

Separation of multiphosphorylated cyclopeptides and their positional isomers by hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) coupled to electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS)

Lana Abou Zeid, Albert Pell, Théo Tytus, Pascale Delangle, Carole Bresson

▶ To cite this version:

Lana Abou Zeid, Albert Pell, Théo Tytus, Pascale Delangle, Carole Bresson. Separation of multiphosphorylated cyclopeptides and their positional isomers by hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) coupled to electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS). Journal of Chromatography B - Analytical Technologies in the Biomedical and Life Sciences, 2021, 1177, pp.122792. 10.1016/j.jchromb.2021.122792. cea-03256942

HAL Id: cea-03256942 https://cea.hal.science/cea-03256942v1

Submitted on 13 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1570023221002737 Manuscript_ca67b3606c37324fe66310c7a5ddcd89

1	Separation of multiphosphorylated cyclopeptides and their positional isomers by
2	hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) coupled to electrospray
3	ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS)
4	Lana ABOU ZEID ^{ac*} , Albert PELL ^a , Théo TYTUS ^a , Pascale DELANGLE ^b and Carole
5	BRESSON ^a
6	^a Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, Service d'Etudes Analytiques et de Réactivité des Surfaces F-
7	91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
8	^b Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, CNRS, IRIG, SyMMES, 38 000 Grenoble, France
9	^c Sorbonne Université, UPMC, F-75005 Paris, France
10	* CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Lana ABOU ZEID
11	Email address: lana.abouzeid@cea.fr
12	KEYWORDS: HILIC, hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography, multiphosphorylated

13 cyclopeptides, phosphopeptides isomers, retention mechanisms, ESI-MS.

14 Abstract

15 Peptides are efficient models used in different fields such as toxicology to study the interactions of several contaminants at the molecular scale, requiring the development of bio-analytical strategies. In 16 this context, Hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) coupled to electrospray 17 ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) was used to separate synthetic multiphosphorylated 18 19 cyclopeptides and their positional isomers at physiological pH. We assessed (i) the selectivity of 20 eleven HILIC columns, from different manufacturers and packed with diverse polar sorbents, and (ii) 21 the effect of mobile phase composition on the separation selectivity. The best selectivity and baseline 22 resolution were achieved with the columns grafted by neutral sorbents amide and diol. Furthermore, 23 we investigated the HILIC retention mechanism of these peptides by examining the effect of the 24 number of phosphorylated residues in the peptide scaffold on their retention. The peptide behavior followed the classical hydrophilic partitioning mechanism exclusively on amide and diol column. This 25 26 trend was not fully respected on bare and hybrid silica due to the attractive/repulsive interactions of 27 the deprotonated surface silanol groups with the Arginine or Glutamate residues in the peptide scaffold according to the peptide sequence. The position of the phosphorylated amino acid in the peptide 28 29 backbone also showed to have an impact on the retention, making possible the separation of positional HILIC. 30 isomers of these multiphosphorylated cyclic peptides using

31 Introduction

32 Peptides are efficient models to study metal-protein binding sites [1,2] and some of their derivatives 33 have been proposed as specific metal chelators in detoxification applications [3,4] or as models of 34 enzyme activity [5]. Peptides with sequences found in albumin [6], osteopontin [7] and calcium binding calmodulin [8] have been used to help identifying uranium (U) chelation sites in these proteins 35 and to evaluate their affinity towards this cation, using spectroscopic techniques such as fluorescence 36 37 spectroscopy, isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC), extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) and circular dichroism. Uranyl ion displays a specific geometry that promotes coordination 38 39 of ligands in the equatorial plane perpendicular to the O-U-O axis. In this context, pre-organized cyclodecapeptides designed specifically to coordinate U have been synthesized to mimic the so far 40 41 unknown uranyl ion binding sites in phosphate-rich proteins, such as osteopontin [9]. In the cyclodecapeptides shown in Figure 1, the four amino acid side chains at positions 1, 3, 6, and 8 are 42 pre-oriented in the "upper face" of the peptide scaffold to efficiently coordinate uranyl in its equatorial 43 plane. Those amino acids were initially four glutamic acids (Glu) in pS0 [10] that were progressively 44 45 replaced by phosphoserine (pSer) to obtain four pSer residues in pS1368. Peptides are named 46 according to the number and position of the pSer residues [11–13].

In view of developing a dedicated analytical method by chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry
to determine an affinity scale of these peptides towards uranium based on the separation of the uranylpeptide complexes at physiological pH (~ 7), the prior investigation of the separation conditions and
chromatographic behavior of these kind of peptides is crucial.

In the field of phosphoproteomics, various chromatographic approaches have been applied to separate phosphopeptides from their non-phosphorylated counterparts in complex samples such as proteomic digests. Dedicated methods, mainly based on multidimensional chromatography often involving ionexchange chromatography combined with reversed-phase chromatography (RPLC) have been set up, and generally in acidic conditions [14,15]. Hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) is dedicated to the separation of polar and hydrophilic molecules [16]. It combines polar stationary phases and hydro-organic mobile phases rich in organic modifier containing a volatile salt, providing

suitable conditions for ESIMS detection [17]. The analytes are retained according to their polarity and 58 hydrophilicity through liquid-liquid partition between the mobile phase and a semi-immobilized water 59 60 layer on the surface of the polar stationary phase, being the main retention mechanism [18]. Furthermore, secondary interactions such as hydrogen-bonding, dipole-dipole and electrostatic 61 interactions generally contribute also to the retention at different degrees. Because of these features, 62 HILIC has been more and more used these last decades to separate amino acids, peptides, metabolites 63 64 and proteins [19] and has gained popularity in phosphoproteomics [20]. Using this separation mode, 65 various polar stationary phases, mainly amide [21–24], zwitterionic [25,26], bare silica [21,22] and less frequently amino [23,27] and diol [27,28], have been used in one dimension or hyphenated with 66 67 RPLC in second dimension [29,30] in studies involving unphosphorylated or multiphosphorylated linear peptides under acidic conditions. Concerning positional isomers, to our knowledge, only one 68 study reports the separation of the positional isomers of linear multiphosphorylated peptides from 69 70 protein digests, using aminopropyl HILIC stationary phase under strong acidic conditions (pH 2) [27]. 71 The aim of this work was to set up the conditions to separate at physiological pH, synthetic pre-72 organized multiphosphorylated cyclodecapeptides including positional isomers (see Figure 1) using HILIC coupled to ESI-MS. In general, the peptide separations are carried out in acidic conditions but 73 in our study, physiological pH is a key-parameter to reflect biological conditions relevant in 74 75 toxicology, that are required for the following steps aiming at characterizing uranium interactions with 76 these peptides. The selectivity of eleven polar stationary phases from different manufacturers was 77 evaluated using different mobile phase compositions. In addition, the retention mechanisms of the peptides on the different columns were discussed, as well as the impact of the nature and the position 78 79 of the amino acids in the sequence on the peptide retention.

80 1. Experimental part

81 1.1. Chemicals

Acetonitrile (ACN, CH₃CN, LC-MS grade) was supplied by VWR prolabo (Briare le canal, France). Ammonium acetate (NH₄O₂CCH₃) and toluene (C₆H₅CH₃, purity > 99.7 %) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Saint Quentin Fallavier, France). Ultrapure water (18.2 M Ω cm) was obtained from Milli-Q purification system (Merck millipore, Guyancourt - France).

86 1.2. Peptides

The peptides were synthetized, characterized using ESI-MS and circular dichroism and supplied by the CIBEST team at SyMMES (Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, CNRS, IRIG, 38 000 Grenoble - France) following the procedures described elsewhere [10–13]. Table 1 summarizes nomenclature, amino acid sequence, molecular mass and the maximum charge at pH 7.4 for each peptide.

91

Table 1: nomenclature, amino acid sequence, molecular mass and maximum charge at pH 7.4 for each peptide.

Peptides	Sequence	Molecular mass	Maximum charge a			
reptides	(X1X2X3X4X5X6X7X8X9X10)	(g mol ⁻¹)	рН 7.4			
pS0	c(EREPGEWEPG)	1166.5	-3			
pS1	c(pSREPGEWEPG)	1204.5	-4			
pS8	c(EREPGEWpSPG)	1204.5	-4			
pS16	c(pSREPGpSWEPG)	1242.4	-5			
pS18	c(pSREPGEWpSPG)	1242.4	-5			
pS168	c(pSEEPGpSWpSPG)	1253.3	-8			
pS1368	c(pSEpSPGpSWpSPG)	1291.3	-9			

92 X is the letter code of amino acids. pS stands for phosphorylated serine residues (pSer)

93 1.3. Preparation of peptide stock solutions and samples

The peptide stock solutions were prepared by dissolving the adequate amount of the targeted peptide powder in 20 mmol L⁻¹ NH₄O₂CCH₃ (pH ~ 7) to reach a concentration between 2 and 4 mmol L⁻¹. An intermediate solution containing around 2.5 x 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹ pS0, pS1/8, pS16/18 and around 5 x 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹ pS168 and pS1368 was prepared by mixing the adequate volume of the peptide stock solutions and adding further the appropriate volume of 20 mmol L⁻¹ NH₄O₂CCH₃. The working samples were obtained by diluting the intermediate solution in the reference mobile phase (70/30 ACN/H₂O v/v + 20 mmol L⁻¹ NH₄CH₄CO₂) to reach final peptide concentrations of 5 x 10⁻⁵ mol L⁻¹ for pS0, pS1/8 and pS16/18., and 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹ pS168 and pS1368

102 1.4. Instrumentation

Experiments were carried out using an ultimate 3000 UHPLC+ Dionex/Thermofisher scientific 103 (Courtaboeuf, France), which consists of a degasser, a dual RS pump, an RS autosampler, a column 104 compartment and an RS diode array detector. A triple quadrupole TSQ Quantum Ultra[™] mass 105 106 spectrometer (Thermo Fisher scientific, San Diego CA, USA) equipped with an H-ESI II ionization probe was coupled to the chromatographic system. All mass spectra were recorded in negative 107 ionization mode with the following parameters: spray voltage -3.5 kV, temperature of the probe 120 108 °C and temperature of the capillary 360 °C. Mass spectra were acquired in full scan (m/z 400-1500) 109 and in single ion monitoring (SIM) by selecting the m/z ratio associated to each peptide (spectral 110 111 width: $\pm 0.5 m/z$).

112 1.5. Chromatographic conditions

113 The peptide separations were performed with eleven columns (see Table 2) in isocratic elution. 114 Targeted mobile phase composition was obtained by online mixing of solvent A (60/40 ACN/H₂O v/v 115 + 20 mmol L⁻¹ NH₄O₂CCH₃) and solvent B (80/20 ACN/H₂O v/v + 20 mmol L⁻¹ NH₄O₂CCH₃) in the 116 adequate proportions. The flow rate was 300 μ L min⁻¹ and the injection volume was 3 μ L except for 117 YMC-Triart Diol column, for which it was 1 μ L.

19		dimensions.				
_	Stationary phase		D ()	Carbon load	Surface area	
	functionalization	Column name (manufacturer)	Base material	(%)	m ² g ⁻¹	
_	A	A (W-t)	Bridged ethylene hybrid	12	195	
	Amide	Acquity UPLC BEH amide (Waters)	BEH silica	12	185	
		Acquity UPLC BEH HILIC (Waters)	BEH silica	Unbonded	185	

Table 2 : Column specifications: name, manufacturer, base material, carbon load (%), surface area (m² g⁻¹), and column dimensions.

Hybrid silica	YMC-Triart SIL (YMC)	Organic/inorganic hybrid Silica	Unbonded	330
Silica	Luna ® Silica (phenomenex)	Ultra-high purity silica	unbonded	400
Bare silica	YMC-Pack SIL (YMC)	Ultra-pure metal free silica	unbonded	330
Dare sinca	Hypersil GOLD TM Silica (Thermo scientific)	Highly pure base Deactivated silica	Unbonded	220
Proprietary Polar Group	Acclaim TM HILIC-10 (Thermo scientific)	Ultrapure Silica	8	300
Diol	YMC-Triart Diol (YMC)	Organic/inorganic hybrid Silica	12	360
	Inertsil Diol (GL science)	High purity silica gel	20	450
Zwitterionic (sulfobetaine)	ZIC-pHILIC (Merck)	Polymeric	ND	ND
Amide polyol	BioZen Glycan (phenomenex)	ND	ND	200

120

121 Peptide retention factor k was calculated for each column in accordance with the following equation

122 (1):

123
$$k = \frac{(t_R - t_0)}{t_0}$$
 (Equation 1)

124 Where t_R is the retention time (min) of peptides, determined by HILIC-ESIMS, t_0 is the void time of

unretained marker, toluene (10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹, $V_{inj} = 1 \mu$ L), determined by HILIC-UV/VIS at 254 nm.

126 Selectivity and resolution factors, α and R_s respectively, were calculated based on equations 2 and 3:

127
$$\alpha = \frac{k_2}{k_1}$$
(Equation 2)

128
$$R_s = 1.18 \text{ x} \frac{t_{R_2} - t_{R_1}}{W_{0.5h_1} + W_{0.5h_2}} \text{ (Equation 3)}$$

Being analyte 2 more retained than analyte 1. $W_{0.5}$ corresponds to full width half-maximum of each peak. Asymmetry factor *As* was calculated for every separation using all the tested columns at the mobile phase composition 70/30 ACN/H₂O + 20 mmol L⁻¹ NH₄O₂CCH₃ according to the following equation (4): As = b/a (Equation 4)

Where *a* is the width at the left half of the peak at 10% peak height and *b* is width at the right half ofthe peak width at 10% peak height.

137 2. Results and discussion

138 2.1. Selectivity of various polar stationary phases

The separation of peptides using the eleven columns was first assayed with a reference mobile phase consisting of ACN/H₂O 70/30 v/v + 20 mmol L⁻¹ NH₄O₂CCH₃ [31] at physiological pH. The corresponding elution profiles (Figure 2) and the chromatographic parameters such as k', As, α and Rs(Table 3) reflect the different selectivity of the tested columns for separating the cyclopeptides in these conditions. With bioZen glycan, no elution of peptides was observed even using different mobile phase compositions. Therefore, this column is not suitable and was dropped out from the study.

	Amide		Hybrid silica			Bare silica					Zwitterio	onic	Proprietary		Diol					
	Acquity UPLC BEH amide		Acquity UPLC BEH HILIC		YMC-Triar	t SIL	Luna Silica		YMC Pack SIL		Hypersil GOLD Silica		ZIC-pHILIC	Acclaim HILIC- 10		YMC Triart Diol		Inertsil Diol		
	k'	As	k'	As	k'	As	k'	As	k'	As	k'	As	k'	As	k'	As	k'	As	k'	As
pS0	5.7	1.0	3.2	1.0	4.7	1.3	9.3	7.8	6.6	1.4	2.7	0.8	2.4	0.7	2.1	1.5	2.8	2	1.8	1.5
pS8	7.6	1.4	4.2	5.0	6.3	1.6	19.3	/	13.2	1.6	4.4	1.5	3.0	/	2.2	/	3.3	2	2.1	/
pS1	10.4	0.8	8.4	2.4	11.8	1.7	45.8	/	31.9	1.6	12.3	1.1	3.8	/	2.6	/	4.5	2	2.5	/
pS18	12.4	2.0	9.2	/	12.9	/	ND	/	53.3	2.9	16.6		4.6	/	2.5	/	4.6	/	2.7	/
pS16	15.9	1.1	11.6	/	17.4	/	ND	/	65.7	2.0	18.8		5.4	/	3.2	/	5.9	1	3.0	/
pS168	22.4	1.7	5.4	5.3	7.3	3.7	ND	/	33.9	/	8.7	3.2	8.7	1.8	2.4	5	4.5	3	3.0	6
pS1368	27.2	2.2	7.6	3.8	9.9	6.9	ND	/	60.8	/	13.3		8.6	1.3	2.5	4.3	5.4	3	3.3	/
	$\alpha_{pS0-pS8}$	1.3	$\alpha_{pS0-pS8}$	1.3	$\alpha_{pS0-pS8}$	1.4	apso-ps8	2.1	$\alpha_{pS0-pS8}$	2.0	$\alpha_{pS0-pS8}$	1.6	$\alpha_{pS0-pS8}$	1.2	$\alpha_{pS0-pS8}$	1.1	$\alpha_{pS0-pS8}$	1.2	$\alpha_{pS0-pS8}$	1.2
	$\alpha_{pS8-pS1}$	1.4	ap\$8-p\$168	1.3	aps8-ps168	1.2	aps8-ps1	2.4	$\alpha_{pS8-pS1}$	2.4	a p\$8-p\$168	2.0	$\alpha_{pS8-pS1}$	1.3	A pS8-pS168	1.1	aps8-ps1	1.4	$\alpha_{pS8-pS1}$	1.
	$\alpha_{pS1-pS18}$	1.2	$\alpha_{pS168-pS1368}$	1.4	αpS168- pS1368	1.3	ND		α _{pS1-pS168}	1.1	α _{pS168-pS1}	1.4	$\alpha_{pS1-pS18}$	1.2	αpS168- pS1368	1.0	α _{pS1-pS168}	1.0	$\alpha_{pS1-pS18}$	1.
α	α _{pS18-pS16}	1.3	α _{pS1368-pS1}	1.1	ap\$1368-p\$1	1.2	ND		αpS168-pS18	1.6	A pS1-pS1368	1.1	ap\$18-p\$16	1.2	ap\$1368-p\$18	1.0	ap\$168-p\$18	1.0	ap\$18-p\$16	1.
	$\alpha_{pS16-pS168}$	1.4	$\alpha_{pS1-pS18}$	1.1	α _{pS1-pS18}	1.1	ND		αpS18- pS1368	1.1	α _{pS1368-} pS18	1.3	ap\$16-p\$1368	1.6	$\alpha_{pS18-pS1}$	1.1	ap\$18-p\$1368	1.2	αpS16- pS168	1
	A p\$168-p\$1368	1.2	Ap\$18-p\$16	1.3	ap\$18-p\$16	1.4	ND		α _{pS1368-} pS16	1.1	ap\$18-p\$16	1.1	ap\$1368- p\$168	1.0	$\alpha_{pS1-pS16}$	1.2	A p\$1368-p\$16	1.1	α _{pS168-} pS1368	1
	$Rs_{pS0-pS8}$	1.7	$Rs_{pS0-pS8}$	1.5	$Rs_{pS0-pS8}$	1.4	$Rs_{pS0-pS8}$	2.3	$Rs_{pS0-pS8}$	4.1	$Rs_{pS0-pS8}$	2.3	$Rs_{pS0-pS8}$	1.1	$Rs_{pS0-pS8}$	0.6	Rsps0-ps8	0.9	$Rs_{pS0-pS8}$	0
	Rs _{pS8-pS1}	2.2	$Rs_{pS8-pS168}$	1.0	$Rs_{pS8-pS168}$	0.5	Rs _{pS8-pS1}	3.0	Rs _{pS8-pS1}	6.5	Rs _{pS8-} pS168	3.5	Rs _{pS8-pS1}	1.4	$Rs_{pS8-pS168}$	0.5	Rs _{pS8-pS1}	2.4	Rs _{pS8-pS1}	1.
P	Rsps1-ps18	1.2	R s _p \$168-p\$1368	0.5	Rs _{pS168-} pS1368	0.9	ND		R s _{pS1-} pS168	0.4	Rs _{pS168-} pS1	2.2	RspS1-pS18	1.1	Rs _{pS168-} pS1368	0.2	RspS1-pS168	0.0	RspS1-pS18	0
Rs	Rs _{pS18-pS16}	1.8	Rs _{pS1368-pS1}	0.2	$Rs_{pS1368-pS1}$	0.7	ND		Rsp5168- pS18	2.9	Rs _{pS1-} pS1368	0.4	Rs _{pS18-pS16}	1.0	Rsp\$1368- p\$18	0.0	$Rs_{pS168-pS18}$	0.2	Rs _{pS18-} pS16	0
	R <i>spS</i> 16- <i>pS</i> 168	2.8	RspS1-pS18	0.6	RspS1-pS18	0.4	ND		Rs _{pS18-} pS1368	0.7	Rsp\$1368- p\$18	1.4	R s _{pS16-} pS1368	2.8	RspS18-pS1	0.4	Rs _{pS18-} pS1368	1.0	<i>Rs</i> _{pS16} - <i>pS168</i>	0
	R s _p s168-ps1368	1.6	$Rs_{pS18-pS16}$	1.0	RspS18-pS16	1.1	ND		Rs _{pS1368-} pS16	0.4	Rs_{pS18}	0.9	Rs _{pS1368-} pS168	0.1	RspS1-pS16	1.0	Rs _{pS1368-} pS16	0.6	Rs _{pS168-} pS1368	0

145	Table 3: Chromatographic parameters (k'. As. α and Rs) calculated for the separation of the peptides with stationary phases grafted by different polar functional groups, using a mobile phase
146	composition of 70/30 ACN/H ₂ O + 20 mmol L^{-1} NH ₄ O ₂ CCH ₃ .

147 ND: Not Detected; /: As cannot be calculated due to (i) unresolved peaks or (ii) 10 % of the peak height < baseline noise.

Bare silica columns such as Luna Silica. YMC-Pack SIL and Hypersil GOLD Silica display a highly 148 polar and negatively charged stationary phase at pH 7 due to deprotonated surface silanol groups. 149 150 Because of this high polarity, the highest retention factors of all the peptides were obtained with the first two columns among all the tested ones with k' reaching for example 45.8 and 31.9 for 151 monophosphorylated pS1 on Luna Silica and YMC-Pack SIL (see Table 3). It must be noticed that 152 retention is significantly lower for the Hypersil GOLD Silica, with k'=12.3 for pS1. The retention of 153 154 the negatively charged peptides displaying the same charge as the stationary phase reflects that the 155 main retention mechanism-taking place is hydrophilic partitioning with respect to electrostatic repulsions, that solely would lead to decreased or no retention of analytes. The retention of analytes 156 157 displaying the same charge as the stationary phase is one of the HILIC features compared to ion 158 exchange chromatography [32].

159 In the case of the highly retentive Luna Silica, only broad peaks were observed for pS0, pS1 and pS8, while none of the remaining multiphosphorylated peptides was observed using this mobile phase 160 composition. In the same conditions, all the peptides were eluted with the YMC-Pack SIL and 161 162 Hypersil GOLD Silica columns. This difference is attributed to the surface area of the columns, varying as follows: Hypersil GOLD Silica < YMC-Pack SIL < Luna Silica (Table 2) and leading to 163 increasing retention of peptides accordingly. Using YMC-Pack SIL and Hypersil GOLD Silica, pS16 164 165 was the most retained peptide with k' = 65.7 and 18.8, respectively. Overall, a good separation of the 166 pS8-pS1 positional isomers was achieved with the three columns with selectivity factors of 2.4 for Luna Silica and YMC-Pack SIL and 2.8 for Hypersil Gold Silica. 167

168 Hybrid silica Acquity UPLC BEH HILIC and YMC-Triart SIL columns contain non-grafted stationary 169 phases, made of supports with different hybrid technologies. Bridged ethylene hybrid (BEH) supports 170 consist of ethylene bridges embedded within the silica matrix that coat nearly one-third of the surface 171 silanols [33]. YMC-Tiart SIL is based on hybrid particles with multi-layered organic/inorganic silica 172 [34]. Hybrid supports provide stationary phases with lower deprotonated surface silanol groups and 173 decreased polarity compared to bare silica columns, leading to lower peptide retention factors as can be seen in Figure 2 and Table 3. For example, pS1 retention factor is three times lower with YMC-174 Triart SIL (k' = 11.8) than with YMC-Pack SIL (k' = 31.9). As observed with bare silica columns, the 175

peptides were more retained on the column exhibiting the higher surface area that is the YMC-Triart
SIL with respect to the Acquity UPLC BEH HILIC (Table 2) and pS16 was eluted with the highest
retention factor with both hybrid silica columns.

179 The remaining columns are based on bare or hybrid silica supports, grafted with different functional180 groups such as amide, diol and zwitterionic.

181 Acquity UPLC BEH amide is based on hybrid silica support, grafted with neutral amide groups 182 through an alkyl spacer. As neutral stationary phase, analyte retention is driven by hydrophilic 183 partitioning as main mechanism and hydrogen bonding also contribute to the retention owing to the 184 hydrogen donor and acceptor ability of the amide group. Accordingly, the retention factors of the 185 peptides increased with respect to the number of pSer residues in the peptides scaffold in agreement with their increasing polarity and hydrophilicity (Figure 2 and Table 3). Amide stationary phase 186 displayed high separation selectivity and baseline resolution for separating these cyclic peptides, 187 including their positional isomers ($Rs_{pS8-pS1} = 2.2$ and $Rs_{pS18-pS16} = 1.8$). 188

Acclaim HILIC-10 is based on bare silica support, grafted with a proprietary hydrophilic functional group. It showed weak retention of the peptides leading to co-elution of most of them and a poor baseline resolution (Rs < 1.5 as shown in Table 3). This reflects the insufficient polarity of the functional group for separating these peptides under the selected conditions.

193 ZIC-pHILIC is a zwitterionic column, made of a polymer material grafted with a sufoalkylbetaine 194 chain that contains both negatively charged sulfonic acid and positively charged quaternary ammonium separated by a short alkyl spacer. As the two oppositely charged groups are present in 195 equimolar ratio, the zwitterionic function is globally neutral. Sulfoalkylbetaine columns strongly 196 197 adsorb water, therefore hydrophilic partitioning is the main retention mechanism of the analytes, but 198 weak electrostatic interactions contribute to the retention of charged analytes as well as hydrogen 199 bonding [17]. Taking into account these features, the retention of the peptides increased in connection with the number of pSer groups, even though in this mobile phase composition peaks are poorly 200 (Rs < 1.5. except for pS16-pS1368 Rs = 2.8. see Table 3) and the tri- and 201 resolved tetraphosphorylated peptides were co-eluted (Figure 2). 202

YMC-Triart Diol and Inertsil Diol columns are respectively based on hybrid and pure silica supports, 203 grafted with neutral dihydroxypropyl groups through a spacer. The separation is based on hydrophilic 204 205 partioning as major retention mechanism, in combination with hydrogen bond interactions through the 206 OH group. Using the reference mobile phase, the peptides were weakly retained and almost co-eluted, 207 which is explained by the low polarity and hydrophilicity of diol stationary phases. The retention 208 factors are slightly different with both columns despite being grafted with the same functional group. 209 For example k'_{pS1} with YMC-Triart Diol (k' = 4.5) is almost twice the observed with Inertsil Diol (k' = 4.5) 210 2.5). It is important to mention that despite having a hydroxy group on both diol columns, they display 211 differences in the carbon chain length, which is higher for Inertsil Diol. In addition, this latter has an 212 ether group in its chain, which is not present with YMC-Triart Diol (see Table 2). This illustrates that columns categorized as same stationary phase are different between manufacturers in the architecture 213 214 of the functional group, the grafting rates and the technology of the material support.

The first screening of various columns for separating multiphosphorylated cyclic peptides using a fixed mobile phase composition showed diverse selectivity according to the chemical group bonded to the stationary phase. Acquity UPLC BEH amide showed to be suitable for the separation of the peptides. These latter displayed a different chromatographic behavior with the remaining columns and in some cases, we obtained a good separation of the positional isomers, making interesting a further investigation of the effect of mobile phase composition on the separation selectivity mechanism of these phosphorylated cyclopeptides and of their HILIC retention mechanism.

222 2.2. Effect of mobile phase composition

The mobile phase's elution force is another parameter influencing the separation selectivity in HILIC and is highly related to organic content of the mobile phase. By way of example. Figure 3 shows the chromatographic behavior (Figure 3A) and the retention factors of the peptides (Figure 3B) in function of the mobile phase's organic content for columns of increasing polarity: Acclaim HILIC-10, diol (YMC-Triart Diol). Zwitterionic (ZIC-pHILIC) and bare silica (YMC-Pack SIL). In all cases, the salt concentration was kept at 20 mmol L⁻¹.

As previously observed, 70% ACN in the mobile phase induced unacceptable retention factors with the more polar bare silica columns. We therefore assessed the effect of higher mobile phase's elution

force for this class of stationary phases by decreasing the proportion of ACN. This latter was 231 decreased up to 40 % with the highly retentive Luna Silica column, but pS168 and pS1368 were still 232 233 not eluted and pS18 and pS16 were eluted near the void time (data not shown), rendering this column 234 not suitable for the rest of the study. For YMC-Pack SIL, the peptide retention factors of all the peptides decreased with the ACN percentage as expected (Figure 3A and B). It must however be 235 noticed that elution order changed between pS168 and pS1368 and their neighboring peptides when 236 237 the mobile phase's elution force was modified (Figure 3B). The same behavior was observed on 238 Hypersil Gold Silica but only for pS1368 (see Table 3 and Supporting Information).

239 For the less polar remaining columns, the elution force was decreased by increasing the mobile phase 240 organic content, promoting the retention of the peptides in all cases. With the Acclaim HILIC-10, the ACN content was increased up to 77%, but the selectivity was still insufficient (Figure 3A) and the 241 242 separation of the peptides was not achieved. A composition of 80 % ACN did not improve the 243 separation performance either and yielded retention factors up to 28.5 with very wide peaks. 244 Concerning the YMC-Triart Diol, the ACN content was increased up to 75 % giving a good separation 245 selectivity (1.1 < α < 1.5) and baseline resolution (*Rs* > 1.5) except for the pS168/pS16 (*Rs*_{pS168-pS16} = 246 0.9) and pS1/pS18 ($Rs_{pS1-pS18} = 1.4$) pairs (See Supporting Information). A change in the elution order 247 was also observed between pS168 and pS1368 and their neighboring peptides at 72% and 74% ACN 248 respectively (Figure 3A and B). We could suggest that a change in the retention mechanism occurred 249 and that secondary interactions of the peptides with the stationary phase through hydrogen bonding 250 took more important part. For Inertsil Diol, increasing the ACN content up to 75% improved the 251 separation selectivity but was still insufficient due to the wide peaks obtained at this percentage (see 252 Supporting Information). Contrary to YMC-Triart Diol, we observed no change in the elution order of 253 the peptides while modifying the mobile phase composition. For ZIC-pHILIC column, the peptides 254 were eluted in two groups whatever the ACN content. The first one includes peptides with 0, 1 and 2 255 pSer residues and their positional isomers, which were separated with a good selectivity and baseline 256 resolution at 72% ACN (1.5 < Rs < 2 .4 and α > 1). The second one includes tri- and 257 tetraphosphorylated peptides pS168 and pS1368, which were co-eluted at all the mobile phase 258 compositions. It must be noticed that pS1368 displayed a very large peak at 74% and 76% ACN, making difficult the precise identification of the apex. With hybrid silica columns (see Table 1), the
ACN amount was increased up to 74 % which did not improve the separation performance and lead to
very large peaks and co-elution of some of the peptides (data not shown).

Overall, the mobile phase modification induced in some cases an inversion of the elution order only between the tri- and tetra- phosphorylated peptides pS168 and pS1368 and their neighboring peptides respectively. This result reflects that pS168 and pS1368 are more sensitive to the mobile phase polarity than the other peptides.

266 2.3. HILIC behavior of the multiphosphorylated cyclopeptides

It is commonly known that in HILIC. retention increases with analyte polarity [17]. Therefore, higher retention of the peptides is expected by increasing the number of polar pSer residues in their scaffold. As previously shown, this was not always the case in our study and we rather observed two trends in the elution order of the peptides depending on the stationary phase grafting.

In order to illustrate the effect of phosphate groups (pSer) on the retention of the cyclic peptides, their retention factors k' were plotted against the number of pSer in the sequence. In each case, the mobile phase composition was chosen to obtain k' less than 30, while keeping satisfying resolution and selectivity (see Figure 4).

275 A linear correlation between the retention factor and the number of pSer was observed exclusively using the neutral amide-grafted ($R^2 = 0.9489$) and Inertsil Diol-grafted ($R^2 = 0.9315$) columns. The 276 peptide hydrophilicity increases with the number of negatively charged pSer residues, inducing higher 277 retention, in agreement with classical HILIC behavior. Our results are similar to those obtained by 278 279 Singer et al.. who separated multiphosphorylated linear peptides with 0. 1. 2 and 3 phosphorylation 280 sites using a diol column. leading to increased retention in accordance with the number of phosphorylation residues [27]. Furthermore, Yanagida et al. obtained also high correlation between 281 282 logk and the increasing number of hydroxy groups (-OH) in proanthocyanidins, using a TSKgel 283 Amide-80 column, and showed that it was the result of the dominance of secondary interactions 284 through hydrogen bonding on hydrophilic partitioning mechanism [35].

When using stationary phases involving electrostatic interactions, the peptides behave differently (Figure 4). With the bare and hybrid silica columns, the retention factors increased with the number of pSer residues for pS0, pS1-pS8, pS18-pS16 (group A) and then decreased for pS168 and pS1368 (group B).

289 All of the peptides display a global negative charge because of the presence of negatively charged 290 amino acids such as Glu (pKa = 4.07) and/or pSer (pKa₁ = 2.1 pKa₂ = 5.8), at position 1. 3. 6 and 8. 291 Their backbone is made of neutral amino acids Pro. Gly at position 4. 5. 9. 10 and Trp at position 7, 292 but the amino acid at position 2 is Arg (pKa = 12.10, charge +1) for the group A and Glu (pKa = 4.07. 293 charge -1) for the group B. This difference affects the global negative charge of the peptides, for 294 which the maximum charge at pH 7 increases as follows: pS0 (-3), pS8/1 (-4), pS18/16 (-5), pS168 (-295 8) and pS1368 (-9). It is important to mention that the amino acids located in position 2 (Arg) and 7 296 (Trp) are orientated in the "lower face" of the peptide scaffold, contrary to the ones in position 1. 3. 6 297 and 8, that might affect their retention, since different group of different charge is available towards 298 the surface of the stationary phase.

299 Since bare and hybrid silica columns display free negatively charged deprotonated silanol groups on 300 their surface, electrostatic interactions contribute also to the retention of the peptides in addition to hydrophilic partitioning. Concerning the group A peptides, additional attractive electrostatic 301 302 interactions taking place between the negatively charged silanol groups of the stationary phase and the 303 Arg seem to promote their retention. We can assign this behavior to the one described by Alpert as the "contact region of peptides" in HILIC. being the region of these latter that preferentially interact with 304 305 the stationary phase [36]. Gilar and Jaworski, who studied the HILIC behavior of tryptic linear 306 peptides at pH 4.5 using bare, hybrid, and amide columns have discussed the Arg impact on the 307 peptide retention [21]. Their experiments, along with their retention prediction models, showed that 308 positively charged Arg residues strongly promoted the peptide retention on columns exhibiting 309 deprotonated negatively charged surface silanols such as bare and hybrid silica via cation exchange 310 interactions. This trend was more significant with bare silica compared to hybrid silica columns, due to the differences in deprotonated silanol amount on the surface, which is in agreement with our 311 312 results [21].

Figure 4 shows that pS168 and pS1368 had lower retention factors compared to group A peptides. These two peptides bear only negatively charged amino acids and the highest negative charge among the peptides, leading to electrostatic repulsions with the stationary phase and therefore reduced retention. This might explain why the retention factors of these peptides were highly affected by the slightest change of mobile phase composition compared to other peptides.

318 This different behavior between group A and group B peptides is surprisingly observed with some 319 neutral columns such as YMC-Triart Diol and Acclaim-HILIC-10, but is less pronounced. This means 320 that residual deprotonated surface silanols are more accessible on these columns to interact with the 321 analytes and thus influence their retention factors despite being shielded by neutral functionalization. 322 Finally, the ZIC-pHILIC column showed similar behavior for group A peptides whilst pS168 and pS1368 of group B are co-eluted. However, these latter are more retained than the first group, meaning 323 324 that the nature and the charge of the amino acid in position 2 did not affect the retention. The 325 secondary weak electrostatic interactions combined with the hydrophilic partitioning did not provide 326 enough selectivity to separate these two peptides. This was the case at all mobile phase compositions, 327 as seen in the previous part.

The HILIC behavior of the multiphosphorylated cyclic peptides differed in connection with the charged amino acids (Arg and Glu) in the lower face of the peptide backbone on all the tested columns, except for the amide and inertsil diol for which residual deprotonated silanols had negligible effect.

332 2.4. Impact of amino acid position on the HILIC retention of the peptides

333 The positional isomers of mono- and di-phosphorylated peptides differ only by the location of one 334 pSer residue in the sequence, as can be seen in pS1 c(pSREPGEWEPG)/pS8 c(EREPGEWpSPG) and 335 pS16 c(pSREPGpSWEPG)/pS18 c(pSREPGEWpSPG) (Figure 1). We achieved a successful 336 separation of these positional isomers with most of the columns, leading to systematic stronger 337 retention of pS1 and pS16 compared to pS8 and pS18 respectively. This shows that the position of the pSer residue significantly affect the HILIC behavior of the peptides. In the mono- and di-338 339 phosphorylated peptides, the pSer residue in position 8 is neighbored by Pro and Trp amino acids, 340 while in their positional isomers it lies between Gly and Arg for pS1 and Gly and Trp for pS16 (Figure

341 1). Moreover, in pS16, both pSer residues are located close to Gly residues. We can suggest that the
342 Pro and Trp amino acids close to pSer induce higher steric hindrance in pS8 and pS18 than the amino
343 acids in their isomer counterparts, making thus the pSer residue less available to interact with the
344 stationary phase and therefore lower retention of pS8 and pS18 than pS1 and pS16.

The major effect of amino acid position in the peptide sequence on retention in HILIC has also been reported in the literature based on prediction models and experimental investigations [21.24.28] using linear peptides, where amino acid were positioned at N-terminus or at different other positions. Although peptides involved in our work are cyclic and structured, we obtained results in agreement with these studies.

350 Conclusion

The main objective of this work was to separate synthetic multiphosphorylated cyclopeptides and their positional isomers at physiological pH using HILIC coupled to ESI-MS. and to shed light on their retention mechanisms. By screening several stationary phases and mobile phase compositions, the best separation selectivity and baseline resolution were achieved by using columns grafted with neutral amide (Acquity UPLC BEH amide) and diol (YMC-Triart Diol) functional groups, with a mobile phase containing 70 and 75 % ACN and 20 mmol L⁻¹ ammonium acetate, respectively (see Figure 2 and Figure 3A).

358 The HILIC retention mechanism study showed that peptide retention factors followed the classical 359 HILIC mechanism with the amide and Inertsil Diol-grafted columns, and a linear correlation between 360 retention factors and the number of polar phosphorylated residues was obtained. With the remaining columns, we observed that the nature and charge of the amino acid at the lower face of the peptide 361 backbone affected the peptide retention, depending on the contribution part of the secondary 362 electrostatic interactions with the residual deprotonated silanols at the surface of the stationary phase. 363 364 The position of the amino acid in the backbone had also an impact on the peptide retention, making it 365 possible the separation of mono- and di-phosphorylated positional isomers with satisfying baseline 366 resolution. The next step of this work will focus on the separation of uranium complexes formed with 367 these peptides at physiological pH and the quantification of uranium among these peptides, using 368 HILIC coupled to elemental and molecular mass spectrometry.

Overall, this study gives an insight on the HILIC approaches that can be undertaken for separating cyclic peptides, which can be of great concern for the separation of molecules of cyclic structure, in pharmaceutical, proteomics and therapeutic applications. Furthermore, the high selectivity obtained for separating isobaric phosphopeptides might be particularly useful in proteomics to help identifying phosphorylation sites in proteins through the separation of peptides from protein digests.

374 Acknowledgments

375 The authors would like to acknowledge the CEA for its financial support.

- **Figure 1:** Structure of the synthetic cyclodecapeptides and their positional isomers.
- 377 Figure 2: Overlay of ESIMS elution profile of each peptide in the mixture obtained in SIM mode and
- using mobile phase composition made of 70/30 ACN/H₂O v/v + 20 mmol L^{-1} NH₄O₂CCH₃. Flow rate:
- 379 300 μ L min⁻¹ in isocratic elution. Peptides were detected in their dicharged form [M-2H]²⁻ at the
- following m/z ratios: (a) pS0 (m/z = 582.3). (b) pS8 and (b') pS1 (m/z = 601.2). (c) pS18 and (c') pS16
- 381 (m/z = 620.2). (d) pS168 (m/z = 625.7). (e) pS1368 (m/z = 644.6).
- 382 Figure 3: (A) ESI-MS elution profile of peptides using Acclaim HILIC-10. YMC-Triart Diol. ZIC-
- 383 pHILIC and YMC-Pack SIL at different mobile phase compositions. Flow rate: 300 µL min⁻¹ in
- isocratic elution. (a) pS0. (b) pS8 and (b') pS1. (c) pS18 and (c') pS16. (d) pS168. (e) pS1368. (B)
- 385 Variation of peptide retention factors with the % of ACN in the mobile phase.
- **Figure 4**: Variation of the retention factors *k*' according to the number of pSer residues of the peptides.
- 387 The mobile phase composition was chosen to yield retention factors k' below 30.

References

- E. Mesterházy. C. Lebrun. A. Jancsó. P. Delangle. A Constrained Tetrapeptide as a Model of Cu(I) Binding Sites Involving Cu 4 S 6 Clusters in Proteins. Inorg. Chem. 57 (2018) 5723–5731.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.7b02735.
- A.M. Pujol. M. Cuillel. O. Renaudet. C. Lebrun. P. Charbonnier. D. Cassio. C. Gateau. P. Dumy.
 E. Mintz. P. Delangle. Hepatocyte Targeting and Intracellular Copper Chelation by a ThiolContaining Glycocyclopeptide. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133 (2011) 286–296.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/ja106206z.
- C. Gateau. P. Delangle. Design of intrahepatocyte copper(I) chelators as drug candidates for
 Wilson's disease: Intracellular copper chelation. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1315 (2014) 30–36.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12379.
- [4] M. Monestier, A.M. Pujol, A. Lamboux, M. Cuillel, I. Pignot-Paintrand, D. Cassio, P.
 Charbonnier, K. Um, A. Harel, S. Bohic, C. Gateau, V. Balter, V. Brun, P. Delangle, E. Mintz, A
 liver-targeting Cu(1) chelator relocates Cu in hepatocytes and promotes Cu excretion in a
 murine model of Wilson's disease. Metallomics. 12 (2020) 1000–1008.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/D0MT00069H.
- 404 [5] M. Tegoni. F. Yu. M. Bersellini. J.E. Penner-Hahn. V.L. Pecoraro. Designing a functional type 2
 405 copper center that has nitrite reductase activity within -helical coiled coils. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
 406 109 (2012) 21234–21239. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1212893110.
- 407 [6] H. Huang. S. Chaudhary. J.D. Van Horn. Uranyl–Peptide Interactions in Carbonate Solution
 408 with DAHK and Derivatives. Inorg. Chem. 44 (2005) 813–815.
 409 https://doi.org/10.1021/ic0495281.
- 410 [7] S. Safi. G. Creff. A. Jeanson. L. Qi. C. Basset. J. Roques. P.L. Solari. E. Simoni. C. Vidaud. C.
 411 Den Auwer. Osteopontin: A Uranium Phosphorylated Binding-Site Characterization. Chem. 412 Eur. J. 19 (2013) 11261–11269. https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201300989.
- [8] R. Pardoux. S. Sauge-Merle. D. Lemaire. P. Delangle. L. Guilloreau. J.-M. Adriano. C.
 Berthomieu. Modulating Uranium Binding Affinity in Engineered Calmodulin EF-Hand
 Peptides: Effect of Phosphorylation. PLoS ONE. 7 (2012) e41922.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0041922.
- 417 [9] A. Garai. P. Delangle. Recent advances in uranyl binding in proteins thanks to biomimetic
 418 peptides. J. Inorg. Biochem. 203 (2020) 110936.
 419 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2019.110936.
- [10] C. Lebrun, M. Starck, V. Gathu, Y. Chenavier, P. Delangle, Engineering Short Peptide
 Sequences for Uranyl Binding, Chem. Eur. J. 20 (2014) 16566–16573.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201404546.
- 423 [11] M. Starck. N. Sisommay. F.A. Laporte. S. Oros. C. Lebrun. P. Delangle. Preorganized Peptide
 424 Scaffolds as Mimics of Phosphorylated Proteins Binding Sites with a High Affinity for Uranyl.
 425 Inorg. Chem. 54 (2015) 11557–11562. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b02249.
- [12] M. Starck, F.A. Laporte, S. Oros, N. Sisommay, V. Gathu, P.L. Solari, G. Creff, J. Roques, C.
 Den Auwer, C. Lebrun, P. Delangle, Cyclic Phosphopeptides to Rationalize the Role of
 Phosphoamino Acids in Uranyl Binding to Biological Targets, Chem. Eur. J. 23 (2017) 5281–
 5290, https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201605481.
- 430 [13] F.A. Laporte. C. Lebrun. C. Vidaud. P. Delangle. Phosphate-Rich Biomimetic Peptides Shed
 431 Light on High-Affinity Hyperphosphorylated Uranyl Binding Sites in Phosphoproteins. Chem. –
 432 Eur. J. (2019). https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201900646.
- [14] M.L. Hennrich. V. Groenewold. G.J.P.L. Kops. A.J.R. Heck. S. Mohammed. Improving Depth
 in Phosphoproteomics by Using a Strong Cation Exchange-Weak Anion Exchange-Reversed
 Phase Multidimensional Separation Approach. Anal. Chem. 83 (2011) 7137–7143.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac2015068.
- 437 [15] A. Cristobal. F. Marino. H. Post. H.W.P. van den Toorn. S. Mohammed. A.J.R. Heck. Toward
 438 an Optimized Workflow for Middle-Down Proteomics. Anal. Chem. 89 (2017) 3318–3325.
 439 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.6b03756.

388

- 440 [16] P. Hemström. K. Irgum. Hydrophilic interaction chromatography. J. Sep. Sci. 29 (2006) 1784–
 441 1821. https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.200600199.
- 442 [17] P. Jandera. Stationary and mobile phases in hydrophilic interaction chromatography: a review.
 443 Anal. Chim. Acta. 692 (2011) 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2011.02.047.
- 444 [18] B. Buszewski. S. Noga. Hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC)—a powerful
 445 separation technique. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 402 (2012) 231–247.
 446 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-011-5308-5.
- 447 [19] A. Periat. I.S. Krull. D. Guillarme. Applications of hydrophilic interaction chromatography to
 448 amino acids. peptides. and proteins: Liquid Chromatography. J. Sep. Sci. 38 (2015) 357–367.
 449 https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201400969.
- 450 [20] D.E. McNulty. R.S. Annan. Hydrophilic interaction chromatography reduces the complexity of
 451 the phosphoproteome and improves global phosphopeptide isolation and detection.. Mol. Cell.
 452 Proteomics MCP. 7 (2008) 971–980. https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M700543-MCP200.
- [21] M. Gilar. A. Jaworski. Retention behavior of peptides in hydrophilic-interaction
 chromatography. J. Chromatogr. A. 1218 (2011) 8890–8896.
- 455 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2011.04.005.
- 456 [22] V. Spicer. O.V. Krokhin. Peptide retention time prediction in hydrophilic interaction liquid
 457 chromatography. Comparison of separation selectivity between bare silica and bonded stationary
 458 phases. J. Chromatogr. A. 1534 (2018) 75–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2017.12.046.
- Y. Yang, R.I. Boysen, M.T.W. Hearn. Hydrophilic interaction chromatography coupled to
 electrospray mass spectrometry for the separation of peptides and protein digests. J. Chromatogr.
 A. 1216 (2009) 5518–5524. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2009.05.085.
- 462 [24] S. Le Maux. A.B. Nongonierma. R.J. FitzGerald. Improved short peptide identification using
 463 HILIC–MS/MS: Retention time prediction model based on the impact of amino acid position in
 464 the peptide sequence. Food Chem. 173 (2015) 847–854.
 465 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.10.104.
- 466 [25] W. Jiang. G. Fischer. Y. Girmay. K. Irgum. Zwitterionic stationary phase with covalently
 467 bonded phosphorylcholine type polymer grafts and its applicability to separation of peptides in
 468 the hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography mode. J. Chromatogr. A. 1127 (2006) 82–91.
- 469 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2006.05.080.
- [26] S. Di Palma. P.J. Boersema. A.J.R. Heck. S. Mohammed. Zwitterionic Hydrophilic Interaction
 Liquid Chromatography (ZIC-HILIC and ZIC-cHILIC) Provide High Resolution Separation and
 Increase Sensitivity in Proteome Analysis. Anal. Chem. 83 (2011) 3440–3447.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac103312e.
- 474 [27] D. Singer. J. Kuhlmann. M. Muschket. R. Hoffmann. Separation of Multiphosphorylated Peptide
 475 Isomers by Hydrophilic Interaction Chromatography on an Aminopropyl Phase. Anal. Chem. 82
 476 (2010) 6409–6414. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac100473k.
- 477 [28] M.J. Badgett. B. Boyes. R. Orlando. Peptide retention prediction using hydrophilic interaction
 478 liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A. 1537 (2018) 58–65.
 479 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2017.12.055.
- [29] P.J. Boersema. N. Divecha. A.J.R. Heck. S. Mohammed. Evaluation and Optimization of ZICHILIC-RP as an Alternative MudPIT Strategy. J. Proteome Res. 6 (2007) 937–946.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/pr060589m.
- [30] M.P.Y. Lam. S.O. Siu. E. Lau. X. Mao. H.Z. Sun. P.C.N. Chiu. W.S.B. Yeung. D.M. Cox. I.K.
 Chu. Online coupling of reverse-phase and hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography for
 protein and glycoprotein characterization. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 398 (2010) 791–804.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-010-3991-2.
- [31] E. Blanchard. A. Nonell. F. Chartier. A. Rincel. C. Bresson. Evaluation of superficially and fully
 porous particles for HILIC separation of lanthanide–polyaminocarboxylic species and
 simultaneous coupling to ESIMS and ICPMS. RSC Adv. 8 (2018) 24760–24772.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/C8RA02961J.
- 491 [32] A.J. Alpert. Electrostatic Repulsion Hydrophilic Interaction Chromatography for Isocratic
 492 Separation of Charged Solutes and Selective Isolation of Phosphopeptides. Anal. Chem. 80
 493 (2008) 62–76. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac070997p.

- 494 [33] E.S. Grumbach. D.M. Diehl. U.D. Neue. The application of novel 1.7 μm ethylene bridged
 495 hybrid particles for hydrophilic interaction chromatography. J. Sep. Sci. 31 (2008) 1511–1518.
 496 https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.200700673.
- 497 [34] https://www.ymc.co.jp/en/columns/ymc_triart_sil/. (n.d.).
- 498 [35] A. Yanagida. H. Murao. M. Ohnishi-Kameyama. Y. Yamakawa. A. Shoji. M. Tagashira. T.
- Kanda. H. Shindo. Y. Shibusawa. Retention behavior of oligomeric proanthocyanidins in
 hydrophilic interaction chromatography. J. Chromatogr. A. 1143 (2007) 153–161.
- 501 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2007.01.004.
- [36] A.J. Alpert. Hydrophilic-interaction chromatography for the separation of peptides. nucleic acids
 and other polar compounds. J. Chromatogr. A. 499 (1990) 177–196.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021.0672(00)06072.2
- 504 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673(00)96972-3.
- 505

pS<mark>O</mark>







