

# Reliability analysis in GeTe and GeSbTe based phase-change memory 4 kb arrays targeting storage class memory applications

G. Lama, G. Bourgeois, M. Bernard, N. Castellani, J. Sandrini, E. Nolot, J. Garrione, M.C. Cyrille, Gabriele Navarro, E. Nowak

### ▶ To cite this version:

G. Lama, G. Bourgeois, M. Bernard, N. Castellani, J. Sandrini, et al.. Reliability analysis in GeTe and GeSbTe based phase-change memory 4 kb arrays targeting storage class memory applications. Microelectronics Reliability, 2020, 114, pp.113823. 10.1016/j.microrel.2020.113823 . cea-03086418

## HAL Id: cea-03086418 https://cea.hal.science/cea-03086418

Submitted on 4 Jan 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Reliability Analysis in GeTe and GeSbTe based Phase-Change Memory 4kb Arrays targeting Storage Class Memory Applications

G. Lama, G. Bourgeois, M. Bernard, N. Castellani, J. Sandrini, E. Nolot, J. Garrione, M. C. Cyrille,

G. Navarro and E. Nowak

CEA, LETI, MINATEC Campus, F-38054 Grenoble, France and Univ. Grenoble Alpes, F-38000 Grenoble, France

Abstract - In this work, we propose a reliability analysis targeting the evaluation of the suitability of a Phase-Change Memory (PCM) device for Storage Class Memory (SCM) applications. Thanks to the analysis of programming and endurance characteristics in single devices and 4kb arrays we compare two different GeTe and GeSbTe ( $\alpha$ GST) based PCM. The evolution of the phase-change material along cycling is explained by the analysis of subthreshold characteristics and analytical equations based on experimental data for the description of electrical parameters evolution are given. An extrapolation method to evaluate endurance at more than  $10^9$  cycles required for SCM is described and applied, showing the intrinsic high endurance capability and suitability for SCM applications of  $\alpha$ GST wrt GeTe.

#### 1. Introduction

Storage Class Memory (SCM) has entered in the hierarchy of the memory systems, with the aim of covering the gap between the main memory (i.e. DRAM), that is fast but volatile and expensive, and the secondary storage, represented by solid state drives (SSD) or hard drive disks (HDD), that features high data capacity and non-volatility but low speed. Therefore, the main requirements for SCM are non-volatility, high density, very low latencies (tens to hundreds of nanoseconds), low cost per bit and physical durability (i.e. high endurance) [1]. Among non-volatile memories, Phase-Change Memory (PCM) is considered the best candidate for SCM applications, because of its competitive switching speed, endurance and scalability [2]. PCM is a dual terminal memory, and it exploits the property of phase-change materials to reversibly switch from an amorphous to a crystalline phase. Such transition is obtained by the Joule heating achieved in the device through the electrical pulse application. The crystalline phase is characterized by a low resistivity and a high reflectivity while the amorphous phase features a high resistivity and a low reflectivity. In 90s, phase-change materials have been used for the fabrication of optical storage devices, such as rewritable DVDs and Blu-Ray disks. Then, since the beginning of 2000s, thanks to the advances in material and device research and engineering, PCM started to be considered as a reliable competitor for next generation of non-volatile memory. Today, PCM's high maturity is demonstrated by its commercialization in SCM market [3], thanks to 3D XPoint technology [4], and by the



**Fig. 1**. Ge-Sb-Te ternary diagram highlighting the PCM materials analyzed in this work: GeTe and  $\alpha$ GST. A simplified scheme of our "Wall" based PCM device is reported on the right; devices with 100 nm heater width are considered in this work.

recent demonstration of its manufacturability and reliability in 28 nm technology node for automotive applications [5].

Material and stoichiometry engineering in PCM is considered the main factor for boosting the device performances [6]. Materials along the GeTe-Sb<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> tie line, such as GeTe [7] or GeSb<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>4</sub> [8], were identified for their high programming speed and they represent possible candidates for SCM applications. However, in order to address SCM, low programming variability at array level and stability of the phase-change material along endurance are fundamental to ensure the reliability in high density memory arrays. In this work, we compare GeTe and aGeSbTe (aGST) based PCM performances to target the specifications of SCM applications. We focus on programming speed showing how the variability within 4kb matrices is correlated to the material properties and the pulse shape. Moreover, data retention tests are performed on fresh and cycled devices, highlighting the material properties evolution triggered during the programming cycles. Finally, we investigate how the pulse energy affects the amorphous subthreshold characteristics of the PCM and the device endurance. Our analysis leads to demonstrate best endurance performances up to 10<sup>9</sup> cycles and suitability for SCM of aGST with respect to GeTe,

#### 2. GeTe and aGST Analysis Targeting SCM

GeTe and  $\alpha$ GeSbTe ( $\alpha$ GST) compositions developed and analyzed are highlighted in the Ge-Sb-Te ternary diagram of



**Fig. 2.** Resistivity of as-deposited amorphous GeTe and  $\alpha$ GST as a function of the temperature. Inset: Kissinger plot for Activation Energy of crystallization (*E*<sub>a</sub> is the slope of the Kissinger plot) extraction.



**Fig. 3.** Cumulative distributions of RESET and SET resistances for GeTe and  $\alpha$ GST 4kb PCM arrays. On the right, the median and the standard deviation of the distributions are summarized, obtained with optimized RESET and SET pulses.

**Fig. 1.** Resistivity of as-deposited amorphous full-sheet layers as a function of temperature (R-vs-T), reported in **Fig. 2**, was measured by four-probe technique heating the sample at a rate of  $17^{\circ}$ C/min. The inset of Fig. 2 shows the activation energy of crystallization calculated by Kissinger method in both materials. The drop in resistivity after  $150^{\circ}$ C corresponds to the transition from the amorphous to the crystalline state. The crystallization temperature of GeTe is higher wrt  $\alpha$ GST, however the

crystallization dynamic is different in the two layers as the activation energy of the crystallization is higher in  $\alpha$ GST. Indeed, the two materials show different crystallization mechanisms. The crystallization involves nuclei formation in the amorphous matrix and successive nuclei growth. A material is growth-dominated if the crystallization happens thanks to the fast growth of few crystal nuclei, leading to a steep amorphous to crystalline transition in the R-vs-T plot as observed in GeTe [7]. On the other hand, a material is nucleation-dominated if a larger number of nuclei are formed, leading to a low growth rate. This is the case of  $\alpha$ GST, which exhibits a nucleation-dominated crystallization [9], also highlighted by a more gradual reduction of the resistivity (i.e. grain growth) in the R-vs-T plot. However, the resistivity evolution of GeTe must be analyzed also in the light of a faster aging of GeTe layer after air exposure wrt aGST which could represent a problem in process integration steps, in particular in highly scaled PCM devices [10].

GeTe and  $\alpha$ GST were integrated in state-of-the-art "Wall" PCM devices into the Back End of Line (BEOL) of the fabrication of LETI Memory Advanced Demonstrator (MAD) based on 130 nm CMOS technology. To accomplish statistical analysis, the measurements were performed in 4kb arrays consisting of 1-Transistor-1-Resistor (1T1R) devices with a heater width of 100 nm (see Fig. 1 on the right for the device simplified scheme).

RESET (high resistance state) and SET (low resistance state) preliminary distributions in 4kb arrays are achieved with optimized current pulses (**Fig. 3**). SET operation in this case is



**Fig. 4.** SET programming speed tests performed in 4kb PCM arrays with constant pulse fall time (10 ns) and increasing pulse width: evolution of the median of the resistance values (a) and of the resistance spread (b) are reported.



Fig. 5. SET programming speed tests performed in 4kb PCM arrays with constant pulse width (300 ns) and increasing pulse fall time: evolution of the median of the resistance values (a) and of the resistance spread (b) are reported.



**Fig. 6.** RESET state retention at 100°C for GeTe (empty symbols) and  $\alpha$ GST (filled symbols). RESET resistance in 4kb arrays, median (a) and variability (b), are reported after programming (as-prog.) at room temperature (RT), their evolution in time post an 100°C anneal performed after 10 cycles, 10<sup>3</sup> cycles (in 1000 devices) and 10<sup>4</sup> cycles (in 100 devices) is reported.

performed with a single long pulse (width time of 300 ns and fall time of 100  $\mu$ s) in order to reach the minimum resistance value achievable in the devices under investigation. Only single pulse programming approach was considered in our tests, without any program-verify strategy. The high resistance window of about two orders of magnitude is verified for both materials. However, the GeTe SET state shows a higher variability wrt  $\alpha$ GST.

#### 2.1 Speed analysis

We evaluated the programming speed in 4kb arrays analyzing the SET speed, since SET operation (involving the material crystallization) is known to be slower wrt RESET operation in PCM. SET pulses with incremental width and optimized current amplitude were applied, before each SET pulse the devices were programmed into the RESET state (**Fig. 4a**). GeTe shows capability of 50 ns SET time, despite a high variability within the 4kb array mainly due to a part of the population remaining in the RESET state. In  $\alpha$ GST, SET operation can be obtained only with pulses higher than 100 ns. However, low resistance variability is obtained for 100 ns pulse



**Fig. 7.** Endurance performed on GeTe and  $\alpha$ GST with long RESET and SET pulses (~10 µs). Evolution of SET and RESET resistances are reported as a function of cumulative pulses energy (*E*) in 70 devices. Median, 16th and the 84th percentile (that correspond to one standard deviation  $\sigma$ ) of resistances have been represented.

duration in both materials. (Fig. 4b). Nevertheless, it should be noticed that the maximum speed achieved is limited by the measurement setup used for arrays, indeed a switching speed of 1 ns was already demonstrated for SET and RESET in GeTe single devices [7]. Therefore, our speed results should be considered for statistical material comparison more than minimum absolute SET pulse duration. The benefit of the pulse fall time increase is more evident in  $\alpha$ GST where a constant decrease of the SET resistance is achieved down to less than  $10 \text{ k}\Omega$  (Fig. 5a). Moreover,  $\alpha$ GST shows the capability of an even more reliable SET state wrt GeTe thanks to pulse fall time increasing, demonstrated by the considerable reduction of resistances variability (Fig. 5b). We think that it could be related to the different crystalline nature of the two materials. aGST has different crystalline phases and morphologies, and the degree of crystallinity can be easily tuned with a consequent large resistivity variation, whereas GeTe presents a single amorphous to crystalline phase transition. Moreover, the higher vacancies density in the crystalline lattice of cubic aGST favors a higher crystal reorganization wrt GeTe [11][12].

#### 2.2 Data retention analysis

We performed retention tests on RESET state to compare the amorphous phase stability in both materials. We annealed at 100°C the 4kb arrays programmed in the RESET state after different number of cycles up to 10<sup>4</sup> cycles (Fig. 6). GeTe shows a higher stability wrt  $\alpha$ GST even after 10<sup>4</sup> cycles, according to previous results reported about GeTe compared to standard Ge<sub>2</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>5</sub> [13]. Nevertheless, αGST shows an interesting retention improvement after cycling, together with a reduced variability. This improvement of data retention could be attributed to an evolution of the material along cycling. As previously reported for standard Ge<sub>2</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>5</sub> [14], the material could undergo a stoichiometry evolution in the active volume due to a progressive increase of Sb concentration [15], leading to a higher immunity to recrystallization of the correspondent amorphous phase [16]. The higher nucleation rate even at 100°C in aGST leads to the higher probability of the appearance of nuclei wrt GeTe, with the consequent decrease of the **RESET** resistance.



**Fig. 8.** a) Subthreshold characteristics of RESET devices before cycling. b) *STS* evolution as a function of the cumulative pulse energy along cycling (*E*), described by a power law ( $\lambda$  coefficient is material dependent).

#### 2.3 Endurance

To compare the impact of cycling in GeTe and  $\alpha$ GST, we accelerated the degradations phenomena applying a sequence of long SET and RESET pulses (i.e. width time 10 µs). In Fig. 7 the RESET and SET resistance states evolution are reported for both materials as a function of the cumulative energy applied on the devices along cycling (E). GeTe, despite a stable resistance window, shows a fast degradation of both programming states after about 0.3 mJ (i.e.  $10^4$  cycles). On the contrary,  $\alpha$ GST preserves a reliable programming even after more than 1 mJ (i.e. more than  $10^5$  cycles). In order to understand the material evolution ongoing, we analyzed the change of the subthreshold conductivity of the amorphous phase along cycling for both materials. In the subthreshold regime, the electrical transport in our materials is well described by a Poole conduction  $(\ln(I/V) \propto V)$  [17], which is linked to the presence of a high trap sites density (i.e. short trap-to-trap distance  $\Delta z$ ), as can be seen in Fig. 8a. The subthreshold slope evolution (defined as  $STS = d\ln(I)/dV$  in the subthreshold regime) is correlated with the trap density in the following way [18]:

$$STS = k \frac{\Delta z}{2 u_a} \tag{1}$$



**Fig. 9.** Cycles number achieved for different SET+RESET pulses energy  $E_{SR}$  (i.e. increasing SET+RESET pulse duration) and extrapolation at 0.1 pJ. Each test was performed on a population of 70 devices. Median, 16th and the 84th percentile (that correspond to one standard deviation  $\sigma$ ) of number of cycles are represented.

where k is a constant and  $u_a$  is the amorphous thickness. The *STS* calculated for both materials along cycling is reported as a function of E in **Fig. 8b**. We observe a decrease of *STS* in both materials with a similar power law trend:

$$STS \propto E^{-\lambda}$$
 (2)

A higher STS in aGST is an evidence of a lower density of defect sites wrt GeTe [19]. Moreover, the decrease of STS along cycling confirms a material evolution that is not necessarily a precursor of endurance degradation. This is supported by the fact that aGST shows perfect SET and RESET operations even after reaching same STS values at which GeTe shows programming failure. This evolution is driven by the high temperature gradient achieved in the device during the programming, which can contribute to the stoichiometry change and atomic displacement in the active volume of the cell. These results confirm what is already observed in retention measurements. However, while a Sb enrichment is not detrimental for the switching properties of the device, as certified by record endurance of  $10^{12}$  cycles achieved in segregated Sb-rich alloys [20], a slight segregation or stoichiometry evolution in GeTe could lead to the degradation of its switching properties [21].

Finally, PCM endurance capability was evaluated performing several endurance tests using different sets of RESET+SET pulses with increasing total energy  $E_{SR}$  (Fig. 9), in order to take advantage of the power law correlation between the number of cycles ( $N_{cycles}$ ) and the pulse energy [22]:

$$N_{cycles} \propto E_{SR}^{-C}$$
 (3)

with *C* a material dependent coefficient.  $N_{cycles}$  is the number of cycles obtained before the failure conditions, which take into account both a reduction of the resistance window and a reduction of the RESET resistance. This relationship indicates that the lifetime of the device depends on the energy (i.e. duration) of the single programming pulse. Indeed, longer pulses could involve phenomena such as materials inter-diffusion or electro-migration, accelerated in a stationary regime that submits the device to a high temperature gradient and localized high electric field.

In our endurance test the pulse energy was modified through the variation of the width time of SET and RESET pulses. Considering a minimum energy of 0.1 nJ for single reliable SET+RESET operation (compatible with our previous speed tests), we extrapolated the total number of cycles achievable. We can observe a higher endurance capability in  $\alpha$ GST wrt GeTe,

reaching about  $10^9$  cycles for the device size considered. This result can be attributed to the lower density change during phase transition in GeSbTe materials wrt GeTe [23], which could delay the material degradation and void formations.

#### 3. Conclusions

We analyzed programming reliability of GeTe and  $\alpha$ GST based 4kb PCM arrays targeting SCM. High programming speed is ensured in both materials, showing the possibility of a lower SET variability in  $\alpha$ GST by pulse fall time control. We provided evidences of the materials evolution during programming operations, thanks to retention and subthreshold conduction measurements along cycling, pointing out how such evolution is more detrimental for GeTe than for  $\alpha$ GST. Endurance tests highlighted the dependency of the total cycles number on the single pulse duration. Finally, thanks to this correlation, endurance up to more than 10<sup>9</sup> cycles is extrapolated for  $\alpha$ GST, proving its suitability for SCM applications.

#### Acknowledgements

This work has been partially supported by the European 783176 WAKeMeUP Project, financed by European commission, French government and Auvergne-Rhône Alpes Region.

#### References

- R. F. Freitas and W. W. Wilcke, "Storage-class memory: The next storage system technology", IBM Journal of Research and Development. Vol. 52, no. 4.5, pp. 439-447, July 2008.
- [2] S. W. Fong et al., "Phase-Change Memory—Towards a Storage-Class Memory", IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, Vol. 64, no. 11, pp. 4374-4385, Nov. 2017.
- [3] H.-Y. Cheng et al., " 3D cross-point phase-change memory for storage-class memory", J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 52 473002, 2019.
- [4] <u>https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/architecture-and-technology/intel-optane-technology.html</u>
- [5] P. Cappelletti et al., "Phase change memory for automotive grade embedded NVM applications", J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 53 193002, 2020.
- [6] G. Navarro et al., "Phase-Change Memory: Performance, Roles and Challenges", 2018 IEEE International Memory Workshop (IMW), pp. 1-4.
- [7] G. Bruns et al., "Nanosecond Switching in GeTe Phase Change Memory Cells", Appl. Phys. Lett. 95, 043108, 2009.
- [8] Nishant Saxena et al., "Sub-nanosecond threshold switching dynamics in GeSb<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>4</sub> phase change memory device", J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 53 025103, 2019.
- [9] G.F. Zhou et al., "Crystallization behavior of phase change materials: comparison between nucleation- and growthdominated crystallization", 2000 Optical Data Storage. Conference Digest, pp. 74-76.
- [10] A.N.D. Kolb et al., "Understanding the Crystallization Behavior of Surface-Oxidized GeTe Thin Films for Phase-Change Memory Application", ACS Appl. Electron. Mater., 1, 5, pp. 701-710, 2019.
- [11] V. Bragaglia et al., "Metal Insulator Transition Driven by Vacancy Ordering in GeSbTe Phase Change Materials", Sci Rep 6, 23843, 2016.

- [12] T. Siegrist et al., "Disorder-induced localization in crystalline phase-change materials", Nature Mater 10, pp. 202–208, 2011.
- [13]L. Perniola et al., "Electrical Behavior of Phase-Change Memory Cells Based on GeTe", IEEE Electron Device Letters, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 488-490, May 2010.
- [14]J. Sarkar et al., "Evolution of phase change memory characteristics with operating cycles: Electrical characterization and physical modeling", Appl. Phys. Lett. 91, 233506, 2007.
- [15]J. Park et al., "Phase-Change Behavior of Stoichiometric  $Ge_2Sb_2Te_5$  in Phase-Change Random Access Memory", Journal of The Electrochemical Society, 154 (3) H139-H141, 2007.
- [16]S. O. Ryu et al., "Crystallization Behavior and Physical Properties of Sb-Excess Ge<sub>2</sub>Sb<sub>2+x</sub>Te<sub>5</sub> Thin Films for Phase Change Memory (PCM) Devices", Journal of The Electrochemical Society, 153 (3) G234-G237, 2006.
- [17] M. Le Gallo et al., "Subthreshold electrical transport in amorphous phase-change materials", New J. Phys., vol. 17, no. 9, 2015.
- [18] D. Ielmini and Y. Zhang, "Analytical model for subthreshold conduction and threshold switching in chalcogenide-based memory devices", J. Appl. Phys., vol. 102, no. 5, 054517, Sep. 2007.
- [19] J. Luckas et al., "Defects in amorphous phase-change materials", Journal of Materials Research, 28(9), pp. 1139-1147, 2013.
- [20] W. Kim et al., "ALD-based Confined PCM with a Metallic Liner toward Unlimited Endurance", 2016 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM), pp. 4.2.1-4.2.4.
- [21]S. Raoux et al., "Crystallization times of Ge–Te phase change materials as a function of composition", Appl. Phys. Lett. 95, 071910, 2009.
- [22] S. Lai, "Current status of the phase change memory and its future", 2003 IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting, pp. 10.1.1-10.1.4.
- [23] T. Nonaka et al., "Crystal structure of GeTe and Ge<sub>2</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>5</sub> meta-stable phase", Thin Solid Films 370, pp. 258-261, 2000.