

Thermal loads in gaps between ITER divertor monoblocks: first lessons learnt from WEST

J. P. Gunn, J. Bucalossi, Y. Corre, M. Diez, E. Delmas, N. Fedorczak, A.

Grosjean, M. Firdaouss, J. Gaspar, T. Loarer, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

J. P. Gunn, J. Bucalossi, Y. Corre, M. Diez, E. Delmas, et al.. Thermal loads in gaps between ITER divertor monoblocks: first lessons learnt from WEST. PSI 24 - The 24th International Conference on Plasma Surface Interactions in Controlled Fusion, Jan 2021, Jeju, South Korea. cea-03202608

HAL Id: cea-03202608 https://cea.hal.science/cea-03202608v1

Submitted on 20 Apr 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE







www.cea.fr

Thermal loads in gaps between ITER divertor monoblocks: first lessons learnt from WEST

<u>J. P. Gunn</u>, J. Bucalossi, Y. Corre, M. Diez, E. Delmas, N. Fedorczak, A. Grosjean, M. Firdaouss, J. Gaspar,^a T. Loarer, M. Missirlian, P. Moreau, E. Nardon, C. Reux, M. Richou, E. Tsitrone, and the WEST team^b

CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint-Paul-Lez-Durance, France. ^a Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, IUSTI, Marseille, France ^b See http://west.cea.fr/WESTteam

Corresponding author's e-mail adress: Jamie.Gunn@cea.fr



The 24th International Conference on Plasma Surface Interactions in Controlled Fusion

24-29 January, 2021, Jeju, South Korea





The need for tungsten monoblock shaping in the ITER divertor

Justification for final decision on shaping solution

Observations of damage at optical hot spots in WEST

First attempts to try to understand how the damage is caused

Consequences for ITER?





The ITER tungsten divertor



- The most sophisticated tokamak divertor ever built
 - 54 individual cassettes, fully water cooled, designed to handle up to ~100 MW in steady state
 - Now entering the procurement phase \rightarrow design complete



HIGH HEAT FLUX AREAS ON VERTICAL TARGETS \rightarrow WATER-COOLED TUNGSTEN MONOBLOCKS \mathcal{WSt}

Cea



MONOBLOCKS IN ITER MUST BE SHAPED TO AVOID MELTING THEIR LEADING EDGES





engineering qualification - nearly perpendicular irradiation of MB surfaces

Real loading conditions in a tokamak are quite different than under an electron beam

-near glancing B-field incidence angle ~3° -Larmor gyration around field lines "shaped" means 0.5 mm toroidal bevel to avoid exposed poloidal leading edges



J. P. Gunn, S. Carpentier-Chouchana, F. Escourbiac, T. Hirai, S. Panayotis, R. A. Pitts, Y. Corre, R. Dejarnac, M. Firdaouss, M. Kočan, M. Komm, A. Kukushkin, P. Languille, M. Missirlian, W. Zhao, G. Zhong, "**Surface heat loads on the ITER divertor vertical targets**", Nucl. Fusion **57**, 046025 (2017).

POLOIDAL EDGES ARE (MOSTLY) SHADOWED BY SHAPING: WHAT ABOUT TOROIDAL EDGES? Nest poloidal leading edges are steady state thermal response of misaligned PFUs at outer vertical target shadowed BUT long toroidal edges lacksquareunshaped and corners are still B $q_{\prime\prime}$ exposed -0.3 +0.3-0.3 2000°C 0.0 B_{ϕ} shaped $q_{\prime\prime}$ +0.3-0.3 100°C 0.0 +0.3ITER divertor cassette

OPTICAL HOT SPOT NOT AN ISSUE FOR INTER-ELM HEAT LOADS. BUT...



"optical hot spot" = monoblock corners visible at gap crossings when looking along magnetic field lines

Full parallel heat flux but tiny wetted area ~0.2 mm²

-negligible temperature increase for steady state heat loads (inter-ELM)







...UPPER EDGES/CORNERS UNDERGO FLASH MELTING/BOILING AT EVERY ELM



5 keV ELM ions Larmor radius ~2 mm Intense heat flux focused onto upper edge of toroidal gap

Direct irradiation of optical hot spot by parallel heat flux



Transient temperature increase due to ELM (normalized to ideal axisymmetric surface response)

*the number 1.0 corresponds to temperature rise at perfect axisymmetric target for a given transient (ELM) energy fluence



" [...] It has been decided to implement only a toroidal bevel on the top surfaces of MBs in the high heat flux areas (straight portions) of the vertical targets.

This decision consciously acknowledges that melting of TG edges and at optical hotspots may have to be accepted at both targets.

Further experimental work and modelling is required to evaluate the consequences for the MB lifetime."

R. A. Pitts, X. Bonnin, F. Escourbiac, H. Frerichs, J. P. Gunn, T. Hirai, A. S. Kukushkin, E. Kaveeva, M. A. Miller, D. Moulton, V. Rozhansky, I. Senichenkov, E. Sytova, O. Schmitz, P. C. Stangeby, G. De Temmerman, I. Veselova, S. Wiesen, "**Physics basis for the first ITER tungsten divertor**", Nuclear Materials and Energy **20**, 100696 (2019).

CO2 BRIEF INTRODUCTION TO WEST DIVERTOR



The WEST lower divertor is mostly composed of uncooled, W-coated graphite PFUs.

For the C3 campaign in 2018, one sector was equipped with 12 actively cooled, ITER-like W PFUs (unshaped, no toroidal bevelling \rightarrow exposed leading edges)



DAMAGE OBSERVED ON ITER-TYPE ACTIVELY COOLED W MONOBLOCKS IN WEST AFTER C3

First observations:

Clear evidence of optical hot spots

Cracking and melting of exposed surfaces (poloidal leading edges and optical hot spots) over full poloidal extent of divertor, even on areas that should see no steady state heat flux

Operational hypothesis: brittle cracking of cold W due to transient events (disruptions and MHD crashes)

-Heat loads consistent with cracking threshold determined in JUDITH-1 (Wirtz, PSI 2016)



M. Diez, J. P. Gunn, M. Firdaouss, A. Grosjean, Y. Corre, E. Delmas, L. Gargiulo, E. Tsitrone and the WEST Team, **First evidence of optical hot spots on ITER-like plasma facing units in the WEST tokamak**, Nuclear Fusion **60**, 054001 (2020).

N 25F

HEAT PULSE DUE TO THERMAL QUENCH CONSISTENT WITH THRESHOLD FOR BRITTLE CRACKING

T [°C] at surface and 0.2 mm below after heat pulse



A notable result of W material testing research is that surface damage is greater when the samples are cold. Brittle cracking was observed on cold W samples ('cold' meaning temperatures below the ductile-tobrittle transition temperature, DBTT) irradiated by moderate heat flux (starting above 200 MW m–2) after only a few heat pulses (1 ms duration) in the JUDITH-1 electron beam facility [Wirtz, PSI 2016, NME 2017].

Corresponding heat flux factor is 6 MW $\rm s^{1/2}$ (dashed red curve)

Thermal simulations indicate surface temperature above the DBTT, but bulk temperature (0.2 mm below surface) lower than the DBTT (250-350°C). Plastic deformation in the hot layer followed by cooling leads to cracking.

$$q_{pk} = f_W W_{dia}/2\pi R \; f_\lambda \lambda_q \; \Delta t$$

Heat pulse due to thermal quench in ASDEX, extrapolated to WEST (no fast IR measurements in WEST), is exactly in the right range to produce brittle cracking on WEST monoblock leading edges. ($200 < q_{//} < 600 \text{ MW/m}^2 \text{ during } \sim 1 \text{ ms}$)

SIMILAR CRACKS ON LANGMUIR PROBES CAN ONLY BE DUE TO DISRUPTIONS



Two reciprocating Langmuir probes equipped with W-La(10%) heat shields are mounted in the outboard limiter

-Different exposure histories, but cracks observed on both -Same kind of cracks observed on divertor

Probe #1 (in operation since beginning of WEST) >1000 reciprocations $q_{//} > 10 \text{ MW/m}^2$ many disruptions Observation: visible wide cracks with local melting

Probe #2 (only operational in C2 campaign) 50 reciprocations $q_{//} < 1 \text{ MW/m}^2$ <u>4 disruptions</u> Observation: very fine cracks seen under a microscope











ITER has decided on simple 0.5 mm toroidal beveling of monoblocks

Optical hot spots have been confirmed in WEST and will exist in ITER

Cracking and melting at optical hot spots is already observed in WEST, a newly commissioned tokamak with until now modest heating power

Hypothesis : brittle cracking of cold surfaces due to transient heat pulses.

Expected energy fluence during thermal quench is consistent with brittle cracking threshold observed in JUDITH-1

Observations of Langmur probes with different exposure histories suggest the cracks evolve with time (widening, edge melting)

-exposure to steady heat loads?

-exposure to increasing numbers of disruptions? -both?





The same phenomenon should be expected even in the first experiments with the ITER divertor

-thermal quenches -MHD crashes -small ELMs

Question : does it matter?

Starting in summer 2021, WEST will begin operation with a full W divertor having bevelled monoblocks and optical hot spots. The consequences of brittle cracking of optical hot spots on plasma operation and divertor lifetime will be a high priority area of research.

