fig. 3d). Some previous sediment fingerprinting studies have clearly pointed to improved discrimination and apportionment modelling 312 from reclassifying initial source categories (Barthod et al., 2015; Lizaga et al., 2021). 313 Here, in general, this merging ensured increased discriminatory power (Fig. 3b and 3d), 314 315 exemplified by the rates of correctly classified samples (CCS) on the basis of soil classes improving from 66% to 90% and in the case of land uses, from 59% to 77%, respectively. 316 The first and second linear discriminant functions accounted for much of the variance in 317 318 these source groups, explaining 97% and 100% of the total variance in the soil classes 319 (LD1 = 78%, LD2 = 19%; Fig. 3b) and land uses (LD1 = 63%, LD2 = 37%; Fig. 3d), respectively. LDA revealed high potential for differentiation between the two regional 320 321 sources (Wilks' Lambda = 8; CCS = 99%).



**Fig. 3.** Two-dimensional plots of the LDA (p < 0.1) of the initial (a and c) and final (b and d) source groups after reclassification of the Ipojuca River catchment sources for the samples defined as soil classes (a and b) and land use (c and d), considering all geochemical tracers. UL = Ultisol,

337	EA = Entisol (Aquent), $OX = Oxisol$ , $EN = Entisol$ , $PL = Alfisol$ and $UE = Ultisol + Entisol$
338	(Aquent); $CA = Caatinga$ , $CB = channel banks$ , $SC = sugarcane$ , $UR = unpaved roads$ , and $CR = Caatinga$
339	channel banks and unpaved roads.

#### 340 3.2 Tracer selection

341 All analyzed elements in the  $< 32 \mu m$  fraction passed the range test (Table 2) and 342 were therefore considered conservative during erosion and sediment transport to, and 343 through, the river network (Supplementary Figures 3 and 4).

Table 2. Minimum and maximum values of geochemical tracer concentrations in source material
and target sediment samples (suspended and bed sediments) used to assess tracer conservation.

	Sources			Suspended sediments			Bed sediments			
Tracers (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(n = 207)				(n = 4)			(n = 6)		
(	Min.	Max.	Mean	Min.	Max.	Mean	Min.	Max.	Mean	
Al	9240	162850	115011	103650	131200	116250	106150	137850	127200	
Ba	4.1	1713.0	595.7	294.5	570.5	422.6	445.8	1040.5	773.3	
Ce	44.1	329.9	137.8	129.7	138.9	134.0	88.8	138.9	121.6	
Cr	5.9	123.8	38.6	7.2	48.8	37.5	35.8	55.3	41.2	
Fe	4556	86600	38228	13510	45070	31932	35845	64200	46318	
La	18.4	140.2	59.6	57.5	60.6	58.6	34.4	65.2	53.4	
Nd	8.9	85.0	33.0	31.6	33.4	32.5	18.9	34.8	28.5	
Ni	2.9	52.6	16.6	4.8	17.4	13.2	12.8	15.9	14.5	
Pb	4.8	301.1	25.8	7.1	24.8	18.2	14.8	34.3	26.0	
Pr	3.0	43.1	14.4	14.3	16.0	14.8	4.4	14.8	10.4	
Sc	2.1	15.6	8.1	8.5	9.8	9.3	6.2	9.0	7.4	
Sm	2.4	21.7	8.7	8.4	9.3	8.8	4.8	8.6	7.3	
Sn	1.6	22.4	6.4	2.2	7.2	5.4	6.4	8.2	7.0	
Sr	1.1	714.0	127.4	31.7	127.9	90.8	91.7	187.1	146.7	
Th	11.9	111.6	34.2	26.6	29.9	28.3	20.8	35.8	29.5	
Ti	266	12725	5912	1627	6225	4606	5655	7245	6657	
V	6.0	181.1	73.6	18.9	87.4	63.0	59.1	80.7	71.9	
Y	3.4	55.9	12.3	12.7	13.9	13.4	7.5	14.7	11.7	
Zn	0.0	158.6	49.0	11.2	72.0	53.9	53.9	76.4	64.4	
Zr	112.0	3441.0	643.6	135.0	376.5	215.0	270.0	961.0	536.3	

Four and six elements (Table 3) failed to differentiate the samples classified on 347 the basis of soil classes (Ce, Nd, Sm, and Zn) and regional sources (Ce, Nd, Pr, Sm, Th, 348 and Zn). In contrast, the concentrations of all the tracers were significantly different 349 among the sources classified on the basis of land use. 350

351

352 Table 3. Kruskal-Wallis H-test results for the sources classified using the geochemical tracers 353 that passed the conservation test.

Turner	Regions		Soil c	lasses	Land use		
Tracers	H-value	p-value	H-value	p-value	H-value	p-value	
Al	97.81	< 0.01	88.29	< 0.01	35.52	< 0.01	
Ba	52.43	< 0.01	76.15	< 0.01	23.38	< 0.01	
Ce	1.70	0.19	4.26	0.24	16.14	< 0.01	
Cr	11.31	< 0.01	18.88	< 0.01	6.41	0.04	
Fe	74.69	< 0.01	67.92	< 0.01	34.11	< 0.01	
La	7.08	0.01	11.78	0.01	17.42	< 0.01	
Nd	0.10	0.75	0.40	0.94	12.63	< 0.01	
Ni	4.32	0.04	13.80	< 0.01	6.31	0.04	
Pb	26.82	< 0.01	35.71	< 0.01	14.93	< 0.01	
Pr	0.61	0.44	7.62	0.05	10.39	0.01	
Sc	67.38	< 0.01	63.89	< 0.01	26.49	< 0.01	
Sm	1.38	0.24	1.66	0.65	12.05	< 0.01	
Sn	12.85	< 0.01	8.43	0.04	7.67	0.02	
Sr	60.94	< 0.01	78.40	< 0.01	24.74	< 0.01	
Th	0.41	0.52	18.23	< 0.01	6.93	0.03	
Ti	84.62	< 0.01	82.95	< 0.01	27.36	< 0.01	
V	74.54	< 0.01	76.95	< 0.01	23.78	< 0.01	
Y	67.38	< 0.01	70.44	< 0.01	38.06	< 0.01	
Zn	0.54	0.46	2.69	0.44	4.69	0.10	
Zr	25.98	< 0.01	36.09	< 0.01	15.39	< 0.01	

354

The LDA forward stepwise analysis selected sets of eight tracers for the regional 355 356 sources (Al, Sr, Y, Ti, Pb, La, Fe, and Zr), ten for the soil classes (Al, Ba, Ni, Ti, La, Pr, 357 Sr, Zr, Th, and Sc) and six for the land use sources (Al, Ce, Ti, V, Pb, and Sr) (Table 4). These sets explained 75% of the differences between regional sources, 81% in the case 358 of the soil classes, and 45% for the land uses, as per Wilks' Lambda cumulative (LW), 359

and were able to correctly classify 97% of the regional samples, 86% of the soil class samples and 72% of the land use samples. Individual discrimination error rates ranged from 4% to 17% (regional sources), 20% to 37% (soil classes), and 37% to 42% (land uses) (Supplementary Figures 5 and 6). Only Al, Ti and Sr were selected in all three approaches, highlighting mainly the high individual discriminatory power of Al and Ti for soil classes and regional sources.

**Table 4**. Final composite signatures selected by linear discriminant analysis and corresponding

367	parameters for the	three classifications	of sediment source	es in the Ipojuca River c	atchment.
-----	--------------------	-----------------------	--------------------	---------------------------	-----------

Tracers	Wilks' lambda	F-value	p-value	IER (%)	CER (%)
		Regions			
Al	0.40	311.82	< 0.01	4.3	4.3
Sr	0.33	208.01	< 0.01	8.1	3.3
Y	0.31	153.50	< 0.01	11.8	3.3
Ti	0.29	125.92	< 0.01	15.3	1.9
Pb	0.28	105.49	0.01	16.3	1.9
La	0.27	91.29	0.01	16.9	1.9
Fe	0.26	80.23	0.04	15.9	1.9
Zr	0.25	72.68	0.02	17.2	2.9
		Soil classes			
Al	0.46	80.64	< 0.01	20.7	20.7
Ba	0.35	46.97	< 0.01	33.3	21.7
Ni	0.30	34.29	< 0.01	34.2	20.7
Ti	0.28	27.00	< 0.01	22.7	18.8
La	0.25	23.83	< 0.01	35.7	19.3
Pr	0.22	21.87	< 0.01	35.7	15.4
Sr	0.21	19.44	0.01	35.7	15.4
Zr	0.20	17.59	0.02	34.3	16.4
Th	0.19	15.99	0.06	35.7	15.4
Sc	0.19	14.68	0.09	37.1	13.5
		Land uses			
Al	0.78	28.31	< 0.01	42.0	42.0
Ce	0.72	18.40	< 0.01	37.1	40.1
Ti	0.66	15.43	< 0.01	40.0	35.7
V	0.61	14.34	< 0.01	39.6	31.8
Pb	0.57	12.84	< 0.01	39.6	31.4
Sr	0.55	11.67	0.01	40.0	28.0

 $\overline{\text{IER}} = \text{individual error rate; CER} = \text{cumulative error rate.}$ 

#### 370 3.3 Estimated sediment source contributions

Fig. 4 presents source type contributions to SS and BS samples estimated by MixSIAR modelling. The Oxisols and sugarcane cultivation were the dominant sources in the downstream region. For SS, the proportional contributions followed the order: (a) regional, Downstream > Upstream; (b) soil classes, Oxisols > Ultisols + Entisols (Aquents) > Entisols > Alfisols; (c) land uses, sugarcane > channel bank + unpaved roads > Caatinga. For BS, the results were similar, except for the relative importance of soil classes: Entisols > Oxisols > Ultisols + Entisols.



Fig. 4. Average relative contributions of suspended sediment (SS) and bed sediment (BS) sources
classified on the basis of regions (a), soil classes (b) and land use (c). UP = Upstream and DO =
Downstream; AL = Alfisol, EN = Entisol, OX = Oxisol and UE = Ultisol + Entisol (Aquent); CA
acatinga, CR = channel bank + unpaved road, SC = sugarcane.

The source estimates predicted by MixSIAR were similar to the known 389 390 proportions used to generate virtual mixtures, suggesting acceptable accuracy (Table 5). The RMSEs and MAEs ranged from 0.1-6.0% and 0.1-4.9%, respectively, and their 391 respective overall means were 3.6% and 3.3%. Correlations among the estimated 392 393 posterior contributions were generally weak; -0.30 for soil classes and -0.42 for land uses 394 (Supplementary Figures 7, 8, and 9). However, strong negative correlations were observed between the contributions of Ultisol + Entisol (Aquent) and Oxisol (-0.71) and 395 sugarcane, channel banks + unpaved roads (-0.95) and upstream and downstream (-1.00). 396

**Table 5.** Comparison of the mean known (MC) and predicted (MP) contributions of the different

sources of target suspended sediment (SS) and bed sediment (BS) samples using virtual mixturesand statistical tests for the accuracy of MixSIAR outputs.

Sources		Target sediment	MC (%)	SD (%)	MP (%)	RMSE	MAE
Regions	Upstream		19.5	10.2	24.4	4.0	4.9
	Downstream	22	80.5	10.2	75.6	4.9	
	Upstream	DC	13.3	6.3	13.4	0.1	0.1
	Downstream	82	86.7	6.3	86.6		0.1
	Alfisol		6.0	5.7	11.0		4.9
	Entisol	55	13.5	7.0	11.4	5.3	
Soil classes	Oxisol	66	65.0	9.3	57.2		
	Ultisol-Entisol (Aquent)		15.5	8.6	20.4		
	Alfisol		7.8	6.0	16.3	6.0	4.7
	Entisol	DC	33.7	9.3	25.3		
	Oxisol	DS	30.8	9.1	31.8		
_	Ultisol-Entisol (Aquent)		27.7	11.3	26.6		
Land use	Caatinga		6.2	6.0	7.5		
	Channel bank + unpaved roads	SS	31.7	17.5	34.0	2.5	2.3
	Sugarcane		62.0	17.7	58.5		
	Caatinga		6.1	4.3	6.8		
	Channel bank + unpaved roads	BS	30.3	13.6	33.4	2.8	2.5
	Sugarcane		63.6	13.4	59.8		

401 UP = Upstream and DO = Downstream; AL = Alfisol, EN = Entisol, OX = Oxisol and UE =
402 Ultisol + Entisol (Aquent); CA = Caatinga, CR = channel bank + unpaved road, SC = sugarcane;
403 standard deviation (SD); root mean squared error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAE).

404 **4. Discussion** 

#### 406 4.1 Tracer conservation and sediment source discrimination

407 All tracers passed the range test using the  $<32 \mu m$  fraction of source and target 408 sediment samples collected in the Ipojuca River catchment. Nevertheless, it is important to bear in mind the limitations of such tests (Batista et al., 2019; Collins et al., 2020; 409 410 Ramon et al., 2020). Elements with higher enrichment potential linked to anthropogenic activities in the study basin, such as Ca, Mg, Mn, P, and K, were disregarded in this study. 411 A high degree of anthropogenic enrichment of Pb, Ni, and Zn in downstream river 412 sediments has been reported for the study river by previous work (Silva et al., 2017). In 413 414 general, however, different trace elements exhibit limited enrichment in sediments at the downstream sites in the study area (Silva et al., 2017; Silva et al., 2018b; Nascimento et 415 416 al., 2019).

417 Although the Ultisols and Entisols (Aquents) generally show distinct geochemical 418 signatures, the surface samples of these soil classes expressed strong similarity in the Ipojuca River catchment (Fig. 3b). Ultisols and Entisols (Aquents) are distributed in 419 420 adjacent areas of the east-central portion of the study basin (Fig. 1b), indicating that these 421 soils may be derived from geochemically similar parent materials. In addition, based on 422 field observations, distribution maps (Fig. 1), and the particle size of these river basin 423 sources (Supplementary Table 1), the Entisols (Aquents), located on the lower elevations 424 of the local geomorphological interfluves, may be the receptors of material eroded in the 425 steeper neighbouring areas represented by Ultisols (Fig. 1b). As a result, it is possible that 426 some samples collected in areas represented by the Entisols (Aquents) may represent mixtures. Furthermore, the similarity between channel banks and unpaved roads 427 428 highlights the lack of substantial differences in the subsurface geochemical signatures of the soils where these sources are found. Overall, unpaved roads represent subsurface 429 sections that have been exposed at the foot of many steeper slope reliefs in the study 430 431 basin, whilst channel banks have been reported to exhibit similar signatures to other nearby sources in some river catchments (Vale et al., 2020). 432

Only Al, Ti, and Sr were selected in the final composite signatures for discriminating the catchment sediment sources using all three source classifications, reflecting the high KW H-values for source discrimination by these individual tracers. Aluminum and Ti can express key differences in association with the development stage of soils. Al, for example, is widely used in indices of chemical weathering, such as the CIA method (Nesbitt and Young, 1982). The tendency here is that higher Al and Ti

439 contents are observed in more weathered and developed superficial horizons due to the high strength and low mobility of these elements (Koiter et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2018). 440 The mean Al and Ti concentrations measured in our samples (Table 6) distinguished 441 upstream (73.5 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and 3550.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) from downstream sources (126.5 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and 442 6567.9 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and Alfisols (72. 7 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and 3427.4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and Entisols (99.7 g kg<sup>-1</sup> 443 444 and 4901.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) from Ultisol-Entisols (Aquent) (127.2 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and 6662.6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and Oxisols (119.2 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and 6035.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). However, this was not the case for the 445 land use sources when considering sugarcane and Caatinga as surface sources and channel 446 banks + unpaved roads as subsurface sources: sugarcane (118.6 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and 5759.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> 447 <sup>1</sup>), Caatinga (71.1 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and 3130.9 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and channel banks + unpaved roads (119.0 448 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and 5268.7 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). With respect to Al, this is because channel banks + unpaved 449 roads represent both the more developed soils (Ultisol-Entisol (Aquent) and Oxisol) 450 451 downstream and the less developed soils (Entisol and Alfisol) upstream. Another factor reducing source discrimination is the disturbance and incorporation of the surface and 452 453 subsurface soil layers during sugarcane management in the study catchment. Iron, selected only in the composite signature for discriminating regional sources, was expected 454 455 to exhibit similar discriminant patterns as Al and Ti for the soil classes because of the potential for oxide accumulation in the more developed soils found downstream. The 456 457 average concentrations of Ba, Sr and Zr ensured discrimination between Ultisol + Entisols (Aquent) (525.6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, 108.6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 630.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and Oxisols (277.8 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, 458 71.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 390.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>); Sr and Ce improved discrimination between sugarcane 459 (118.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 105.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and channel banks + unpaved roads (148.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) 460 and 124.9 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), although this benefit for source discrimination was not so pronounced 461 in the case of soil classes (Supplementary Tables 3 and 4). 462

Table 6. Mean concentrations and coefficients of variation (CV) of the tracers selected in the final
composite signatures for discriminating the sediment sources in the study basin using all three
classification schemes.

Source	es/Sediment	Parameters	Al (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Sr (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Ti (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )
	Unstroom	Mean	73.5	210.9	3550.5
Regional	Opsiteani	CV	17.0%	49.0%	31.0%
	Downstream	Mean	126.5	104.2	6567.9
		CV	15.0%	47.9%	25.0%
	Ultisol-Entisol	Mean	127.2	108.6	6662.6
Soil classes	(Aquent)	CV	14.0%	46.7%	24.9%
	Orrigol	Mean	119.3	71.3	6035.3
	OXISOI	CV	22.6%	33.8%	29.0%

	Entire1	Mean	99.7	206.0	4901.3
	Enusoi	CV	32.2%	36.6%	28.4%
	Alfisol		72.7	210.9	3427.4
			14.0%	50.4%	28.3%
	Contingo	Mean	71.2	230.2	3130.9
	Caatinga	CV	12.8%	60.0%	24.9%
Landuca	Sugarcane	Mean	118.6	105.3	5759.1
Land use		CV	18.8%	49.0%	27.48%
	Channel Banks +	Mean	119.0	124.9	5268.7
	Unpaved roads	CV	23.2%	55.0%	37.37%
Sucro	Suspended sediments		116,2	90.8	4605.8
Susper			10.3%	45.9%	44.5%
Pa	Dellastinente		127.2	146.7	6656.6
De	u seuments	CV	9.0%	24.9%	9.6%

466 Additional data for the mean concentrations and coefficients of variation (CV) of the LDA-selected 467 elements can be found in supplementary Tables 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.

468 The regional and soil class sources had the lowest discrimination errors reflecting 469 the influence of pedogenetic processes on tracer signatures. Other studies have reported 470 successful discrimination of soil classes in large catchments in Brazil (Le Gall et al., 2017; 471 Batista et al., 2019). The higher discrimination errors obtained when the sources were 472 classified on the basis of land use reconfirmed the difficulty of geochemically 473 differentiating these sources in large heterogeneous basins (Pulley et al., 2015; Pulley et 474 al., 2017). For example, the overall average coefficient of variation (CV) for individual 475 tracers for the sources classified on the basis of land use (42%) was slightly higher than 476 for regional sources (40%) and soil classes (36%). This same trend was also followed by 477 the average CVs of the common tracers selected in the composite signatures for 478 discriminating sources using all three classification schemes (Al, Ti, and Sr): land use (34%) > regional sources (31%) > classes (30%). Caatinga (mean CV = 52\%) and channel 479 banks + unpaved roads (mean CV = 39%) contributed strongly to the variations in the 480 481 case of sources classified by land use, with the highest value (137%) measured for Pb concentrations in Caatinga. The distribution of the channel banks + unpaved roads 482 483 samples in the basin (Fig. 1c) may explain this scenario, since about 30% and 70% of 484 these samples, respectively, were collected along the upper and central parts of the study basin (i.e., representing very different lithological, pedological and climatic contexts). 485 Despite this pattern of intra-source variability and the corresponding higher LDA errors, 486 487 all the RMSE and MAE estimates for the predicted source proportions using the land use classification were acceptably low and, in fact, similar to the corresponding errors 488 489 calculated when the sources were classified on the basis of regional sources or soil classes, 490 indicating acceptable confidence in all of the predicted source proportions.

#### 491 *4.2 Contribution patterns of different source types*

Most (SS = 81% and BS = 87%) of the sampled sediments transported in the 492 493 Ipojuca River at the outlet were predicted to originate from sources located in the downstream region of the study catchment. This distribution pattern reflects several 494 495 contrasting hydro-climatic and environmental conditions. The downstream areas 496 comprise environments characterized by a humid climate (average annual rainfall of 497 about 2400 mm year<sup>-1</sup>), whereas the upstream portion is typically represented by a semiarid climate (i.e., average rainfall of about 600 mm year<sup>-1</sup>). Accordingly, rainfall 498 499 erosivity is threefold higher downstream than in the upstream region of the study basin (Cantalice et al., 2009). The small contribution of the upstream sources (SS and BS <500 501 20%) is likely to have been reduced by the dams built upstream of the main channel. 502 These structures can significantly decrease longitudinal connectivity, which means longer 503 sediment trapping and residence times in that portion of the study catchment and a lower 504 transfer rate to the downstream portion (Kitamura et al., 2014; Silva et al., 2015; Batista 505 et al., 2019). Our results indicate, however, that the dams did not result in total 506 sedimentary disconnection during the observation period. As Cucchiaro et al. (2019) 507 underlined, dams can be ineffective in the case of large flows, continuing to release 508 trapped sediments.

509 Soils located in the downstream part of the study catchment (Oxisol, Ultisol + Entisol (Aquent)) were the main sediment sources, contributing an average of 80% and 510 58% of the target suspended and bed sediment samples, respectively. Entisols and 511 512 Alfisols in the western (upstream) portion of the study basin were predicted to supply low 513 contributions to the sampled target sediments. Our sediment fingerprinting estimates suggested high contributions (60%) from Oxisols to target suspended sediment samples; 514 three times higher than the corresponding contributions from the other soil classes. 515 516 Although they are deep soils with greater infiltration capacity and cover only about 9% of the study basin, the Oxisols are mainly distributed in the downstream region and in 517 518 areas closer to the main river channels (represented in yellow in Fig. 1b). This distribution 519 enhances hydrological and sedimentological connectivity with the overall outlet sampling 520 site for the target sediment samples. In contrast, the Ultisols comprise 32% of the study 521 catchment but are often found in areas with lower slope-to-channel connectivity than the 522 Oxisols. The low contribution of the Ultisol + Entisol (Aquent) soil combination may be associated with the fine texture in the surface layer of the Ultisols (Supplementary Table 523 524 1) and the limited spatial coverage of the study area by the Entisols (Aquents) (<1.5%).

Sediment source apportionment based on the land use classification of potential
sources also underlined the importance of the downstream region of the study area.
Sugarcane contributed 62% and 64% of the target suspended and bed sediment sampled.
In contrast, Caatinga contributed only 6% to both types of target sediment.

529 The sugarcane contribution to sediment samples shows the importance of the 530 surface erosion processes in the study catchment. Its protective vegetation cover during 531 the more advanced development stages buffers the soil against erosion processes (Bezerra and Cantalice, 2006; Amorim et al., 2021), but the post-harvest period and the initial 532 533 development stages are likely associated with the high soil erosion in this land use. Our 534 results suggested that channel banks plus unpaved roads are the second most important 535 sediment source classified by land use. This reflects the lack of riparian vegetation along 536 the Ipojuca River in the case of the channel banks. High unpaved road contributions have 537 been observed in other watersheds in Brazil, but these are typically relatively low 538 compared to other sources, mainly due to the small surface areas of these sources in the 539 catchments investigated (Tiecher et al., 2018; Ramon et al., 2020; Amorim et al. 2021).

540 Sediment source apportionment based on soil classes alone led to contrasting 541 results for SS and BS. The Oxisols and Entisols are mainly responsible for this pattern. 542 These results may reflect a legacy from accumulated bed sediment-associated metal 543 pollution in the river in in the downstream region, since the average metal concentrations 544 were higher than those observed in SS, except for Pr and Sc (Supplementary Figures 2 545 and 3).

546 Overall, the Oxisols under sugarcane represent the most important sediment 547 source. However, the source apportionment estimates are scale-dependent (Batista et al., 2019; Koiter et al., 2013), meaning that the predicted source contributions would most 548 likely differ for target sediment sampling sites located further upstream. Minimizing 549 550 errors associated with the classification of sediment sources on the basis of land use is a 551 challenge for future studies in the large river catchments typical of Brazil. Here, there is 552 a need to explore the utility of additional and novel tracers. In this regard, previous work 553 in Brazil has illustrated the utility of optical property composite signatures (Amorim et 554 al., 2021) and total P concentrations and their fractionation (Tiecher et al., 2019). The 555 latter could be useful for our study basin, since in the downstream part of the catchment, topsoil layers under tillage tend to have higher P contents, compared with channel banks 556 557 and unpaved roads, due to the use of phosphate fertilizers. This potential to use P-based 558 tracers is enhanced by the ability of clay minerals, typical of the more developed soils in

the downstream region of our study basin, to fix P in their structures, generating potentially useful signatures to assist sediment source discrimination. Other possibilities are to test environmental DNA to characterize the dominant plant communities found in soils under Caatinga and sugarcane (Evrard et al., 2019; Foucher et al., 2020a), the composition of organic matter in soils from different land uses (Laceby et al., 2016; Foucher et al., 2020b) and the compound specific stable isotopes (Upadhayay et al. 2017).

565 Conclusions

Application of different classifications of sediment sources has enhanced the level of detail on sediment source patterns in the study basin. The more detailed information generated using more than one source area classification scheme better supports the targeting of sediment management.

Future research could use sedimentary deposits for the temporal reconstruction of sediment source patterns in the semiarid region of the basin. Erosion control in downstream landscapes with Oxisols under sugarcane cultivation should be a priority for reducing the delivery of sediments and associated contaminants towards the estuarine and mangrove environments. Our study herein supports future sediment source fingerprinting studies in areas crossing semiarid and coastal environments under threat from excessive erosion and sediment delivery.

#### 577 Acknowledgements

Y.J.A.B. Silva is grateful to the National Council for Scientific and Technological
Development – CNPq for research productivity scholarships (Process Number:
303221/2019-4). The contribution to this manuscript by ALC was funded by UKRIBBSRC (UK Research and Innovation-Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research
Council) grant award BBS/E/C/000I0330. This study was financed in part by the
Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) Finance Code 001.

585 **References** 

586

Amorim, F.F., da Silva, Y.J.A.B., Nascimento, R.C., da Silva, Y.J.A.B., Tiecher, T., do
Nascimento, C.W.A., Minella, J.P.G., Zhang, Y., Ram, H.U., Pulley, S. Collins, A.L.,

<sup>Agência Condepe/Fidem, 2005. Rio Ipojuca, Recife. Série Bacias Hidrográficas de
Pernambuco, 1.</sup> 

- 592 2021. Sediment source apportionment using optical property composite signatures in a593 rural catchment, Brazil. Catena. 202, 105208.
- 594

Anache, J.A., Wendland, E.C., Oliveira, P.T., Flanagan, D.C., Nearing, M.A., 2017.
Runoff and soil erosion plot-scale studies under natural rainfall: A meta-analysis of the
Brazilian experience. Catena. 152, 29-39.

598

Barthod, L.R., Liu, K., Lobb, D. A., Owens, P.N., Martínez-Carreras, N., Koiter, A.J.,
Petticrew, E.L., Mccullough, G.K., Liu, C., Gaspar, L., 2015. Selecting color-based
tracers and classifying sediment sources in the assessment of sediment dynamics using
sediment source fingerprinting. J. Environ. Qual. 44(5), 1605-1616.

603

607

Batista, P.V., Laceby, J.P., Silva, M.L., Tassinari, D., Bispo, D.F., Curi, N., Davies, J.,
Quinton, J.N., 2019. Using pedological knowledge to improve sediment source
apportionment in tropical environments. J. Soils Sediments. 19(9), 3274-3289.

Bezerra, S.A., Cantalice, J.R.B., 2006. Erosão entre sulcos em diferentes condições de cobertura do solo, sob cultivo da cana-de-açúcar. Rev. Bras. Cienc. Solo. 30(3), 565-573.

Cantalice, J.R.B., Bezerra, S.A., Figueira, S.B., Inácio, E.D.S.B., de Oliveira Silva,
M.D.R., 2009. Linhas isoerosivas do estado de Pernambuco-1ª aproximação. Rev.
Caatinga. 22(2), 75-80.

614

Collins, A.L., Walling, D.E., Webb, L., King, P. 2010. Apportioning catchment scale
sediment sources using a modified composite fingerprinting technique incorporating
property weightings and prior information. Geoderma. 155(3-4), 249-261.

618

Collins, A.L., Pulley, S., Foster, I.D.L., Gellis, A., Porto, P. and Horowitz, A.J. 2017.
Sediment source fingerprinting as an aid to catchment management: a review of the
current state of knowledge and a methodological decision-tree for end-users. J. Environ.
Qual. 194, 86-108.

623

Collins, A.L., Blackwell, M., Boeckx, P., Chivers, C.A., Emelko, M., Evrard, O., Foster,
I., Gellis, A., Gholami, H., Granger, S., Harris, P., Horowitz, A.J., Laceby, J.P., Martinezcarreras, N., Minella, J., Mol, L., Nosrati, K., Pulley, S., Silins, U., Silva, Y.J.A.B., Stone,
M., Tiecher, T., Upadhayay, H.R., Zhang, Y., 2020. Sediment source fingerprinting:
benchmarking recent outputs, remaining challenges and emerging themes. J. Soils
Sediments. 20(12), 4160-4193.

630

Cucchiaro, S., Cazorzi, F., Marchi, L., Crema, S., Beinat, A., Cavalli, M., 2019. Multitemporal analysis of the role of check dams in a debris-flow channel: Linking structural
and functional connectivity. Geomorphology. 345, 106844.

634

Didoné, E.J., Minella, J.P.G., Merten, G.H., 2015. Quantifying soil erosion and sediment
yield in a catchment in southern Brazil and implications for land conservation. J. Soils
Sediments. 15(11), 2334-2346.

638

Estévez Alvarez, J., Montero, A., Jiménez, N., Muñiz, U., Padilla, A., Molina, R., Quicute
de Vera, S., 2001. Nuclear and related analytical methods applied to the determination of

- 641 Cr, Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb in a red ferralitic soil and Sorghum samples. J. Radioanal.
  642 Nucl. Ch. 247(3), 479-486.
- 643

Evrard, O., Poulenard, J., Némery, J., Ayrault, S., Gratiot, N., Duvert, C., Prat, C.,
Lefèvre, I., Bonté, P., Esteves, M., 2013. Tracing sediment sources in a tropical highland
catchment of central Mexico by using conventional and alternative fingerprinting
methods. Hydrol. Process. 27(6), 911-922.

- 648
- Evrard, O., Laceby, J.P., Ficetola, G.F., Gielly, L., Huon, S., Lefevre, I., Onda, Y.,
  Poulenard, J., 2019. Environmental DNA provides information on sediment sources: a
  study in catchments affected by Fukushima radioactive fallout. Science of The Total
  Environment, 665, 873-881.
- 653

Foucher, A., Evrard, O., Ficetola, G.F., Gielly, L., Poulain, J., Giguet-Covex, C., Laceby,
J.P., Salvador-Blanes, S., Cerdan, O., Poulenard, J., 2020a. Persistence of environmental
DNA in cultivated soils: implication of this memory effect for reconstructing the
dynamics of land use and cover changes. Sci Rep. 10(1), 1-12.

- Foucher, A., Evrard, O., Huon, S., Curie, F., Lefèvre, I., Vaury, V., Cerdan, O.,
  Vandromme, R., Salvador-Blanes, S., 2020b. Regional trends in eutrophication across the
  Loire river basin during the 20th century based on multi-proxy paleolimnological
  reconstructions. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 301, 107065.
- 664 Gee, G.W., Or, D., 2002. Particle size analysis. In Dane JH, Topp GC (4 ed) Methods of 665 soil analysis: Physical methods. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 255–293.
- 666

- Haddadchi A., Ryder D.S., Evrard O., Olley J., 2013. Sediment fingerprinting in fluvial
  systems: review of tracers, sediment sources and mixing models. Int J Sediment Res. 28,
  560-578
- 670
- 671 IBGE instituto brasileiro de geografia e estatística, 2015. Indicadores de desenvolvimento sustentável: Brasil., Coordenação de Recursos Naturais e Estudos
  673 Ambientais [e] Coordenação de Geografia, Rio de Janeiro. (Estudos e pesquisas.
  674 Informação geográfica. 352p.
- 675
- 676 IPA Instituto agronômico de Pernambuco, 2019. http://www.ipa.br/indice\_pluv.php
  677 (accessed 12 March 2020)
- 678
- Kitamura, A., Yamaguchi, M., Kurikami, H., Yui, M., Onishi, Y., 2014. Predicting
  sediment and cesium-137 discharge from catchments in eastern Fukushima.
  Anthropocene. 5, 22-31.
- 682
- Koiter, A.J., Lobb, D.A., Owens, P.N., Petticrew, E.L., Tiessen, K.H., Li, S., 2013.
  Investigating the role of connectivity and scale in assessing the sources of sediment in an
  agricultural watershed in the Canadian prairies using sediment source fingerprinting. J.
  Soils Sediments. 13(10), 1676-1691.
- 687
- Laceby, J.P., Huon, S., Onda, Y., Vaury, V., Evrard, O., 2016. Do forests represent a
  long-term source of contaminated particulate matter in the Fukushima Prefecture?. J.
  Environ. Manage. 183, 742-753.

691

696

Le Gall, M., Evrard, O., Foucher, A., Laceby, J.P., Salvador-Blanes, S., Thil, F.,
Dapoigny, A., Lefèvre, I., Cerdan, O., Ayrault, S., 2016. Quantifying sediment sources
in a lowland agricultural catchment pond using 137Cs activities and radiogenic 87Sr/86Sr
ratios. Sci. Total Environ. 566, 968-980.

- Le Gall, M., Evrard, O., Dapoigny, A., Tiecher, T., Zafar, M., Minella, J.P.G., Laceby,
  J.P., Ayrault, S., 2017. Tracing sediment sources in a subtropical agricultural catchment
  of Southern Brazil cultivated with conventional and conservation farming practices. Land
  Degrad. Dev. 28(4), 1426-1436.
- 701

Lepage, H., Laceby, J. P., Bonté, P., Joron, J. L., Onda, Y., Lefèvre, I., Ayrault, S., Evrard,
O., 2016. Investigating the source of radiocesium contaminated sediment in two
Fukushima coastal catchments with sediment tracing techniques. Anthropocene. 13, 5768.

- 706
- Lima Barros, A.M., do Carmo Sobral M., Gunkel, G., 2013. Modelling of point and
  diffuse pollution: application of the Moneris model in the Ipojuca river basin,
  Pernambuco State, Brazil. Wat. Sci. Tech. 68(2), 357–365.
- 710
- Lizaga, I., Bodé, S., Gaspar, L., Latorre, B., Boeckx, P., Navas, A., 2021. Legacy of
  historic land cover changes on sediment provenance tracked with isotopic tracers in a
  Mediterranean agroforestry catchment. J. Environ. Manage. 288, 112291.
- 714
- Molisani, M.M., Kjerfve, B., Silva, A.P., Lacerda, L.D., 2006. Water discharge and
  sediment load to Sepetiba Bay from an anthropogenically-altered drainage basin, SE
  Brazil. J. Hydrol. 331(3-4), 425-433.
- 718

Nascimento, R.C., da Silva, Y.J.A.B., do Nascimento, C.W.A., da Silva, Y.J.A.B., da
Silva, R.J.A.B., Collins, A.L., 2019. Thorium content in soil, water and sediment samples
and fluvial sediment-associated transport in a catchment system with a semiarid-coastal
interface, Brazil. Environ. Sci. Pollut. R. 26(32), 33532-33540.

- 723
- NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2002. Standard Reference
  Materials -SRM 2709, 2710 and 2711.
- Nesbitt, H., Young, G.M., 1982. Early Proterozoic climates and plate motions inferred
  from major element chemistry of lutites. Nature. 299(5885), 715-717.
- 729
- Phillips, J.M., Russell, M.A., Walling, D.E., 2000. Time-integrated sampling of fluvial
  suspended sediment: a simple methodology for small catchments. Hydrol. Process.
  14(14), 2589-2602.
- 733
- Phillips, D.L., Gregg, J.W., 2003. Source partitioning using stable isotopes: coping with
  too many sources. Oecologia. 136(2), 261-269.
- Pulley, S., Foster, I., Antunes, P., 2015. The uncertainties associated with sediment
  fingerprinting suspended and recently deposited fluvial sediment in the Nene river basin.
  Geomorphology. 228, 303-319.
- 740

- Pulley, S., Foster, I., Collins, A.L., 2017. The impact of catchment source group
  classification on the accuracy of sediment fingerprinting outputs. J. Environ. Manage.
  194, 16-26.
- 744

Ramon, R., Evrard, O., Laceby, J.P., Caner, L., Inda, A.V., De Barros, C.A., Minella, J.
P., Tiecher, T., 2020. Combining spectroscopy and magnetism with geochemical tracers
to improve the discrimination of sediment sources in a homogeneous subtropical
catchment. Catena. 195, 104800.

749

Regionalização de vazões nas bacias hidrográficas brasileiras: estudo da vazão de 95%
de permanência da sub-bacia 39. Bacias dos rios Capibaribe, Ipojuca, Una, Goiana,
Mundaú, Paraíba, Coruripe, Pratagi, Sirinhaém, São Miguel, Camaragibe, Abiaí,
Gramame e Manguaba. / CPRM – Serviço Geológico do Brasil; execução técnica e
autoria de Keyla Almeida dos Santos. – Recife: CPRM, 2015.

755

Silva, Y.J.A.B., Cantalice, J.R.B., Singh, V.P., do Nascimento, C.W.A., Piscoya, V. C.,
Guerra, S.M., 2015. Trace element fluxes in sediments of an environmentally impacted
river from a coastal zone of Brazil. Environ. Sci. Pollut. R. 22(19), 14755-14766.

- 759
  760 Silva, Y.J.A.B., Cantalice, J.R.B., do Nascimento, C.W.A., Singh, V.P., da Silva,
  761 Y.J.A.B., Silva, C.M.C.A.C., Silva, M.O., Guerra, S.M., 2017. Bedload as an indicator of
  762 heavy metal contamination in a Brazilian anthropized watershed. Catena. 153, 106-113.
- Silva, E.M., Medeiros, P., Araújo, J.C.D., 2018a. Applicability of fingerprinting for
  identification of sediment sources in a mesoscale semiarid catchment. Eng. Agric. 38,
  553-562.
- 767

763

Silva, Y.J.A.B., do Nascimento, C.W.A, da Silva Y.J.A.B., Amorim, F.F., Cantalice,
J.R.B., Singh, V.P., Collins, A.L., 2018b. Bed and suspended sediment-associated rare
earth element concentrations and fluxes in a polluted Brazilian river system. Environ. Sci.
Pollut. R. 25(34), 34426–34437.

772

Silva, Y.J.A.B., Nascimento, C.W.A., Biondi, C.M., Van Straaten, P., Silva, Y.J.A.B.,
Souza Júnior, V.S., Araújo, J.C.T., Alcantara, V.C., Silva, F.L., Silva, R.J.A.B., 2020.
Concentrations of major and trace elements in soil profiles developed over granites across
a climosequence in northeastern Brazil. Catena. 193, 104641.

- 777 778
- Smith, H.G., Karam, D.S., Lennard, A.T., 2018. Evaluating tracer selection for catchment
  sediment fingerprinting. J. Soils Sediments. 18(9), 3005-3019.
- 781

- Stock, B.C., Semmens, B.X., 2016. MixSIAR, G.U.I. User Manual. Version 3, 1.
- Strauch, M., Lima, J.E., Volk, M., Lorz, C., Makeschin, F., 2013. The impact of Best
  Management Practices on simulated streamflow and sediment load in a Central Brazilian
  catchment. J. Environ. Manage. 127, S24-S36.
- 787

<sup>Tiecher, T., Minella, J.P.G., Evrard, O., Caner, L., Merten, G.H., Capoane, V., Didoné,
E. J.; Dos Santos, D.R., 2018. Fingerprinting sediment sources in a large agricultural</sup> 

- catchment under no-tillage in Southern Brazil (Conceição River). Land Degrad. Dev.
  29(4), 939-951.
- 792

Tiecher, T., Ramon, R., Laceby, J.P., Evrard, O., Minella, J.P.G., 2019. Potential of
phosphorus fractions to trace sediment sources in a rural catchment of Southern Brazil:
comparison with the conventional approach based on elemental geochemistry. Geoderma.
337, 1067-1076.

797

Upadhayay, H.R., Bodé, S., Griepentrog, M., Huygens, D., Bajracharya, R.M., Blake,
W.H., Boeckx, P., 2017. Methodological perspectives on the application of compoundspecific stable isotope fingerprinting for sediment source apportionment. J. Soils
Sediments. 17(6), 1537-1553.

802

Vale, S.S., Fuller, I.C., Procter, J.N., Basher, L.R., Dymond, J.R., 2020. Storm event
sediment fingerprinting for temporal and spatial sediment source tracing. Hydrol.
Process. 34(15), 3370-3386.

806

Walling, D.E., Owens, P.N., Leeks, G.J., 1999. Fingerprinting suspended sediment
sources in the catchment of the River Ouse, Yorkshire, UK. Hydrol. Process. 13(7), 955975.