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ABSTRACT

Structural health monitoring (SHM) consists in embedding sensors in a structure like aircraft fuselages, pipes or ship hulls in order to detect defects (for example cracks or corrosion in metallic materials or delamination in composite materials) before a serious fault occurs in the structure. Guided elastic waves emitted by a sensor and propagating to another one are often used as the physical way of detecting the defect. However, the implementation of SHM systems is restricted in many situations by the necessity to store or to harvest the electric energy necessary to emit the waves and also by the intrusiveness of the sensors. Guided wave tomography imaging is able to localize and quantify the severity of the defect when it comes to loss of thickness such as corrosion or erosion. However, it needs many sensors (generally piezoelectric - PZT - transducers) and it has a cost, particularly in terms of intrusiveness. The idea in this paper is to use less intrusive sensors such as fiber Bragg Gratings (FBG) to perform guided wave tomography. The inspected area of a pipe is surrounded by two rings, one with PZT and the other one with FBG.

INTRODUCTION

Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) consists of acquiring and analyzing data from sensors embedded in a structure in order to evaluate its health [1]. A promising technology relies on the use of piezoelectric transducers emitting and receiving guided waves in the structure. However, this approach becomes very intrusive in combination with imaging algorithms such as tomography due to the large number of sensors required [2].

An interesting possibility is to design a guided wave based SHM system with minimal intrusiveness thanks to the use of fiber Bragg Gratings (FBG) sensors on optical fibers. However, these sensors cannot emit waves, they can only work in a passive way. This means that passive methods are needed to retrieve the response between two sensors. In the past years, researchers have shown that it is possible to use ambient structure-borne elastic noise instead of actively emitting the waves in the structure in order to retrieve the impulse response of the medium between two sensors [3]. The idea
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is to take advantage of the elastic noise naturally present in the structure in order to avoid
the emission of the elastic waves by the SHM system. The complexity of the embedded
SHM system is therefore reduced.

Passive guided wave tomography using piezoelectric transducers has been studied
in [4] for plate structures and with a noise generated by a jet of compressed air. This
method is transposed for pipe structures in [5]. It has also be shown in [6] that passive
guided waves measurements using FBG sensors is possible thanks to passive methods
such as the ambient noise cross-correlation. In this paper the studies are focused on the
impacts that have the fiber Bragg Gratings measurements on guided wave tomography
imaging on pipe structure because of the FBG directivity. In a first part, the diffraction
tomography algorithm used in this paper is briefly described. Then, the effect of
FBG directivity on guided waves signal measurements and tomography resolution are
highlighted.

METHODS

In this first section the method which allows to obtain and to interpret the results of
the following section is presented. Firstly, the imaging algorithm used is introduced and
then the directional measurement of the fiber Bragg Grating is presented.

Guided Wave Tomography

Guided elastic wave tomography is a method giving a quantitative image of an in-
spected area with potential corrosion or erosion flaws. This imaging method is very
interesting because it can be baseline-free (see [2]) and so reduces the risks of false
alarms for a SHM system.

In this paper is used classical diffraction tomography (DT) algorithm [7] on pipe
structure. The image is represented by an object function $O$ at the position $x$:

$$O(x) = k(x)^2 - k_0^2 = k_0^2 \left( \frac{v_0}{v(x)} \right)^2 - 1,$$

where $k(x)$ is the wavenumber at the position $x$, $k_0$ the background wavenumber, $v_0$ the
background phase velocity and $v(x)$ the phase velocity at the position $x$.

Diffraction tomography allows to solve the inverse problem to calculate the object
function:

$$O(x) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\hat{\phi}^s_{k_0}(x_l)}{G_0(x_l; x) G_0(x; x_k)} W(\theta_k, \theta_l) \, d\theta_k \, d\theta_l,$$

where $\hat{\phi}^s_{k_0}(x_l)$ is the scattered field measured at the position $x_l$ by the receiver number $l$,
$G_0$ the non-perturbed Green’s function, $k$ the number of the emitter and $W$ a weighting
function which comes from a variables’ change between spatial frequencies and angular
coordinates. The link between the object function and the thickness is then given by the
dispersion curves. More details about the algorithm used here are given in [5].

Fiber Bragg Gratings
It is well known that fiber Bragg Gratings make directional measures. For isotropic structures, this sensitivity reads [8]:

$$\varepsilon_{\text{BRAGG}} = \varepsilon_1 \cos^2(\alpha) + \varepsilon_2 \sin^2(\alpha),$$

where $$\varepsilon_{\text{BRAGG}}$$ is the strain of the sensor, $$\varepsilon_1$$ is the strain due to the wave in the direction $$e_1$$, $$\varepsilon_2$$ is the strain in the direction $$e_2$$ and $$\alpha$$ is the incident angle of the wave with respect to the FBG’s axis (see the Figure 1).

Effects on the measured signals are presented in the following section.

**EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

First, this section presents experimental signals measured with fiber Bragg gratings. The effect of the directivity is evaluated by comparing those signals with piezoelectric measurements. Then, hybrid guided wave tomography of erosion in pipe is presented. One ring of piezoelectric transducers is used to emit guided waves and an other one made up of fiber Bragg gratings is used to measure the waves.

**Effects of the Directivity**

In this section the sensitivity of the fiber Bragg gratings with respect to the angle between the FBG’s axis and the direction of the wave propagation is presented. For this purpose, on FBG was glued on an 2 mm aluminum plate. In order to emit a guided wave one PZT generated a toneburst for several angles between the FBG’s axis and the PZT. Some of the signals measured by the FBG are presented in Figure 2(a). It can be noticed that the signal is maximal for $$0^\circ$$ and minimal for $$90^\circ$$. The energy of the wave packets is then compared to the theoretical curve of Equation 3 in Figure 2(b). The experimental curve fits quite well the theoretical one.

Now that the theoretical directivity curve is verified on a plate, the pipe geometry is considered. Two rings of sixteen PZT for the first one and sixteen FBG for the second one were glued on a pipe of stainless steel. The rings are separated by a distance of 40 cm. A flaw was created in the inspection area by electrolysis. The experimental setup is presented in Figure 3.

An acquisition was performed before the flaw’s creation. The signals measured by
Figure 2: Fiber Bragg Gratings’ directivity. (a) Signals measured by FBG on a plate. (b) Experimental and theoretical comparison of the directivity.

Figure 3: Experimental setup.

the FBG ring for one PZT emission are presented in Figure 4(b). To see the effect of the directivity the same acquisition was performed but with a ring of fifteen PZT transducers. The signals are presented in Figure 4(a). For the PZT case (Figure 4(a)), both the direct waves (first arrivals) and the helical waves have the same amplitude for all the signals. This is due to the omni-directional measurement of the PZT transducers. However, for the FBG’s case (Figure 4(b)), the more the angle between the FBG’s axis and the direction of propagation is high, the more the signal measured by the FBG is small. That phenomenon is even more pronounced for the helical paths where the wave packets are difficult to distinguish. This could become an issue when the helical modes are used in the guided wave tomography process to increase the lateral resolution due to the lack of sensors.

Imaging with Piezoelectric Transducers and Fiber Bragg Gratings

In this section hybrid guided wave tomography of a 2.145 mm thick pipe of stainless steel is presented. Its diameter is 250 mm. The experimental setup is recalled in Figure 3 where it is possible to see an erosion flaw created by an electrolysis process. This flaw is first imaged thanks to a methods much more precise than guided wave tomography.
Indeed, a reference of the erosion flaw is measured by a system called GoScan which is able to perform a 3D scan. This reference is shown in Figure 5(a).

In order to perform the hybrid guided wave tomography, the PZT emitters ring generated alternatively a five-cycles Hann windowed toneburst centered from 20 kHz to 60 kHz and the measurements were made by the ring of FBG receivers. The result of the diffraction tomography - the mean between the images computed for all the frequencies - is presented in Figure 5(b). The erosion defect is well localized but the small spatial variations are not identified because of the resolution limit of the algorithm directly linked to the wavelength being about 20 mm, depending on the frequencies. Moreover, this is an undersampled data case which further restricts the resolution [2].

In order to be able to assess the quality of the reconstruction, two cross-sections are plotted in Figure 6. The blue one - Figure 6(a) - is lateral (see Figure 5(b)) and the red one - Figure 6(b) - is axial (see Figure 5(b)). As expected the lateral resolution is better than the axial one because of the lack of sensors along the pipe’s axis. Moreover,
once more it is possible to see that the small spatial variation are not identified because
of the resolution limit. Finally, the real depth of the flaw is not reached compared to
classical PZT/PZT guided wave tomography (see [5]). This is due to two phenomena.
The first one is because only one ring is used as emitter. Indeed, fiber Bragg gratings
cannot emit waves and so only half the data is available. The second phenomenon is the
directivity, it has been shown above that the signals measured by the FBG are smaller
than the signals measured by the PZT (see Figure 4). This phenomenon has a direct
impact on the amplitude of the field used for the tomography and so a direct impact on
the final reconstruction.

There is still work to do about these two phenomena in order to improve the imaging
process when directional sensors like FBG are used and this will be the purpose of future
works.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, the impact of the fiber Bragg gratings directivity on guided wave to-
mography has been studied. For this work, it has been decided to perform active guided
wave tomography by using one ring of piezoelectric transducers and one ring of fiber
Bragg grating sensors glued on the pipe to inspect. The image obtained is almost of
same quality that classical PZT/PZT guided wave tomography (see for instance [5]) but
there is still an effect of the directivity on the depth reconstruction. Indeed, this directiv-
ity involves a bias on the reconstruction because of the variation of the signals’ amplitude
depending on the angle between the FBG’s axis and the direction of the wave propaga-
tion. Studies could be done to compensate the directivity effects in the near future.

A long-term goal is to perform passive guided wave tomography only with fiber
Bragg gratings sensors in order to be able to design a guided wave based SHM system
with minimal intrusiveness.
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