

Colloidal gel as an efficient process to treat Chemical, Biological, Radiological (CBR) and prion contaminated solid surfaces

Celia Lepeytre, Fabien Frances, Marie-Sophie Charvolin, Amélie Ludwig, Esther Le Toquin, Emmanuel Comoy, Agnes Grandjean, Alban Gossard

▶ To cite this version:

Celia Lepeytre, Fabien Frances, Marie-Sophie Charvolin, Amélie Ludwig, Esther Le Toquin, et al.. Colloidal gel as an efficient process to treat Chemical, Biological, Radiological (CBR) and prion contaminated solid surfaces. Chemical Engineering Science, 2021, 246, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2021.116957. 10.1016/j.ces.2021.116957 . cea-03327940

HAL Id: cea-03327940 https://cea.hal.science/cea-03327940v1

Submitted on 27 Aug 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

1	Colloidal gel as an efficient process to treat CBR and prion
2	contaminated solid surfaces
3	
4	Célia Lepeytre ^{a*} , Fabien Frances ^a , Marie-Sophie Charvolin ^b , Amélie Ludwig ^a , Esther Le Toquin ^c ,
5	Emmanuel Comoy ^d , Agnès Grandjean ^a , Alban Gossard ^a
6	
7	^a CEA, DES, ISEC, DMRC, Univ Montpellier, Marcoule, France
8	^b NBC-Sys, 8 rue Bonnevialle, BP 10226, 42408 Saint Chamond, France
9	^c CEA, DRF, JOLIOT, DMTS, SPI, Laboratoire Innovations Détection et Diagnostic, Marcoule, F-30207
10	Bagnols-sur-Cèze, France
11	^d CEA, DRF, Institut Francois Jacob, Service d'Etude des Prions et des Infections Atypiques, Fontenay
12	aux Roses, France
13	
14	*Corresponding author: C. Lepeytre
15	Email address: celia.lepeytre@cea.fr
16	
17	Postal address :
18	Laboratoire des Procédés Supercritiques et de Décontamination
19	CEA Marcoule
20	ISEC/DMRC/STDC/LPSD Bât51
21	30207 Bagnols-sur-Cèze Cedex
22	
23	Phone: +33 4 66 39 75 02

24 Abstract

25 Chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear (CBRN) accidents or attacks typically disseminate 26 contaminants inside and outside buildings and structures whose surfaces have to be decontaminated. 27 This paper describes an innovative colloidal gel formulation and its effects against CBR threat agents 28 and also against prion contaminations. The gel's rheological properties ensure it remains attached even 29 to vertical surfaces. The gel then dries with the contaminants trapped inside, leaving behind non-dusting 30 solid residues that are easy to recover by brushing or vacuum cleaning. The results obtained here on 31 different formulations show that decontamination proceeds in two steps. Contaminants are first 32 solubilized or absorbed into the gel thanks to the efficient wetting of the surface by the gel. Chemical 33 and biological agents are then neutralized by the NaOH and hypochlorite ions in the gel. This article 34 demonstrates the efficiency of this CBR gel against Bacillus anthracis, the chemical agents sulfur 35 mustard, VX, and soman, ¹³⁷Cs, and prion proteins.

36 Keywords

37 Bacillus anthracis, VX, soman, sulfur mustard, Sodium hypochlorite, shear thinning

38 List of abbreviations and symbols

- 39 Ba: Bacillus anthracis
- 40 Bt: Bacillus thuringiensis
- 41 CFU: colony-forming unit
- 42 CWAs: Chemical Warfare Agents
- 43 LB: Lysogeny broth
- 44 PrP: Prion Proteins

45 **1. Introduction**

46 Chemical, biological, radiological and nuclear (CBRN) threats and the use of CBRN weapons 47 in the Tokyo subway sarin attack in 1995, the 2001 anthrax attacks in the USA in 2001 [1] and most 48 recently, the chlorine attacks in Syria in 2013 and 2017 [2, 3] have led to the investigation of surface 49 decontamination methods in several countries [4, 5]. Furthermore, in post accidental situation, the 50 contamination can be easily disseminated inside and outside the civilian infrastructure [6, 7], making 51 the development of specific, effective decontamination products and processes crucial. Currently 52 available CBRN decontamination technologies include physical, chemical and biological processes [4, 53 8, 9]. Chemical decontamination products often contain an oxidant such as peroxides or hypochlorite 54 ions [8-10], which are effective against biological and chemical contaminations [11, 12], with 55 hypochlorite also being particularly effective against a large variety of spores and microorganisms [1, 13-15]. Against chemical agents, while a basic medium effectively hydrolyzes (and thus neutralizes) 56 57 sulfur mustard and nerve agents such as soman [11, 16, 17], an oxidant is required to neutralize other 58 nerve agents such as VX. Various commercial CBRN decontamination products containing oxidative 59 compounds have been developed over the years in liquid, gas (fumigant), foam, gel forms [8, 12, 18].

60 The decontamination of solid surfaces is also an important issue in the nuclear industry, notably to make decommissioning waste easier to handle by reducing its radioactivity. In this case, the aim of 61 62 the decontamination process is to concentrate the radioactivity and maximally reduce the final waste 63 volume. Colloidal gels have been developed over the past 15 years for this purpose [19-21]. These gels, 64 also named "vacuumable gels", consist of a surface-adapted decontaminating solution in which 65 inorganic particles (typically silica or alumina) are used as a thickening agent and dispersed in a decontaminating solution to form a gel-like concentrated colloidal suspension. The gel's viscosity 66 decreases under shearing but returns almost immediately, making them easy to implement at large scale 67 and at a distance from the contamination by spraying [19, 22, 23]. The gels remain attached even to 68 69 vertical surfaces, increasing the contact time between the decontaminating solution contained in the gel 70 and the contaminated surface. The gels crack as they dry to form non-dusting solid flakes in which the 71 contaminants are trapped and which are easily to remove by brushing or vacuum cleaning. Vacuumable 72 gels have recently been applied to treat other surfaces, such as bitumen stains [22], and other 73 contaminants, such as heavy metals [24]. Based on our experience in the formulation of active colloidal 74 gels, we have been investigating the possibility of using vacuumable gels to treat building materials 75 contaminated by CBR agents. We propose then here to add hypochlorite ions and sodium hydroxide in 76 the decontaminating solution to obtain an innovative CBR gel that neutralizes both biological and 77 chemical contaminations [23, 25]. As vacuumable gels, they should be effective against radiological contaminations. In this study, we also investigated their decontamination efficiency against prions, 78 79 which are problematic in healthcare and veterinary settings because of their transmissibility and wide 80 spectrum of resistance [26].

After characterizing the physicochemical properties of the CBR gel, we tested the decontamination efficiency of fresh and aged gel samples, to evaluate its efficiency in time, against a radiological agent (¹³⁷Cs), the chemical agents soman, sulfur mustard, and VX, spores of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*) and of *Bacillus anthracis* (*Ba*) as biological agents, and hamster prion protein (PrP) as a model diseaseassociated prion contamination. The decontamination mechanisms involved in each case are discussed.

86 2. Experimental section

87 2.1. Materials and sample preparation

Alumina was purchased as Aeroxide Alu C (specific surface area, 100 m².g⁻¹) from Evonik 88 89 Industries. Pluronic PE 6200 was purchased from BASF and iron oxide (Ferroxide 212M) was 90 purchased from Rockwood Pigments. Nitric acid, sodium hydroxide, acetone, heptane, potassium iodide 91 and starch were purchased from Sigma Aldrich; sulfuric acid from VWR; bleach (sodium hypochlorite) 92 from Fisher, and sodium thiosulfate from Fluka. The chemical agents sulfur mustard (HD), VX, and 93 soman (GD) were provided by the French Directorate General of Armaments (Direction Générale de 94 l'Armement). Bt DSM 5815 was provided by the Leibniz-Institute DSMZ (Braunschweig, Germany) 95 and Ba (Sterne strain NCTC-08234 Weybridge Lot 100816) was provided by NCTC (National Collection of Type Cultures) and stored at 4 °C. ¹³⁷CsCl was purchased from Orano's Radioactive
Standard Laboratory (*Laboratoire d'Étalons d'Activité*). Prion studies were performed using brain
homogenate from Syrian hamsters infected with hamster-adapted scrapie strain 263 K [27].

99 Three kinds of substrates were used: stainless steel (for chemical, biological and radiological 100 experiments), ceramic tiles (9 \times 2 cm, identical to the ones used in the Paris metro, for the biological 101 experiments) and glass (prion experiments). Stainless steel and ceramic tiles are reference materials for 102 CBRN experiments. The chemical and radiological experiments, which are very complicated to conduct 103 safely, were only performed on stainless steel because of financial constraints. The radiological 104 decontamination experiments were performed on 7 cm² 316L stainless steel discs and the chemical 105 decontamination experiments were performed on 5 x 5 cm coupons of 304L stainless steel according to 106 NATO standards [28]. The prion decontamination experiments were performed on 7.6×2.6 cm pieces 107 of glass as proof of concept.

108 2.2. Gel formulation and aging

109 The different operations and reactions were performed under ambient conditions. The CBR gel 110 is a patented formulation of 14 wt.% Aeroxide Alu C alumina as a thickening agent, 1 wt.% Pluronic 111 PE 6200 as a surfactant, and 0.1 wt. % iron oxide as a pigment and the remainder (84.9 wt. %) of a decontaminating solution of 0.5 M NaOH containing hypochlorite ions, which is equivalent to the active 112 113 chlorine amount [23]. Typically, for 100 g of CBR gel, 1 g Pluronic PE 6200 was first mixed with 84.9 114 g of a 0.5 M NaOH and active chlorine solution using a three-blade stirrer at 200 rpm for 5 min. 0.1 g 115 of iron oxide and 14 g of alumina particles were then gradually added, while increasing the stirring speed 116 up to 500 rpm as the viscosity increased. Stirring was maintained for 5 min after all the compounds had 117 been added to homogenize the gel. Samples of a second type of gel (referred to as NaOH-gel) were 118 prepared in the same manner but without presence of hypochlorite ions. For the prion decontamination 119 experiments, a third gel was tested, which was prepared with a 1 M (rather than a 0.5 M) solution of 120 NaOH.

The gels were either studied within 3 months of synthesis (fresh), after storing for 15–27 months at room temperature, or after storing for 8 weeks at 40 °C in an environmental chamber (Table 1). The active chlorine content of the gels was determined using a specific in-house titration method (see Supplementary Material). In this study, we express the amount of active chlorine in the gels using the ratio $R_{a.c.}$ defined as followed:

$$R_{a.c.} (\%) = \left(\frac{\text{Active chlorine measured in the gel after aging}}{\text{Initial active chlorine in the fresh gel}}\right).100$$
(1)

127 **Table 1**

128	Compositions	of the gels	used in	the study
-----	--------------	-------------	---------	-----------

Name Aging		R _{a.c.} (%)	NaOH (M)	pН	Decontamination tests
	Fresh	100	0.5	13	R, B, C, prion
	18 months, RT	23.3	NM	13	В
	24 months, RT	13.7	0.16	12	В
CBR gel	27 months, RT	7.7	NM	12	В
	8 weeks, 40 °C	14.5	0.23	12	С
	Fresh for Prion	100	1	13	Prion
NaOH-gel	Fresh	0	0.5	NM	R, B, C, prion

129 R, radiological; B, biological; C, chemical; NM, not measured; RT, room temperature

130

131 The Fig. 1 presents the evolution of the R_{a.c.} ratio as a function of the time and depending on the storing

132 conditions.



Fig. 1: Evolution of the active chlorine percentage in the gels as a function of the storage time. Black squares,
red circle and blue triangle represent the CBR gel aged at RT and 40°C and the NaOH aged at RT respectively.

137 Note that, as the $R_{a.c.}$ ratios of the gels stored at 40 °C for 8 weeks and aged for 24 months at room 138 temperature were similar (14.5 and 13.7 respectively), we decided to use the gels stored at 40 °C for 8 139 weeks as more rapidly prepared surrogates for the gels aged for 24 months to facilitate the evaluation of 140 the chemical decontamination experiments.

141 2.3. CBR decontamination experiments and analytical methods

142 2.3.1. Preliminary gel characterization

The pH of the gels was measured using a glass combination electrode connected to a pH-meter calibrated at pH = 4.01, 7.00 and 9.18, in a suspension of 1 g of gel in 10 mL of water. NaOH concentrations were then specifically measured in this diluted solution by acid-base titration using a 0.1 M solution of HCl. The in-house titration method used to measure the active chlorine percentage in the gels is described in the Supplementary Material. Rheological measurements were performed with a Discovery HR-1 plate-plate rheometer (TA Instruments; diameter, 40 mm; gap between the plates, 1 149 mm). The viscosity of the gel was measured using stepped flow tests at shear rates ranging from 0.01 150 s^{-1} to 100 s^{-1} . A 1 mm thick layer of gel was deposited on a vertical stainless steel substrates and left to 151 dry at room temperature to observe the formation of flakes. In the rest of the study, the gel will be 152 considered as dried as soon as the formation of flakes.

153 2.3.2. Radiological decontamination experiments

154 The contaminant for these experiments was a certified acidic solution of CsCl. A volume of CsCl corresponding to 5000 Bq of ¹³⁷Cs was deposited on the surface of the substrates prior to 155 156 evaporation under a fume hood. The initial activity (Bq) of each substrate was measured by gamma spectrometry using a Eurisys Mesures coaxial germanium detector. This was connected to an Itech 157 measurement chain controlled using the Interwinner 7.0 software program. ¹³⁷Cs activity was measured 158 159 at an energy of 661.66 keV. A 1 mm thick layer of the studied gel was then applied with a spatula on 160 each substrate. After drying for a few hours, the flakes were removed from the substrate with a 161 paintbrush. Finally, the activity of the substrates was measured again to calculate the decontamination factor (the ratio of the initial and final activities). 162

163 2.3.3. Chemical decontamination experiments

164 Chemical decontamination experiments were performed with both the CBR gel and the NaOHgel to highlight the effect of active chlorine on the decontamination efficiency. For each of the three 165 agents (sulfur mustard, VX and soman, see chemical structures Fig. SI 1), eight stainless steel coupons 166 were contaminated at 10 $g \cdot m^{-2}$ by dropwise deposition of these CWAs. To confirm the level of 167 168 contamination, three of the substrates were mixed in a 90/10 vol.% heptane/acetone mixture for 1.5 h to 169 extract the chemical agents and analyze their concentration by gas chromatography with helium as 170 carrier gas. The five remaining samples and a non-contaminated coupon used as a blank were placed 171 inside a closed dispersion chamber before the gels were applied with a spray gun through a slot. The 172 thickness of the gel layers was measured by gravimetry for each sample based on the gel density 173 (calculated as 1.11 g.cm⁻³, see detailed in Supplementary Material) and estimated at 1 ± 0.2 mm. After 174 applying the gel, the samples were dried overnight at room temperature under a fume hood. The 175 millimeter-scale flakes that had formed were retrieved using a spatula. Residual levels of contamination 176 were determined by dipping the substrate samples in a 90/10 vol.% heptane/acetone mixture for 1.5 h. 177 In parallel, the flakes were also stirred (without dissolution) in a 90/10 vol.% heptane/acetone mixture for 1.5 h, to extract and quantify any remaining chemical agents. The chemical agents solubilized into 178 179 the heptane/acetone mixture were analyzed by gas chromatography. The samples were injected in splitless mode. A flame ionization detector (Perkin Clarus 680) was used for HD and a flame photometry 180 181 detector (Shimadzu GC2010) was used for VX and GD. The decontamination efficiency was calculated 182 by determining the two following decontamination factors:

Substrate decontamination (%) =
$$\left(1 - \frac{\text{Residual contamination level}}{\text{Initial contamination level}}\right)$$
. 100 (2)

Residual contamination in flakes (%) =
$$\left(\frac{\text{Contamination extracted from residual flakes}}{\text{Initial contamination level } \times A}\right)$$
. 100 (3)

In Eq. (2), the initial and residual contamination levels (in $\mu g \cdot cm^{-2}$) are respectively the amounts of chemical agent on the substrate before and after the decontamination step. In Eq. (3), the mass of chemical agent extracted from the flakes (μg) is divided by the initial contamination level multiplied by the contaminated area (A, cm^{2}). The results of the decontamination tests are expressed as the mean and standard deviation of five repeats.

188 2.3.4. Biological decontamination experiments

Preliminary experiments were performed with Bt, which is typically used as a surrogate for Ba[29]. Experiments were repeated with Ba if the log reduction in colony forming units (CFU) was greater than 6. DSM 5815 was prepared as described by Wood et al. [30]. The Bt concentration and the protocol used for deposition on the substrates were as described by Le Toquin et al. [31]. A bacterial suspension of Bt spores was prepared in distilled water at a concentration of 2×10^8 CFU·mL⁻¹. The experiments

194 with Ba were performed at biosafety level 3. An aliquot of 100 µL (equivalent to an initial amount of 2 $\times 10^7$ CFU onto the coupons) was inoculated on the surface of each substrate using a micropipette. After 195 196 inoculation, the substrates were air dried for 1 h in a 20 °C biosafety cabinet and the gel was applied 197 with a sterilized spatula (layer around 1 mm thick). The different substrates covered with a layer of gel 198 were then placed inside open Falcon tubes lying on their sides and left to dry for a few hours. The dried 199 flakes were recovered and mixed in 10 mL of lysogeny broth (LB) medium (Becton Dickinson Co., 200 Sparks, MD, United States) in Falcon tubes. In parallel, the treated substrates were also placed in Falcon tubes, in 20 mL of LB medium. All these Falcon tubes were incubated for 1 h at 30 °C. To isolate the 201 202 spores from the residual flakes in suspension in LB, each tube was centrifuged at 4500 rpm for 3 min at 203 4 °C and the supernatant was separated. Several serial dilutions in LB medium were plated for each 204 Falcon tube and covered with LB agar. After drying, the substrates were incubated for 24 h at 30 °C for Bt and 24 h at 37 °C for Ba and, finally, the number of viable CFU formed in the LB agar were counted 205 206 visually in the plates containing 30–300 CFU. The gel decontamination efficiency was finally calculated 207 as the log reduction in the number of microorganisms after decontamination:

$$LR = -\log_{10}\left(\frac{CFUf}{CFUi}\right)$$
(3)

where CFU_i and CFU_f are the counts respectively before and after the decontamination process recovered from the substrates.

210 2.3.5. Prion decontamination experiments

Brain homogenate from Syrian hamsters infected with scrapie strain 263 K were first dispersed in a PBS solution at 10 wt/vol %. Then, 25 μ L of this solution is deposited on glass substrates, to make them contaminated with 2.5 mg of brain homogenate, and the liquid solution is let to evaporate [27]. After drying and rinsing in deionized water, the contaminated substrates were covered with a layer (around 1 mm thick) of one of three gels (the CBR gels with 0.5 and 1 M NaOH and the NaOH-gel) and left to dry for a few hours. The solid flakes were retrieved and there were no visible residues on the substrates. The substrates were nonetheless scraped and the presence of residual PrP was analyzed by western blotting as previously described [27]. Briefly, the samples are purified according to the Bio-Rad TeSeE protocol with or without proteinase K treatment (4 µg of enzyme per mg of protein) to detect either abnormal protease-resistant PrP (PrP-res), or total PrP, respectively. The purified samples were loaded on acrylamide gel, transferred onto a PVDF membrane and the presence of PrP was evidenced using Sha-31 anti-PrP monoclonal antibody [32].

223 In parallel, four control contaminated substrates were respectively immersed in different 224 solutions (ineffective or effective treatments) to confirm the relevance of the protocol: (i) in water for 225 24 h at room temperature (ineffective treatment); (ii) in water for 10 min at 55° C (ineffective treatment); 226 (iii) in 1 M sodium hydroxide, pH = 14 for 10 min at 55°C (the reference effective treatment) and (iv) 227 in 0.1 mM sodium hydroxide, pH = 10 for 10 min at 55°C (a second, partially effective reference 228 treatment). The substrates were then rinsed, dried and the residues were dissolved in 100 μ L of 10 wt/vol 229 % homogenate of brains from PrP-deficient mice [33]. The presence of PrP in the samples was detected 230 by western blotting as described above.

231 **3. Results and discussion**

232 3.1. Physicochemical properties of the CBR gel

In the different experiment presented in this study, the gel was applied manually using a spatula because tests were performed on small surface areas. However, for an industrial use of this process, these gels have to be easily sprayable on large surfaces such as walls or floors. These properties have been confirmed using rheological analyses. The flow curve of the CBR gel, representing the stress as a function of an applied shear, is shown in Fig. 2a.



Fig. 2. (a) Stress in the CBR gel as a function of the applied shear rate. The black squares are the experimental
data and the red line is a fit using the Herschel-Bulkley model. (b, c) Photographs of a 1 mm thick layer of CBR
gel deposited on a vertical stainless steel substrate (b) before and (c) after drying.

238

243 The fact that the experimental data are well fitted by a Herschel-Bulkley model (described in the 244 Supplementary Material) indicates that the gel is a shear thinning yield stress fluid, meaning that its viscosity decreases drastically under high shearing forces. This makes the gel easy to spray, the shearing 245 imparted by the spraying device ensuring that the gel is fluid enough to pass through the nozzle without 246 247 sticking. The gel's yield stress of 44 Pa (obtained from the Herschel-Bulkley fit, see Supplementary 248 Material) means that it only begins to flow above this threshold and thus that a layer of gel sprayed on 249 a vertical surface remains attached under its own weight (assuming perfect adhesion of the gel to the 250 surface).

These rheological properties are highlighted by the photographs of a 1 mm thick layer of the CBR gel straight after spraying (Fig. 2b) and after drying (Fig. 2c), the two black marks drawn on the substrate showing that it remains rigidly attached throughout the drying process. This ensures prolonged contact between the surface and the gel, which increases the efficiency of the decontamination process. Note that the red color of the gel comes from the iron oxide pigment that was added to facilitate visual inspection [25]. Fig. 2c shows the millimeter-sized solid flakes that form during the drying process, with some having fallen off under the effect of gravity. The remaining flakes were easily removed by manualbrushing.

In summary, the CBR gel is well suited to be used as a vacuumable gel. It could be easily sprayed and remains attached to vertical surfaces in layers 1 mm thick, and forms solid flakes after drying, which can be removed effortlessly from the substrate.

262 3.2. Gel efficiency against radiological agents and associated decontamination
263 mechanisms

The 137 Cs y activities measured on the stainless plates, before and after application of fresh 264 samples of CBR gel and NaOH gel are listed in Table 2 along with the calculated decontamination 265 266 factors. The decontamination factors are similar to those obtained with a vacuumable gel specifically designed for nuclear applications [19, 20, 22-24], where decontamination factors of 15-20 are 267 considered satisfactory. The CBR gel is therefore suitable for radiological decontamination. The 268 proposed mechanism is that the aqueous solution in the gel solubilizes the radioelement (here 137 Cs) on 269 270 the substrate. This is enhanced by the presence of surfactants (Pluronic PE 6200) in the gel, which 271 improve its degree of wetting on the surface [19]. The solubilized radiological contamination is then trapped in the flakes obtained after drying. The similar results obtained with the NaOH gel show that 272 273 the absence of hypochlorite ions did not significantly affect the decontamination efficiency, which is 274 consistent with the proposed solubilization mechanism.

- 275 **Table 2**
- 276 Radioactivity and measurement accuracy of substrates contaminated with ¹³⁷Cs before and after gel treatment.

Gel	Initial ¹³⁷ Cs activity (Bq)	Final ¹³⁷ Cs activity (Bq)	Decontamination factor ^a	
NaOH gel	$5000 \pm 12\%$	$160\pm12\%$	31	
CBR gel	$5200\pm12\%$	$270\pm12\%$	19	

aInitial activity / final activity

278 3.3. Gel efficiency against chemical agents and associated decontamination 279 mechanisms

Erreur ! Source du renvoi introuvable. Erreur ! Source du renvoi introuvable.lists the initial and residual contamination levels of CWAs on stainless steel substrates before and after the decontamination step and the associated substrate decontamination rates with fresh CBR gel, fresh NaOH gel, and a sample of CBR gel aged for 8 weeks at 40 °C (mimicking 24 months' aging at room temperature, see Table 1). Table 3 lists also the residual contamination in flakes rates. Furthermore, the evolution of the substrate decontamination percentage and residual contamination in flakes associated to these results are represented on Fig. 3.

287 Fig. 3

288

289 Table 3

290 Initial and residual contamination levels of chemical warfare agents deposited on the stainless steel substrates

- 291 before and after the decontamination step, substrate decontamination rates, contamination extracted from the flakes
- and residual contamination in flakes rates.

Chemical agent		CBR gel (fresh)	CBR gel (Ra.c. = 14.5 %)	NaOH-gel (fresh)	
	Initial contamination level (µg.cm ⁻²)				
	Residual contamination level (µg.cm ⁻²)	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	
Sulfur mustard	Substrate decontamination (%)	> 99.97	> 99.97	> 99.97	
	Contamination extracted form flakes (µg)	8.9 ± 1.4	33.6 ± 24.6	54.6 ± 47.0	
	Residual contamination in flakes (%)	0.03 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.10	0.21 ± 0.18	
	Initial contamination level (µg.cm ⁻²)	891.9 ± 6.5			
Soman	Residual contamination level (µg.cm ⁻²)	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14	
	Substrate decontamination (%)	> 99.98	> 99.98	> 99.98	
	Contamination extracted form flakes (µg)	< 3.50	< 3.50	< 3.50	

	Residual contamination in flakes (%)	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	
	Initial contamination level (µg.cm ⁻²)	1099.3 ± 79.7			
	Residual contamination level (µg.cm ⁻²)	1.54 ± 0.23 3.90 ± 1.74		1.11 ± 0.18	
VX	Substrate decontamination (%)	99.86 ± 0.02	99.66 ± 0.17	99.90 ± 0.02	
	Contamination extracted form flakes (µg)	5193.3 ± 386.5	15327.9 ± 1152.4	8850.2 ± 849.1	
	Residual contamination in flakes (%)	18.90 ± 1.41	55.77 ± 4.19	32.20 ± 3.09	





Fig. 3: (a) Substrate decontamination efficiencies and (b) Residual contamination in flakes calculated after the decontamination step using fresh CBR gel ($R_{a.c.} = 100$ %), fresh NaOH gel ($R_{a.c.} = 0$ %) and CBR gel aged for 8 weeks at 40 °C ($R_{a.c.} = 14.5$ %).

All the gels had substrate decontamination efficiency higher than 99.97 % for HD, 99.98 % for GD and 99.66 % for VX (Fig. 3a). **Fig. 3**The decontamination efficiency depends little therefore on the active compounds present in the gel. Only the NaOH concentration has a slight influence on VX because the

303 presence of NaOH makes the solubilization of CWA, which are organic compounds easier. Regarding 304 the degradation of the chemical agents, while HD and GD are almost completely neutralized in the flakes 305 (residue contamination in flakes efficiencies respectively lower than 0.2 % and 0.02 %), a significant 306 amount of residual VX is still detected in the flakes for all gels (18.9 to 32.2 % of the initial 307 contamination, depending on the nature of gel) (Fig. 3b). These results suggest a two-step 308 decontamination mechanism: first, solubilization of the chemical contaminant on the substrate (in the 309 similar manner as for radiological contamination), and second, chemical degradation of the contaminant 310 by active compounds in the gel.

311 Under basic conditions, HD is hydrolyzed into unchlorinated and thiodiglycol compounds [11, 312 17]. These two degradation compounds are probably produced in the gels because of the presence of 313 NaOH. In further possibility is that HD is oxidized by active chlorine, producing the corresponding sulfoxide (HDO) [34]. In this case however, since the NaOH gel and both CBR gel flakes had similarly 314 315 low residual contamination in the flakes efficiencies, this suggests that only NaOH-related degradation 316 occurred. Soman is hydrolyzed into phosphonic acid in alkaline media (pH > 10) [16]. The high pH of 317 the tested gels (Table 1) thus makes them highly effective at neutralizing GD and the resulting flake 318 residues have insignificant residual contamination efficiencies. This point is of high interest because no 319 further treatment are required and the waste can be directly conditioned. In the case of VX, the higher 320 residue contamination efficiencies indicate that the active compounds in the gels are less effective, 321 meaning that the second, "chemical" step of the decontamination process (degradation of chemical 322 agent) is incomplete. VX is slowly hydrolyzed by NaOH, leading mainly to an acid after breakage of 323 the P-S bond [16], which explains why the least alkaline gel (the aged CBR gel, Table 1) had the lowest 324 degradation efficiency. However, since sulfur is oxidized in the presence of hypochlorite ions, this 325 should facilitate cleavage of the P-S bond [16]. Recently indeed, VX has successfully been degraded in hypochlorite solutions into non-toxic N,N-diisopropylformamide and N,N-diisopropylamine [35]. This 326 mechanism is consistent with the higher degradation efficiency obtained with fresh CBR gel compared 327 328 to fresh NaOH-gel, which have the same NaOH concentration, because of the presence of hypochlorite

ions in the fresh CBR gel. Furthermore, the highest degradation efficiency obtained here (81%)
compares favorably with the results of a previous study in which a degradation efficiency of 67% was
measured after applying a solution at 0.5 wt.% sodium hypochlorite for 24 h on stainless steel surfaces
contaminated with VX [36].

333 In summary, the CBR gel fully decontaminated the stainless steel surfaces onto which chemical 334 warfare agents (HD, GD and VX) had been deposited and the extracted agents were neutralized by the active compounds in the gel, reducing the toxicity of the waste. NaOH plays an important role in the 335 degradation process, especially for HD and GD. Although VX was not fully neutralized, the presence 336 of hypochlorite ions increases the efficiency of the gel in degrading VX and VX-OH, a highly toxic 337 product of VX hydrolysis. Furthermore, one of the main benefits of the vacuumable gel process is that 338 339 the final waste is produced in the form of solid flakes, which entrap any residual contaminants and 340 prevent their release into the environment.

341 3.4. Gel efficiency against biological agents and associated decontamination
342 mechanisms

343 *3.4.1. Gel efficiency on Bacillus thuringiensis and Bacillus anthracis spores*

Fig. 4 shows the spore neutralization efficiency (log reduction in CFU) for *Bt* on stainless steel
and ceramic tile substrates after application of differently aged CBR gels and fresh NaOH-gel.



Fig. 4. Log reduction of *Bacillus thuringiensis* colony forming units on stainless steel and ceramic tile surfaces
after treatment with different gels.

349



352

Table 4). The CBR gel therefore remains effective against *Bt* even after important reductions in its active chlorine percentage (Table 1). This is probably because of the long contact time (few hours) between the gel and the spores on the surfaces, as discussed in the following paragraph. The biocidal activity of the gel thus involves two steps spore absorption-spore inactivation. First, the *Bt* spores are adsorbed into the gel and, as the gel dries, the known biocidal activity of the hypochlorite ions [1, 13, 15] ensures that the *Bt* spores are neutralized. This mechanism is consistent with the fact that the NaOH-gel is ineffective against *Bt* spores, with a log reduction in CFU of less than 1.1 obtained with a fresh sample (Fig. 4).
Furthermore,

361

Table 4 shows that the number of CFU in the flakes is higher than the number remaining on the surface.
The spores are thus absorbed into the gel but NaOH has no neutralizing effect on them.

364 In liquid decontamination solutions, results in the literature indicate that the higher the active chlorine percentage, the shorter the decontamination time is. Muhammad et al. have notably studied the 365 decontamination efficiency of chlorine bleach solutions against B. atrophaus spores as a function of the 366 active chlorine percentage and the contact time [37]. At room temperature, the log reductions obtained 367 368 were 6.96 in 30 min for a solution with 2 % liquid bleach and up to 7 in 10 min for solutions with 5 % 369 liquid bleach. Another study focusing on Geobacillus stearothermophilus decontamination found that 5.8 % bleach was necessary to obtain a log reduction greater than 6 in 20 min [38]. The higher log 370 371 reduction values we obtained in this work can therefore be explained by the much longer contact time 372 between the CBR gel and the contaminants, highlighting once again the value of its specific rheological 373 properties. The volume of decontaminating solution is also much lower than with liquid 374 decontamination, and the residues can be disposed of without further treatment.

375

376 **Table 4.** Bacterial colony forming units after decontamination by CBR and NaOH-gels of different substrates.

	Bacillus t	thuringiensi	5		Bacillus anthracis			
Gel	Stainless steel		Ceramic tile		Stainless steel		Ceramic tile	
	On surface	In flakes	On surface	In flakes	On surface	In flakes	On surface	In flakes
CBR gel (fresh)	0	0	0	0	NM	NM	NM	NM
CBR gel (18 months)	0	0	0	0	NM	NM	NM	NM
CBR gel (27 months)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	NaOH-gel (fresh)	1.3×10 ⁵	2.5×10 ⁶	8.0×10 ⁵	7.9×10 ⁵	5.3×10 ⁵	6.5×10 ⁵	5.5×10 ⁵	3.1×10 ⁶
377	The initial num	ber of color	y forming u	inits on the	substrates of	btained from	the positive	e control wa	s 2×10^7
378	NM, not measur	red.							
379	3.4.2. Gel eff	iciency or	n Bacillus	anthracis	spores				

380 Similar results were obtained with *Ba* (Fig. 5), namely complete removal with CBR gel aged 381 for 27 months on both stainless steel and ceramic tile and very low decontamination efficiency with 382 fresh NaOH-gel, confirming the tendency observed with *Bt*.



383

Fig. 5. Log reduction of *Bacillus anthracis* colony forming units on stainless steel and ceramic tile surfaces after
 treatment with different vacuumable gels.

386





Fig. 6. Western blot analysis of the efficacy of different vacuumable gels and reference treatments against prion
protein (PrP) contamination. (a) Total remaining PrP (no proteolysis with proteinase K) and (b) total remaining
protease-resistant PrP (after proteolysis with proteinase K) after treatment with CBR gels prepared with 0.5 and
1 M NaOH and two reference treatments (Ref #1, 1 M NaOH for 10 min at 55°C; Ref #2, 0.1 M NaOH for 10
min at 55°C), and in serial dilutions of non-treated contaminated substrate.

22

These results indicate that the CBR gel acts against PrP contamination with the same two-step mechanism as against biological agents, namely that PrP is first absorbed into the gel, then destabilized by NaOH and hypochlorite during the drying process.

408 **4. Conclusions**

409 This paper presents an efficient process to decontaminate solid surfaces after CBRN 410 contamination using a inorganic CBR gel. This CBR gel can be sprayed at a distance across large 411 surfaces and remain attached, even on vertical walls, without flowing. Once in contact with the 412 contaminated surface, the CBR gel acts with different mechanisms depending on the nature of the 413 contamination. First, the gel wets the surface and either solubilizes (radiological and chemical agents) 414 or absorbs (biological agents and prion proteins) the contaminants. The contaminants remain trapped in 415 the gel as it dries. Chemical agents, bacteria and prions are neutralized by NaOH and hypochlorite in 416 the process. The resulting waste in the form of non-dusting solid flake is easily removed from the surface 417 by brushing or vacuuming. In this way, no liquid effluent is produced and no secondary treatment 418 required, although the solid waste should be treated as toxic if any residual contamination remains. The 419 efficacy of the CBR gel was tested here on actual radiological (137Cs), chemical (sulfur mustard, VX 420 and soman) and biological (B. thuringiensis and B. anthracis) contaminations with satisfactory 421 decontamination factors, even after two years' storage. The preliminary results presented here on prion 422 decontamination are also promising. The wide spectrum of action of the gel should make it an attractive 423 option to decontaminate CBRN agents but also in more conventional health and safety applications 424 against various pathogens. In the context of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, it would be particularly 425 interesting to investigate the efficiency of this CBR gel against viral particles.

426 Acknowledgments

427 This work was supported by an ANR GIFT-CBRN grant and by the CEA through the interministerial
428 R&D program against CBRN-E threats. The authors thank the French Directorate General of

- 429 Armaments for all the tests carried out with chemical warfare agents and Fabienne Gas (CEA) for access
- 430 to her laboratory for the tests on biological agents.

432 **References**

- 433 [1] G.A. De Queiroz, D.F. Day, Disinfection of Bacillus subtilis spore-contaminated surface materials
- with a sodium hypochlorite and a hydrogen peroxide-based sanitizer, Letters in Applied Microbiology,
 46 (2008) 176-180.
- 436 [2] U. Nations, Seventh report of the Organisation for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons-United
 437 Nations Joint Investigative Mechanism in: S/2017/904, 2017.
- 438 [3] V. Kumar, G. Raviraju, H. Rana, V.K. Rao, A.K. Gupta, Highly selective and sensitive chromogenic
- 439 detection of nerve agents (sarin, tabun and VX): a multianalyte detection approach, Chemical
- 440 Communications, 53 (2017) 12954-12957.
- 441 [4] C. Dragolici, F. Dragolici, INTODUCTION IN MEANS AND METHODS USED IN CHEMICAL,
- BIOLOGICAL, RADIOLOGICAL, AND NUCLEAR DECONTAMINATION, Romanian Journal of
 Physics, 59 (2014) 920-929.
- 444 [5] D. Greunig, C. Jurgens, S. Oppermann, Decontamination in front of the hospital. Management 445 concepts for the mass casualty event with CRBN injuries, Notfall & Rettungsmedizin, 16 (2013) 175-
- 446 187.
- 447 [6] W.R. Richter, J.P. Wood, M.Q.S. Wendling, J.V. Rogers, Inactivation of Bacillus anthracis spores
- to decontaminate subway railcar and related materials via the fogging of peracetic acid and hydrogen
 peroxide sporicidal liquids, Journal of Environmental Management, 206 (2018) 800-806.
- 450 [7] M.D. Kaminski, S.D. Lee, M. Magnuson, Wide-area decontamination in an urban environment after
- 451 radiological dispersion: A review and perspectives, Journal of Hazardous Materials, 305 (2016) 67-86.
- 452 [8] K. Volchek, M. Fingas, M. Hornof, L. Boudreau, N. Yanofsky, Decontamination in the event of a
- chemical or radiological terrorist attack, in: K.V. Frolov, G.B. Baecher (Eds.) Protection of Civilian
 Infrastructure from Acts of Terrorism, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006, pp. 125-+.
- 455 [9] A.W. Khan, S. Kotta, S.H. Ansari, R.K. Sharma, V. Kumar, S. Rana, J. Ali, Chemical, biological,
- radiological, and nuclear threats-Decontamination technologies and recent patents: A review, Journal of
 Renewable and Sustainable Energy, 4 (2012) 16.
- 458 [10] V. Kumar, R. Goel, R. Chawla, M. Silambarasan, R.K. Sharma, Chemical, biological, radiological,
- 459 and nuclear decontamination: Recent trends and future perspective, Journal of pharmacy & bioallied 460 sciences, 2 (2010) 220-238.
- 461 [11] N.B. Munro, S.S. Talmage, G.D. Griffin, L.C. Waters, A.P. Watson, J.F. King, V. Hauschild, The
- sources, fate, and toxicity of chemical warfare agent degradation products, Environmental Health
 Perspectives, 107 (1999) 933-974.
- 464 [12] EPA, Compilation of available data on building decontamination alternatives, (2005).
- [13] G. McDonnell, A.D. Russell, Antiseptics and disinfectants: Activity, action, and resistance, Clinical
 Microbiology Reviews, 12 (1999) 147-179.
- 467 [14] S.B. Young, P. Setlow, Mechanisms of killing of Bacillus subtilis spores by hypochlorite and
 468 chlorine dioxide, Journal of Applied Microbiology, 95 (2003) 54-67.
- 469 [15] J.K. March, M.N. Cohen, J.M. Lindsey, D.A. Millar, C.W. Lowe, A.J. Bunnell, K.L. O'Neill, G.B.
- 470 Schaalje, R.A. Robison, The differential susceptibility of spores from virulent and attenuated Bacillus
- 471 anthracis strains to aldehyde- and hypochlorite-based disinfectants, Microbiologyopen, 1 (2012) 407472 414.
- 473 [16] Y.C. Yang, J.A. Baker, J.R. Ward, Decontamination of chemical warfare agents, Chemical
 474 Reviews, 92 (1992) 1729-1743.

- 475 [17] E. Dell'Amico, S. Bernasconi, L. Cavalca, C. Magni, B. Prinsi, V. Andreoni, New insights into the biodegradation of thiodiglycol, the hydrolysis product of Yperite (sulfur mustard gas), Journal of 476 477 Applied Microbiology, 106 (2009) 1111-1121.
- [18] G.W. Wagner, L.R. Procell, D.C. Sorrick, G.E. Lawson, C.M. Wells, C.M. Reynolds, D.B. 478
- 479 Ringelberg, K.L. Foley, G.J. Lumetta, D.L. Blanchard, All-Weather Hydrogen Peroxide-Based
- 480 Decontamination of CBRN Contaminants, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 49 (2010) 481 3099-3105.
- 482 [19] S. Faure, P. Fuentes, Y. Lallot, Vaccumable gel for decontaminating surfaces and use thereof, 483 Patent, (2007).
- 484 [20] R. Castellani, A. Poulesquen, F. Goettmann, P. Marchal, L. Choplin, Efficiency enhancement of
- decontamination gels by a superabsorbent polymer, Colloids and Surfaces a-Physicochemical and 485 486 Engineering Aspects, 454 (2014) 89-95.
- [21] R. Castellani, A. Poulesquen, F. Goettmann, P. Marchal, L. Choplin, A topping gel for the treatment 487 488 of nuclear contaminated small items, Nuclear Engineering and Design, 278 (2014) 481-490.
- [22] L. Lemesre, F. Frances, A. Grandjean, A. Gossard, Hybrid colloidal suspensions tailored as gels to 489 490 remove radioactive bitumen stains, Journal of Environmental Management, 232 (2019) 660-665.
- [23] A. Ludwig, F. Goettmann, F. Frances, C. Legoff, V. Tanchou, Oxidizing alkaline 491 492 biodecontamination gel and surface biodecontamination method using said gel, Patent, WO2014154818 493 (2014).
- 494 [24] A. Gossard, C. Lepeytre, An innovative green process for the depollution of Cr(VI)-495 contaminated surfaces using TiO2-based photocatalytic gels, Journal of Environmental Chemical 496 Engineering, 5 (2017) 5573-5580.
- [25] A. Ludwig, F. Goettmann, F. Frances, Pigmented decontaminating gel and method for 497 498 decontaminating surfaces using said gel, Patent, WO2014154817 (2014).
- 499 [26] G. Georgsson, S. Sigurdarson, P. Brown, Infectious agent of sheep scrapie may persist in the 500 environment for at least 16 years, Journal of General Virology, 87 (2006) 3737-3740.
- [27] G. Fichet, E. Comoy, C. Duval, K. Antloga, C. Dehen, A. Charbonnier, G. McDonnell, P. Brown, 501
- 502 C.I. Lasmezas, J.P. Deslys, Novel methods for disinfection of prion-contaminated medical devices, 503 Lancet, 364 (2004) 521-526.
- [28] NATO-AEP-7, CHEMICAL, BIOLOGICAL, RADIOLOGICAL AND NUCLEAR (CBRN) 504 505 CONTAMINATION SURVIVABILITY FACTORS IN THE DESIGN, TESTING AND 506 ACCEPTANCE OF MILITARY EQUIPMENT, (2012).
- 507 [29] J.A.M. Tufts, M.W. Calfee, S.D. Lee, S.P. Ryan, Bacillus thuringiensis as a surrogate for Bacillus anthracis in aerosol research, World Journal of Microbiology & Biotechnology, 30 (2014) 1453-1461. 508
- 509 [30] J.P. Wood, M.W. Calfee, M. Clayton, N. Griffin-Gatchalian, A. Touati, S. Ryan, L. Mickelsen, L.
- 510 Smith, V. Rastogi, A simple decontamination approach using hydrogen peroxide vapour for Bacillus
- 511 anthracis spore inactivation, Journal of Applied Microbiology, 121 (2016) 1603-1615.
- [31] E. Le Toquin, S. Faure, N. Orange, F. Gas, New Biocide Foam Containing Hydrogen Peroxide for 512
- 513 the Decontamination of Vertical Surface Contaminated With Bacillus thuringiensis Spores, Frontiers in 514 Microbiology, 9 (2018).
- 515 [32] C. Feraudet, N. Morel, S. Simon, H. Volland, Y. Frobert, C. Creminon, D. Vilette, S. Lehmann, J.
- 516 Grassi, Screening of 145 anti-PrP monoclonal antibodies for their capacity to inhibit PrPSc replication in infected cells, Journal of Biological Chemistry, 280 (2005) 11247-11258.
- 517
- 518 [33] H. Bueler, M. Fischer, Y. Lang, H. Bluethmann, H.P. Lipp, S.J. Dearmond, S.B. Prusiner, M. 519 Aguet, C. Weissmann, NORMAL DEVELOPMENT AND BEHAVIOR OF MICE LACKING THE 520 NEURONAL CELL-SURFACE PRP PROTEIN, Nature, 356 (1992) 577-582.
- 521 [34] B. Picard, I. Chataigner, J. Maddaluno, J. Legros, Introduction to chemical warfare agents, relevant 522 simulants and modern neutralisation methods, Org. Biomol. Chem., 17 (2019) 6528-6537.
- 523 [35] F.B. Hopkins, M.R. Gravett, A.J. Self, M. Wang, C. Hoe-Chee, N.L.H. Sim, J.T.A. Jones, C.M.
- 524 Timperley, J.R. Riches, Chemical analysis of bleach and hydroxide-based solutions after
- decontamination 525 of warfare S-2-diisopropylaminoethyl the chemical agent O-ethyl
- 526 methylphosphonothiolate (VX), Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 406 (2014) 5111-5119.

- 527 [36] A.H. Love, C.G. Bailey, M.L. Hanna, S. Hok, A.K. Vu, D.J. Reutter, E. Raber, Efficacy of liquid
- 528 and foam decontamination technologies for chemical warfare agents on indoor surfaces, Journal of
- 529 Hazardous Materials, 196 (2011) 115-122.
- 530 [37] N. Muhammad, V.J. Gallardo, D.A. Schupp, E.R. Krishnan, K.S. Minamyer, E.W. Rice, 531 Inactivation of Bacillus spores in decontamination wash down wastewater using chlorine bleach
- 532 solution, Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering, 41 (2014) 40-47.
- 533 [38] S. Sabbah, S. Springthorpe, S.A. Sattar, Use of a Mixture of Surrogates for Infectious Bioagents in
- a Standard Approach to Assessing Disinfection of Environmental Surfaces, Applied and Environmental
- 535 Microbiology, 76 (2010) 6020-6022.
- 536 [39] F. Kasermann, C. Kempf, Sodium hydroxide renders the prion protein PrPSc sensitive to proteinase
- 537 K, Journal of General Virology, 84 (2003) 3173-3176.
- 538