

## SOL modelling of the JT-60SA tokamak initial operational scenario using SOLEDGE-EIRENE code

K Galązka, L Balbinot, G L Falchetto, N Rivals, P Tamain, Y Marandet, H

Bufferand, P Innocente, G Ciraolo

#### ▶ To cite this version:

K Galązka, L Balbinot, G L Falchetto, N Rivals, P Tamain, et al.. SOL modelling of the JT-60SA tokamak initial operational scenario using SOLEDGE-EIRENE code. 48th EPS conference on plasma physics, Jun 2022, videoconference, Netherlands. cea-03766515

#### HAL Id: cea-03766515 https://cea.hal.science/cea-03766515v1

Submitted on 1 Sep 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





# SOL modelling of the JT-60SA tokamak initial operational scenario using SOLEDGE-EIRENE code

K. Gałązka<sup>1, 2</sup>, L. Balbinot<sup>3</sup>, G. L. Falchetto<sup>1</sup>, N. Rivals<sup>1</sup>, P. Tamain<sup>1</sup>, Y. Marandet<sup>4</sup>, H. Bufferand<sup>1</sup>, P. Innocente<sup>3</sup> and G. Ciraolo<sup>1</sup>

1 CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint-Paul-Lez-Durance, France 2 IFPiLM, ul. Hery 23, 01-497 Warszawa, Poland 3 Consorzio RFX, Corso Stati Uniti 4, 35127 Padova, Italy 4 Aix-Marseille Univ., CNRS, PIIM, Marseille, France

#### Introduction&Background

The main aim of this work is to assess the realistic heat

## **Simulations setup**





### **OMP** profiles

Transport coefficients@OMP [4]

loads on the first wall of the JT-60SA tokamak. To achieve this scope, for the first time the entire chamber and the subdivertor region is modeled by the fluid transport code SOLEDGE3X-EIRENE [1]. Whereas the full power scenario #2 modeling was reported elsewhere [2] here we focus on scenario #2 initial phase with carbon divertor and limited heating power P<sub>aux</sub>, which is the main scanned parameter. Standard computational mesh with pumps situated next to the strike points (STD) is compared with full chamber + subdivertor mesh (SUBD).

Scenario #2 main parameters [3]:  $< n_e > = 5.6 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$  $P_{aux}^{max} = 19/26.5/33 \text{ MW}$  $n_e^{sep} < 2.5 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ 

#### **References**:

[1] H. Bufferand et al 2021 Nucl. Fusion 61 116052 (2021) [2] N. Hayashi, et al., Proc. 26th IAEA Fusion Energy Conf., (2016) [3] JT-60SA Research Plan, Ver. 4, 2018/09 [4] L. Balbinot et al., in preparation

Particle source @ core:  $S_i = 1 \times 10^{21}$  part./s Deuterium fuelling:  $\Gamma_D = 1 \times 10^{21}$  part./s C sputtering - Bohdansky formula EIRENE with simplified Kotov model (no elastic collisions on neutrals) No drifts







15

20

22.5

27.5

30

17.5

## **Power scan - D+C plasma**

 $R_{\rm C} = 0.1$ 

Pump albedo:

 $R_{\rm D} = 0.95$ 

 $R_{\rm C} = 0.1$ 









Neutrals pressure 2D map





scneario #2 of JT-60SA in the range of [15, 30] MW

 $n_e$  in the core, 5.6×10<sup>19</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>, already for P<sub>aux</sub>=20 MW

 $1.6 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3}$  for P<sub>aux</sub>=15 MW to n<sub>C</sub>=4.0×10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3} for P<sub>aux</sub>=30 MW

Conclusions



T<sub>e</sub><sup>ISP</sup>= 1.2-2.7 eV

- a power scan was performed for the JT-60SA initial research phase fully inductive

- in D+C cases the nesep rise is less pronounced, a rise in  $n_{\rm C}$  is observed from

- increase in P<sub>aux</sub> leads to increase of n<sub>e</sub><sup>sep</sup>, which for pure-D cases exceeds the nominal

- dominant C radiation losses take place in the divertor, the role of the main chamber

- already for the lowest  $P_{aux}$ =15 MW cases the peak heat load exceeds the 10 MW/m<sup>2</sup>

limit; as in previous research [4], additional power dissipation mechanism is required

- the presence of subdivertor causes local differences in neutral density, but has only

minor effect on the final result: 1-1.5 MW lower of P<sub>RAD</sub><sup>C</sup>[TOTAL] for P<sub>aux</sub>>22.5 MW

SOL and CORE volume is limited, D-related losses are ~ 0.7-1.0 MW for all cases



Neutrals pressure 2D map





This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.