

Initial results from boron powder injection experiments in WEST lower single null L-mode plasmas

G. Bodner, A. Gallo, A. Diallo, R. Lunsford, P. Moreau, A. Nagy, F-P.

Pellissier, C. Guillemaut, J.P. Gunn, C. Bourdelle, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

G. Bodner, A. Gallo, A. Diallo, R. Lunsford, P. Moreau, et al.. Initial results from boron powder injection experiments in WEST lower single null L-mode plasmas. Nuclear Fusion, 2022, 62, pp.086020. 10.1088/1741-4326/ac70ea . cea-03695766

HAL Id: cea-03695766 https://cea.hal.science/cea-03695766v1

Submitted on 15 Jun2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Initial results from boron powder injection experiments in WEST lower single null L-mode plasmas

To cite this article before publication: Grant M Bodner et al 2022 Nucl. Fusion in press https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-4326/ac70ea

Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is "the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an 'Accepted Manuscript' watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors"

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2022 IAEA, Vienna.

During the embargo period (the 12 month period from the publication of the Version of Record of this article), the Accepted Manuscript is fully protected by copyright and cannot be reused or reposted elsewhere.

As the Version of Record of this article is going to be / has been published on a subscription basis, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under a CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 licence after the 12 month embargo period.

After the embargo period, everyone is permitted to use copy and redistribute this article for non-commercial purposes only, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the licence <u>https://creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc-nd/3.0</u>

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions will likely be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected, unless specifically stated otherwise in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Initial results from boron powder injection experiments in WEST lower single null L-mode plasmas

<u>G. Bodner¹, A. Gallo², A. Diallo¹, R. Lunsford¹, P. Moreau², A. Nagy¹, F-P. Pellissier², C. Guillemaut², J.P. Gunn², C. Bourdelle², C. Desgranges², P. Manas², A. Bortolon¹, C.C. Klepper³, E. Tsitrone², E.A. Unterberg³, L. Vermare⁴, and the WEST Team⁵</u>

¹Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ, USA ²CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint-Paul-Lez-Durance, France ³Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA ⁴École Polytechnique – LPP, Paris, France

⁵http://west.cea.fr/WESTteam

Using a recently installed impurity powder dropper (IPD), boron powder (< 150 μ m) was injected into lower single null (LSN) L-mode discharges in WEST. IPDs possibly enable real-time wall conditioning of the plasma-facing components and may help to facilitate H-mode access in the full-tungsten environment of WEST. The discharges in this experiment featured $I_p = 0.5$ MA, $B_T = 3.7$ T, $q_{95} = 4.3$, $t_{pulse} = 12-30$ s, $n_{e,0} \sim 4 \times 10^{19}$ m⁻², and $P_{LHCD} \sim 4.5$ MW. Estimates of the deuterium and impurity particle fluxes, derived from a combination of visible spectroscopy measurements and their corresponding S/XB coefficients, showed decreases of ~50% in O⁺, N⁺, and C⁺ populations during powder injection and a moderate reduction of these low-Z impurities (~50%) and W (~10%) in the discharges that followed powder injection. Along with the improved wall conditions, WEST discharges with B powder injection observed improved confinement, as the stored energy W_{MHD} , neutron rate, and electron temperature T_e increased significantly (10–25% for W_{MHD} and 60–200% for the neutron rate) at constant input power. These increases in confinement scale up with the powder drop rate and are likely due to the suppression of ion temperature gradient (ITG) turbulence from changes in Z_{eff} and/or modifications to the electron density profile.

1. Introduction

Proper conditioning of the vacuum vessel walls is essential for the reliable operation of magnetic fusion devices [1]. In tokamaks and stellarators, the walls can serve as an unpredictable fueling source due to the outgassing of previously trapped hydrogenic particles and as a potential impurity source due to physical and/or chemical sputtering. Tungsten (W) is the chosen first-wall material for the ITER divertor and is a prime candidate for the first wall material in a fusion pilon plant due to its low tritium (T) retention, high melting point, and high thermal conductivity. Unlike low-Z elements, which are easily stripped of their electrons by the high temperature plasma, W continues to radiate as it penetrates deeper into the core hence reducing the plasma temperature. The sputtering of high-Z particles into the plasma core has been shown to decrease energy confinement in several tokamaks with high-Z plasma-facing components (PFCs) [2-4].

To investigate the impact of a high-Z first wall environment on high performance long-pulse discharges, Tore Supra has been converted into WEST (W Environment in Steady-state Tokamak). This upgrade consisted primarily of changing the plasma configuration from limited to diverted and changing the first-wall material from carbon (C) to tungsten [5,6]. For the most recent C5 campaign, several actively-cooled ITER-like plasma facing units or PFUs (modelled after the ITER divertor vertical target) have been installed in the lower divertor of WEST to assess the viability of the monoblock divertor design [7] against ITER relevant heat fluxes (up to 20 MW/m²). Starting in 2022, WEST will feature a lower divertor composed of entirely actively-cooled ITER-like PFUs.

The transition from a carbon environment to a metallic environment has severe consequences for plasma performance; namely an increase in impurity radiation due to the influx of high-Z particles. To combat this, regular conditioning of the high-Z vacuum vessel walls is needed. One of the most common and effective methods of wall conditioning is glow discharge boronization (GDB), where thin layers of boron (B) are deposited onto the vacuum vessel walls to suppress wall fueling and impurity sputtering [8]. While boronization may not be desirable for a fusion pilot plant due to the T retention of the B films, it remains a valuable tool to expand the operational windows of present day tokamaks and stellarators. Boronization has been especially important to achieve high density regimes in WEST [9] and to suppress the sputtering of high-Z particles in other metal-walled tokamaks [10,11].

Unfortunately, the standard procedure for GDB is not conducive to a superconducting fusion experiment like WEST or a steady-state pilot plant. Most GDB systems utilize toxic diborane gas (B_2H_6 or B_2D_6) and all require the de-energization of the magnetic field coils. These issues represent both a significant safety concern and a large time cost. In the case of superconducting experiments, this may be prohibitive as the coils only have a finite number of charge cycles. Therefore, a wall conditioning method that can be run in the presence of a strong magnetic field is highly desired. To address these concerns, the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory has developed the impurity powder dropper (IPD) [12].

IPDs are compact devices that can drop a multitude of low-Z powders (Li, B, C, B₄C, and BN) at reproducible drop rates into high temperature plasmas. The low-Z powder is ablated by the plasma and then deposited onto the PFCs through plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition. In addition to wall conditioning, IPDs can be used for impurity transport experiments and as a localized edge radiation mechanism, similar to gaseous impurity injection [13]. An in-depth technical overview of the IPD is given in [12]. Typical IPD operation drops powder at continuous rates of 5-100 mg/s or periodic \sim 10 mg/s bursts into auxiliary heated discharges.

In the case of boronization, B, BN, and even B_4C powder are used. Boronization through powder injection has the benefit of using essentially pure boron powders as opposed to standard boronated gases (B_2H_6 , B_2D_6 , and $B_{10}H_{14}$), which introduce non-trivial quantities of hydrogenic species into the vessel. The major disadvantage of powder injection is that the deposition of the B atoms is subject to the magnetic field lines and plasma flows. Therefore, the deposition rate and coating homogeneity through the vessel are likely different and less extensive than that of standard GDB.

In Jan. 2021, an IPD was installed on WEST to aid in the conditioning of the full-W environment and test the viability of the IPD at reactor-relevant time scales. While IPDs have previously been installed and operated on other metal-walled tokamaks such as AUG [14,15] and EAST [16,17], the long pulse capabilities and full-W environment make WEST an excellent test bed to extend the evaluation of the IPD as a real-time wall conditioning technique. The present results can additionally be compared with powder injection experiments in carbon-walled machines, namely DIII-D [18], KSTAR [19], W7-X [20], and LHD [21,22].

This paper presents the first results of B powder injection in WEST L-Mode plasmas. Section 2 provides an overview of the first IPD experiments on WEST and shows the impact of B powder injection on key global plasma parameters. Section 3 presents evidence of improved wall conditioning both during and after B powder injection. Section 4 details observations of improved confinement during B powder injection discharges and its consequences for future IPD operation. Lastly, Section 5 concludes this paper with a summary of the results.

2. IPD Experiments on WEST

To prepare for the dedicated IPD experimental runs in 2022, an initial commissioning campaign was carried out in early 2021. Using the IPD, B powder (spherical shape with diameter < 150 µm) was successfully dropped into 10 lower-single null (LSN) L-mode deuterium plasmas in WEST. These discharges featured plasma current $I_p = 0.5$ MA, toroidal field $B_T = 3.7$ T, lower hybrid current drive (LHCD) power $P_{LHCD} \sim 4.5$ MW, edge safety factor $q_{95} = 4.3$, and volume-averaged electron density $n_e \sim 3.5 \times 10^{19}$ m⁻³ (Greenwald density fraction $n_g = 0.45$). An image during the B powder drop from a visible camera is shown in figure 1(a) and a representative equilibrium with the IPD location is shown in figure 1(b). The B powder was clearly ablated by the plasma and then transported both toroidally around the top of the machine and to the high-field-side edge. This toroidal ablation plume has been observed in previous B powder injection experiments in LSN discharges on AUG [14].



Figure 1. (a) Visible camera image of B powder being dropped into and ablated by a WEST discharge. (b) Representative equilibrium from the WEST IPD experimental campaign and IPD location.

Over the course of several shots, the powder drop rate was varied to determine the optimal rate where the largest amount of powder could be dropped without invoking a disruption. This was found to be between 9 mg/s and 17 mg/s. Higher drop rates resulted in abrupt disruptions, most likely due to rapid increases in the electron density and radiated power. IPD experiments on other tokamaks and stellarators have sustained drop rates of > 17 mg/s, however these experiments typically utilized increased auxiliary power and/or different magnetic configurations [14,16,19,21]. The number of B atoms injected per shot varied from 2.7×10^{20} to 4.0×10^{21} atoms depending upon the drop rate and drop duration. Cumulatively, 310 mg of B or 1.74×10^{22} B atoms were dropped over the 10 discharges.

Figure 2 shows the effect of B powder injection on several key plasma parameters. WEST discharges proved to be robust to powder injection as there were only minimal changes to the plasma current (figure 2(a)) and the LHCD power (figure 2(b)) during the entire B drop. The shot with B powder disrupts ~ 1 s early due to an intervention from the infrared (IR) protection system unrelated to the B drop.

A small increase in the volume-averaged electron density (figure 2(c)) was observed when the powder was introduced to the plasma, however after 1 s, the density returned to the baseline level and ended up slightly lower than the discharge without powder injection. This reduction in density occurred concurrently with a programmed increase in the D₂ flow rate (figure 2(d)), which was added to suppress IR interventions in the early phase of the discharge. Similar decreases in the electron density have been observed in B powder injection experiments on DIII-D [18].

There was a noticeable increase in the total radiated power, around 20% for a drop rate of 9.2 mg/s, that was sustained for the entire B drop. This increase was concentrated to the upper plasma edge, as central viewing chords did not observe any large increases in P_{rad} during the boron drop. The B-II (419.5 nm) line intensity at the lower outer divertor is shown in figure 2(e) to clearly demonstrate the duration of the B drop. The non-zero B-II signal in shot 56915 was due to the sputtering of intrinsic B from BN tiles installed on the centerstack and the outer vessel walls for plasma startup. Previous GDB was unlikely to have impacted the B-II measurements given the number of discharges (> 300) between the last boronization and the IPD experiments. Overall, at modest drop rates, B powder injection was shown to be relatively non-perturbative to WEST L-mode discharges.



Figure 2. (a) I_p , (b) P_{LHCD} , (c) $\langle n_e \rangle$, (d) D_2 fueling rate, (e) $P_{rad,tot}$, and (f) B-II line intensity at the lower outer divertor for a WEST discharge with (56919) and without (56915) B powder injection.

Due to diagnostic constraints, surface analysis of the PFCs could not be performed during or after the IPD experiments. Therefore, the number of B atoms deposited/eroded and the thickness of the deposited layers is still unknown. Surface analysis of probes inserted during B powder injection in DIII-D H-modes have estimated deposition rates to be ~ 1 nm/s with coating efficiencies of 0.1 nm/mg of injected B [14]. The elemental composition of these surface layers was found to be 1:1 for B:C and 2.3 for B/O. Similar analyses will be done for WEST discharges in the upcoming C6 campaign. An insertable collector probe [23] will be used to measure the deposition of the injected B-10 atoms (used to differentiate from the intrinsic B-11). In the absence of these results, spectroscopy and bolometry were used to obtain a general picture of the impact of boron powder injection on the full-W environment of WEST.

3. Real-Time Wall Conditioning

3.1 Wall Conditioning During Powder Injection

Conditioning of the WEST PFCs was largely evaluated using an extensive visible spectroscopy (VS) system with views of several key PFCs [24]. This section will focus on VS measurements at the lower outer divertor, due to the LSN configuration, and at the ICRH antenna limiter, which was radially positioned 5 mm in front of the LHCD antennas and acted as the outboard limiting surface. The ICRH antenna, a potential source for gross W erosion [25], was placed here for comparison with powder-free W sourcing experiments that positioned the antenna in the same location [26]. No ICRH power was used during the powder injection experiments to keep consistency with the W sourcing experiments and to better isolate the effects of powder injection, as ICRH is known to significantly increase impurity sputtering in WEST [27].

 The authors note that line radiation is dependent on the local T_e and n_e , and when possible, the species' particle flux should be used to make statements about wall conditioning. Due to diagnostic constraints, SOL T_e and n_e measurements were only available in the lower outer divertor region. Therefore, to compare the impact of powder injection at both the lower outer divertor and ICRH antenna limiter, only the calibrated visible line intensities were used. An estimation of the deuterium and impurity particle fluxes at the lower outer divertor is given in Section 3.3.

The impact of B powder injection on the B-II (419.5 nm), W-I (400.9 nm), D_{γ} (434.1 nm), and O-II (441.5 nm) line intensities at the lower outer divertor and at the ICRH antenna limiter are shown in figure 3. The time traces correspond to the line-of-sight with the peak signal intensities from the array. These intensity traces were taken from Shot 56919 (shown in figure 2) which had a B drop duration of 7 s with a drop rate of 9.2 mg/s. The B powder drop begins around 6.7 s, however all line intensities increased at 5 s due to the initiation of the LHCD heating/current drive system.



Figure 3. B-II (419.5 nm), W-I (400.9 nm), D_{γ} (434.1 nm), and O-II (441.5 nm) line intensities at (a) the lower outer divertor and (b) the ICRH antenna limiter. Viewing area shown in cartoon not to scale.

A rapid increase in the B-II signal was observed around 6.7 s at both viewing locations as soon as the powder was ablated by the plasma. This increase was coincident with decreases to the D_{γ} and O-II line intensities, suggesting a reduction in the deuterium recycling and/or oxygen sputtering. Similar trends were observed for N-II (399.5 nm) and C-II (426.7 nm), but these traces were not shown in figure 3 for clarity. The decrease in D_{γ} , C, O, and N line intensities are consistent with real-time wall conditioning of the W PFCs, even at the lower outer divertor which is located substantially downstream from the B drop location.

During powder injection, the W-I line intensity increased modestly. This increase occurred despite the fact that the oxygen, a known sputterer of tungsten, line intensity decreased. At both the lower outer divertor and the ICRH antenna limiter, the W-I signal followed the B-II signal, suggesting that B was the dominant W sputtering mechanism during powder injection. These results are consistent with observations from BN powder injection experiments in the full-W AUG tokamak [14].

A general idea of the radial penetration of W and other impurities can be deduced from vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) spectroscopy. Ions inside the separatrix exist at higher charge states and radiate more intensely at lower wavelengths. Measurements from the WEST real-time VUV spectrometer (central chord view), shown in figure 4, additionally indicated increased B and W line intensities during the B drop and decreased O and N line intensities. The strong increase in the N-V line intensity from 0-3 s was due to the startup phase of the discharge which limits on the previously-mentioned BN tiles. Unfortunately, T_e measurements were only available in the SOL and not in the plasma edge due to pollution of the electron cyclotron emission (ECE) signal from LHCD. Therefore, it is difficult to make conclusions on the radial penetration of B and W from just the line intensities. While the B and W line intensities increased during the drop, core bolometry channels observed only minimal changes in the radiated power. Future experiments are needed to determine the particle fluxes of B and W crossing the separatrix.

3.2 Wall Conditioning After Powder Injection

Following a discharge with B powder injection, the subsequent shots showed several indications of improved wall conditioning. To illustrate this, time-averages of the visible spectroscopy and VUV line intensities were calculated for the "pre-drop phase" (i.e. the time between the LHCD turn-on and the start of the B drop) and the drop phase (*i.e.*, the duration of the B powder drop). The pre-drop phase of the powder injection discharges was used



Figure 4. B-V (24.3 nm), O-IV (23.8 nm), W-XLV (26.6 nm), and N-V (24.8 nm) line intensities for Shot 56919 with 9.2 mg/s of B powder injection.

to assess the conditioning effects of the preceding B powder drops. These time-averaged line intensities for multiple spectral lines in the visible range are shown in figure 5 as a function of the cumulative powder dropped in the preceding shots. The blue circles correspond to the pre-drop phase and the orange triangles correspond to the drop phase. The solid lines represent measurements taken at the lower outer divertor, while the dashed lines represent measurements taken at the lower orange triangles are mismatched on the x-axis because the orange triangles consider that powder has been dropped not only in the preceding shots but during the discharge as well. Lastly, the traces were normalized to the edge line-integrated density ($\rho = 0.8$, closest interferometry chord to the plasma edge) to account for any changes in electron density or fueling.

As more B powder was dropped, the pre-drop B-II line intensity (figure 5(a)) continually increased. This effect was observed at both the lower outer divertor and at the ICRH antenna limiter and may be an indication of thin-film deposition on the PFCs. Concurrently, decreases in the pre-drop W-I and O-II line intensities were observed. These trends agree qualitatively with similar traces from B powder injection experiments on the full-W AUG tokamak [14].

While the decrease in W-I intensity is very encouraging, it was confined to the pre-drop period. During B injection (figure 5(b) orange triangles), the time-averaged W-I intensity always increased relative to the pre-drop level (figure 5(b) blue circles). The increase of the W-I line intensity from the pre-drop phase to the drop phase seemed to be stronger at the lower outer divertor than at the ICRH limiter, suggesting differing sputtering rates at those locations. This contrasts with BN powder injection experiments in AUG, which observed larger increases in the W-I signal at the midplane ICRH antenna limiter than at the lower outer divertor [14]. Some likely explanations for the difference in W sputtering observed in the two machines are differences in the confinement mode (L-Mode on WEST vs. ELMy H-Mode on AUG), the electron density, the electron temperature, the distance between the separatrix and the ICRH antenna limiter, and the fueling and pumping configurations.

The B powder had a relatively benign effect on the pre-drop deuterium recycling at the lower outer divertor and resulted in an increase in recycling at the ICRH antenna limiter. This may be due to the release of D atoms trapped in the deposited B films from previous discharges. Nevertheless, the discharges following a B drop were all able to reliably maintain the target density, indicating that erosion of D from the B films was not an issue for density control.

Slighty different trends were observed in the VUV time-averaged line intensities. Figure 6 shows edge densitynormalized time-averages for B-V (24.3 nm), O-IV (23.8 nm), W-XLV (26.7 nm), N-V (24.8 nm), Cu-XVIII (23.4 nm), and Cl-XIV (23.8 nm) before and during the B drop. In contrast to the visible spectroscopy measurements, the pre-drop B signal (blue circles in figure 6(a)) stays relatively constant as more power was dropped. This may imply that even though a B film has been deposited onto the PFCs, there is not a significant flux of B through the separatrix. Similar to visible spectroscopy, decreases in the pre-drop W, O, and N line intensities were observed. Powder injection resulted in a decrease in the pre-drop copper and chlorine line intensities as well. These results were obtained by only injecting ~ 310 mg of B powder (GDB can use up to 40g of diborane gas [28]), which motivates the injection of larger quantities of powder in the future.



Figure 5. Density-normalized time-averaged line intensities for (a) B-II, (b) W-I, (c) D_{γ} , (d) O-II, (e) N-II, and (f) C-II at the lower outer divertor (solid lines) and the ICRH limiter (dashed lines). Blue circles correspond to the predrop phase (5.5–6.7 s) and the orange triangles correspond to the B powder drop (7–13 s).

3.3 Estimation of Impurity Particle Fluxes

While trends in the spectroscopic line intensities can provide valuable information, the line emission is influenced by the local T_e and n_e . Therefore, the species' particle flux is a better representation of the impact of B powder injection. Particle flux for a given ion species can be determined by multiplying the calibrated line intensity from spectroscopy with its corresponding S/XB (ionizations per photon) coefficient. These coefficients are dependent upon the local electron temperature and electron density, and hence consider the effect of the background plasma. This method and the definition of the S/XB coefficients are detailed extensively in [29].

Visible spectroscopy data at the lower outer divertor were S/XB corrected using measurements from an array of flush-mounted Langmuir probes embedded in the divertor target [30]. The SOL measurements and resultant particle flux estimates are therefore highly localized to that location. The peak values of T_e and n_e from the Langmuir probe array are shown in figures 7(a) and 7(b) for the discharges in figure 5. Similar to the volume-average density in figure 2(c), the SOL n_e increased at the start of powder injection but was then reduced below the reference level (blue trace) as powder injection continued. In fact, this trend was more pronounced at the SOL, as 9.2 mg/s of B powder injection (yellow trace) resulted in almost a 40% reduction of the electron density. The reduction in n_e additonally seemed to correlate with the powder drop rate and this is explored further in Section 4.2. Lastly, the electron temperature changed minimally, possibly due to competing effects from the introduction of radiating impurities and the reduction in n_e .



Figure 6. Density-normalized time-averaged line intensities for (a) B-V, (b) O-IV, (c) W-XLV, (d) N-V, (e) Cu-XVIII, and (f) Cl-XIV. Blue circles correspond to the pre-drop phase (5.5–6.7 s) and the orange triangles correspond to the B powder drop (7–13 s).





Particle fluxes for species with available S/XB coefficients (W, D, O⁺, N⁺, and C⁺) were calculated for several B powder discharges at the lower outer divertor. S/XB coefficients were obtained using ColRadPy [31], a python module that solves the collisional radiative equations for a better approximation of the S/XBs. The peak particle flux at the lower outer divertor for several ion species are shown in figure 8 as a function of the cumulative powder dropped similar to figure 5. Once again, the blue circles correspond to the pre-drop phase and the orange triangles correspond to the drop phase.

Figure 8(a) confirms that the increase in W-I line intensity during the powder drop (figure 3(a)) corresponds to an increase in the W particle flux (~20% in the largest instances). The initial increase in the pre-drop W, O^+ , and N^+ particle fluxes (second blue circle in figures 8(a), (c), and (d)) may be due to a programmed increase in the electron density target, as no B powder was dropped in the reference discharge. While the S/XBs should account for this



Figure 8. Peak particle fluxes for (a) W, (b) D, (c) O⁺, (d) N⁺, and (e) C⁺ at the lower outer divertor. Blue circles correspond to the pre-drop phase (5.5–6.7 s) and the orange triangles correspond to the B powder drop (7–14 s).

increase in electron density, the increased gas fueling may have additionally contributed to an increase in the neutral D density. This is evidenced by the increased pre-drop D flux in figure 8(b), which may then have resulted in further impurity sputtering.

Despite this, the pre-drop W particle flux continually decreased in the subsequent shots following B powder injection (10% reduction between the second and fourth blue circles in figure 8(a)). The impact of B powder injection on low-Z impurity particle fluxes was even more pronounced as reductions in the pre-drop particle fluxes were up to 50% (blue circles in figure 8 (c), (d), and (e)) and reductions in the particle flux during the B drop ranged from 40–60% (difference between blue circles and orange triangles in figure 8 (c), (d), and (e)). Similar to the visible spectroscopy measurements, discharges with B powder showed large reductions in recycling during the B drop, but no large changes to the recycling in the subsequent discharges. These results confirm that the reduction in line intensities observed by visible spectroscopy was likely to be consistent with conditioning of the PFCs. Future experiments will characterize the change in particle flux at the ICRH antenna limiter and other possible locations of gross W erosion.

3.4 Impact of Powder Injection on the Total Radiated Power

Along with the spectroscopy and particle flux results, B powder injection had a significant impact on the total radiated power. Figure 9(a) shows the total radiated power measured by the WEST bolometer array, normalized to the volume-averaged electron density, for all B powder injection discharges and for a reference discharge without B power injection (56915 – thick black trace). While B powder injection substantially increased $P_{rad,tot}$ during injection, the subsequent discharges generally had lower levels of $P_{rad,tot}$ in the pre-drop phase. To better visualize this, the signals in figure 9(a) were averaged from 5.5–6.7 s and then compared to the initial reference value. A clear reduction in the total $P_{rad,tot}/\langle n_e \rangle$ was observed as more powder was dropped in the preceding discharges.

The substantial increase in radiated power after 200 mg was attributed to a "large" disruption at the end of the 8th powder injection discharge (shot 56923). Not all disruptions led to a degradation in wall conditioning (i.e., increased $P_{rad,tot}/\langle n_e \rangle$). In the case of shot 56923, the disruption is considered large as the subsequent shot (56924) was unable to breakdown, and the shot after that (56925) disrupted early, presumably due to the large outgassing

from the initial disruption event. After powder injection was resumed in shot 56926, the total radiated power began to decrease again.

Due to the small amount of powder injected in these experiments, the deposited films were likely much thinner than those from standard GDB. Film thickness is typically taken as a figure of merit for conditioning and stability of the deposited layers [8]. Therefore, avoiding disruptions is critical until thicker coatings of B are developed. Nevertheless, the reduction in $P_{rad,tot}/\langle n_e \rangle$ motivates further use of the IPD as a mechanism to extend the operational domain of WEST to higher densities.

Future experiments are needed to determine if the IPD can facilitate H-mode access on WEST. Reducing the influx of C, O, N, and W will conceptually increase the amount of power that crosses the separatrix by limiting radiative losses. Unfortunately, due to operational constraints, these initial powder injection experiments only had $P_{aux} = 4.5$ MW available with $P_{rad,tot} \sim 2 - 2.5$ MW. Therefore, the resultant input power (~ 2 MW) was under the predicted WEST L-H power threshold from both the 2004 and 2008 ITPA scalings [6]. The next run campaign will feature discharges with increased auxiliary power for a better evaluation of the impact of powder injection on H-mode access in WEST.



Figure 9. (a) Density-normalized total radiated power (all traces have varying levels of B powder injection except for 56915). (b) Percent change in the time-averaged $P_{rad,tot}/\langle n_e \rangle$ for the discharges in figure 9(a) during the pre-drop phase.

4. Improvements in Confinement

4.1 Experimental Observations of Improved Confinement

In addition to the improved wall conditions, WEST discharges with B powder injection had improved energy confinement. The impact of B powder injection on the plasma stored energy, the neutron rate (used here as a proxy for the central ion temperature), volume-averaged electron density, central electron temperature, and the internal plasma inductance is shown in figure 10. The three discharges in figure 10 correspond to a discharge without B powder injection (56915), a discharge with a moderate B powder drop rate (56919), and a discharge with a high B power drop rate (56920). The short drop period of 56920 was due to an early disruption from the rapid drop rate. While 56920 observed the largest improvement in confinement, it is difficult to make conclusions based on this discharge due to its short duration.

 Both discharges with B powder injection had increased stored energy and an increased neutron rate for the entire drop duration; hence, this is believed not to be a transient effect. These increases in stored energy and neutron rate were concurrent with increases in radiated power and electron density (shown for 56919 in figures 2(e) and 10(c)), suggesting improved confinement to maintain the same level of I_p without increasing P_{LHCD} or P_{OH} . Similar improvements in confinement have been observed in previous boron-based powder injection experiments in AUG [14,15], LHD [21,22], and W7-X [20].



Figure 10. (a) W_{MHD} , (b) neutron rate, (c) volume-averaged n_e , (d) central electron temperature $T_{e,0}$, (e) internal inductance ℓ_i , and (f) B-II line intensity at the lower outer divertor for WEST discharges with varying B powder drop rates. The panels on the right show a closer look at the time window from 6–8 s.

In addition to the improvements in stored energy and neutron rate, the central electron temperature increased after the initial drop period (figure 10(d)). Further analysis shows that this increase in temperature was complemented by a broadening of the T_e profile up to $\rho = 0.5$. This can be seen in figure 11(a) which shows ECE measurements of $T_e(\rho)$ and their corresponding fits. The profiles were taken from shot 56919 (9.2 mg/s of B powder injection) and were averaged over the pre-drop phase (5.5-6.7s), initial B drop phase (6.7-8s), and the density flattop phase (8-13s). There is a large disparity between the ECE measurements and the fitted profile at $\rho > 0.5$ due to signal pollution from the LHCD antennas.

These ECE measurements are accompanied by reflectometry profiles (figure 11(b)) averaged over the same time-windows to provide an indication of the density profile structure. During the initial drop phase (red profiles/triangles), the core density increased above the pre-drop level (blue profiles/circles) and the electron temperature decreased in response, keeping the electron pressure approximately constant. After this initial phase of the B drop, the majority of the density profile (yellow) was reduced, however the core density still remained slightly higher than the pre-drop level. This coupled with an increase in the electron temperature resulted in a higher electron pressure than during the pre-drop phase.

While both the neutron rate and W_{MHD} increased almost immediately with the onset of powder injection, the electron temperature only increased after the electron density returned to the pre-drop level. The increase in temperature was most pronounced from $\rho \sim 0.2 - 0.5$, resulting in a significant broadening of the T_e profile. Unlike the increases in stored energy and neutron rate, this phenomenon was confined to discharges with sustained durations of powder injection ($t_{drop} > 1$ s). In discharges with pulsed injection ($t_{drop} \sim 400$ ms), the same decrease in T_e was observed during the initial B drop phase, however the T_e profile never reached the elevated state observed in discharges with $t_{drop} > 1$ s.



Figure 11. (a) Time-averaged ECE measurements of $T_e(\rho)$ and their corresponding fits. (b) Timeaveraged reflectometry measurements of $n_e(\rho)$. Colors/symbols denote the time-average windows used: pre-drop phase (blue/circles), initial drop phase (red/triangles), and flat-top phase (yellow/squares).

The improvements in confinement may be similar to those from gaseous impurity seeding experiments. Improved plasma performance due to the injection of impurities has been previously observed on a number of tokamaks [32-34], most notably with the radiative-improved (RI) mode [35] on TEXTOR. The increase in confinement is first triggered by fuel dilution (i.e., increased Z_{eff}) which then leads to a bifurcation in the density profile once a critical value of Z_{eff} is obtained [35]. After a peaked density profile is established, it becomes the dominant mechanism for suppression of ion temperature gradient-driven turbulence. B₄C powder injection experiments in W7-X have observed results reminiscent of the RI-mode with peaked core density profiles and increased Z_{eff} leading to improved plasma performance [20].

On the other hand, gaseous N₂ seeding experiments on WEST [36] have observed increases in confinement without density profile modification. In these experiments, fuel dilution was the dominant mechanism for turbulence suppression. Unfortunately, uncertainties in the visible bremsstrahlung signal prevented measurements of Z_{eff} during powder injection. Resistive estimates of Z_{eff} , calculated during the Ohmic phase of the discharge (0–5 s), showed no large changes following a discharge with B powder injection. Therefore, further analysis and experiments are needed to understand the impact of powder injection on core confinement. To confirm the dominant turbulence suppression mechanism(s), future powder injection experiments will utilize multiple species of B powder (B and BN) to isolate the role of Z_{eff} and increased quantities of powder to reach the critical Z_{eff} for density profile bifurcation. These experiments will be complemented by interpretative modelling using METIS [37] and gyrokinetic simulations using QuaLiKiz [38].

4.2 Empirical Correlations of Improved Confinement



Figure 12. Time-averaged W_{MHD} vs. edge normalized D_{γ} line intensity at the lower outer divertor (a) and the ICRH antenna limiter (b). The orange triangles correspond to the pre-drop phase and the blue circles correspond to the drop phase.

Without a confirmed hypothesis behind the improvements in confinement, we rely on correlations from empirical observations to exploit this effect in future experiments. Further investigation suggests a correlation between the stored energy and the level of recycling at the lower outer divertor. Figure 12 shows the time-averaged stored energy plotted against the time-averaged D₇ intensity (taken as a proxy for recycling) at the lower outer divertor (a) and the ICRH antenna limiter (b). The D₇ line intensities have been normalized by the edge n_e (same interferometry chord used in figure 5) to account for any changes in external fueling. The blue circles correspond to time-averages of the pre-drop phase, while the orange triangles correspond to time-averages during the initial B powder drop. The stored energy signals during the B drop were only evaluated from 7 to 7.4 s to include discharges with high drop rates that disrupted shortly (≤ 2 s) after the start of the drop. A clear linear trend between the stored energy and the recycling at both the divertor and the ICRH antenna limiter was observed as the discharges with the largest stored energy additionally had the lowest D₇ line intensity. This may be supported by the fuel dilution hypothesis, as decreasing the wall recycling reduces a potential deuterium fueling source, further increasing the Z_{eff} and possibly leading to a larger improvement in confinement.

Understanding the mechanisms behind the reductions in recycling are critical to exploiting these observed improvements in confinement. The two independent variables during the B powder injection experiments were the powder drop rate and the amount of powder dropped in the preceding shots. Figure 13 shows the effect of each of these parameters on the deuterium particle flux, only shown at the divertor due to the availability of local T_e and n_e measurements. The y-axis corresponds to the percent change in D particle flux from the pre-drop phase (5.5–6.7 s) to the drop-phase (7–7.4 s). The B powder drops rate appeared to be the primary influence on the reduction in recycling at the lower divertor. High powder drops rates led to the lowest reductions in recycling, while discharges with low drop rates and > 100 mg of B dropped in the preceding shots observed minimal reductions in recycling. This is consistent with the results in figures 5(c) and 8(b) where the D_{γ} line intensity/particle flux was impacted during the actual B drop but the pre-drop D_{γ} line intensity/particle flux remained relatively unchanged in the subsequent discharges.



Figure 13. Percent change in Γ_D from the pre-drop phase (5.5–6.7 s) to the drop phase (7–7.4 s) vs. the cumulative powder dropped in previous discharges at the lower outer divertor. The color of the data points corresponds to the drop rate.

Looking at other quantities with respect to drop rate, it is clear that higher drop rates lead to larger improvements in confinement. Figure 14(a) shows that both the stored energy and neutron rate increased strongly and possibly non-linearly with increasing drop rate. Here, the data represents time-averages from discharges with sustained periods of improved confinement (> 4 s). Figure 14(b) shows a similar but smaller effect on the electron temperature at different values of normalized radius. While the central electron temperature changed minimally with drop rate, the profile became increasingly broad as the powder drop rate was increased. Mapping the improved performance parameter space will be critical to exploiting this phenomenon in the future. Especially since the main ion concentration can only be diluted so much before the increased radiation affects stability and/or the plasma becomes undesirable for fusion yields.



Figure 14. (a) Time-averaged W_{MHD} (blue circles) and neutron rate (orange triangles) vs. powder drop rate in discharges with sustained increases in confinement. (b) Time-averaged T_e at $\rho = 0$ (blue circles), $\rho = 0.2$ (orange triangles) and $\rho = 0.4$ (yellow squares) as a function of powder

These results motivate the use of higher drop rates in future experiments due to the potential for even greater improvements in confinement and the ability to drop more powder for a given duration. Unfortunately, discharges with high B powder drop rates have proven to be more prone to disruption due to the rapid increase in electron density and radiated power. Increasing the auxiliary power should enable the sustainment of higher powder drop rates. A similar trend was observed in ELM suppression experiments on EAST as the drop rate required for ELM suppression increased with the heating power [39]. Future powder injection experiments on WEST will likely require $P_{aux} > 5$ MW to sustain powder drop rates of > 17 mg/s.

5. Conclusion

An impurity powder dropper was successfully installed and commissioned on WEST. B powder was dropped into 10 LSN L-mode discharges with $P_{LHCD} \sim 4.5$ MW and improvements in both wall conditions and plasma confinement were observed.

During the B powder drop, visible and VUV spectroscopy measurements showed reductions in deuterium recycling, low-Z impurity signals, and an increase in the W signal at both the ICRH antenna limiter and the lower outer divertor. As more powder was dropped, clear reductions in the pre-drop level of W-I and O-II were observed, indicating a cumulative conditioning effect from the powder and possible B thin film deposition. Estimates of the impurity particle flux using S/XB coefficients from ColRadPy [31] confirm these trends. Similar results were observed in the radiative power, as the pre-drop $P_{rad,tot}/\langle n_e \rangle$ continued to decrease as more powder was dropped. These conditioning effects appeared to reset after large disruptions which may have ablated the previously deposited B coatings. Nevertheless, these initial results are encouraging and prompt further investigation of B powder injection as a real-time wall conditioning technique for superconducting fusion devices.

In addition to the improved wall conditioning, sustained improvements in the stored energy (up to 11%), the neutron rate (up to 60%), and the electron temperature (up to 50% from $\rho \sim 0.2$ –0.5) were observed in discharges with B powder injection. Larger increases in the stored energy (up to 25%) and the neutron rate (up to 200%) were additionally observed, however, on much smaller time scales and preceding a disruption. These improvements occurred with no changes to the LHCD power or plasma current and were concurrent with increases in the electron density and radiated power, suggesting improved confinement. A likely explanation is the suppression of ITG-driven turbulence due to main ion dilution, as observed in N₂ seeding experiments in WEST [36], and/or modification of the density profile, as observed in powder injection experiments on AUG [15], W7-X [20], and LHD [22].

These improvements in confinement appeared to correlate with a reduction in the D recycling, possibly due to further fuel dilution. This effect was observed at both the lower outer divertor and at the ICRH antenna limiter. The powder drop rate was determined to be the dominant actuator for reducing the D recycling, as discharges with the highest drop rates had the largest reductions in D line intensity/particle flux and the largest improvements in confinement.

The results presented in this paper have provided an initial basis from which to exploit future IPD operation on WEST. The upcoming C6 campaign will be the first with an all ITER-like PFU lower divertor and will evaluate long-pulse H-mode discharges in a full W environment. The experiments during the C5 campaign observed significant improvements in both wall conditioning and plasma confinement using only 310 mg of B powder. Planned IPD experiments for the next campaign will extend these conditioning results by dropping powder for longer durations, utilizing higher drop rates, and comparing the impact of dropping BN powder with B powder.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the WEST team and the ORNL PMI group for their support of the IPD experiments (particularly J. Morales, M. De Combarieu, J.F. Artaud, D. Vizinet, C. Gil, A. Grosjean, and C. Johnson), and gratefully acknowledge R. Maingi for useful discussion.

This manuscript is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Fusion Energy Sciences, and has been authored by Princeton University under Contract Number DE-AC02-09CH11466 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher, by accepting the article for publication acknowledges, that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

Data Availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available at https://dataspace.princeton.edu/handle/88435/dsp01xg94hr897.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

References

2 3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56 57 58

- [1] J. Winter 1996 Wall conditioning in fusion devices and its influence on plasma performance *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **38** 1503-1542
- [2] E. Joffrin et al. 2014 First scenario development with the JET new ITER-like wall Nucl. Fusion 54 013011
- [3] M.N.A Beurskens et al. 2013 The effect of a metal wall on confinement in JET and ASDEX Upgrade, Plasma. Phys. Control. Fusion 55 124043
- [4] B. Lipschultz et al. 2007 Operation of Alcator C-Mod with high-Z plasma facing components and implications Phys. Plasmas 13 056117
- [5] J. Bucalossi et al. 2014 The WEST project: Testing ITER divertor high heat flux component technology in a steady state tokamak environment Fusion Eng. Des. 89 907–91
- [6] C. Bourdelle et al. 2015 WEST physics basis Nucl. Fusion 55 063017
- [7] R.A. Pitts et al. 2013 A full tungsten divertor for ITER: Physics issues and design status J. Nucl. Mater. 438 S48-S56
- [8] O.I. Buzhinskij and Y.M. Semenets 1997 Review of in situ Boronization in contemporary tokamaks Fusion Technol. 32 1-13
- [9] J. Bucalossi *et al.* 2022 Operating a full tungsten actively cooled tokamak: overview of WEST first phase of operation *Nucl. Fusion* **62** 042007
- [10] G.Z. Zuo, J.S. Hu, S. Zhen, J.G. Li, D.K. Mansfield, B. Cao, J.H. Wu, L.E. Zakharov, and the EAST Team 2011 Comparison of various wall conditionings on the reduction of H content and particle recycling in EAST *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* 54 015014
- [11] A. Kallenbach et al. 2009 Non-boronized compared with boronized operation of ASDEX Upgrade with full-tungsten plasma facing components Nucl. Fusion 59 045007
- [12] A. Nagy, A. Bortolon, D.M. Mauzey, E. Wolfe, E.P. Gilson, R. Lunsford, R. Maingi, D.K. Mansfield, R. Nazikian, and A.L. Roquemore 2018 A multi-species powder dropper for magnetic fusion applications *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 89 10K121
- [13] A. Kallenbach *et al.* 2013 Impurity seeding for tokamak power exhaust: from present devices via ITER to DEMO *Plasma*. *Phys. Control. Fusion* 55 124041
- [14] A. Bortolon et al. 2019 Real-time wall conditioning by controlled injection of boron and boron nitride powder in full tungsten wall ASDEX Upgrade Nuclear Materials and Energy 19 384-389
- [15] R. Lunsford et al. 2019 Active conditioning of ASDEX Upgrade tungsten plasma-facing components and discharge enhancement through boron and boron nitride particulate injection Nucl. Fusion 59 126034
- [16] Z. Sun et al. 2021 Suppression of edge localized modes with real-time boron injection using the tungsten divertor in EAST Nucl. Fusion 61 014002
- [17] W Xu et al. 2021 Comparison of active impurity control between lithium and boron powder real-time injection in EAST, 2021 Phys. Scr. 96 124034
- [18] A. Bortolon et al. 2020 Observations of wall conditioning by means of boron powder injection in DIII-D H-mode plasmas Nucl. Fusion 60 126010
- [19] E.P. Gilson et al. 2021 Wall conditioning and ELM mitigation with boron nitride powder injection in KSTAR Nuclear Materials and Energy 28 101043
- [20] R. Lunsford *et al.* 2021 Characterization of injection and confinement improvement through impurity induced profile modifications on the Wendelstein 7-X stellarator *Phys. Plasmas* 28 082506
- [21] F. Nespoli et al. 2021 First impurity powder injection experiments in LHD, Nuclear Materials and Energy 25 100842
- [22] F. Nespoli et al. 2022 Observation of a reduced-turbulence regime with boron powder injection in a stellarator Nat. Phys. 18 350-356
- [23] B. Wirth et al., Measuring and modeling helium accumulation in single crystal tungsten specimens exposed to He plasma discharges in the WEST reciprocating collector probe Preprint: 2020 IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, Nice (2021) [EX/P5-3]
- [24] O. Meyer, J. Giacalone, A. Gouin, J. Pascal, C. Klepper, N. Fedorczak, Ph. Lotte, E. Unterberg, D. Fehling, and J. Harris 2018 Visible spectroscopy diagnostics for tungsten source assessment in the WEST tokamak: First measurements *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 89 10D105
- [25] A. Gallo et al. 2020 Interpretative transport modelling of the WEST boundary plasma: main plasma and light impurities Nucl. Fusion 60 126048
- [26] C.C. Klepper et al. 2020 W sources in the long-pulse, all-W wall, RF-heated WEST tokamak environment 47th European Physical Society Conference on Plasma Physics
- [27] G. Urbanczyk et al. 2021 RF wave coupling, plasma heating and characterization of induced plasma-material interactions in WEST L-mode discharges Nucl. Fusion 61 086027
- [28] J. Philips, T. Hodapp, K. Holtrop, G.L. Jackson, R. Moyer, J. Watkins, and J. Winter. 1992 Initial boronization of the DIII-D tokamak J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A 10 1252
- [29] K. Behringer, H.P. Summers, B. Denne, M. Forrest, and M. Stamp 1989 Spectroscopic determination of impurity influx from localized surfaces *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **31** 2059
- [30] R. Dejarnac, D. Sestak, J.P. Gunn, M. Firdaouss, H. Greuner, J-Y Pascal, M. Richou, and H. Roche 2021 Flush-mounted Langmuir probes in the WEST tokamak divertor *Fusion Eng. Des.* 163 112120
- [31] C.A. Johnson, S.D. Loch, and D.A. Ennis 2019 ColRadPy: A Python collisional radiative solver Nuclear Materials and Energy 20 100579

- [32] K. Hill et al. 1999 Tests of local transport theory and reduced wall impurity influx with highly radiative plasmas in the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor Phys. Plasmas 6 877
 - [33] G. McKee, K. Burrell, R. Fonck, G. Jackson, M. Murakami, G. Staebler, D. Thomas, and P. West 2001 Impurity-induced suppression of core turbulence and transport in the DIII-D Tokamak *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84 1922
- [34] G.P. Maddison et al. 2002 Impurity-seeded plasma experiments on JET Nucl. Fusion 43 49
- [35] B. Unterberg, U. Samm, M.Z. Tokar, A.M. Messiaen, J. Ongena, and R. Jaspers 2005 The radiative improved mode in TEXTOR: power exhaust and improved confinement at high density *Fusion Sci. Technol.* 47 187
- [36] X. Yang et al. 2020 Core tungsten transport in WEST long pulse L-mode plasmas Nucl. Fusion 60 086012
- [37] J.F. Artaud *et al.* 2018 Metis: a fast integrated tokamak modelling tool for scenario design *Nucl. Fusion* **58** 105001
- [38] C. Bourdelle, J. Citrin, B. Baiocchi, A. Casati, P. Cottier, X. Garbet, F. Imbeaux, and JET Contributors 2015 Core turbulent transport in tokamak plasmas: bridging theory and experiment with QuaLiKiz Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 58 014036
- [39] R. Maingi *et al.* 2020 Improved fusion plasma performance in fusion devices enabled by a new impurity powder injection system *J. Fusion Energ.* **39** 429