

Diastereoselective oxidation of menthyl arenesulfenates to sulfinates and access to enantioenriched aryl methyl sulfoxides

Matthieu Hamel, Michel Vazeux, Mihaela Gulea

▶ To cite this version:

Matthieu Hamel, Michel Vazeux, Mihaela Gulea. Diastereoselective oxidation of menthyl arenesulfenates to sulfinates and access to enantioenriched aryl methyl sulfoxides. Chemical Papers, 2021, 75 (11), pp.6137-6143. 10.1007/s11696-021-01759-9. cea-03334207

HAL Id: cea-03334207 https://cea.hal.science/cea-03334207v1

Submitted on 3 Sep 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Diastereoselective oxidation of menthyl arenesulfenates to sulfinates and access to enantioenriched aryl methyl sulfoxides

Matthieu Hamela,b*, Michel Vazeuxa, Mihaela Guleaa,c

a Normandie University, ENSICAEN, UNICAEN, CNRS, Laboratoire de Chimie Moléculaire et Thioorganique, 14000 Caen, France

b Current position: Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, List, F-91120 Palaiseau, France

c Current position: Laboratoire d'Innovation Thérapeutique, UMR7200, Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, Faculté de Pharmacie, 74, route du Rhin, 67401 Illkirch-Graffenstaden, France

matthieu.hamel@cea.fr; ORCID 0000-0002-3499-3966 (MH), 0000-0002-2945-0078 (MG)

Keywords: Sulfenate ester; sulfinate ester; sulfoxide; diastereoselective oxidation

Abstract: Three aryl methyl sulfoxides were prepared via the following sequence: synthesis of the menthyl arenesulfenate from the corresponding thiol, diastereoselective oxidation leading to the sulfinate, then reaction with a Grignard reagent. The aryl moiety was substituted with a methyl ester on the ortho position or a nitro group on the ortho or the para position. The sulfenate esters were obtained in fair to excellent yield (42-

Four different oxidizing agents were tested to obtain the corresponding sulfinate esters with diastereomeric excesses (de) ranging from 10 to 48%. After separation of the diastereomers and reaction with methyl Grignard reagent, two enantiopure sulfoxides, and one enantioenriched sulfoxide (32% ee) were obtained.

Introduction

The interest of tri-coordinated sulfur derivatives and especially sulfoxides has successfully mutated from purely academic research to synthetic applications these last decades (Wojaczyńska and Wojaczyński 2010; Otocka et al. 2017; Wojaczyńska and Wojaczyński 2020). This success is mainly due to the discovery in the 1980s of the use of sulfoxides as efficient chiral auxiliaries for asymmetric synthesis. For example, enantiopure sulfoxides have found many applications in chiral induction (Fernández and Khiar 2003), asymmetric catalysis (Pellissier 2006; Han et al. 2018), and drug design, with the well-known Esomeprazole or its derivatives (Cotton et al. 2000; Bentley 2005; O'Mahony et al. 2011), Sulforaphane and its analogs (Kiełbasiński et al. 2014).

Some years ago, we developed an innovative access to enantiopure *ortho*-phosphorylated phenylsulfoxides, by using, as the key step, an asymmetric oxidation of sulfenates to sulfinates (Hamel et al. 2005; Abrunhosa et al. 2005). *ortho*-Phosphorylated phenylsulfinate esters have been extensively studied by the groups of Drabowicz and Mikołajczyk, and such structures have found applications as mono- or bidentate ligands for the preparation of cisplatin derivatives (Laforgia et al. 2004, 2005; Mauger et al. 2000; Hamel et al. 2013). In this work, we demonstrated the potential of this approach by its extension to other arenesulfenate substrates, bearing an electron-withdrawing group (i.e. nitro or carboxylic ester) in the *ortho* or *para* position of the aryl moiety.

Materials and Methods

Reactions were carried out under nitrogen atmosphere. THF was purified with a PURESOLVTM apparatus developed by Innovative Technology Inc. Triethylamine (Et₃N) was distilled over calcium hydride (CaH₂). Carbon tetrachloride CCl₄ was distilled over phosphorus pentoxide (P₂O₅) and stored over CaCl₂ under a nitrogen atmosphere. The synthesis of methyl thiosalicylate was performed according to a published procedure (yield 83%; lit. 97% as the crude material (Hamel et al. 2005)).

NMR spectra were recorded in deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃), unless otherwise stated on either a 250 MHz or 400 MHz NMR spectrometer. Chemical shifts δ were indicated in ppm using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard. ¹³C {¹H} NMR spectra were recorded at 62.9 or 100.6 MHz. Mass spectra were obtained on a GC/MS Saturn 2000 or on a Waters QTOF micro. Optical rotation values were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 241 automatic polarimeter; specific rotation and concentration are given in deg $\rm cm^3~g^{-1}~dm^{-1}$ and g $\rm cm^{-3}$, respectively. IR spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer 16 PC FT-IR instrument. Satisfactory analytical data were obtained using a THERMOQUEST NA 2500 instrument. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel 60 F_{254} , with UV detection or potassium permanganate solution (5% aqueous) staining. Flash chromatography was achieved on silica gel columns (40 – 63 µm) using nitrogen pressure.

General procedure for the synthesis of sulfenate esters 1a-c.

Sulfuryl chloride (1.3 equiv, 9.5 mmol) was slowly added to a previously stirred solution of arenethiol (1 equiv, 7.3 mmol) in dichloromethane (20mL). The resulting solution was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. After evacuation of the solvent and gases, tetrahydrofuran (THF, 25 mL) was added followed by (–)-menthol (1 equiv, 7.3 mmol) and trifluoroacetic anhydride (0.1 equiv, 0.73 mmol). After cooling to –78 °C, triethylamine (2 equiv., 14.6 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was allowed to reach room temperature, stirred for one hour and then hydrolyzed with a solution of sulphuric acid (H_2SO_4 , 5% aqueous). The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined extracts were washed with a 5% solution of potassium carbonate (K_2CO_3) followed by brine, dried over magnesium sulfate (MgSO₄) and concentrated. The resulting oil was purified by silica gel chromatography.

Typical oxidation procedure for the synthesis of sulfinate esters 2a-c.

With mCPBA

Sulfenate ester **1** (1 equiv, 0.5 mmol) was oxidized by the addition of *meta*-chloroperbenzoic acid (mCPBA, 1 equiv, 0.5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ at -78 °C, after which the reaction was allowed to reach room temperature. The solvent was evaporated and the crude sulfinate **2** directly purified by silica gel chromatography.

With NBS/H2O

N-Bromosuccinimide (NBS, 1 equiv., 1 mmol) was added portionwise to a stirred solution of menthyl sulfenate $\bf 1$ (1 equiv., 1 mmol) in a mixture of acetonitrile and water 2:1. The concentration of sulfenate ester was ca. 50 mm. After addition of all the NBS, stirring was continued for 2 h and the mixture was quenched by adding a solution of H_2SO_4 (5% aqueous). The aqueous layer was extracted three times with dichloromethane. The organic layer was successively washed out with a solution of potassium carbonate (5% aqueous) and sodium thiosulfate (5% aqueous) in water. After drying and evaporation of the organic solvent, the crude extract, whose purity was usually satisfactory as shown by the NMR spectrum, was purified on a silica gel column with pentane—ethyl acetate as the eluent to obtain menthyl sulfinates $\bf 2$.

With (+)- or (-)-(8,8-dichlorocamphorylsulfonyl)oxaziridine

The chiral (8,8-dichlorocamphorylsulfonyl)oxaziridine (1 equiv, 0.5 mmol) was added portionwise to a stirred solution of sulfenate ester 1 (1 equiv, 0.5 mmol) in carbon tetrachloride under a nitrogen atmosphere. After complete conversion, the solvent was evaporated and the residue dissolved in pentane. The mixture was filtered and washed once with pentane. The sulfinate was further purified on silica gel chromatography when necessary.

Grignard reaction with sulfinate esters

Methyl magnesium bromide (MeMgBr, 1.1 equiv, 1.21 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of menthyl sulfinate $\bf 2a-c$ (1 equiv, 1 mmol) in 10 mL of diethyl ether at 0 °C. The resulting

mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, after which 10 mL of a 5% aqueous solution of sulphuric acid was added and the aqueous layer extracted with diethyl ether. The organic extracts were washed with a solution of potassium carbonate (5% aqueous) followed by brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, evaporated and the mixture was further purified on silica gel chromatography, yielding $\bf 3a\text{-c}$. No scale-up experiment was performed in this study.

Numeral identifications of carbon atoms for compounds listed below are arbitrary and given only for helping the description of NMR spectra, e.g. with compound **1b**.

(-)-Menthyl 2-(methoxycarbonyl)benzenesulfenate 1a. 78% yield; R_f 0.63 (pentane/ethyl acetate 90:10). White solid; mp 69 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –53.9 (c 0.9 in CHCl₃): ¹H NMR (250 MHz. δ): 7.97 (dd. 1H. ${}^{3}J = 7.8$, ${}^{4}J = 1.3$, H₆), 7.79 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J = 8.2$, H₃), 7.54 (dt, 1H, ${}^{3}J =$ 7.2, ${}^{4}J = 1.4$, H₄), 7.14 (dt, 1H, ${}^{3}J = 7.8$, ${}^{4}J = 1.0$, H₅), 3.92 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.54 (dt, ${}^{3}J = 10.6$, ${}^{3}J = 4.1$, H₁), 2.42 – 2.48 (m, 1H, H₆), 2.27 - 2.41 (m, 1H, H_{3'}), 1.61 - 1.72 (m, 2H, H_{3'}, H_{5'}), 1.41 - 1.58 $(m, 1H, H_{4'}), 1.23 - 1.40 (m, 2H, H_{4'}, H_{6'}), 1.01 - 1.14 (m, 1H, H_{8'}),$ 0.97 (d, 3H, ${}^{3}J$ = 7.0, H₇), 0.90 – 0.97 (m, 1H, H₃), 0.88 (d, 6H, ${}^{3}J$ = 6.4, $H_{9'}$); ¹³C NMR (62.9 MHz, δ): 167.4 (C=O), 151.2 (C₂), 132.7 (C_4) , 130.3 (C_6) , 123.3 (C_3) , 121.3 (C_5) , 121.0 (C_1) , 86.6 $(C_{1'})$, 52.4 (CH_3O) , 49.0 $(C_{2'})$, 41.0 $(C_{6'})$, 34.3 $(C_{4'})$, 31.9 $(C_{5'})$, 25.6 $(C_{8'})$, 23.3 $(C_{3'})$, 22.2 $(C_{7'})$, 21.1 $(C_{9'})$, 16.1 $(C_{9'})$; IR (KBr) v = 1690 (C=O st), 757; EIMS (m/z (%)): 322 (1) [M+]; 184 (85), 167 (26), 152 (100), 83 (33), 69 (15), 55 (30), 41 (15), Anal. calcd. for C₁₈H₂₆O₃S: C 67.04, H 8.13, S 9.94, found: C 66.47, H 8.20, S 10.47.

(-)-Menthyl 2-nitrobenzenesulfenate 1b; 82% yield; R_f 0.74 (pentane/ethyl acetate 90:10). Yellow solid; mp 91 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –17.0 (c 1 in CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, δ): 8.28 (dd, 1H, ³J = 8.4, ⁴J = 1.3, H_3), 7.90 (dd, 1H, ${}^3J = 8.2$, ${}^4J = 1.0$, H_6), 7.66 (dt, 1H, ${}^3J = 7.1$, $^{4}J = 1.3, H_{5}$, 7.26 (dt, 1H, $^{3}J = 7.3, ^{4}J = 1.1, H_{4}$), 3.61 (dt, 1H, $^{3}J =$ $10.7, {}^{3}J = 4.2, H_{1}, 2.40 \text{ (ddd, 1H, }^{3}J = 7.0, {}^{3}J = 6.9, {}^{4}J = 2.7, H_{6},$ 2.25 - 2.28 (m, 1H, H_{3'}), 1.64 - 1.72 (m, 2H, H_{3'}, H_{5'}), 1.46 - 1.52 $H_{8'}$), 0.99 (d, 3H, ${}^{3}J$ = 7.0, $H_{7'}$), 0.90 (d, 3H, ${}^{3}J$ = 6.5, $H_{9'}$), 0.88 (d, 3H, ${}^{3}J$ = 6.9, H_{9'}); ${}^{13}C$ NMR (62.9 MHz, δ): 148.0 (C₂), 134.1 (C₁), $134.0\;(C_4),\;125.1\;(C_5),\;124.3\;(C_6),\;123.1\;(C_3),\;87.0\;(C_{1'}),\;49.0\;(C_{2'}),$ 41.0 ($C_{6'}$), 34.2 ($C_{4'}$), 31.8 ($C_{5'}$), 25.7 ($C_{8'}$), 23.3 ($C_{3'}$), 22.1 ($C_{7'}$), 21.0 (C₉), 16.1 (C₉); IR (KBr) v = 1504 (NO₂), 734; EIMS (m/z(%)): 332 (100) $[M + Na]^+$, 194 (32); HRMS (ESI, m/z): $[M + Na]^+$ calcd for C₁₆H₂₃NO₃NaS: 332.1296, found 332.1315; Anal. calcd. for C₁₆H₂₃NO₃S: C 62.11, H 7.50; found C 62.03, H 7.99.

(–)-Menthyl 4-nitrobenzenesulfenate **1c**; 42% yield; R_f 0.78 (pentane/ethyl acetate 90:10). Yellow solid; mp 70 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ – 162.3 (*c* 1.15 in CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, δ): 8.18 (dt, 2H, ³J = 9.0, ⁴J = 2.0, H₃), 7.31 (dt, 2H, ³J = 9.0, ⁴J = 1.9, H₂), 3.53 (dt, 1H, ³J = 10.7, ³J = 4.3, H₁), 2.22 – 2.37 (m, 2H, H₃, H₆), 1.62 – 1.71 (m, 2H, H₄, H₅), 1.30 – 1.49 (m, 2H, H₂, H₃), 0.97 (d, 3H, ³J = 7.0, H₇), 0.94 – 1.07 (m, 2H, H₆, H₈), 0.91 (d, 3H, ³J = 6.6, H₉), 0.80 – 0.87 (m, 1H, H₄), 0.82 (d, 3H, ³J = 7.0, H₉); ¹³C NMR (62.9 MHz,

δ): 151.9 (C₄), 144.1 (C₁), 123.0 (C₆), 119.4 (C₅), 87.9 (C_{1'}), 47.8 (C_{2'}), 39.9 (C_{6'}), 33.0 (C_{4'}), 30.8 (C_{5'}), 24.5 (C_{8'}), 22.2 (C_{3'}), 21.1 (C_{7'}), 20.0 (C_{9'}), 15.0 (C_{9'}); IR (KBr) v = 1576, 1507 (NO₂), 1337; HRMS (ESI, m/z): $[M + Na]^+$ calcd for C₁₆H₂₃NO₃NaS: calcd 332.1296, found 332.1303.

(-)-Menthyl (*S*)-2-(methoxycarbonyl)benzenesulfinate (*S*)-2a; 68% yield; R_f 0.3 (pentane/diethyl ether 70:30). White solid; mp 146 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –360.0 (*c* 0.6, CHCl₃); Lit. (Klunder and Sharpless 1987): –348.6 (*c* 1.18, acetone); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, δ): 8.26 (dd, H, ³*J* = 8.0, ⁴*J* = 1.2, H₆), 7.99 (dd, 1H, ³*J* = 7.6, ⁴*J* = 1.2, H₃), 7.71 (dt, 1H, ³*J* = 7.0, ⁴*J* = 1.2, H₄), 7.54 (dt, 1H, ³*J* = 7.1, ⁴*J* = 1.2, H₅), 4.08 (dt, 1H, ³*J* = 10.8, ³*J* = 4.4, H₁), 3.91 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.28 – 2.31 (m, 1H, H₆·), 2.03 – 2.07 (m, 1H, H₃·), 1.60 – 1.64 (m, 2H, H₃·, H₅·), 1.33 – 1.47 (m, 1H), 1.23 – 1.28 (m, 1H), 0.94 – 1.14 (m, 2H), 0.91 (d, 3H, ³*J* = 6.4, H₇·), 0.80 (d, 3H, ³*J* = 6.8, H₉·), 0.76 – 0.84 (m, 1H, H₃·), 0.67 (d, 3H, ³*J* = 7.2, H₉·); ¹³C NMR (62.9 MHz, δ): 166.0 (C=O), 148.7 (C₂), 133.5 (C₄), 131.7 (C₆), 131.1 (C₃), 128.4 (C₅), 124.9 (C₁), 80.4 (C₁·), 52.9 (CH₃O), 48.3 (C₂), 42.5 (C₆·), 34.4 (C₄·), 32.1 (C₅·), 25.6 (C₈·), 23.6 (C₃·), 22.5 (C₇·), 21.2 (C₉·), 15.9 (C₉·).

(-)-Menthyl (S)-2-nitrobenzenesulfinate (S)-2b; 78% yield (for both diastereomers); R_f 0.47 (pentane/diethyl ether 60:40). Clear yellow solid; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –413.9 (c 1.95, CHCl₃); Lit. (Hajipour and Islami 2000): -447.3 (c 1.5, acetone); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, δ): 8.36 (dd, 1H, ${}^{3}J$ = 7.6, ${}^{4}J$ = 1.2, H₆), 8.23 (dd, 1H, ${}^{3}J$ = 8.0, ${}^{4}J$ = 1.2, H₃), 7.92 (dt, 1H, ${}^{3}J$ = 7.6, ${}^{4}J$ = 1.2, H₄), 7.73 (dt, 1H, ${}^{3}J$ = 7.6, ${}^{4}J$ = 1.6, H₅), 4.16 (dt, 1H, ${}^{3}J$ = 10.8, ${}^{3}J$ = 4.4, H₁), 2.34 – 2.39 (m, 1H, H₆), 2.03 -2.06 (m, 1H, H_{3'}), 1.65 - 1.71 (m, 2H, H_{2'}, H_{6'}), 1.45 - 1.55 (m, 2H, $H_{4'}$), 1.04 – 1.17 (m, 2H, $H_{5'}$, $H_{8'}$), 0.98 (d, 3H, $^{3}J = 6.8$, $H_{7'}$), 0.82 (d, 3H, ${}^{3}J$ = 7.2, H₉), 0.82 – 0.88 (m, 1H, H₃), 0.76 (d, 3H, ${}^{3}J$ = 6.8, H_{9}); ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, δ): 145.7 (C_2), 142.8 (C_1), 134.9 (C_4) , 132.4 (C_5) , 126.3 (C_6) , 125.0 (C_3) , 81.1 $(C_{1'})$, 48.0 $(C_{2'})$, 41.4 $(C_{6'}),\ 34.0\ (C_{4'}),\ 31.9\ (C_{5'}),\ 25.3\ (C_{8'}),\ 23.3\ (C_{3'}),\ 22.2\ (C_{7'}),\ 20.9$ (C_{9}) , 15.6 (C_{9}) ; IR (KBr) v = 1531 (NO₂), 1456, 1349, 1137, 949, 757; EIMS (m/z (%)): 348 (11) [M+Na], 228 (9), 210 (100); HRMS (ESI, m/z): $[M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{16}H_{23}NO_4NaS$: 348.1245, found 348.1247.

(*C*)-Menthyl (*R*)-2-nitrobenzenesulfinate (*R*)-2b; R_f 0.38 (pentane/diethyl ether 60:40). Clear yellow solid [α]_D²⁰ +266.2 (*c* 1.42 in CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, δ): 8.32 (dd, 1H, 3J = 8.0, 4J = 1.6, H₆), 8.23 (dd, 1H, 3J = 8.0, 4J = 1.2, H₃), 7.90 (dt, 1H, 3J = 7.6, 4J = 1.2, H₄), 7.72 (dt, 1H, 3J = 8.0, 4J = 1.6, H₅), 4.26 (dt, 1H, 3J = 10.8, 3J = 4.4, H₁), 2.22 – 2.28 (m, 1H, H₆), 1.78 – 1.85 (m, 1H, H₃), 1.62 – 1.66 (m, 2H, H₂, H₆), 1.41 – 1.51 (m, 1H, H₄), 1.18 – 1.33 (m, 2H, H₅, H₈), 0.99 – 1.10 (m, 1H, H₄), 0.91 (d, 3H, 3J = 6.8, H₇), 0.76 – 0.84 (m, 1H, H₃), 0.78 (d, 3H, 3J = 6.8, H₉); 13C NMR (100.6 MHz, δ): 145.3 (C₂), 142.9 (C₁), 135.0 (C₄), 132.5 (C₅), 126.1 (C₆), 125.0 (C₃), 83.1 (C₁), 48.4 (C₂), 43.4 (C₆), 33.9 (C₄), 31.8 (C₅), 25.0 (C₈), 23.1 (C₃), 22.1 (C₇), 21.0 (C₉), 15.4 (C₉).

(–)-Menthyl 4-nitrobenzenesulfinate **2c** (mixture of diastereomers); 75% yield; R_f 0.2 (pentane/ethyl acetate 90:10). White solid; mp 75 °C; [α]_D²⁰ –100.7 (c 0.4, CHCl₃); for a de 32%, dr 34/66; Lit. (Hajipour and Islami 2000): –438 (c 1.2, acetone); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, δ): 8.39 (t, 2H, 3J = 8.8, H₃), 7.92 (d, 2H, 3J = 8.8, H₂), 4.23 & 4.28 (dt, 1H, 3J = 10.7, 3J = 4.5, H_{1'}), 0.72 – 2.36 (m, 20H); ¹³C NMR (62.9 MHz, δ): 152.4, 150.2, 126.6, 126.1, 124.4, 124.3, 83.7, 81.7, 48.8, 48.0, 43.7, 42.9, 34.0, 33.9, 32.0, 31.9, 25.8, 25.5, 23.3, 23.2, 22.2, 22.0, 21.0, 20.9, 15.8, 15.6; IR (KBr)

v = 1529, 1346, 1141, 763; EIMS (m/z (%)): 326 (1) [M+], 170 (7), 139 (33), 83 (100), 69 (19), 55 (48).

(*R*)-(+)-Methyl 2-methylsulfinylbenzoate (*R*)-3a; Yield 25%; R₁ 0.2 (ethyl acetate/pentane 80:20). White solid; [α]_D²⁰ +139.0 (*c* 1, CHCl₃); Lit. (Pitchen et al. 1984), for 60% ee: +84.0 (*c* 0.4, acetone); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, δ): 8.32 (dd, 1H, 3J = 7.9, 4J = 1.2), 8.09 (dd, 1H, 3J = 7.8, 4J = 1.2), 7.84 (dt, 1H, 3J = 8.0, 4J = 1.3), 7.58 (dt, 1H, 3J = 7.1, 4J = 1.2), 3.96 (s, 3H, CH₃O), 2.85 (s, 3H, CH₃).

(*R*)-(+)-Methyl 2-nitrophenyl sulfoxide (*R*)-3b; Yield 62%; R_f 0.2 (ethyl acetate). White solid; $[α]_D^{20}$ +164.6 (*c* 1, CHCl₃); Lit. (Brunel and Kagan 1996), for 75% ee: +118.5. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, δ): 8.03 (d, 1H, 3J = 8.0), 6.92 – 7.01 (m, 2H), 6.62 (t, 1H, 3J = 7.1), 2.22 (s, 3H, CH₃).

Methyl 4-nitrophenyl sulfoxide **3c**; Yield 59%; R_f 0.2 (ethyl acetate). White solid; [α]_D²⁰ +50.0 (*c* 1 in CHCl₃); Lit. (Brunel et al. 1995), for 99.3% ee: +156.9 (*c* 0.75 in CHCl₃); 1 H NMR (400 MHz, δ): 8.36 (d, 2H, 3 J = 8.2), 7.80 (d, 2H, 3 J = 7.9), 2.28 (s, 3H, CH₃).

Results and Discussion

In order to demonstrate its potential, the sequence we described earlier Hamel et al. 2005) has been extended to other substrates. Thus, aryl methyl sulfoxides where the aromatic ring is functionalized with either a nitro (in ortho or para position) or an ortho-methoxycarbonyl groups were prepared following this strategy. The overall reaction sequence is described in Scheme 1. Some of the diastereomerically pure sulfinate esters 2a, b and c have previously been reported by other groups. 2a was prepared starting from the corresponding sulfinyl chloride which reacts with menthol, with a low diastereoselectivity (Klunder and Sharpless 1987). Compounds 2b and 2c were obtained from the reaction of ortho- or para-nitrophenyldisulfide with menthol in the presence of lead tetraacetate, with a moderate diastereomeric excess (Hajipour and Islami 2000). Another method consists in reacting the sulfonyl chloride and the alcohol in the presence of trimethylphosphite in dichloromethane (Lee et al. 1994) or under solid state conditions (Hajipour and Islami 1999). Crystal structure of (S)-2a has even been assigned, with a hydrogen bond linking the oxygen of the sulfinate ester with the hydrogen located in the para position of the methoxycarbonyl group (Altamura et al. 2013).

Scheme 1. Synthesis of sulfoxides 3a-c from the corresponding arylthiols.

In the literature the three sulfoxides **3a-c** have been prepared in an (almost) enantiopure form. Some generic methods for their preparation involve either the enantioselective oxidation of the corresponding sulfide, catalyzed for instance with an organometallic chiral complex, with an enzyme, or via the synthesis of chiral sulfinate esters.

Table 1 gives some examples of the oxidation of the prochiral sulfide leading to the sulfoxides 3a-c. These examples were sorted so that both enantiomeric excess and chemical yield were optimum.

According to the description of the synthesis given in Scheme 1, we started from commercial aromatic thiols. Methyl thiosalicylate was prepared according to a published reaction (Sasaki et al. 1991). The thiols were first converted into sulfenyl chlorides, then reacted with (–)-menthol in the presence of triethylamine and a catalytic amount of trifluoroacetic acid anhydride (TFAA). Enantiopure menthyl sulfenate esters were thus obtained in good yields, from 50 up to 78%.

The second step consists in the diastereoselective oxidation to afford the menthyl sulfinates. Various methods can be used for

the oxidation of sulfenate esters to their corresponding sulfinates. We used both achiral and chiral oxidants, the two chiral compounds being the (+)- and the (-)-isomers of (8,8-dichlorocamphorylsulfonyl)oxaziridine (hereafter, designated as (+)-Ox and (-)-Ox, for the sake of clarity), whereas simple oxidants were either *m*CPBA or the couple NBS/H₂O. Oxidations of **1b** and **1c** were performed only with achiral oxidants. Diastereoselectivity was measured following the ¹H NMR chemical shift from the CH-O of the menthyl moiety. The results are summarized in Table 2.

Table 1. Some examples of selective sulfide oxidation leading to enantiopure sulfoxides 3a-c.

Sulfoxide	Config.	Yield (%)	ee (%)	Method	Ref.
3a	(R)	53	99	Ti(O <i>i</i> -Pr) ₄ /(<i>R</i> , <i>R</i>)-DET 1:4	De Sio et al. 2010
3a	(R)	95	80	Mn(OTf) ₂ /porphyrin deriv./H ₂ O ₂	Dai et al. 2014
3a	(S)	n.d.	82	Myoglobin active site mutants L29H/H64L	Ozaki et al. 1999
3b	(R)	90	92	H ₂ O ₂ , chiral amino alcohol, VO(acac) ₂	Aydin 2013
3b	(S)	84	99	H ₂ O ₂ , Al salalen	Matsumoto et al. 2008
3c	(R)	95	99.5	H ₂ O ₂ , MgSO ₄ , chiral Brønsted acid	Liao et al. 2012
3c	(S)	92	92	H₂O₂, Ti Salen	Saito et al. 2001
3c	(S)	66	96	N,N'-dioxide-iron(III) complex	Wang et al. 2020

Table 2. Diastereoselective oxidation of arenesulfenate esters 1

Table 2. Diagram control extraction of archeountriate esters 1							
Entry	Sulfenate	Oxidant	Conditions	Sulfinate	Yield (%)	dr	de (%)
1	1a	NBS/H ₂ O	CH ₃ CN/H ₂ O, 20 °C, 2 h	2a	68	69:31	38
2	1a	mCPBA	CH_2CI_2 , -78 \rightarrow 20 °C	2a	90	45:55	10
3	1a	(+)-Ox	CCl ₄ , 20 °C, 15 d	2a	56	39:61	22
4	1a	(–)-Ox	CCl ₄ , 20 °C, 15 d	2a	63	65:35	30
5	1b	NBS/H ₂ O	CH ₃ CN/H ₂ O, 20 °C, 2 h	2b	6	72:28	44
6	1b	mCPBA	CH_2CI_2 , -78 \rightarrow 20 °C	2b	78	42:58	16
7	1c	NBS/H ₂ O	CH ₃ CN/H ₂ O, 20 °C, 2 h	2c	69	74:26	48
8	1c	mCPBA	CH_2CI_2 , -78 \rightarrow 20 °C	2c	75	34:66	32

Table 3. Transformation of arenesulfinate esters 2 into enantioenriched sulfoxides 3

Entry	Sulfinate	dr (config.) ^a	Sulfoxide	Yield (%)	ee (%) (config.) ^a	Measured [α] _D ²⁰
1	2a	100:0 ^b (S)	3a	25	100 (<i>R</i>)	+84.0 (c 1, CHCl ₃)
2	2b	100:0 ^b (S)	3b	62	100 (<i>R</i>)	+164.6 (c 1, CHCl ₃)
3	2c	34:66 (S)	3c	59	32 (<i>R</i>)	+50.0 (c 1, CHCl ₃)

^a absolute configuration at sulfur atom

All these oxidations performed smoothly and led to good conversions, but took an unexpected long time when performed with the oxaziridine (up to 15 days). As previously stated, oxidation with NBS/H₂O couple did not favor the same diastereomer as when performing the oxidation with mCPBA (Hamel et al. 2005). For the three sulfinate esters 2, the observed diastereomeric excess was in the range of 10-48%, so slightly lower than those obtained with ortho-phosphorylated menthyl benzenesulfinate (Hamel et al. 2005). Moreover, the best diastereomeric ratio values were obtained when oxidizing compounds 1 with the NBS/H₂O couple. Surprisingly, the best de was observed with 1c, suggesting that the position of the substituent had almost no influence on the steric hindrance, the latter being therefore driven by the menthyl moiety. Therefore, one or the other diastereomer can be favored either by using mCPBA or NBS/ H_2O or by using (–)- or (+)-menthol.

Starting from the diastereomeric mixtures obtained respectively in entries 2 and 6 from Table 2, the diastereomerically pure major sulfinates ${\bf 2a}$ and ${\bf 2b}$ have been successfully separated using silica gel chromatography or by separative crystallization in acetone. In the case of ${\bf 2c}$ (entry 8, Table 2), the diastereomer separation was not possible. Specific rotation for optically pure compounds ${\bf 2a}$ and ${\bf 2b}$ are negative with respective values of -360.0 and -453.2 (c 0.6 and 1 in chloroform). These are slightly more negative than reported in the literature with respective $[\alpha]_0^{20}$ of -348.6 and -447.7 (c 1.18 (Klunder and Sharpless 1987) and 1.5 in acetone (Hajipour and Islami 2000)). According to the literature, these sulfinate esters have the (S) configuration at the sulfur atom.

From the two optically pure sulfinate esters (S_s) -2a and (S_s) -2b and the optically enriched compound 2c, the preparation of the

corresponding methyl aryl sulfoxides 3a-c has been performed. As methylmagnesium bromide is able to attack both the electrophilic sulfur atom and the ester function of a sulfinate, to limit the second undesired process, the reaction was performed at 0 °C with a very slight excess of Grignard reagent. However, a low yield was obtained for 3a (25%), whereas 3b and 3c were isolated with satisfactory yields of 62% and 59%, respectively (Table 3). The comparison of the specific rotation values of the obtained aryl methyl sulfoxides with data from the literature (see in the experimental part), allowed us to assign the absolute (R) configuration at sulfur in the three cases. This was expected to be obtained after full inversion of configuration at the sulfur atom from the starting corresponding (Ss)-sulfinates 2a-c leading to sulfoxides (R)-3a and (R)-3b in an optically pure form (entries 1 and 2, Table 3), and (R)-3c with 32% ee (entry 3, Table 3). Despite the fact that this method was exemplified on a small number of substrates, the obtained results are encouraging for application on other arylsulfides bearing an electron withdrawing substituent on the aromatic ring. The oxidation of sulfenate esters was performed with simple oxidants such as mCPBA or NBS/H₂O and the asymmetric induction was provided by the cheap

Conclusion

In conclusion, an efficient method for the access to three *ortho* and *para* substituted aryl methyl sulfoxides is described herein.

enantiopure menthol. Since the chiral induction is provided by the

alcohol, one can expect that this strategy should work not only for

arylsulfenates but also for alkylsulfenates as well.

^b dr after separation of diastereomers

The diastereoselective oxidation of sulfenate to sulfinate ester is the key step, leading to diastereomeric excesses ranging from 10 to 48%. After separation of the diastereomers and reaction with methyl Grignard, two enantiopure sulfoxides, the (Rs)-(2-methoxycarbonylphenyl) methyl sulfoxide and the (Rs)-2-nitrophenyl methyl sulfoxide, and one enantioenriched (32% ee) were obtained.

Acknowledgements

The Authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the Ministère de la Recherche for the grant allowed to MH for his PhD thesis. The Reviewers and the Editor are also gratefully acknowledged for their valuable comments.

Conflict of interest statement

The Authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Abrunhosa I, Drabowicz J, Grach G, Gulea M, Hamel M, Masson S, Mikolajczyk M, Vazeux M (2005) New chiral *ortho-P,S*-difunctionnalized aromatic compounds. Phosphorus, Sulfur and Silicon 180(5-6):1267-1272. https://doi.org/10.1080/10426500590911467

Altamura M, Guidi A, Jierry L, Paoli P, Rossi P (2013) (1*R*,2*S*,5*R*)-(–)-Menthyl (*S*)-2-(methoxycarbonyl)benzenesulfinate. Acta Cryst E69:o731. https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536813009112

Aydin AE (2013) Synthesis of novel β -amino alcohols and their application in the catalytic asymmetric sulfoxidation of sulfides. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 24(8):444-448.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetasy.2013.03.011

Bentley R (2005) Role of sulfur chirality in the chemical processes of biology. Chem Soc Rev 34(7):609-624. https://doi.org/10.1039/B418284G

Brunel J-M, Diter P, Duetsch M, Kagan HB (1995) Highly Enantioselective Oxidation of Sulfides Mediated by a Chiral Titanium Complex. J Org Chem 60(24):8086-8088. https://doi.org/10.1021/jo00129a060

Brunel J-M, Kagan HB (1996) Catalytic enantioselective oxidation of sulfides with a chiral titanium complex. Bull Soc Chim Fr 133(11): 1109-1115.

Cotton H, Elebring T, Larsson M, Li L, Sörensen H, von Unge S (2000) Asymmetric synthesis of esomeprazole. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 11(18):3819-3825. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0957-4166(00)00352-9

Dai W, Li G, Wang L, Chen B, Shang S, Lv Y, Gao S (2014) Enantioselective oxidation of sulfides with H_2O_2 catalyzed by a pre-formed manganese complex. RSC Adv 4(87):46545-46554. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RA09832C

De Sio V, Massa A, Scettri A (2010) Chiral sulfoxides as activators of allyl trichlorosilanes in the stereoselective allylation of aldehydes. Org Biomol Chem 8(13):3055-3059. https://doi.org/10.1039/C002988B

Fernández A, Khiar N (2003) Recent Developments in the Synthesis and Utilization of Chiral Sulfoxides. Chem Rev 103(9):3651-3706. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr990372u

Hajipour A, Islami F (1999) A convenient and highly diastereoselective synthesis of optically active sulfinate esters from sulfonyl chlorides under solid state conditions. Indian J Chem 38B(04):461-462.

http://nopr.niscair.res.in/handle/123456789/16422

Hajipour AR, Islami F (2000) Highly diastereoselective synthesis and easy method for synthesis of optically active sulfinate esters from aromatic disulfides. Indian J Chem 39B(07):536-538. http://nopr.niscair.res.in/handle/123456789/22538

Hamel M, Grach G, Abrunhosa I, Gulea M, Masson S, Vazeux M, Drabowicz J, Mikolajczyk M (2005) Asymmetric oxidation of sulfenates to sulfinates as a new route to optically active *ortho*-phosphorylated phenyl sulfoxides. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 16(20):3406-3415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetasy.2005.09.005

Hamel M, Lecinq M, Gulea M, Kozelka J (2013) *Ortho* (methylsulfanyl)phenylphosphonates and derivatives: Synthesis and applications as mono- or bidentate ligands for the preparation of platinum complexes. J Organomet Chem 745-746:206-213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jorganchem.2013.07.069

Han J, Soloshonok VA, Klika KD, Drabowicz J, Wzorek A (2018) Chiral sulfoxides: advances in asymmetric synthesis and problems with the accurate determination of the stereochemical outcome. Chem Soc Rev 47(4):1307-1350. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6CS00703A

Kiełbasiński P, Łuczak J, Cierpiał T, Błaszczyk J, Sieroń L, Wiktorska K, Lubelska K, Milczarek M, Chilmończyk Z (2014) New enantiomeric fluorine-containing derivatives of sulforaphane: Synthesis, absolute configurations and biological activity. Eur J Med Chem 76:332-342. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2014.02.036

Klunder JM, Sharpless KB (1987) Convenient synthesis of sulfinate esters from sulfonyl chlorides. J Org Chem 52(12):2598-2602. https://doi.org/10.1021/jo00388a051

Laforgia M, Cerasino L, Margiotta N, Capozzi MAM, Cardellicchio C, Naso F, Natile G (2004) Synthesis and Characterization of Platinum(II) Complexes of Diethyl [(Methylsulfinyl)methyl]phosphonate: Potential Drugs against Bone Tumors. Eur J Inorg Chem (17):3445-3452. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.200400195

Laforgia M, Margiotta N, Capitelli F, Bertolasi V, Natile G (2005) Platinum Complexes with the Novel Ligand [(Methylsulfinyl)-methyl]phosphonate (SMP): Solid-State Characterization of Potassium Trichloro(SMP)platinum(II) which, in Solution, Gives Dichloro(SMP)-platinum(II) and Potassium Chloride. Fur Inorg Chem (9):1710-1715. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.200400842

Lee AWM, Chan WH, Tao Y, Lee YK (1994) Chiral acetylenic sulfoxide in enantioselective synthesis of tetrahydroisoquinoline and tetrahydro- β -carboline alkaloids. Total synthesis of (R)-(+)-Carnegine and (R)-(+)-tetrahydroharman. J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 4:477-481. https://doi.org/10.1039/P19940000477

Liao S, Čorić I, Wang Q, List B (2012) Activation of H_2O_2 by Chiral Confined Brønsted Acids: A Highly Enantioselective Catalytic Sulfoxidation. J Am Chem Soc 134(26):10765-10768. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja3035637

Matsumoto K, Yamaguchi T, Fujisaki J, Saito B, Katsuki T (2008) Aluminum Oxidation Catalysis under Aqueous Conditions: Highly Enantioselective Sulfur Oxidation Catalyzed by Al(salalen) Complexes. Chem — Asian J 3(2):351-358. https://doi.org/10.1002/asia.200700328

Mauger C, Vazeux M, Albinati A, Kozelka J (2000) Reaction between the diaqua form of cisplatin and methylsulfanylphenylphosphonic acid yields a dinuclear phosphonato-bridged complex via NH3 elimination. Inorg Chem 3(12):704-707. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1387-Commun 7003(00)00170-2

O'Mahony GE, Kelly P, Lawrence SE, Maguire AR (2011) Synthesis of enantioenriched sulfoxides. ARKIVOC i:1-110. https://doi.org/10.3998/ark.5550190.0012.101

Otocka S, Kwiatkowska M, Madalińska L, Kiełbasiński P (2017) Chiral Organosulfur Ligands/Catalysts with a Stereogenic Sulfur Atom: Applications in Asymmetric Synthesis. Chem Rev 117(5):4147-4181. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00517 Ozaki S-I, Yang H-J, Matsui T, Goto Y, Watanabe Y (1999) Asymmetric oxidation catalyzed by myoglobin mutants.

Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 10(1):183-192. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0957-4166(98)00498-4

Pellissier H (2006) Use of chiral sulfoxides in asymmetric synthesis. Tetrahedron 62(24):5559-5601.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2006.03.093

Pitchen P, Dunach E, Deshmukh MN, Kagan HB (1984) An efficient asymmetric oxidation of sulfides to sulfoxides. J Am Chem Soc 106(26):8188-8193.

https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00338a030

Saito B, Katsuki T (2001) Ti(salen)-catalyzed enantioselective sulfoxidation using hydrogen peroxide as a terminal oxidant. Tetrahedron Lett 42(23):3873-3876. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(01)00590-1

Sasaki K, Tashima Y, Nakayama T, Hirota T (1991) Polycyclic *N*-hetero compounds. XXXVIII. Synthesis and evaluation of

antidepressive activity of [1]benzothieno[2',3':4,5]furo[3,2-d]pirimidines and their precursors J Heterocycl Chem 28(2):269-272. https://doi.org/10.1002/jhet.5570280211

Wang F, Feng L, Dong S, Liu X, Feng X (2020) Chiral *N,N'*-dioxide—iron(III)-catalyzed asymmetric sulfoxidation with hydrogen peroxide. Chem Commun 56(21):3233-3236. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CC00434K

Wojaczyńska E, Wojaczyński J (2010) Enantioselective Synthesis of Sulfoxides: 2000–2009. Chem Rev 110(7):4303-4356. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr900147h

Wojaczyńska E, Wojaczyński J (2020) Modern Stereoselective Synthesis of Chiral Sulfinyl Compounds. Chem rev 120(10):4578-4611. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00002