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GYSELA-X = GYrokinetic SEmi LAgrangian code for plasma turbulence simulation

**GYSELA-X strength:**
- **Global**: simulate entire tokamak → boundary conditions (**SOL-like, limiter**)
- **Full-f**: multi-scale physics
- **Flux-Driven** (heat, momentum, ... sources)
- Multi-ion species → impurity transport
- Multi-species collision operator → synergy neoclassical & turbulent transports
- **3 electron modes**: adiabatic (AE), **full kinetic** (FKE) or **trapped kinetic electrons** (TKE)
- Electromagnetic effects (under validation)

**GYSELA-X current physics interests:**
- Core-edge turbulence → Evidences of core-edge interplay
- Ripple effects → Edge flows: impact of non axi-symmetry quantified
- Impurity transport
- Impact of magnetic configuration on turbulence

[Grandgirard et al., CPC 2016]
[Y. Sarazin, PPCF 2021; G. Dif-Pradalier, Comm. Phys. 2022]
[R. Varennes, PRL 2022]
[G. Lo-Cascio, submitted to NF]
GYSELA-X code currently uses Petascale facilities and requires Exascale.

- GYSELA-X is based on a **backward semi-lagrangian** scheme
- 25 years-old Fortran code with some C routines
  - Use Blas/Lapack + HDF5 for I/O
- Hybrid MPI/OpenMP parallelism
- GITLAB + JENKINS non-regression tests
- Spack installation available
- SLACK: [https://gysela.slack.com](https://gysela.slack.com)

**Intensive use of petascale resources**

- > 100 millions of hours / year
  - (GENCI + PRACE + HPC Fusion resources)
- Optimised for up to 500,000 cores

**Exascale needs** for ITER plasma turbulence simulation with electromagnetic effects

1 simulation:
- **100 billion points** (5D mesh: 3D space + 2D velocity)
- > **8 million hours** (20 days / 18 432 cores),
- **10 PBytes of data** (3 Tbytes saved)

[https://gyselax.github.io](https://gyselax.github.io)
How to prepare GYSELA-X to HPC exascale architectures?

Target architectures: 3 in the top 20
- ARM-A64FX porting
- AMD CPU: Scaling up to > 500k cores
- GPU porting in progress on AMD-GPU

GYSELA-X strategy: a unique CPU/GPU code

First steps in the rewriting of the code for exascale
GYSELA-X – 5D Boltzmann equations

**Geometry**

- Mesh (equidistant in $(r,\theta,\phi)$)
- Magnetic configuration (circular cross-section or D-shape)

**Vlasov**

- 5D Vlasov solver for $D + W$
  (semi-lagrangian scheme)

$$B_\parallel^* \frac{\partial \tilde{F}_s}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left( d_{x_G} B_\parallel^* \tilde{F}_s \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{G\parallel}} \left( \frac{dv_{G\parallel}}{dt} B_\parallel^* \tilde{F}_s \right) = C(\tilde{F}_s) + S + K_{\text{buff}}(\tilde{F}_s) + D_{\text{buff}}(\tilde{F}_s)$$

with the equations of motion:

$$B_\parallel^* d_t x_G = v_{G\parallel} B^* + \frac{1}{e} b \times \nabla \Lambda$$

$$B_\parallel^* m_s d_t v_{G\parallel} = -B^* \cdot \nabla \Lambda$$

where $B^* = B + \left( m_s v_{G\parallel} / e \right) \nabla \times b$ and $\Lambda = eJ_0 \phi + \mu B$.

**Poisson**

- 3D Poisson solver
  (Finite Differences in $r$ + Fourier in $(\theta,\phi)$)

$$\frac{e}{T_{e,q}} \left( \phi - \langle \phi \rangle \right) - \frac{1}{n_{e0}} \sum_s Z_s \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\rho_{s,q}}{B \Omega_s} \nabla \phi \right) = \frac{1}{n_{e0}} \sum_s Z_s \int J_0 \cdot \left( \tilde{\phi}_s - \tilde{\phi}_{s,eq} \right) d^3v$$

- Guiding centers
  - $J_0$=gyroaverage
- Particles
GYSELA – MPI parallelization
→ MPI communicator in $\mu$ + domain decomposition in ($r,\theta$) + MPI communicator in species

Species 1: main ion

→ 3 transpositions per iterations:

- large communication amount 😐:

$$\Theta\left(\begin{pmatrix} N_r & N_\theta \\ N_{r\parallel} & N_{\mu} \end{pmatrix}\right)$$
GYSELA-X code optimisation ➔ Improvement of the vectorisation

- Profiling of the code performed with several tools
  ➔ Sept 2021: Very low vectorisation could explain poor performance results on FUGAKU

- Strategy: Improvement of vectorisation would benefit to all architectures
  ➔ May 2022: All kernel vectorisation improved
    - Intel compiler uses preferentially AVX2 (able to activate turbo mode) while AVX512 reduces CPU frequency

- As a result, hyperthreading which was the standard mode for GYSELA-X when available has no more benefit

Work done in collaboration with FAU university (G. Hager, M. Wittmann, T. Klöffel) in EoCoE-II EU project and GENCI support with ATOS (A. Morvan, S. Jaure)

Tests performed on MARCONI-SKL partition

Kevin Obrejan, May 2022
Strong effort of optimisation performed on all the kernels of GYSELA-X → gain of a factor > 70% from 03-2021 to may 2022

**Numerical improvements in 2021-2022**

- Complete refactoring of collision operator
- More complex gyroaverage operator (to tackle D-shape magnetic configuration)
- More complex QN solver for trapped kinetic electrons with limiter
- Source terms simplification

**Performance optimisation at node level:**

- Vectorisation
- Blocking → Cache optimisation
- Asynchronous MPI communications

**Performance gains in GYSELA (Marconi, 384 MPI x 24 OMP)**

- CPU gain around 70% percent

---

*Kevin Obrejan, May 2022*
Porting efficiently GYSELA-X on Fujitsu A64FX is not straightforward

- Porting issues on Fujitsu A64FX:
  - Pb for GYSELA-X with FUJITSU compiler optimization: vectorisation + inlining not taken into account

- Almost all improvements performed on SKL have had a beneficial impact on A64FX (FUGAKU computer)

- CEA/Riken collaboration
  (H. Murai, M. Sato, M. Tsuji)

- GENCI support with ATOS (A. Morvan, S. Jaure) and Arm (F. Dupros, C. Hillairet)

QN solver more complex than in 2021 but degradation not observed on SKL is still not understood
Current results on FUGAKU supercomputer (A64FX) ➔ Still 3 times slower than IRENE (Skylake CPU)

- Except for QN solver, results better compared to 2021 but still a factor ~3 slower on FUGAKU vs IRENE SKL
- Still lot of work to obtain good performance on Fujitsu A64FX ➔ difficult without developing specific version of the kernels
- Preliminary results show better performance on AWS Graviton3 (Arm Neoverse V1 cores)
**GYSELA-X: weak scaling up to 729 088 cores**

- **CEA-HF**: BullSequana XH2000, **AMD EPYC 7763** 64C 2.45GHz, Atos BXI V2, **810 240 cores** (= 6330 nodes)

![Weak scaling of GYSELA on CEA-HF](image)

- **Ongoing “Grand Challenge” simulation**:  
  - 5D mesh grid: \( \sim 2.75 \times 10^{11} \) points  
  - 2048 nodes \( \Rightarrow \) **262 144 CPU cores**  
  - 60 k iterations \( \Rightarrow \) **40 millions CPU hours**  
  - **30 TB of stored data** (\( \sim 110 000 \) files)

- **Relative efficiency of 85% on more than 500k cores** and 63% on 729 088 cores

- **Main bottlenecks**: QN solver (MPI communication) + I/O

---

**Opportunity to run GYSELA-X on the new supercomputer CEA-HF at TERA center (France) during « Grand Challenge » campaign (L. Nguyen)**

**Laurent Nguyen, June 2022**
In GYSELA-X, all diagnostics are saved in HDF5

- **Huge amount of data that can be stored**
  - 1 distribution function $f_{species} \sim 1$TBytes
  - Not possible to store the time evolution of $f_{species}$
  - **diagnostics** = 5D reduction to 3D to 0D data: 2D cross-section, fluid moments, Fourier projection, ...

- **restart files** = 1 distribution function per species required
  - **One file saved per MPI process**
  - **Advantage**: Avoid MPI communications
  - **Drawback**: Pb of large number of inodes for large number of MPI process
    - file systems do not support an efficient simultaneous writing of more than 1000 files
    - I/O = strong bottleneck for exascale simulations

#### 1 simulation:
- **100 billion points** (5D mesh: 3D space + 2D velocity)
- > 8 million hours (20 days / 18 432 cores),
- **10 PBytes of data** (3 Tbytes saved)

**GYSELA-X is coupled to PDI Data Interface for handling I/O**
PDI is a simple C/C++ API (also available for Fortran and Python) that offers to exchange data between the application code and various external data handlers: file-system for I/O or another code for code-coupling.

PDI is now fully implemented in GYSELA-X (i.e., all diagnostics and restart files).

Only need to modify a YAML configuration file to switch for sequential HDF5 to parallel HDF5.

Weak scaling (Irene SKL): 2GBytes per MPI process

- No PDI and PDI 1FPP = 1 file per MPI process
- PDI parallel = 1 file per mu value
- Large error bar due to strong dependence to the use of the file system by the others
- As expected HDF5 parallel slower than sequential
- Must take care of data contiguity
Objective: Prepare GYSELA-X for running on ADAstra GPU MI250X AMD partition (CINES-France)

- OpenMP while ensuring source code readability

(Unique CPU/GPU code) + CPU performance preservation

Porting strategy:

- Exploit 2 levels of parallelism Teams/Threads to map algorithm to GPU architecture:
  - OpenMP teams mapped to Compute Unit (CU)
  - OpenMP team threads mapped to Scalar Unit / Part of Vector (SIMD) Unit

- Exploit parallelism by using loop blocking:
  - Distribute work between teams and threads with a new parameter defining block size

Common routine features:
- Multiple nested calls for each routine
- BLAS/LAPACK calls on small matrices deep in the call-stack
- Multiple levels of loops (5D code)
Porting GYSELA on AMD GPUs: ongoing work

- **Use of HPE Cray Compiling Environment** on CPU AMD EPYC 32 + **GPU AMD** MI100 with CRAY CCE/13.0.1
- Main kernels for Vlasov equation ported on GPU (advections with 1D and 2D splines, collisions, source)
- 2 levels of parallelism teams/threads implemented, wait for CCE/14.0 for efficient parallelism at threads level

**Preliminary results:** 1MPI, mesh (256x256x32x32x1). Use of 480 teams and 256 threads per team

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kernels</th>
<th>Time CPU - 24 OMP threads (sec)</th>
<th>Time GPU - (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>splines2D</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>splines1D_vper</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>splines1D_phi</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Work in progress**

- Optimize CPU/GPU data transfer → encouraging results with 2D splines
  - Use of runtime CRAY_ACC_DEBUG variable environment and rocprof
- Improve collisions + source (more complicate because lots of routine calls)
  - Use of roctx to focus on specific kernels

---

**J. Dechard, M. Peybernes, June 2022**
How to prepare GYSELA-X to HPC exascale architectures?

- Target architectures: 3 in the top 20
  - ARM-A64FX porting
  - AMD CPU: Scaling up to > 500k cores
  - GPU porting in progress on AMD-GPU

GYSELA-X strategy: a unique CPU/GPU code

First steps in the rewriting of the code for exascale
Why do we choose to rewrite the code? 

- 25 years-old code written in Fortran with hybrid MPI/OpenMP parallelism
- Possible to keep a unique code for CPU (AMD milan or ARM-A64FX) and GPU with OpenMP directives but extremely difficult to obtain good performance on such disparate architectures.
- Non-equidistant mesh would be more adapted to core-edge turbulence → Modifying splines in GYSELA-X = rewrite most of the kernels
- Lots of global variables in GYSELA-X → difficult to define interface to couple C++ kernels

Simpler to rewrite main kernels in modern C++ from scratch and to couple Fortran modules for physics operators (collisions, gyroaverage, sources, ...)

New GYSELA-X code → Project structure is almost defined

GYSELA-X

Voice++

Gyselalibxx

\textit{physics/maths related operators}

DDC

A Discrete Domain Computation library

\textit{metadata : discretizations, distributions...}

https://github.com/Maison-de-la-Simulation/ddc

Kokkos

parallel loops

mdspan

arrays

PDI

I/O

See T. Padioleau presentation MS1G at Monday 13:30 for more details

T. Padioleau (CEA/MDIS), J. Bigot (CEA/MDIS), E. Bourne (CEA/IRFM)
New GYSELA-X code → Where are we? :
1. Backward semi-Lagrangian validated for non-equidistant mesh

GYSELA-X
Voice++

Gyselalibxx
physics/maths related operators
https://github.com/gyselax/gyselalibxx

DDC
A Discrete Domain Computation library
metadata: discretizations, distributions...
https://github.com/Maison-de-la-Simulation/ddc

Kokkos
parallel loops
mdspan
arrays
...
PDI
I/O

= 2D (x-v) Vlasov + 1 D poisson

► Successful BSL on non-equidistant mesh to tackle large temperature gradient

[E. Bourne, in preparation 2022]

► Kinetic sheath physics is recovered

[Y. Munsch, in preparation 2022]
New GYSELA-X code ➔ Where are we?:
2. Finding a good parallelization (MPI+X) strategy

GYSELA-X

Voice++

Gyselalibxx

*physics/maths related operators*

DDC

A Discrete Domain Computation library

*metadata: discretizations, distributions...*

[https://github.com/Maison-de-la-Simulation/ddc](https://github.com/Maison-de-la-Simulation/ddc)

Kokkos

**parallel loops**

mdspan

**arrays**

PDI

**I/O**

A 4D vlasov + 2 Poisson mi-app
developed by Y. Asahi to **test a good parallelization (MPI+X) strategy**
- X can be: OpenACC/OpenMP/Kokkos/stdpar

T. Padioleau (CEA/MDIS), J. Bigot (CEA/MDIS), E. Bourne (CEA/IRFM)
GYSELA mini-app in MPI + X: ready to go with C++ parallel algorithm (stdpar)
stdpar version shows quite competitive performance with others

**4D vlasov + 2 Poisson mi-app**

\[ \mathbf{v} x \]

\[ \mathbf{x} \]

- stdpar version is quite **competitive** on Nvidia GPUs if **D2D communication enforced** (available with nvc++22.5)
- **mdspan** improves the **readability** and **productivity** with some performance overheads
- **Tiling** with Kokkos is critical for CPUs, which is non-trivial for stdpar

**Problem size: 128^4, #Iterations: 40, 2MPI (1 node)**

- Icelake: Intel Xeon Platinum 8360 x 2 (icpc 2021. 2, nvc++ 22.5)
- V100: NVIDIA V100 x 2 (nvc++ 22.3)
- A100: NVIDIA A100 x 2 (nvc++ 22.5)
- MI100: AMD MI100 x 2 (rocm 5.0.2)

**MPI + stdpar + mdspan** is a candidate to keep in mind for GYSELA-X

**[NOTE]** stdpar is currently available only on NVIDIA GPUs

[Y. Asahi et al, to be submitted to P3HPC 2022]
Conclusion

- GYSELA-X uses efficiently Petascale resources (Intel-SKL, AMD-rome):
  - Relative efficiency of 85% on more than 500,000 cores and 63% on 729,088 cores
    (test performed on 90% of the CEA-HF – AMD EPYC 7763 nodes)
  - More than 100 millions of CPU/hours per year (GENCI, PRACE, Marconi-Fusion partition)

- Strong efforts of optimisation (vectorization, blocking) to port GYSELA-X both on ARM-A64FX and GPU architectures
  - Leads to a gain of 70% on Intel Skylake or AMD-rome CPU
  - Not sufficient to obtain good performance on FUGAKU computer (need dedicated rewriting of kernels)
  - Porting on GPU started 9 months ago and is still in progress

- GYSELA-X rewriting started in modern C++ based on DDC