Quinolinophenothiazine as Electron Rich Fragment for RGB Single-Layer Phosphorescent Organic Light-Emitting Diodes
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Abstract

The quinolinophenothiazine (QPTZ) fragment is a phenylacridine bridged by a sulphur atom. Despite appealing properties induced by this bridging (e.g. strong electron rich character), this fragment remains almost unexplored to date in organic electronics. Based on this QPTZ fragment, we report herein a high efficiency host for the new generation of simplified Phosphorescent Organic Light-Emitting Diodes so called Single-Layer (SL-PhOLEDs). This host material is constructed on the association of an electron rich fragment (QPTZ), and an electron-deficient fragment (2,7-bis(diphenylphosphineoxide)-fluorene). This molecule displays all the necessary properties to be used in universal SL-PhOLEDs.

Red, green and blue SL-PhOLEDs were successfully fabricated and yielded an average external quantum efficiency of ca 10%. High luminances of ca 10000 cd/m$^2$ for red and blue devices and 40000 cd/m$^2$ for green devices were obtained. These luminances are higher than the best reported to date with structurally related analogues and highlight the strong interest of the QPTZ fragment in such devices. Thanks to its high HOMO level, the QPTZ unit also allows to decrease the threshold voltage, which is a key characteristic in the OLED technology.

This work shows the potential of the QPTZ fragment in the design of host materials for high performance single-layer PhOLEDs.

* Dedicated to Bernard Geffroy on the occasion of his retirement
Introduction

Since the last 30 years, the fantastic emergence of organic electronics is, in part, assignable to the design, the synthesis and the study of thousands of organic semi-conductors (OSCs) with properties fitting with the different electronic devices.\textsuperscript{1-4} These OSCs are constructed thanks to the availability of many highly efficient molecular building blocks with specific properties (high electron and/or hole mobilities, large HOMO/LUMO gap, high quantum yield, high triplet state energy values,...). In the field of Organic Light Emitting Diodes (OLEDs), the widely known electron rich PhenylAcridine (PA)\textsuperscript{5-6} (Chart 1) holds a special place as it is one of the most efficient building block reported to date due to its excellent properties in term of charge injection and transport.\textsuperscript{5, 7-11} Around this fragment, researchers have designed, in the last ten years, four other structurally related building units which are IndoloAcridine (IA),\textsuperscript{12-15} QuinolinoPhenoThiaZine (QPTZ),\textsuperscript{16-17} QuinolinoOxazine (QO)\textsuperscript{18-19} and QuinolinoAcridine (QA)\textsuperscript{10, 20} (Chart 1), which all display very different electronic properties. In these fragments, two phenyl units of the PA core are either linked by a carbon-carbon bond (IA) or bridged either by an oxygen (QO), a sulphur (QPTZ) or a carbon (QA) atom. These building blocks have successfully been incorporated in OSCs with improved device performances compared to the PA unit. For example, in the field of phosphorescent OLEDs (PhOLEDs), the potential of the QPTZ fragment to construct high efficiency host materials used in multi-layer PhOLEDs has been highlighted.\textsuperscript{16} Similarly, in 2019, Jiang, Liao and their coworkers have reported the great potential of the QO\textsuperscript{18-19} and QA\textsuperscript{10, 20} units in very high performance phosphorescent and TADF OLEDs. In the case of the QPTZ fragment, the key role played by the sulphur atom has been particularly highlighted over the years. Thus, compare to its PA counterpart, the QPTZ fragment displays a significant increase of the HOMO energy level and remarkably stable radical cations.\textsuperscript{16} This strong electron rich character finds its origin in the electron donating behaviour of the intracyclic sulphur atom, which fully drives the HOMO energy level.\textsuperscript{16} In 2018, the singular chiral properties of the QPTZ fragment have also been reported as a unique molecular tool to reach chiral OSCs.\textsuperscript{17} However, this molecular fragment, described more than 40 years ago,\textsuperscript{21} has never been used in the new generation of simplified PhOLEDs so-called single-layer. For the last twenty years, simplifying fluorescent\textsuperscript{22} and phosphorescent OLEDs\textsuperscript{23} has indeed attracted the attention of many research groups worldwide. The goal is to bridge the gap between multi-layer PhOLEDs, which are highly efficient and widely described nowadays in literature\textsuperscript{11, 24-40} and the new generation of single-layer PhOLEDs (SL-PhOLEDs), which still presents low performance except for a few examples.\textsuperscript{7, 23, 41-43} Simplifying PhOLEDs will notably reduce the inherent complexity of the stack and its cost. More importantly, for the future ecological transition, simplifying electronic devices will help to reduce its environmental footprint. This is a central notion nowadays.
In this single-layer technology, the molecular design of the emissive layer undoubtedly drives the field. Recently, great advances have been reached with very high performance host materials reported in red, green and blue SL-PhOLEDs.\textsuperscript{7,41-42} However, to date, there is only a very small number of high-efficiency host materials, which are compatible with red (R), green (G) and blue (B) SL-PhOLEDs.\textsuperscript{41} As the future of SL-PhOLEDs (and more generally organic electronics) strongly relies on the use of elementary molecular fragments, we wish to report herein the use of the QPTZ building block integrated in a universal host for RGB SL-PhOLEDs. The potential of the QPTZ fragment has been evaluated through the synthesis of a bipolar spiro-configured host, spiroquinolinophenothiazine-2,7-bis(diphenylphosphine oxide)-fluorene (SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}) constructed on the association of the QPTZ electron-rich fragment and the 2,7-(diphenylphosphine oxide)-fluorene electron-poor fragment.\textsuperscript{44} This combination provides suitable mobilities, a high triplet energy (E\textsubscript{T}) of 2.76 eV and high decomposition (T\textsubscript{d}) and glass transition (T\textsubscript{g}) temperatures. The HOMO energy level appears to be higher than structurally related PA compounds. RGB SL-PhOLEDs have finally been fabricated and display an average EQE of ca 10\% and high luminances of ca 10 000 cd/m\textsuperscript{2} for red and blue devices and 40 000 cd/m\textsuperscript{2} for green devices. These luminances are higher than those reported for the structurally related PA host (named SPA-2,7-F(POPh\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}), which is the most efficient host material for SL-PhOLEDs reported to date.\textsuperscript{41} This highlights the strong interest of the QPTZ fragment in such devices. Thanks to its high HOMO level, the QPTZ unit also allows to decrease the threshold voltage of the devices compared to the structurally related PA host SPA-2,7-F(POPh\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}. All these characteristics open the way to the use of QPTZ fragment in the design of OSC for SL-PhOLEDs.

Results and discussion

Synthesis

SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2} has been synthesized through an efficient, short and high yielded two-step approach (Scheme 1). Reducing the synthetic chemistry steps is highly required to decrease the environmental footprint of electronic devices. The first step is a
classical lithium-bromine exchange on 10-(2-bromophenyl)-10H-phenothiazine (QPTZ-Br) (obtained from the copper catalysed Ullmann-Goldberg coupling of phenothiazine and 2-bromiodobenzene), followed by a nucleophilic addition reaction in the presence of 2,7-dibromofluorenone. The fluorenol obtained (not isolated) is then involved in an intramolecular electrophilic substitution to give the spiroquinolinophenothiazine-2,7-dibromofluorene (SQPTZ-2,7-FBr₂) in a yield of 56%. Incorporation of the diphenylphosphine oxide fragments is finally performed through a double lithium-bromine exchange on SQPTZ-2,7-FBr₂, followed by a nucleophilic addition with chlorodiphenylphosphine. The resulting diphenylphosphine compound (not isolated) is further oxidized by H₂O₂ to give SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ with a yield of 82%.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ and molecular structure of model compounds SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ and SQPTZ-F

In order to precisely study the impact of the incorporation of the QPTZ electron-rich fragment within SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂, two model compounds will also be studied, spiroquolinophenothiazine-fluorene SQPTZ-F, which corresponds to SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ without the diphenylphosphine oxide moieties, and spirophenylacridine-2,7-bis(diphenylphosphine oxide)-fluorene (SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂), which is the analogue of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ but possessing a PA fragment instead of a QPTZ fragment. Note that SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ is, in 2021, the host material displaying the highest performance in RGB SL-PhOLEDs (average EQE above 14% for the three colours).

Electrochemical properties

The electrochemical properties of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂, SQPTZ-F and SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ have been first investigated by cyclic voltammetry (CV) in CH₂Cl₂ for oxidation (Figure 1, right) and in DMF for reduction (Figure 1, left); potentials are given versus a saturated calomel electrode (SCE).

The electron rich character of the QPTZ fragment is the most important feature to unravel herein. In oxidation (Figure 1, right), SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ presents three oxidation waves with peak potentials E¹/E²/E³ recorded at 0.96/1.54/1.69 V (Figure 1, black line). Only the first oxidation process is reversible and assigned to an electron transfer centred on the QPTZ unit (See HOMO density in Figure 2). The model compound SQPTZ-F presents two reversible waves at 0.89 and 1.61 V (Figure 1, red line). As a fluorenol moiety is oxidised at potential more anodic that 1.7 V and through an irreversible electron transfer, typically leading to electrodeposition processes, the two first oxidation waves of SQPTZ-F have
been assigned to two single-electron transfers involving the QPTZ core. As observed for SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂, the HOMO of SQPTZ-F is exclusively centred on the QPTZ fragment (Figure 2). Figure 1 also presents the oxidation of SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂, displaying a first wave at E¹ = 1.06 V (Figure 1, blue line).¹²

Several conclusions can be drawn. First, we note that the phosphine oxides attached to the fluorene in SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ lead to a 70 mV positive shift compared to that of QPTZ core in SQPTZ-F. Thus, despite a spiro carbon connection, the QPTZ and fluorene moieties are not fully electronically separated. Second, the first oxidation of the QPTZ fragment remarkably occurs at a less anodic potential than that of PA core (shift of 100 mV). This strong electron rich character finds its origin in the electron donating behaviour of the intracyclic sulphur atom, which fully drives the HOMO energy level of these OSCs (Figure 2). The third important feature in the electrochemistry of QPTZ-based compounds is linked to the reversibility of the waves. Indeed, in both SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ and SQPTZ-F, the reversibility of the first oxidation wave indicates an efficient delocalization of the charges within the QPTZ core. This behaviour is not found for the structurally analogues based on the PA core, showing the great influence of the intracyclic sulphur atom on the electrochemical properties not only in terms of potential but also of stability of the cationic species.

![Cyclic voltammetry in reduction](image1)

Figure 1. Cyclic voltammetry in reduction (Left, DMF + Bu₄NPF₆ 0.1 M, sweep-rate 100 mV.s⁻¹) and in oxidation (Right, CH₂Cl₂ + Bu₄NPF₆ 0.2 M, sweep-rate 100 mV.s⁻¹) of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂, SQPTZ-F and SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂.

We determined the HOMO energy level of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ at -5.25 eV, higher than that of SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ (-5.33 eV),¹² confirming the strong electron-rich nature of the QPTZ fragment. It should also be noted that the HOMO energy level of SQPTZ-F (-5.16 eV) is higher than that of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂, translating the non-negligible influence of the acceptor unit on the HOMO level via the spiro bridge. The higher HOMO energy level of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ vs SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ should favour the hole injection, which is beneficial for OLED applications (see below).

In reduction (Figure 1, left), SQPTZ-F displays an irreversible wave at very negative potential, -2.52 V. In SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂, the electron accepting capability of the two phosphine oxides significantly increases the reduction potential recorded at less negative value, -1.94 V. It is important to note that the incorporation of phosphine oxide units on the fluorene core allows obtaining a reversible wave. The PA analogue SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ displays a similar behaviour with a first reversible wave at -1.98 V, confirming that the reversibility is induced by the phosphine oxide units. Thus, the cathodic exploration indicates that the reduction of the three molecules is fully governed by the acceptor part (diphenylphosphine oxide-fluorene) with nevertheless an influence of the donor part. To sum
up, among the three molecules, only SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ displays both a reversible oxidation and a reversible reduction wave. The LUMO levels obtained from the onset reduction potential are respectively evaluated at -2.60, -1.89 and -2.55 eV for SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂, SQPTZ-F and SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂. Thus, for the two phosphine oxides-based compounds, one can note that the lowest LUMO and the highest HOMO are obtained with SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂, which therefore presents a more contracted electrochemical gap (ΔE_{el}) than SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ counterpart (2.65 vs 2.78 eV). This is one of the advantages of the QPTZ fragment and a key point for the application targeted herein. This gap contraction will contribute to decrease the threshold voltage of the SL-PhOLEDs as detailed below.

Note that, in these materials, the HOMO is driven by the donor part (QPTZ or PA) and the LUMO by the acceptor part (diphenylphosphine oxide-fluorene). This is in agreement with molecular modelling (b3lyp 6-311+G(d, p)), which shows that electronic delocalization of the HOMO and LUMO are spread out on the corresponding fragments (Figure 2). There is hence a spatial separation between the HOMO and the LUMO levels, which allows to control the electronic properties and to gather within a single material the HOMO/LUMO energy levels of the constituting building blocks, a short π-conjugation pathway and a high E_T.

Photophysical properties

The strong structural similitude between SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ and SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ is reflected by their very similar absorption spectrum (Figure 3, top-left). The absorption is located in the near UV and constituted of four main bands (ca 321/323, 309/310, 294/295 and 282/283 nm). Both compounds present a broad band at ca 321/323 nm assigned in the light of Time-Dependent DFT to a transition with both orbitals centred on the fluorene (HOMO-1→LUMO for SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ and HOMO-2→LUMO for SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂), Figure 2. This transition drives the optical gap of these two materials (ΔE^{opt} of ca 3.75 eV). Oppositely, the band at 309/310 nm corresponds to a transition with both orbitals mainly centred on the donor unit (QPTZ or PA). Thus, TD-DFT indicates that the main transitions in these compounds are centred on the same fragment, either the donor or the acceptor (Figure 2). However, the first excited state corresponds to a forbidden HOMO-LUMO transition (f= 0), not observed experimentally. This originates from the spatial separation of HOMO and LUMO levels with HOMO localized on the donor fragment and LUMO on the acceptor core, Figure 2, leading to a through-space forbidden transition.⁵ This characteristic allows to keep a short π-conjugated pathway, essential in the design of host materials.⁵

Note that the spectrum of SQPTZ-F is not significantly different with a main band at 308 nm, shifted by 13/15 nm compared to the above discussed compounds. This transition is due to a HOMO→LUMO+4 transition, with both orbitals mainly centred on the QPTZ core. As detailed above, in the case of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ and SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂, the highest transition was induced by the fluorene/disphenylphosphine oxide fragment. Thus, removing the phosphine oxide fragments leads to a hypsochromic shift of the main band and in turn modifies the molecular orbitals involved in the main transition. This is due to their conjugation with the fluorene core. However, the main characteristic is kept identical, namely a forbidden HOMO-LUMO transition due to the spatial separation of these orbitals.
Figure 2. Representation of the energy levels and the main molecular orbitals involved in the electronic transitions of SQPTZ-F, SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ and SPA-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ obtained by TD-DFT b3lyp and the 6-311+G(d, p) basis set on the geometry of S$_0$, shown with an isovalue of 0.04 [e bohr$^{-3}$]$^{1/2}$ (for clarity purpose, only the main contribution for each transition is shown, details provided in SI).

In fluorescence spectroscopy (cyclohexane, Figure 3-Top right), the three compounds are very weak emitters with quantum yields below 0.01 (Table 1), in accordance with the spatial separation of HOMO and LUMO and a forbidden S$_0$→S$_1$ transition. This characteristic is usually found in the most efficient bipolar host materials reported to date.$^{41}$
Figure 3. SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$, (black lines) SQPTZ-F (red lines) and SPA-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ (blue lines) UV-Vis absorption spectra in cyclohexane (Top-left). Normalized emission spectra at room temperature in cyclohexane, $\lambda_{\text{exc}}= 310$ nm (Top-right). Emission spectra at 77 K in 2-MeTHF ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 310$ nm) normalized at the phosphorescence maxima (Bottom-left). Triplet spin density distribution (TD-DFT, b3lyp/6-311+g(d, p), isovalue 0.0005, Bottom-right).

The emission spectra are unresolved and we note a gradual red shift of their maxima from SQPTZ-F (418 nm) to SPA-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ (436 nm), and to SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ (450 nm). Thus, oppositely to their absorption spectra, SPA-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ and SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ display different emission spectra. This can be assigned to the more electron rich nature of the QPTZ fragment, which induces a stronger photoinduced intramolecular charge transfer (ICT). Solvatochromic experiments allow a deeper understanding of the photophysical properties through the determination of the polarity of the excited states (Figure 4). Indeed, the emission maximum of SQPTZ-F in polar (MeCN or MeOH) or apolar solvent (cyclohexane) is only very weakly shifted. This is in accordance with a very weak ICT (and a transition occurring in only one fragment involved, namely QPTZ, Figure 4, left). SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ displays a very different behaviour with an impressive red shift of 139 nm between cyclohexane (452 nm) and MeOH (591 nm) highlighting a strong photoinduced ICT, Figure 4, middle. One can note, in the case of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$, the presence of a shoulder at low wavelength (MeOH), which can sign the existence of a locally excited state in addition to the ICT state. The PA analogue SPA-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ displays a weaker...
solvatochromic effect, with a shift of 99 nm between cyclohexane (439 nm) and MeOH (538 nm), translating the different electron rich characters between PA and QPTZ (Figure 4-right).

The difference (Δμ) between the dipole moment at the ground state (μ) and at the first excited state (μ*) have been evaluated using the Lippert-Mataga formalism. Δμ of 3.5, 34.2 and 31.2 D have been measured (the dipole moments at the ground state have been obtained by DFT calculations, 1.5, 5.9 and 9.3 D) for SQPTZ-F, SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ and SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂, respectively, see SI). These Δμ data translate the different polarity of the three fluorophores at the excited state (μ* = 5.0, 40.1 and 40.5 D resp.). The strong solvatochromic effect (Figure 4) and the corresponding high Δμ observed for SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ and SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ are indicative of a significant photoinduced ICT whereas the negligible solvatochromic effect and the corresponding low Δμ observed for SQPTZ-F are indicative of a very weak photoinduced ICT. Thus, one can note that (i) the QPTZ fragment provides the highest Δμ due to its electron-rich behaviour higher than that of the PA analogue SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ and (ii) the acceptor unit can drastically change the electronic properties of QPTZ based compounds.

Figure 4. Emission spectra in different solvents of SQPTZ-F (Left), SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ (middle) and SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ (Right).

At 77 K, the emission spectra of both SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ and SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ show a phosphorescence contribution, with a first band centred at around 450 nm leading to a high triplet state energy level Eₜ of 2.76 eV (Figure 3, Bottom-left). A very long lifetime, τ = 3.4 and 3.1 s for SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ and SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ respectively (Table 1), is measured confirming an emission from the T₁ state. These phosphorescence contributions are very similar, in accordance with an Eₜ value fully governed by the bis(diphenylphosphineoxide)-fluorene fragment. This is confirmed by the triplet spin density distribution (SDD) obtained by TD-DFT (b3lyp/6-311+g(d, p), Figure 3, Bottom-right), which shows for both a triplet state located on this fragment with no contribution of the QPTZ or PA unit. The spin density is mainly located on the fluorene core with nevertheless a non-negligible contribution of the phosphine oxide fragments. Thus, in this type of D-Spiro-A design, 5, 12, 49 the donor unit can be easily modified (to adjust for example the HOMO energy level), without decreasing the Eₜ. This is an interesting feature for further molecular design of host materials.

Model compound SQPTZ-F displays a less resolved phosphorescence contribution with a SDD exclusively distributed on the fluorene. Thus, in the three compounds, the Eₜ is mainly imposed by the fluorene core. The Eₜ of SQPTZ-F is measured at 2.88 eV, 0.12 eV higher than those of both SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂ and SPA-2,7-F(POPh₂)₂. This shows that the incorporation of the two phosphine oxide units significantly decreases the Eₜ due to their
conjugations with the fluorene backbone. It should finally be noted that the $E_T$ of SQPTZ-F is very similar to the value reported for the fluorene unit itself, 2.93 eV. The decrease of 0.05 eV is due to the interaction with the QPTZ fragment by spiro-conjugation as previously observed with other spiro compounds.

Table 1 Selected electronic data of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$, SQPTZ-F and SPA-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$</th>
<th>SQPTZ-F</th>
<th>SPA-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{abs max}}$ [nm] $^a$</td>
<td>321 (2.4); 309 (1.7); 294 (2.7); 282 (2.5)</td>
<td>308 (2.5); 298 (1.8)</td>
<td>323 (2.5); 310 (1.3); 295 (2.4); 283 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>($\epsilon \times 10^4$ [L.mol$^{-1}$.cm$^{-1}$])</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{fluor}}$ [nm] $^b$</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{phos}}$ [nm] $^c$</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_T$ [eV] $^{c,d}$</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_p$ [s] ($\alpha_\text{em}$ [nm]) $^e$</td>
<td>3.4 (449)</td>
<td>4.48 (73%); 0.93 (27% (430)</td>
<td>3.1 (450)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{ox}}$ [V] $^{e,f}$</td>
<td>0.96; 1.54; 1.69; 1.86</td>
<td>0.89; 1.61; 1.91</td>
<td>1.06; 1.23; 2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{red}}$ [V] $^{e,g}$</td>
<td>-1.94; -2.63$^*$; -3.01</td>
<td>-2.52; -2.62; -2.80</td>
<td>-1.98; -2.50; -2.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMO [eV] $^h$</td>
<td>-5.25</td>
<td>-5.16</td>
<td>-5.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUMO [eV] $^h$</td>
<td>-2.60</td>
<td>-1.89</td>
<td>-2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_a$ [eV] $^i$</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_b$ [cm$^2$.V$^{-1}$.s$^{-1}$]$^j$</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$8.2 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_e$ [cm$^2$.V$^{-1}$.s$^{-1}$]$^j$</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_d$ [°C]</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_g$ [°C] $^l$</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_c$ [°C] $^l$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_f$ [°C] $^m$</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a should be; a. in cyclohexane at RT; b. in cyclohexane at RT, $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 310$ nm; c. in 2-MeTHF at 77 K, $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 310$ nm; d. from $\lambda_{\text{abs max}}$; e. vs SCE; f. in CH$_2$Cl$_2$; g. in DMF; h. from electrochemical data; i. $\Delta E_a = |\text{HOMO-LUMO}|$; j. from SCLC; k. from TGA; l. from DSC (2$^{\text{nd}}$ heating cycle); m. from DSC (1$^{\text{st}}$ heating cycle) n.d.: not determined.

Thermal properties

Figure 5. TGA and DSC traces of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ (black lines), SQPTZ-F (red lines) and SPA-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ (blue lines)
The thermal properties have been studied by thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), Figure 5. The QPTZ/Diphenylphosphine oxide association found in SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ provides a very high decomposition temperature $T_d$ (5% mass loss) (465°C), almost identical to that of SPA-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ (474°C). More importantly, SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ presents the highest glass transition temperature $T_g$ (169°C, determined by DSC during the 2nd heating run, between 20 and 350°C). Both parameters are important to reach highly stable devices. Thus, incorporation of a sulphur atom in SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ rigidifies the structure compared to SPA-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$, improving in turn the thermal properties. It is important to mention that the model compound SPA-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ presents the highest glass transition temperature $T_g$ (169°C, determined by DSC during the 2nd heating run, between 20 and 350°C). As amorphous materials are highly desired in this field, this is an important characteristic of the QPTZ fragment.

**Charge transport properties**

One of the key feature in the new generations of simplified SL-PhOLEDs is the capability of the host matrix to efficiently transport both type of charges, hole and electron.\textsuperscript{23} As PhOLEDs are vertical stacks, space charge limited current (SCLC) devices are the most appropriate to probe the charge carrier mobilities. Herein, hole-only and electron-only devices have been fabricated and characterized providing the out-of-plane hole and electron mobilities respectively (Figure 6, see SI for technical details). The hole/electron mobility ($\mu_h/\mu_e$) of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ have been estimated to be 1.3×10$^{-5}$ / 1.0×10$^{-3}$ cm$^2$.V$^{-1}$.s$^{-1}$. For SPA-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$, the hole and electron mobilities have been previously reported at 8.2×10$^{-6}$ / 2.0×10$^{-4}$ cm$^2$.V$^{-1}$.s$^{-1}$ in identical experimental conditions (Figure 5).\textsuperscript{41} One can note that the electron mobility of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ is particularly high and five times higher than that of SPA-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$. On one hand, this can be considered as a positive feature as electron transport is often the weakest link in this technology. But, on the other hand, this is detrimental to the mobility balance between electrons and holes ($\mu_e/\mu_h$), ca 76 for SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$, vs 24 for SPA-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$, which is nowadays considered as a central prerequisite for a high performance SL-PhOLED (see a recent review on SL-PhOLEDs\textsuperscript{23}). Rationalizing this property is indeed important for the future of this technology. This is detailed below in the OLED section.

![Figure 6. Thickness-scaled current voltage characteristics of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ (black lines), SQPTZ-F (red lines) and SPA-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$ (blue lines) hole-(Left) and](image-url)

[Image of Figure 6 showing thickness-scaled current voltage characteristics for SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$, SQPTZ-F, and SPA-2,7-F(POPh$_2$)$_2$.]
OLEDs characteristics

SQPTZ-2,7,F(POPh)2 was finally incorporated as host in red, green and blue SL-PhOLEDs using as emission either Ir(MDQ)(acac) for red emission, Ir(ppy)3 and Ir(ppy)2acac for green emission, and FIrpic for blue emission (Table 2, Figures 7). The SL-PhOLED architecture is the following: ITO/PEDOT:PSS (40 nm)/EML=Host+Guest 10% wt (100 nm)/LiF (1.2 nm)/Al (100 nm) with ITO/PEDOT:PSS as transparent anode and LiF/Al as metallic cathode. These data have been compared to the best host material reported to date, i.e. SPA-2,7,F(POPh)2 in order to explore the potential of the QPTZ fragment. As the device architecture is identical for both molecules, the different performance finds its origin only in the efficiency of the host material.

Considering the high $E_T$ and suitable energy levels, SQPTZ-2,7,F(POPh)2 was first considered as host for blue PhOLEDs, which are still the most challenging today in terms of performances. 9,22,24,52,54 In SL-PhOLEDs, only a few examples of blue emitting devices have been reported and almost all of them use the sky blue emitter FIrpic 43,55,58 (only one example is reported with a phosphor emitting at lower wavelength, i.e. FIr641). SQPTZ-2,7,F(POPh)2 as host in a FIrpic-based device displays a moderate EQE of 8.4 % (at 22.9 mA/cm2) with nevertheless a low threshold voltage ($V_{on}$) of 2.4 V. The EQE and corresponding current (CE) and power (PE) efficiencies are lower than those reported for SPA-2,7,F(POPh)2, 2,7 in strictly identical conditions (Table 2). This can be assigned to the more balance mobilities of hole and electron measured for SPA-2,7,F(POPh)2 vs SQPTZ-2,7,F(POPh)2 (24 vs 76, see above). Indeed, when the charge transport is not well balanced, the recombination rate drops down and the EQE decreases. This can also lead to a shift of the recombination zone from the EML centre and to a resulting exciton quenching by the electrodes. This confirms that the ambipolarity of the host is undoubtedly one of the key parameters to control in order to reach high EQE SL-PhOLEDs. At 10 mA/cm2, the difference between the two hosts is significantly less marked (EQE of 7.8 vs 12.5% for SQPTZ-2,7,F(POPh)2 and SPA-2,7,F(POPh)2 respectively). Two characteristics appear to be particularly interesting for SQPTZ-2,7,F(POPh)2 compare to SPA-2,7,F(POPh)2: the threshold voltage and the maximum luminance ($V_{on}$ = 2.4 vs 2.5 V and L = 9901 vs 8030 cd/m2). The $V_{on}$ difference is due to the gap contraction observed in SQPTZ-2,7,F(POPh)2 and the higher luminance to the increased morphological stability (see above). These two characteristics are the direct consequences of the QPTZ fragment and will be exacerbated for the three other phosphors as detailed below.

The green SL-PhOLEDs have been then investigated in a similar way using two green emitters: the well-known Ir(ppy)3 (HOMO: -4.97 eV/LUMO: -2.19 eV)41 and Ir(ppy)2acac (HOMO: -5.06 eV/LUMO: -2.20 eV, see SI). Oppositely to the blue phosphor presented above, the performance difference between the two hosts is strongly less marked for Ir(ppy)3-based devices (maximum EQE of 12.4 vs 16.4%, CE 43.4 vs 56.3 cd/A, 43.1 vs 53.6 lm/W for SQPTZ-2,7,F(POPh)2 and SPA-2,7,F(POPh)2). The difference even decreases upon increasing the current density (at 10 mA/cm2, an EQE of 9.7 % is measured for SQPTZ-2,7,F(POPh)2 vs 11.0 % for SPA-2,7,F(POPh)2) in accordance with the higher stability of SQPTZ-2,7,F(POPh)2. The same conclusions than those exposed above for FIrpic emitter, namely higher maximum luminance (ca 40000 cd/m2) and lower $V_{on}$ (2.2 V) can also be drawn in this case, confirming the positive influence of the QPTZ fragment on these parameters.

electron-only (Right) SCLC devices.
The second green emitter Ir(ppy)$_2$acac leads to similar performance than those obtained with SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh)$_2$ / Ir(ppy)$_3$ with nevertheless a higher EQE at 10 mA/cm$^2$ (10.4 %) and a higher maximum luminance (ca 45000 cd/m$^2$). The $V_{on}$ appears in this case very low, recorded at 2.1 V, Table 2, translating a very efficient charge injection within the device.

For the red phosphor, the performance of both SPA-2,7-F(POPh)$_2$ and SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh)$_2$ are, this time, almost identical with a maximum EQE around 8.5 %. The difference in term of maximum luminance is more pronounced with an increase of ca 30 % (6843 vs 9640 cd/m$^2$) in favour of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh)$_2$. This high luminance recorded at a high current density of 240 mA/cm$^2$ (vs 170 mA/cm$^2$ for SPA-2,7-F(POPh)$_2$) translates the excellent stability of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh)$_2$. The $V_{on}$ is also drastically reduced to 2.3 V (vs 2.8 V).

It should be finally stressed that all the devices exhibited red, green or blue emission arising exclusively from their corresponding iridium complex, showing an efficient energy transfer cascade (see electroluminescent spectra for all the device in Figure 7).

Figure 7. SL-PhOLEDs characteristics using SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh)$_2$ as host material. A) Current density (mA/cm$^2$) and luminance (cd/m$^2$) as a function of the voltage; B) Current efficiency (cd/A, filled symbols) and power efficiency (lm/W, empty symbol) as a function of the current density (mA/cm$^2$) and C) Normalized EL spectra.
Table 2. Best SL-PhOLEDs performance using SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh2)2 as host material. SPA-2,7-F(POPh2)2 is also reported for comparison purpose. Device structure: ITO/PEDOT:PSS (40 nm)/host + dopant (100 nm)/LiF (1.2 nm)/Al (100 nm). % of the phosphor used: 10% in mass. (E_T of Ir(MDQ)_2(acac) Ir(ppy)_3, Ir(ppy)_2acac and FIrpic are 2.08, 2.51, 2.46 and 2.72 eV in 2-Me-THF at 77 K).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>V_on (V)</th>
<th>EQE (%)</th>
<th>CE (cd/A)</th>
<th>PE (lm/W)</th>
<th>EQE (%)</th>
<th>CE (cd/A)</th>
<th>PE (lm/W)</th>
<th>L_{max} (cd/m^2)</th>
<th>CIE coordinates (x ; y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Red PhOLEDs (10% Ir(MDQ)_2(acac))</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh2)2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>8.4 (0.04)</td>
<td>9.3 (0.04)</td>
<td>8.6 (0.04)</td>
<td>9640 (240)</td>
<td>0.64 ; 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPA-2,7-F(POPh2)2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.7 (0.03)</td>
<td>9.1 (0.03)</td>
<td>7.0 (0.03)</td>
<td>6843 (170)</td>
<td>0.64 ; 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Green PhOLEDs (10% Ir(ppy)_3)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh2)2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>12.4 (0.08)</td>
<td>43.4 (0.08)</td>
<td>41.3 (0.08)</td>
<td>40360 (220)</td>
<td>0.32 ; 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPA-2,7-F(POPh2)2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>16.4 (0.04)</td>
<td>56.3 (0.04)</td>
<td>53.6 (0.04)</td>
<td>38970 (180)</td>
<td>0.31 ; 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Green PhOLEDs (10% Ir(ppy)_2acac)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh2)2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>11.3 (0.11)</td>
<td>38.3 (0.11)</td>
<td>38.8 (0.11)</td>
<td>44770 (220)</td>
<td>0.34 ; 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPA-2,7-F(POPh2)2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sky Blue PhOLEDs (10% FIrpic)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh2)2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.4 (22.9)</td>
<td>17.1 (22.9)</td>
<td>7.5 (22.9)</td>
<td>9901 (100)</td>
<td>0.18 ; 0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPA-2,7-F(POPh2)2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>18.0 (0.04)</td>
<td>39.0 (0.04)</td>
<td>38.4 (0.04)</td>
<td>8030 (80)</td>
<td>0.15 ; 0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To sum up, compared to the best universal host reported to date, namely SPA-2,7-F(POPh2)2, the new host material SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh2)2 incorporating the QPTZ fragment presents similar EQE for the red devices and lower EQE for the green and blue devices. The less balanced charge transport between electron and hole is surely at the origin of the different EQE. However, the particularity of the QPTZ unit has been clearly highlighted and this fragment displays very interesting properties for SL-PhOLEDs. In all the cases, the V_on of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh2)2 based devices are reported lower than those of SPA-2,7-F(POPh2)2 ones. The highest difference, 0.5 V, is observed for the red devices. This is an important characteristic in this technology, which translates the electrochemical gap difference between the two molecules (2.78 vs 2.65 eV). In addition, for all the phosphors investigated, the
maximum luminances of SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh\(_2\))\(_2\) based devices are higher than those of SPA-2,7-F(POPh\(_2\))\(_2\) based devices, demonstrating a good stability at high current density. A very high luminance of 45000 cd/m\(^2\) is particularly detected for the Ir(ppy)\(_3\)acac phosphor. Thus, thanks to high HOMO energy level and good stability at a high current density, the QPTZ fragment is at the origin of the low V\(_{on}\) and the high luminances obtained.

**Conclusion**

In this work, we have investigated the potential of the quinolinophenothiazine (QPTZ) fragment used in a host material for simplified SL-PhOLEDs. The QPTZ core has been attached to an efficient acceptor unit, namely diphenylphosphineoxide-fluorene through a classical D-spiro-A design. SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh\(_2\))\(_2\) has been successfully incorporated in red, green and blue SL-PhOLEDs with an average EQE of ca 10%. The luminances reached were high and above those reported with the best host material used in date in SL-PhOLEDs, namely SPA-2,7-F(POPh\(_2\))\(_2\). This means that SQPTZ-2,7-F(POPh\(_2\))\(_2\) based devices can support a higher current density than those based on SPA-2,7-F(POPh\(_2\))\(_2\). Thanks to its high HOMO energy level, the QPTZ unit also allows to decrease the threshold voltage of the corresponding devices, which is a key point in ‘single-layer’ technology. Thanks to these two appealing characteristics, QPTZ appears as a promising building unit and can advance the field of organic semi-conducting materials. We are convinced that the future development of QPTZ-based materials would also be appealing for other organic devices.
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