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#### Abstract

Context. In 2010 March, the Large Area Telescope on board Fermi revealed a transient gamma-ray source that is positionally coincident with the optical nova in the symbiotic binary, V407 Cyg. This event marked the first discovery of gamma-ray emission from a nova. Aims. We aim to obtain resolved radio imaging of the material involved in the nova event, to determine the ejecta geometry and advance velocity directly in the image plane, and to constrain the physical conditions of the system. Methods. We observed the source with the European VLBI (Very Long Baseline Interferometry) Network in real time mode, at 1.6 and 5 GHz , and the Very Long Baseline Array at $1.6,5$, and 8.4 GHz . In total, we observed the source over 16 epochs, starting 20 days after the optical discovery and continuing for over six months. Results. Milliarcsecond-scale radio emission is detected in 10/16 epochs of observations. The source is initially very dim but it later shows a substantial increase in brightness and a resolved shell-like structure $40-90$ days after the optical event. The shell has a projected elliptical shape and is asymmetric in brightness and spectral index, being brighter and characterised by a rising spectrum at the south-eastern edge. We determine a projected expansion velocity of $\sim 3500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in the initial phase (for an adopted 2.7 kpc distance), and $\sim 2100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ between day 20 and 91 . We also found an emitting feature about $350 \mathrm{mas}(940 \mathrm{AU})$ to the north-west, advancing at a projected velocity of $\sim 700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ along the polar axis of the binary. The total flux density in the VLBI images is significantly lower than that previously reported at similar epochs and over much wider angular scales with the VLA. Conclusions. Optical spectra convincingly demonstrated that in 2010 we were viewing V407 Cyg along the equatorial plane and from behind the Mira. Our radio observations image the bipolar flow of the ejecta perpendicular to the orbital plane, where deceleration is much lower than through the equatorial plane probed by the truncated profile of optical emission lines. The separated polar knot at 350 mas and the bipolar flow strictly resemble a similar arrangement seen in Hen 2-104, another symbiotic Mira seen equator-on that went through a large outburst $\sim 5700$ yrs ago. The observed $\sim 700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ expansion constrains the launch date of the polar knot around 2004, during the accretion-fed active phase preceding the 2010 nova outburst.
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## 1. Introduction

V407 Cyg was already a remarkable symbiotic binary before the spectacular nova outburst it underwent in 2010 (for a recent review of symbiotic stars and their outburst mechanisms, see

[^0]Munari et al. 2019). The companion to the accreting white dwarf (WD) is a Mira with an exceedingly long pulsation period of 745 days, the longest known among symbiotic stars (at the time of writing, among the $\sim 20000$ field Mira catalogued in VSX ${ }^{1}$, only $0.1 \%$ have a pulsation period longer than V407 Cyg). Miras with such long pulsation periods are generally $\mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{IR}$ sources, enshrouded in a very thick dust envelope which prevents direct

[^1]observation of the central star at optical wavelengths. V407 Cyg is instead well visible down to the short wavelengths probed by ultraviolet spectra collected by the International Ultraviolet Explorer satellite in 1982 and 1991. The factors inhibiting the formation of a massive dust cocoon also in V407 Cyg probably reside in the ionisation action exerted by the accreting WD and the sweeping of the circumstellar space by the ejecta during nova outbursts. A slow modulation of the Mira brightness led Munari et al. (1990) to speculate about a $\geq 43$ yr orbital period, recently revised to the higher value of $\geq 100 \mathrm{yr}$ by the long-term radial velocity monitoring performed by Hinkle et al. (2013). V407 Cyg was first noticed when it underwent an eruption in 1936 (Hoffmeister 1949). This active phase lasted for about three years, while a second and stronger one was discovered in 1994 (Munari et al. 1994), peaked in brightness in 1998 (Kolotilov et al. 1998, 2003) and was still ongoing at the time of the nova outburst in 2010 (Munari et al. 2011). Both 1936 and 1998 eruptions were of the accretion-fed type, and we show in this paper how their products still had a role in the 2010 event.

The highlight of the career of this symbiotic binary is the violent nova outburst it underwent in March 2010, granting V407 Cyg a special place among novae in being the first detected in $\mathrm{GeV} \gamma$-rays (Abdo et al. 2010). At that time, the prevailing opinion was that novae would have to await the launch of future, more sensitive satellites to record the $\mathrm{MeV} \gamma$-rays produced by $e^{+}-e^{-}$annihilation and by the decay of unstable isotopes (as ${ }^{13} \mathrm{~N}$, ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F},{ }^{7} \mathrm{Be}$ and ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Na}$ ) synthesised during the brief initial thermonuclear runaway (e.g. Hernanz \& José 2004); particle acceleration up to TeV energies had however been proposed based on the properties of the 2006 outburst of RS Oph (Tatischeff \& Hernanz 2007).

The 2010 outburst of V407 Cyg was independently discovered at unfiltered 7.4 mag on March 10.797 UT by K. Nishiyama and F. Kabashima, and by other amateur astronomers in the following nights (see Nishiyama et al. 2010 for a report on the initial detections). Spectroscopic confirmation of a nova erupting within a symbiotic binary was soon provided by Munari et al. (2010), followed a few days later by early near-infrared (nearIR) spectroscopy by Joshi et al. (2010). It took however a whole week to raise the interest of a much wider community, triggering observations over the full wavelength range, including radio. Routine Fermi-LAT processing of the all-sky data led Cheung et al. (2010) to announce, a week later, that they had discovered a new transient gamma-ray source in the Galactic plane, Fermi J2102+4542, with $E>100 \mathrm{MeV}$ flux of $(1.0 \pm 0.3) \times$ $10^{-6} \mathrm{ph} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ on March 13 and $(1.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{ph} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ on March 14; this new source was labelled as possibly associated with the eruption of V407 Cyg. Following the latter, several other novae have been detected in $\gamma$-rays with Fermi (e.g. Ackermann et al. 2014; Cheung et al. 2016).

Radio observations of V407 Cyg commenced on March 22 with a number of low angular resolution instruments (Nestoras et al. 2010; Gawronski et al. 2010; Pooley 2010; Bower et al. 2010) that reported positive detection of the source; on the contrary, historical pre-outburst observations known at the time had not revealed this system as a radio source (Wendker 1995; Ivison et al. 1995) and only a later re-analysis of old Very Large Array (VLA) data for 1993 May 14 provided a clear detection of $1.18 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{mJy}$ at 8.4 GHz (Chomiuk et al. 2012, see also Appendix A). At this epoch V407 Cyg was however already rising from quiescence towards the peak of the 1998 accretionfed outburst.

In the 2006 (i.e. pre-Fermi) nova outburst of another symbiotic system, RS Oph, very long baseline interferometry (VLBI)
observations had revealed important details about the physics, such as the non-thermal nature of the emission and the asymmetric jet-like ejection (e.g. O'Brien et al. 2006; Sokoloski et al. 2008; Rupen et al. 2008). Because of the unique detection of gamma-ray emission from V407 Cyg, we immediately proposed to observe it with VLBI. We adopted a combined approach exploiting the advantages offered by the European VLBI Network (EVN) and the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA). The latter offers frequency agility, scheduling flexibility, and denser time coverage. The former provides enhanced sensitivity as a result of a high data rate acquisition and the inclusion of the large apertures on short baselines; most importantly, it provides real-time correlation thanks to the so-called e-VLBI technique in which data are acquired and transmitted in real-time from the individual stations to the EVN data correlator. Indeed, the prompt discovery of compact emission in the source with the EVN (Giroletti et al. 2010) was the basis of the whole observational campaign presented in this paper. We show how the combination of tight constraints from profiles of optical emission lines (Shore et al. 2011, 2012; Munari et al. 2011) and the multi-epoch VLBI radio images presented in this work provide a robust 3D geometric and kinematical modelling of V407 Cyg and its circumstellar space.

Throughout the paper, we adopt a distance of 2.7 kpc for V407 Cyg (Munari et al. 1990), corresponding to a linear scale of $4.0 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{mas}^{-1}\left(=2.7 \mathrm{AU} \mathrm{mas}^{-1}\right)$. This value is intermediate between the $\geq 3.0 \mathrm{kpc}$ adopted by Chomiuk et al. (2012) on the basis of interstellar absorption lines seen in optical spectra of V407 Cyg and the 2.3 kpc deriving from application of the period-luminosity relation for Mira calibrated by Whitelock et al. (2008). Unfortunately, the parallax quoted in Gaia DR2 is useless in view of the twice larger value reported for the associated error. However, the great accuracy of Gaia astrometric position (Gaia Collaboration 2018) is useful to place the star in comparison to the radio ejecta. We define the radio spectral index $\alpha$ such that $S(v) \propto v^{\alpha}$. We refer dates to the optical event, such that day $0=2010$ March 10.0 UT. We note that on this scale the first discovery of the eruption on optical images by Nishiyama and Kabashima occurred on day $=+0.797$.

## 2. Observations

### 2.1. EVN observations

We observed V407 Cyg six times with the EVN between 2010 March 30 and September 29, that is between 20 days and 6.7 months after the optical event. The observations were carried out alternating runs at $5 \mathrm{GHz}(\lambda=6 \mathrm{~cm})$ on March $30(t=20 \mathrm{~d})$, May $19(t=70 \mathrm{~d})$, and September $8(t=182 \mathrm{~d})$ and at 1.6 GHz ( $\lambda=18 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) on April $23(t=44 \mathrm{~d})$, June $9(t=91 \mathrm{~d})$, and September $29(t=203 \mathrm{~d})$. A bandwidth of 1 Gbps was sustained by most stations, corresponding to eight 16 MHz wide sub-bands, two polarisations, and two-bit sampling. A summary of the details about the participating telescopes and the observation length is given in Table 1. The table notes also report the diameter of each element participating in the array.

We observed in phase reference mode, using the source $\mathrm{J} 2102+4702$ as a phase calibrator at all epochs. The offset between the target and the phase calibrator is $1.26^{\circ}$, small enough to warrant a proper transfer of the phase solutions. The two sources were observed with the repetition of 200 s on-target integrations bracketed by 80 s scans of the calibrator. Correlation was performed in real time at the Joint Institute for VLBI in Europe (JIVE); the JIVE pipeline was also used to carry out a
M. Giroletti et al.: VLBI imaging of $\gamma$-ray nova V407 Cyg 2010

Table 1. Log of EVN observations.

| Date <br> in 2010 | Time <br> since nova <br> (days) | Participating stations | Freq. <br> $(\mathrm{GHz})$ | Duration <br> $(\mathrm{hrs})$ | Half Peak Beam <br> Width (HPBW) <br> $\left(\mathrm{mas} \times\right.$ mas, $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right)$ |  <br> $\left(\mu \mathrm{JJy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March 30 | 20 | Ef, Jb2, Mc, On, Sh, Wb, Ys, Cm, Kn | 5 | 7.5 | $12.1 \times 10.2,77$ | 29 |
| April 23 | 44 | Ef, Jb1, Mc, On, Tr, Wb, Cm, Kn | 1.6 | 11.5 | $28 \times 26,-45$ | 18 |
| May 19 | 70 | Ef, Jb2, Mc, On, Tr, Wb, Ys, Cm, Kn | 5 | 11 | $8.3 \times 7.6,56$ | 55 |
| June 9 | 91 | Ef, Jb1, Mc, On, Tr, Wb, Cm, Da | 1.6 | 11.5 | $27 \times 25,58$ | 26 |
| Sept. 8 | 182 | Ef, Jb2, Mc, On, Tr, Wb, Ys | 5 | 9.5 | $10.0 \times 9.3,-72$ | 26 |
| Sept. 29 | 203 | Ef, Jb2, Mc, On, Tr, Wb, Cm | 1.6 | 12 | $22 \times 22,46$ | 60 |

Notes. Station codes: Cm - Cambridge ( 32 m ), Da - Darnhall ( 25 m ), Ef - Effelsberg ( 100 m ), Jb1 - Jodrell Bank (Lovell Telescope, 76 m ), Jb2 - Jodrell Bank (MarkII telescope, $32 \mathrm{~m} \times 25 \mathrm{~m}$ ), Kn - Knockin ( 25 m ), Mc - Medicina ( 32 m ), On - Onsala ( 20 m ), Sh - Shanghai ( 25 m ), Tr - Torun ( 32 m ), Ys - Yebes $(40 \mathrm{~m}), \mathrm{Wb}-$ Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT, 12 dishes $\times 25 \mathrm{~m}$ ).

Table 2. Log of VLBA observations.

| Date <br> in 2010 | Time since nova (days) | Total duration (hrs) | 1.6 GHz data |  | 5 GHz data |  | 8.4 GHz data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HPBW } \\ \left(\text { mas } \times \text { mas, }{ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \sigma \mathrm{rms} \\ \left(\mu \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HPBW } \\ \left(\mathrm{mas} \times \text { mas, }{ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \sigma \mathrm{rms} \\ \left(\mu \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HPBW } \\ \left(\mathrm{mas} \times \mathrm{mas},{ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \sigma \mathrm{rms} \\ \left(\mu \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| March $28^{(a)}$ | 18 | 3 |  |  | $5.1 \times 4.3,-60$ | 90 |  |  |
| April 2 | 23 | 4 | $14.1 \times 5.4,-13$ | 260 | $5.4 \times 4.1,-43$ | 110 | $5.0 \times 4.0,-48$ | 75 |
| April $6^{(b)}$ | 27 | 4 | $14.8 \times 10.6,-18$ | 160 | $4.7 \times 3.7,-32$ | 120 |  |  |
| April 10 | 31 | 4 | $13.5 \times 9.1,-69$ | 85 | $5.0 \times 4.3,-55$ | 95 |  |  |
| April 15 ${ }^{(c)}$ | 36 | 4 | $14.0 \times 10.8,-11$ | 100 | $4.9 \times 4.1,-34$ | 85 |  |  |
| April $21{ }^{(d)}$ | 42 | 4 | $15.1 \times 12.8,-46$ | 130 | $5.3 \times 4.0,-46$ | 120 |  |  |
| April $29^{(e)}$ | 50 | 4 | $14.6 \times 13.3,13$ | 130 | $5.2 \times 4.2,-19$ | 100 |  |  |
| May $4{ }^{(f)}$ | 55 | 4 | $15.2 \times 13.7,41$ | 95 | $5.0 \times 4.1,-38$ | 90 |  |  |
| May $14^{(9)}$ | 65 | 4 | $13.4 \times 9.0,19$ | 140 | $5.0 \times 3.7,10$ | 110 |  |  |
| May $21{ }^{(h)}$ | 72 | 4 | $13.7 \times 10.2,-10$ | 85 | $5.0 \times 4.0,-22$ | 90 |  |  |

All dishes have the same 25 m diameter. ${ }^{(a)}$ No Mk. Phase calibrator not detected at $1.6 \mathrm{GHz} .{ }^{(b)} \mathrm{No} \mathrm{Fd}$, Kp, Mk. ${ }^{(c)}$ No useful data from Mk at 1.6 GHz . ${ }^{(d)} \mathrm{No} \mathrm{Fd}$, Sc ; Mk did not give useful data at $1.6 \mathrm{GHz} .{ }^{(e, f)} \mathrm{Mk}$, Sc did not give useful data at $1.6 \mathrm{GHz} .{ }^{(g)} \mathrm{Fd}$ no good data at either 1.6 or $5 \mathrm{GHz} ; \mathrm{Hn}, \mathrm{Mk}, \mathrm{Sc}$ very few useful data at $1.6 \mathrm{GHz} .{ }^{(h)} \mathrm{Mk}$, Sc very few useful data at 1.6 GHz .
priori amplitude calibration, automated flagging, and fringe fitting with Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS) tasks. We edited the final visibility data and produced clean images in Difmap (Shepherd et al. 1994). Owing to the low flux density in the source, we did not perform self-calibration.

The half peak beam width (HPBW) size and image noise levels vary according to the length of the observing run and weighting schemes; natural weights were used for the final images, whose parameters are reported in Table 1. The noise levels are low (between 18 and $60 \mu \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}$ ), within a factor of a few times the predicted thermal noise of the receivers. The final epoch has a somewhat worse noise level resulting from the limited participation of the 100 m Effelsberg radio telescope, which contributed data for only about four hours.

### 2.2. VLBA observations

We observed the source with the VLBA ten times, starting as soon as 2010 March 28 ( $t=18 \mathrm{~d}$ ) and ending on 2010 May 21 ( $t=72 \mathrm{~d}$ ). Exploiting the frequency agility of the VLBA, we observed each epoch at both 1.6 GHz and 5 GHz (the same frequencies of EVN observations) and on April 2, we also observed at $8.4 \mathrm{GHz}(\lambda=3.6 \mathrm{~cm})$. At each frequency, we observed with
four 8 MHz sub-bands, dual polarisation, and two-bit sampling (for a total data rate of 256 Mbps ). Each observation lasted four hours in total, except for the first epoch, which lasted three hours.

We used the same calibrator (J2102+4702) as a phase reference source as in the EVN observations, with 45 s calibration scans bracketing 120 s scans on source; the faster slew rate of the 25 m VLBA dishes allowed us to sustain a shorter cycle for calibration than with the EVN. Correlation was carried out using the DiFX correlator in Socorro (Deller et al. 2011); post-correlation analysis was carried out in AIPS following standard procedures. We produced images with different weighting schemes; in general, the use of natural weights and a Gaussian taper improved the image quality. We thus report in Table 2 the log of our VLBA observations and the list of the final image parameters obtained with ROBUST $=5$ and, for the 5 and 8.4 GHz observations, a circular taper of $40 \mathrm{M} \lambda$. We also note in the table some failures that occurred during the observations; in particular, the phase calibrator is faint and resolved out at 1.6 GHz on the longest VLBA baselines, which resulted in the lack of useful data at this frequency for the first epoch on the entire array, and for baselines to Mauna Kea (MK) and Saint Croix (SC) in several later observing runs.


Fig. 1. Day 44 EVN image of V407 Cyg at 1.6 GHz . Beam size (shown by the ellipse on the bottom right corner) and noise levels are given in Table 1. Contours start at $3 \sigma$ and increase by steps of $\sqrt{2}$. The top wedge shows the colour scale between 0.06 and $1.0 \mathrm{mJy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}$. The white cross represents the position, and its uncertainty (magnified by a factor of 10 for visibility), of V407 Cyg in Gaia DR2 brought back to $t=0$ by application of proper motions. The white dot indicates the position of the assumed centre of expansion (see text for details). The white box represents the inner region shown in Fig. 2.

## 3. Results

We detect radio emission in our VLBI data for 11 datasets obtained on ten epochs: all six observations with the EVN, and four of the VLBA epochs (three of which at one single frequency and one at both 1.6 GHz and 5 GHz ). In Figs. 1-3, we show the three images in which we detect the highest flux density, all obtained with the EVN, at days 44,70 , and 91 . The whole set of images obtained during the campaign is shown in Appendix B: Fig. B. 1 shows a composite of the inner $160 \mathrm{mas} \times 160 \mathrm{mas}$ regions for all epochs with a detection, and Fig. B. 2 shows a set of wider 420 mas $\times 420$ mas fields for the three epochs (days 44 , 91, and 203) in which emission is detected also at larger distance. In all the images, we show the position of V407 Cyg at the time of the nova, as obtained by extrapolating the coordinates reported in Gaia DR2 (Gaia Collaboration 2018) with the application of the given proper motions. At the time of the Gaia observations, the light from V407 Cyg was completely dominated by the Mira (cf. Munari et al., in prep.) and therefore the astrometric position listed in DR2 pertains to the Mira more than to binary system as a whole; further details about the extrapolation of the Gaia data are given in Appendix A. We also show a bar corresponding to a reference linear scale, comparable in size to the orbit separation; for illustration purposes, we show a 50 AU ruler in the 1.6 GHz images and a 20 AU ruler in the 5 GHz image. Sect. 4.1 also gives discussion of the linear scales involved in the system.

The images reveal a clear evolution in structure and total flux density. The first detection is achieved on day 20 with the EVN at 5 GHz . At this epoch (shown in the first panel of Fig. B.1), we reveal a component significant at the $\sim 6 \sigma$ confidence level, with a peak brightness of $\sim 0.16 \mathrm{mJy}_{\text {beam }^{-1}}$, a total flux density of 0.4 mJy , and full width at half maximum (FWHM) $\sim 8$ mas. This component is located on the south-eastern side (SE) with respect
to the Gaia position. The nearest observations with the VLBA on days 18,23 , and 27 all resulted in non-detections, which are consistent with the EVN result given the different sensitivity achieved in these runs.

The following two VLBA observations reveal emission at 5 GHz in the SE region: the flux densities are 1.8 and 2.4 mJy on days 31 and 36, respectively, and the FWHM are between 7 and 10 mas.

By day 44 (EVN at 1.6 GHz , Fig. 1), we detect a triple source in the inner $15-20$ mas, surrounded by very weak diffuse emission, and a more distant component $\sim 300$ mas to the north-west. Of the inner triple source, two components are located on the SE side, while for the first time we also observe emission on the north-western side (NW) with respect to the Gaia position and in the faraway region marked as " $K$ ". The total cleaned flux density on day 44 is about 3.5 mJy . On day 55 (VLBA at 1.6 GHz ), we reveal a 0.6 mJy component in the NW part of the shell, plus an additional 1.2 mJy distributed over a wide arc-like feature in the SE part.

On day 70 (EVN at 5 GHz , Fig. 2), the inner region is resolved in a structure with complex asymmetric shell-like morphology: the SE front is brighter than the NW side; the total flux density has grown to almost 5 mJy . No significant emission is present in the distant component K detected earlier at 1.6 GHz .

On day 72 , we have the first and only simultaneous detection at 1.6 and 5 GHz , obtained with the VLBA in images produced with natural weighting schemes (third row of Fig. B.1). In the 1.6 GHz data, we reveal both the NW and the SE fronts of the shell (with similar flux densities of $\sim 0.6 \mathrm{mJy}$ each); at 5 GHz , we only detect the emission from the SE side, with a total flux density of 3.3 mJy . This is the final VLBA observation; we did not detect significant emission from the faraway component K in any of the VLBA datasets. On day 91 (EVN, 1.6 GHz, Fig. 3), we


Fig. 2. Day 70 EVN image of V407 Cyg at 5 GHz . Beam size (shown by the ellipse on the bottom right corner) and noise levels are given in Table 1. Contours start at $3 \sigma$ and increase by steps of $\sqrt{2}$. The top wedge shows the colour scale between 0.1 and $1.36 \mathrm{mJy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}$. The white cross represents the position, and its uncertainty (magnified by a factor of 5 for visibility), of V407 Cyg in Gaia DR2 brought back to $t=0$ by application of proper motions. The white dot indicates the position of the assumed centre of expansion (see text for details). The total size of this image corresponds to the white inset indicated in the larger panels of Figs. 1 and 3.
detect again all the features: the inner components, distributed in a shell-like structure, brightest at its SE edge; the distant emission region K , with a flux density of $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{mJy}$, and some weak, diffuse emission. The total flux density at this epoch is around 5 mJy .

In the final EVN epochs (day 182 at 5 GHz and day 203 at 1.6 GHz , respectively), the flux density decreases back to rather low levels, and the morphology starts to become confused with the noise again. On day 182, we barely detect 1 mJy of flux density at 5 GHz from the inner region, while on day 203 a few mJy are present at 1.6 GHz , partly in the inner region and partly in the outer component K .

In total, the flux density integrated across all the structures detected by the VLBI observations shows a clear evolution with time, with an initial rise and a late decay. In Fig. 4, we show the light curve based on the total flux density in the VLBI images. The 5 GHz data (blue symbols) show a rise up to day 70 , and then start declining. The 1.6 GHz measurements (red symbols) are less variable, although we note that the earliest detection at this frequency was only on day 44 , which also indicates that the source must have been weaker in the early stages of development. In the same figure, we also show for comparison the light curve at the nearest frequencies, as obtained by Chomiuk et al. (2012) with the Jansky VLA. The trends roughly agree with the 5 GHz data showing larger variations and an earlier peak than the 1.6 GHz data. However, there is a clear difference in the total flux density, which in our clean images is significantly smaller (at least by a factor of a few) of the nearest (in time and frequency) VLA measurement (see also Sect. 3.1 and the discussion section).

### 3.1. VLBI structure and model fits

The small structures detected in this study, with angular sizes of the order of $\sim 10$ mas, could not be resolved in the VLA obser-
vations such as those presented by Chomiuk et al. (2012), which are characterised by a much wider beam size of several arcseconds. Conversely, our observations are not sensitive to largescale structures ( $100-1000 \times$ wider than our beam), which act like a uniform background completely resolved out at the angular scales sampled by the VLBI baselines. This allows the finest structural details to emerge, as we aimed for with the EVN and VLBA. This naturally accounts for the difference in the flux density level of the two types of observations: that is $\sim 5-20 \times$ larger for the VLA, which merges all the emission within a much wider angle compared to VLBI arrays, which measure only the flux density from the smaller scale structures. Therefore, the components discussed in the following are only representative of the most compact and densest locations in the system.

To describe such structures with measurable quantities, we modelled the $(u, v)$-data in Difmap with 2D Gaussian components. In general, we tried to use elliptical components when the signal-to-noise ratio was adequate. For fainter features, we resorted to circular components. We list in Table 3 the resulting parameters for each component: polar coordinates $r, \theta$; flux density $S_{v}$; major and minor axis and position angle (p.a.) $a, b, \phi$; location within the source structure. We use SE to indicate components located at the SE edge of the inner shell, NW for those at its NW side, and K for the distant knot up to the NW; these regions are also marked in the EVN images shown in Figs. 1-3.

We also show the various components in Fig. 5. In the top left panel, we show the entire field with crosses representing the orientation and size of each feature; we use different colours and line styles to represent the set of components at each different epoch and frequency. In the top right panel, we show a zoom on the distant knot region, where only 1.6 GHz EVN observations reveal a component. In the main bottom panel, we enlarge the region of the main shell-like structure. In total, four to six components are needed to describe the EVN observations on days


Fig. 3. Day 91 EVN image of V407 Cyg at 1.6 GHz . Beam size (shown by the ellipse on the bottom right corner) and noise levels are given in Table 1. Contours start at $3 \sigma$ and increase by steps of $\sqrt{2}$. The top wedge shows the colour scale between 0.06 and $1.0 \mathrm{mJy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}$. The white cross represents the position, and its uncertainty (magnified by a factor of 10 for visibility), of V407 Cyg in Gaia DR2 brought back to $t=0$ by application of proper motions. The white dot indicates the position of the assumed centre of expansion (see text for details). The white box represents the inner region shown in Fig. 2.


Fig. 4. V407 Cyg light curve with VLA (empty circles, from Chomiuk et al. 2012) and VLBI (filled symbols) data from the present paper: filled squares and triangles represent EVN and VLBA data, respectively. Red indicates $1.6 \mathrm{GHz}(1.8 \mathrm{GHz}$ for the VLA data) and blue indicates 5 GHz .

44, 70, and 91, in which the structure is most complex. Only one or two components are present in the remaining EVN data and in all the VLBA observations. In each epoch, the total flux density is very evenly spread among the various components. The ratio between the flux density of any two components in the shell region is generally around unity and it never exceeds $\sim 4$.

Given the rapid evolution of the source and the different angular resolution of the array at 1.6 and 5 GHz , it is very hard to identify each individual component across the various epochs. However, there is a good match of the whole set of model fit
components between the various epochs. The sets of Gaussian model fit components of the nova shell seem to be aligned along ellipses. To quantify these structures, we have fit the Gaussian model components with a sequence of ellipses. As some epochs only have very few distinct model fit components, we fixed the centre position $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ as well as the eccentricity $\epsilon$ of all ellipses to one common value ( $x_{0}=21 \mathrm{~h} 02 \mathrm{~m} 09.81700 \mathrm{~s}$, $y_{0}=$ $+45^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} .68253, \epsilon=0.48$ ). Only the semi-major axis, and thus the expansion speed were left as free parameters. The direction of the major axis was chosen to align with the outer component $\mathrm{K}\left(\theta=-44^{\circ}\right)$. For illustration purposes, we show the best-fit ellipse for day 70 with a dotted line in Fig. 5. Each ellipse corresponding to every single epoch is also shown in the set of panels presented in the Appendix (Figs. B. 1 and B.2). The separation between the ellipse centre and the Gaia position is 16.7 mas in PA $118^{\circ}$.

### 3.2. Evolution with time: component motions

### 3.2.1. Inner structure

We show the results of the fits as a function of time in Table 4 and Fig. 6. For epochs with more than two components, the uncertainty on the ellipse semi-major axis $r$ is directly provided by a least-squares fit. For epochs with only one (or two) components, the uncertainty is given by the (mean) size of the Gaussian component(s) along the line between the component centre and $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$.

Both the visual (Figs. 5 and 6) and quantitative (Table 4) inspection of the model fit component positions reveal a clear overall expansion of the source inner shell-like structure. The ellipse semi-major axis grows from ( $14.3 \pm 2.5$ ) mas, or ( $5.8 \pm$ $1.0) \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~cm}$, at day 20 , to $\sim 75 \mathrm{mas}$, or $\sim 3 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{~cm}$, at day

Table 3. Results of model fit.

| Time since nova (days) <br> (1) | Array <br> (2) | Freq. (GHz) (3) | $\begin{gathered} r \\ \text { (mas) } \end{gathered}$ (4) | $\begin{gathered} \theta \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \\ (5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} S_{v} \\ (\mathrm{mJy}) \\ (6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} a \\ (\mathrm{mas}) \end{gathered}$ (7) | $\begin{gathered} b \\ (\text { mas }) \\ (8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \phi \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \\ (9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Region <br> (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | EVN | 5 | 9.3 | 171.7 | 0.2 | 7.7 | 1.6 | -59.8 | SE |
| 31 | VLBA | 5 | 13.5 | 100.1 | 1.7 | 9.9 | 3.0 | -23.8 | SE |
| 36 | VLBA | 5 | 16.3 | 104.9 | 2.2 | 7.2 | 3.3 | -25.9 | SE |
| 44 | EVN | 1.6 | 19.0 | 90.6 | 0.8 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 27.2 | SE |
|  |  |  | 19.1 | -177.1 | 0.7 | 23.6 | 7.4 | -46.8 | SE |
|  |  |  | 33.8 | -46.1 | 0.9 | 20.2 | 11.0 | -71.2 | NW |
|  |  |  | 349.0 | -45.9 | 0.3 | 31.8 | 15.2 | 55.4 | K |
| 55 | VLBA | 1.6 | 35.7 | 159.6 | 2.5 | 94.8 | 8.9 | 71.2 | SE |
|  |  |  | 45.7 | -43.3 | 1.3 | 34.3 | 5.0 | -53.9 | NW |
| 70 | EVN | 5 | 33.8 | 105.9 | 1.8 | 29.9 | 10.5 | -5.0 | SE |
|  |  |  | 35.0 | 124.2 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | SE |
|  |  |  | 36.6 | 150.4 | 2.3 | 17.7 | 7.0 | 89.7 | SE |
|  |  |  | 22.0 | -177.4 | 1.3 | 14.2 | 14.2 | -30.7 | SE |
|  |  |  | 36.5 | -53.5 | 1.2 | 16.7 | 8.8 | 86.0 | NW |
| 72 | VLBA | 1.6 | 39.0 | 135.1 | 0.6 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 0 | SE |
|  |  |  | 35.0 | -63.1 | 0.4 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 0 | NW |
| 72 | VLBA | 5 | 36.2 | 123.5 | 1.7 | 3.6 | 1.9 | -41.0 | SE |
|  |  |  | 36.7 | 155.3 | 1.3 | 11.0 | 3.2 | -55.6 | SE |
| 91 | EVN | 1.6 | 28.7 | 88.1 | 0.3 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 0.0 | SE |
|  |  |  | 50.7 | 124.7 | 0.6 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 3.3 | SE |
|  |  |  | 43.2 | 149.3 | 1.2 | 15.2 | 15.2 | -2.1 | SE |
|  |  |  | 28.7 | -169.5 | 0.9 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 40.9 | SE |
|  |  |  | 49.7 | -49.5 | 1.0 | 40.5 | 29.1 | -11.9 | NW |
|  |  |  | 357.7 | -45.4 | 0.4 | 31.7 | 19.0 | -45.0 | K |
| 182 | EVN | 5 | 68.2 | 165.8 | 0.4 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 21.6 | SE |
|  |  |  | 49.9 | -32.0 | 0.1 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 15.1 | NW |
| 203 | EVN | 1.6 | 55.1 | 146.8 | 2.8 | 135.7 | 49.1 | 79.5 | SE |
|  |  |  | 74.3 | -67.4 | 0.9 | 69.9 | 32.1 | -29.3 | NW |
|  |  |  | 372.2 | -46.5 | 0.2 | 9.7 | 9.7 | 12.4 | K |

Notes. Columns (1, 2, 3): observation epoch, array, and frequency; Cols. (4, 5): polar coordinates $r$ and $\theta$ with respect to the position RA 21 h 02 m 09.81700 s , Dec. $+45^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} .68253$ (for the determination of the reference point, see main text); Col. (6): flux density $S_{v} ;$ Cols. ( $7,8,9$ ): major and minor axis $a$ and $b$ and p.a. $\phi$ of the component; Col. (10): the location within the source structure.
203. We also reveal a proper motion for the outer component, whose distance from our reference point is increasing as a function of time; the results for this component are presented in Table 5 and Sect. 3.2.2.

The densest time coverage and the most well-constrained fits are in the time range between day 20 and 91 . In this interval, a weighted least-squares linear fit of the ellipse semi-major axis versus time based on the values reported in Table 4 provides a speed of $v_{20-91}=(2100 \pm 300) \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. In addition, a simple estimation of the mean velocity in the first 20 days based on the size of the ellipse at the first epoch and assuming constant velocity provides $v_{0-20}=(3300 \pm 600) \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. At the latest epochs (day 182 and 203), the signal-to-noise ratio becomes quite small again because of both the expansion and dimming of the source and the somewhat lower data quality. Accordingly, the constraints on the velocity become less tight but still consistent with a continued expansion, albeit with slower velocity, that is down to an average of $v_{91-182}=(1200 \pm 100) \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ between days 91 and 182, and as small as $\sim 200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ if we only consider the last two epochs.

In Fig. 7 (top panel), we show the trend of the ellipse semimajor axis $r$ as a function of time $t$, overlaid with a weighted least-squares power-law fit. We use all data over the interval
between day 0 and day 203, with the expression $r \propto t^{p}$, and obtain $p=0.68 \pm 0.04$. Taking the derivative of the size, and considering our assumed distance of $d=2.7 \mathrm{kpc}$, we get the associated advance velocity. We plot this velocity in the bottom panel, overlaid to shaded boxes which indicate the simple mean values for the three intervals $t \leq 20 \mathrm{~d}, 20 \mathrm{~d} \leq t \leq 91 \mathrm{~d}, t \geq 91 \mathrm{~d}$. The two methods are mostly consistent with each other: the bestfit power-law trend does a better job of describing a continuous evolution, instead of the unrealistic jumps based on the constant velocity assumption; on the other hand, this fit diverges for $t \rightarrow 0$ (as $p<1$ ), meaning that the starting velocity cannot be well constrained.

In the bottom panel of Fig. 7, we also show the evolution of the spectroscopic (hence, distance independent) measurements from Munari et al. (2011) and Shore et al. (2011). We show in particular the fit to the FWHM (in $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) of the broad component of $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ (Munari et al. 2011) and the maximum $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ radial velocities reported by Shore et al. (2011). Not shown in our figure are the He II maximum radial velocities (Shore et al. 2011), which show a power-law time dependence with an exponent of $-0.84 \pm 0.05$ on the approaching side and of $-0.31 \pm 0.05$ on the receding side. The latter exponent is consistent, within the uncertainty, with the index of the derivative of our expansion


Fig. 5. Model-fit components. Top left: entire field; top right: zoom in the outer component region; and bottom: zoom in the central shell region. The crosses indicates the position, size, and orientation of the model-fit Gaussian components. The solid and dot-dashed lines represent 5 GHz and 1.6 GHz observations, respectively. The different colours show different epochs as described in the legend; the subscript indicates the observing frequency. The dotted curve shows the best-fit ellipse to the set of components at day 70 . The reference position for all fields is at RA 21 h 02 m 09.81700 s , Dec. $+45^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} .68253$. The hatched (almost circular) ellipses in the bottom right corner show the HPBW at 1.6 GHz (from day 91) and 5 GHz (from day 70), for reference.
power law ( $p-1=-0.32 \pm 0.04$ ) in a similar time range. While the expansion velocity is distance dependent, the power law is not.

We also consider an alternative scenario in which the reference position for the motion of components is located at the coordinates determined by extrapolating the Gaia results. In this scenario, the SE and NW regions are still advancing on opposite sides of each other, but NW would be significantly closer than SE to the origin. As a result, the motion of the ejecta would be quite different on the two fronts; velocities on the SE are about a factor 2 higher than the other side. The results obtained under this scenario are less informative, both because it is less well constrained (the motions on each of the two sides are fit separately)
and because the Gaia position, representative of the Mira rather than the WD, is not necessarily the best candidate to represent the origin of the motion of the ejecta. Therefore, we only present it in the Appendix (Appendix C) to provide a term of comparison for our primary scenario based on the growing ellipses.

### 3.2.2. Component "K"

In Table 5, we further report the results of the fit to the motion of the outer component, which is consistent with rather low projected speeds ( $0.15 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{mas}_{\mathrm{day}}{ }^{-1}$, or $700 \pm 280 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) in the observed time range. Given the observed angular speed and separation, we can estimate a distance independent epoch of


Fig. 6. Ellipse fits to the sets of Gaussian components. Each ellipse is rotated clockwise by $44^{\circ}$ and shifted by a vertical space proportional to the time elapsed since the nova. The crosses show the sets of Gaussian components at each epoch, rotated clockwise by $44^{\circ}$. The solid and dot-dashed lines represent 5 GHz and 1.6 GHz observations, respectively.
ejection about 6.7 years before the 2010 nova (i.e. in late 2003) or somewhat later for a decelerating motion.

Since this component is far ( $\sim 940 \mathrm{AU}$ ) from the nova, if we assumed that the component were ejected at day $\sim 0$ from the shell origin, we would derive a quite large average speed for the initial period, $v \sim 30000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \sim 0.1 c$ (projected). Since a constant speed in this period is unlikely, the component would have to have been ejected with relativistic speeds. Combined with the inspection of the optical light curves (see Sect. 4.3), an origin in a previous phase of activity as described in the previous paragraph seems like a much more solid scenario.

### 3.3. Spectral properties

The dual frequency observations at our disposal also allow us to discuss the spectral properties of the resolved emission, although there are a few caveats. The EVN observations at 1.6 and 5 GHz are not simultaneous, which is an issue given the structural and flux density variability. The VLBA multi-frequency observations, on the other hand, have simultaneous data, yet we have only one detection at the two frequencies in the same epoch. Moreover, as typical for VLBI arrays, it is not possible to obtain matched ( $u, v$ ) coverages anyway, which introduces further uncertainty on any quantitative estimate of a spectral index.

With all the above caveats in mind, we report in Table 6 both the simultaneous VLBA spectral index obtained at day 72, and the average EVN spectral index obtained by combining the mean of the 1.6 GHz observations on day 44 and day 91 and the intermediate 5 GHz observation on day 70 . Despite the significant differences in time and angular resolution, the results are in good agreement. The overall spectral index of the inner features is rising ( $\alpha \sim 0.8$ ) but the two opposite fronts are characterised by different properties. The SE front spectrum has a clear rising trend ( $\alpha \sim 1.1$ ). Since this side of the shell is brighter, this accounts for the overall spectrum of the entire shell. On the other hand, the NW component has a much flatter (if not steep) spectrum.

The spectral index asymmetry shown in Table 6 for the time range $44 \mathrm{~d} \leq t \leq 91 \mathrm{~d}$ is also supported qualitatively by the earlier 5 GHz VLBA detections (day 31 and day 36), both localised in the SE side. We note that the finding of a rising spectrum is at least qualitatively robust against the use of non-matched ( $u, v$ )-plane coverage, since the bias is in general towards an overestimation of the flux density at low frequency owing to the sampling of small spatial frequencies (i.e. larger size structures).

As for the faraway isolated component, it is only detected with the EVN at 1.6 GHz . While at first sight this would suggest a steep spectrum, we note that the component is extended and has a major axis as large as $\sim 30$ mas. Given the smaller beam size and higher noise level for the 5 GHz observations, it is possible that the emission is resolved out at 5 GHz even for positive values of the spectral index. As a matter of fact, we can only put a very loose constraint on the spectral index of $\alpha \leq+2.9$.

## 4. Discussion

This section is organised as follows: we first review the literature to set the background about the linear scales involved for this system (Sect. 4.1). We then devote Sect. 4.2 to the geometry, kinematics, and spectral properties of the VLBI components in the inner structure, proposing a 3D geometrical interpretation and a discussion of the emission mechanisms and the associated physical conditions. Finally, the properties and possible origin of component K are the subject of Sect. 4.3.

Table 4. Fit to the size of the semi-major axis of the shell representing the inner structure.

| Time since nova <br> (days) | Semi-major axis |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(\mathrm{mas})$ | $\left(10^{13} \mathrm{~cm}\right)$ | $(\mathrm{AU})$ |
| 20 | $14.3 \pm 2.5$ | $58 \pm 10$ | $39 \pm 7$ |
| 31 | $19.1 \pm 3.2$ | $77 \pm 13$ | $52 \pm 9$ |
| 36 | $21.7 \pm 3.0$ | $88 \pm 12$ | $59 \pm 8$ |
| 44 | $32.1 \pm 1.4$ | $130 \pm 6$ | $87 \pm 4$ |
| 55 | $45.5 \pm 8.6$ | $184 \pm 35$ | $123 \pm 23$ |
| 70 | $39.5 \pm 3.0$ | $160 \pm 12$ | $107 \pm 8$ |
| 72 | $40.4 \pm 3.6$ | $163 \pm 15$ | $109 \pm 10$ |
| 91 | $50.1 \pm 2.3$ | $202 \pm 9$ | $135 \pm 6$ |
| 182 | $74.0 \pm 4.9$ | $299 \pm 20$ | $200 \pm 13$ |
| 203 | $75 \pm 30$ | $303 \pm 121$ | $203 \pm 81$ |

Table 5. Fit to the position of the outer component.

| Time since nova <br> (days) | Radius |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(\mathrm{mas})$ | $\left(10^{13} \mathrm{~cm}\right)$ | $(\mathrm{AU})$ |
| 44 | $349 \pm 3$ | $1410 \pm 12$ | $942 \pm 8$ |
| 91 | $358 \pm 4$ | $1446 \pm 16$ | $967 \pm 11$ |
| 203 | $372 \pm 9$ | $1503 \pm 36$ | $1004 \pm 24$ |



Fig. 7. Top panel: semi-major axis of the ellipse as a function of time. The solid line indicates the best-fit power-law relation $r \sim t^{p}$, $p=0.68 \pm 0.04$. Bottom panel: ejecta advance velocity obtained from the expansion fit (solid line) and from simple averages in separate time intervals (grey shaded rectangles). For comparison, the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ FWHM time evolution by Munari et al. (2011, dashed line) is also plotted. The blue (red) points indicate the maximum positive (absolute negative) radial velocity for $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ from Shore et al. (2011).

### 4.1. Linear scales

The linear scales involved in this system are determined by the distance $d$ of the binary from us and the separation $a$ between the WD and the Mira. As outlined in the introduction, there is some tension between estimates of $d$ based on different methods. The period-luminosity relation for Miras provides a distance in the range $1.7-2.7 \mathrm{kpc}$ (Munari et al. 1990; Kolotilov et al. 2003). The presence of Na I D absorption in the optical spectra, likely
caused by the Perseus spiral arm, suggests a larger distance, $d \geq$ 3.0 kpc (Chomiuk et al. 2012). Our adopted choice of 2.7 kpc is a reasonable compromise, which however implies a systematic uncertainty on all the linear scales and projected velocities of order $\sim 20 \%$.

In terms of orbital size $a$, Abdo et al. (2010) estimated a separation between the WD and the red giant of about $a=10^{14} \mathrm{~cm}$, that is $a=6.7 \mathrm{AU}$. This estimate is based on the delay between the optical and gamma-ray emission (3-4 days) and the velocity derived from the optical lines $\left(3200 \pm 345 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. However, our results show that this is the average velocity during the first 20 days, so it is likely that the initial velocity is larger, as also suggested by the power-law fit to the expansion. It is thus likely that the real separation is wider, which would be in better agreement with findings based on the study of optical periodicity: for example Munari et al. (1990), from a period of 43 yr , suggest 17 AU for a $1 M_{\odot}-1.5 M_{\odot}$ binary (Chomiuk et al. 2012) or even 20-25 AU if the red giant has a mass of $4-8 M_{\odot}$ (Nelson et al. 2012). The long-term radial velocity monitoring of the Mira in V407 Cyg performed in the near-IR by Hinkle et al. (2013) has failed to reveal any orbital motion superimposed to the regular pulsation; this fact is interpreted by the authors as indicative of an orbital period $\geq 100$ years, which for $M_{1}+M_{2}=3 M_{\odot}$, corresponds to $a \geq 31 \mathrm{AU}(=11.5 \mathrm{mas})$.

The projected separation between the Gaia position and the assumed centre of expansion is consistent with the upper values of this range. As detailed in Appendix A, the statistical uncertainty of the extrapolated Gaia position is rather small (1.4 mas) at the epoch of the nova explosion and the extrapolation further back in time (to the VLA detection in 1993) suggests that also systematic uncertainties should be small. It is less easy to estimate an uncertainty on the position of the centre of expansion and therefore on the separation. The components on the SE side are in general closer to the centre of the ellipse than those on the NW front at the same epoch, so the $\sim 16$ mas value should be taken as an upper limit to the projected separation.

### 4.2. Properties of the inner structure

Most reports of radio emission from V407 Cyg after the 2010 nova event show it is unresolved on angular scales larger than about one arcsecond (Chomiuk et al. 2012). Our images clearly resolve the radio emission in V407 Cyg after the nova event and show it is distributed in at least two main structures: the distant isolated feature (K), likely related to a previous episode of activity (see Sect. 4.3), and the elongated shell-like set of inner components (SE and NW), clearly associated to the 2010 nova event, and discussed in this subsection. In any case, these two main structures are not unrelated, as indicated by the alignment between the direction of expansion of the inner components and the p.a. of the outer feature. This suggests a preferred axis for the whole system, which is naturally interpreted as the polar axis of the binary orbital plane. The two fronts described by the SE and NW sets of components show how the ejecta advanced preferentially along this polar axis (in opposite directions), rather than at larger zenithal angles (nearer to the orbital plane), where the density of the Mira wind may be higher and more difficult to penetrate (Walder et al. 2008; Mohamed \& Podsiadlowski 2012; Booth et al. 2016).

This first finding provides a direct proof that the ejecta are expanding aspherically, as earlier indicated by several arguments. Shore et al. (2011) present high-resolution optical spectra with asymmetric structure in several emission lines, with larger velocities in red wings than in blue wings and different slopes of

Table 6. Shell spectral index.

| Component | VLBA |  |  | EVN |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} S_{1.6, \mathrm{~d} 72} \\ (\mathrm{mJy}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} S_{5, \mathrm{~d} 72} \\ \text { (mJy) } \end{gathered}$ | $\alpha$ | $\begin{gathered} S_{1.6, \mathrm{~d} 20} \\ (\mathrm{mJy}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} S_{1.6, \mathrm{~d} 91} \\ (\mathrm{mJy}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left\langle S_{1.6}\right\rangle \\ & (\mathrm{mJy}) \end{aligned}$ | $S_{5, \mathrm{~d} 44}$ | $\alpha$ |
| Total | 1.75 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 8.3 | 0.8 |
| SE | 1.0 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 2.25 | 7.1 | 1.0 |
| NW | 0.75 | <0.5 | $<-0.3$ | 0.9 | 1.6 | 1.25 | 1.2 | -0.1 |

the power-law time evolution on the opposite sides. Nelson et al. (2012) also developed an asymmetric model on the basis of the presence of multiple degrees of ionisation equilibrium in the X-ray emission. Chomiuk et al. (2012) used this model to interpret the thermal emission from the ionised envelope of the Mira. If the two fronts of the emission are advancing along the polar axis, the derived velocities of $\sim 2000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at days $30-90$ imply that we are seeing the system nearly edge-on; otherwise, the de-projected velocities would become inconsistent with those derived for the blast wave from the Suzaku X-ray spectrum (Nelson et al. 2012), which indicates velocities between 1450 and $1800 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The strong asymmetry of the line width profiles mentioned earlier was interpreted by Shore et al. (2012) with a geometrical model in which the WD is seen close to superior conjunction, through a substantial portion of the Mira wind. Our images provide a nice direct confirmation of such scenario. If the WD-Mira separation also has a significant perpendicular component, in addition to the projected component (already of several astronomical units), this means that the orbital radius value is likely in the upper range of those proposed in the literature.

With a striking analogy with RS Oph (O'Brien et al. 2006; Rupen et al. 2008), our observations further reveal a morphological asymmetry between the two fronts of the expansion. On one side (east in RS Oph and SE in our case; see in particular the EVN image on day 70 in Fig. 2), the structure is shaped as a ring associated with the shock wave generated by the outburst (Rupen et al. 2008). The analogy also extends to the opposite side of the ring, which is fainter for both sources, and in the presence of a more distant component (on the same side as the inner bright shell in RS Oph, on the opposite side in V407 Cyg). However, the similarity breaks when the spectral properties are considered. The shell in RS Oph has a steep spectrum (flux density decreasing as a function of frequency), which is straightforward to interpret as synchrotron emission from the shocked ejecta, also considering the high brightness temperature $T_{\mathrm{b}}$. In V407 Cyg, we find flat-rising radio spectra, which are much harder to interpret. The brightness temperatures observed for our components are typically of the order of a few $\times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$, with one case in which $T_{\mathrm{b}}$ gets as high as several $\times 10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$; this case is the $4.0-\mathrm{mas}, 1.6-\mathrm{mJy} 5-\mathrm{GHz}$ component in the SE front at day 70. While not as high as in RS Oph, this $T_{\mathrm{b}}$ is unlikely to be due to thermal emission from hot gas. Although Nelson et al. (2012) showed that hot, shocked, X-ray emitting gas was indeed present in V407 Cyg at the epoch of our radio observations, the X-ray emission measure of that plasma indicates that there was nowhere near enough of it to generate the radio emission we observed. For example, for the 1.6 mJy of $5-\mathrm{GHz}$ radio emission from the 4.0 mas knot on day 70 to have been thermal emission from the X-ray emitting gas, it would have needed a density of around $10^{8} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. But such a knot of high density, $3 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~K}$ plasma, would have produced orders of magnitude more X-ray emission than was observed. Therefore, owing to the lack
of meaningful polarisation information, we can only speculate that the radio emission is non-thermal, yet with a spectral index far from the canonical index. Synchrotron emission with such unconventional spectral index is also what has been reported in at least two classical novae, that is V5589 Sgr (Weston et al. 2016) and V1324 Sco (Finzell et al. 2018); the latter was also detected in gamma rays (Cheung et al. 2012; Ackermann et al. 2014).

While the asphericity is naturally intrinsic to the system, it is not clear whether this ejecta asymmetry in brightness and spectrum is intrinsic, driven by the different interaction with the circumstellar medium, or caused by different absorption along the line of sight. The fact that the SE front is brighter and has a positive $\alpha$ could be an indication that these ejecta are moving into higher density material towards the Mira, so they become denser and brighter but also more absorbed at low frequency. However, the coordinates obtained by Gaia seem to indicate that the Mira is located on the NW side with respect to the ellipse centre. Even in the secondary scenario in which the expansion is centred on the Gaia position and asymmetric (Appendix C), the highest velocity on the SE side would indicate that to be the less dense side. Furthermore, these simple schemes could be complicated by the density waves imparted by pulsation to the steady wind outflow from the Mira; at $10 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ outflow speed and 745 day pulsation period, the waves are separated by $\sim 4 \mathrm{AU}$, which is smaller than the orbital separation in V407 Cyg. In the end, it seems reasonable to conclude that the polar axis lies almost on the plane of the sky, and the asymmetries on the two fronts are likely intrinsic to inhomogeneity in the explosion.

We could consider an alternative scenario in which the VLBI features are advancing along the equatorial plane, where the WD-Mira vector is either in the plane of the sky (in disagreement with the asymmetries in the optical line profiles) or orthogonal to it (and with the WD behind the Mira). Our velocities at late time are however significantly larger than those seen in the optical spectra, which is inconsistent with the advance against higher density gas in the equatorial plane. This, combined with the analogy with RS Oph and the presence of the outer component K in the same p.a. (see next subsection), lends support to the previously described scenario in which the explosion resulted in a jet/collimated outflow along the polar axis.

### 4.3. Component $K$

The isolated component K is aligned well (p.a. $\sim-45^{\circ}$ ) with the axis of the bipolar expansion of the inner lobes, similar to a polar jet. The geometrical arrangement is a reminder of that adorning the symbiotic binary He 2-104, the Southern Crab, in the spectacular Hubble Space Telescope (HST) images presented by Corradi et al. (2001, their Fig. 1): two bipolar lobes expanding perpendicularly to the equatorial plane and, at a greater distance along their main axis, two very compact polar jets. Spatio-kinematical modelling of the lobes and jets in Hen 2-104
indicated a similar age for them ( $\sim 5700$ yrs ago), even if not necessarily an origin in one and the same eruption. As for V407 Cyg, Hen 2-104 harbours a Mira of long pulsation period that is orbited at a great distance by a WD companion. The great orbital separation is inferred, first, by the fact that the Roche lobe around the Mira contains enough dust to completely block the visibility of the Mira itself at optical wavelengths, while the WD is seen free from such dust; and second the dust around the Mira is sufficiently distant from the WD to survive the hostile hard radiation field created by the stable hydrogen-burning going on at the surface of the WD since earliest available photographic plates.

As noted above, the $\sim 700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ projected velocity and the $\sim 940$ AU projected separation suggest that component K left the central binary roughly seven years before our VLBI observations, or approximately 2003. At that time V407 Cyg was going through an active phase powered by accretion onto the WD. Although normally very faint (pulsation-averaged $B \geq 19$ mag; Munari et al. 1990), in 1993 V407 Cyg begun slowly, but steadily, to rise in brightness; its spectrum gradually turned from a normal field Mira to that of a symbiotic star with strong Balmer, He I, and [O III] emission lines, as first noted by Munari et al. (1994). It kept increasing in brightness and excitation level, reaching a peak $B \sim 13 \mathrm{mag}$ in 1999 and than slowly declining to $B \sim 14.5 \mathrm{mag}$ in 2003 and $B \sim 16 \mathrm{mag}$ shortly before the onset of the nova eruption in March 2010. The polar K component has therefore left V407 Cyg during this accretion episode, slightly after its peak brightness. The only other known active phase displayed by V407 Cyg was in 1936, but that phase was shorter (roughly two years) and fainter (peak $B \sim 14.5 \mathrm{mag}$ ). It is worth noting that the accretion phase started in 1993 turned V407 Cyg from a radio-quiet into a radio-loud source. In fact, VLA observations in 1989 by Seaquist et al. (1993) posed an upper limit of 0.06 mJy at 8.4 GHz to emission from V407 Cyg, while VLA observations for 1993 May 14 (as reprocessed by Chomiuk et al. 2012, see also Appendix A) detected emission at 1.2 mJy again at 8.4 GHz (therefore an increase of at least a factor of 20 ).

It is not unusual for jets to leave symbiotic binaries during active phases. Signatures of jets have been visible in high-resolution spectra (e.g. Z And, Hen 3-1341; Burmeister \& Leedjärv 2007; Tomov et al. 2000) or in highresolution imaging (e.g. R Aqr; Schmid et al. 2017), up to the spectacular $-6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ case of MWC 560 when it erupted in 1990 (Tomov et al. 1990). The case most pertinent to V407 Cyg however seems to be that of CH Cyg. This is an eclipsing symbiotic binary of very long orbital period ( 15 years), containing a semi-regular pulsating AGB of the same $\sim$ M 7 III spectral type as for V407 Cyg. CH Cyg has been in quiescence for decades, until in the 1960s when it began to awake, rising in brightness and displaying ever increasing emission lines caused by increased accretion onto the WD companion to the red giant. Around the time of peak brightness, in 1984 CH Cyg emitted a jet first observed in the radio (Taylor et al. 1986) and later also resolved at other wavelengths (Solf 1987). The observations suggested an expansion velocity of the jet of $\sim 800 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, a high collimation of the flow and an inclination axis of the jet nearly perpendicular to the line of sight and to the orbital plane wherein lies the accretion disc given the eclipsing geometry.

As a final remark, it is worth noting that the previous accretion episode, that of 1936, may have also left a trace visible during the outburst of 2010. Shore et al. (2011), while examining 2010 high-resolution spectra of V407 Cyg around the Na I doublet, noticed the appearance and disappearance of feeble absorption and emission components compatible in time sequence and
velocity with an origin in circumstellar material that may have been ejected by the central binary at the time of the 1936 active state. Shore et al. (2012) presented evidence for a spectral component, in the [ArIII] $7135.79 \AA$ line, associated with a lowdensity region and an expansion velocity of about $70 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which could have been produced by the eruption in the 1930s.

## 5. Conclusions

A remarkable symbiotic star in itself until March 2010, V407 Cyg became the first nova detected in gamma rays, and this source remained the only symbiotic nova revealed at $E>$ 100 MeV for almost a decade (Buson et al. 2019; see also Franckowiak et al. 2018). Besides the Fermi-LAT discovery, the campaigns based on optical spectroscopy (Munari et al. 2011; Shore et al. 2011, 2012), X-ray observations (Shore et al. 2011; Nelson et al. 2012), and radio continuum (Chomiuk et al. 2012) and spectral line (Deguchi et al. 2011) observations had provided a characterisation of the initial conditions in the ejecta and in the circumstellar material.

The high angular resolution observations presented in this work show for the first time direct imaging of the inner ejecta. These ejecta are much fainter and more compact than the extended emission from the partly ionised circumstellar gas detected by the VLA. Whereas the emission from the circumstellar gas is thermal, the shocked ejecta emit via synchrotron process with a spectral index that, although unexpected, has also been reported in classical novae (Chomiuk et al. 2014; Weston et al. 2016; Finzell et al. 2018). The ejecta advance in opposite directions with respect to a reference position, which is located within 45 AU from the Mira position, as estimated on the basis of the Gaia coordinates and proper motions. The initial velocity ( $t<20$ days) is in excess of $3500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and they later slow to velocities of about $2000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (between days 20 and 90). On the SE side, the ejecta are brighter and characterised by a growing radio spectrum $\alpha=+1.1$, while on the other direction they are fainter and with a flatter spectrum. These two sets of features are interpreted as the opposite fronts of a shocked outflow that is focussed along the polar axis of the binary equatorial plane; the equatorial plane is seen edge-on and our light of sight is nearly aligned with the direction from the Mira to the WD (as in Shore et al. 2011).

We also detect a more distant component ( $r=350-370$ mas, or $945-1000 \mathrm{AU}$ ) on the NW side at 1.6 GHz . This component is moving with a slower velocity of $\sim 700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and is likely related to an earlier episode of activity from the WD, in the early 2000 s . The similarities with other novae suggest that the production of long-lasting jets during active phases is a relatively common process in such systems.
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## Appendix A: Extrapolation of the Gaia data

The second Gaia data release, Gaia DR2, contains celestial positions and the apparent brightness in $G$ for approximately 1.7 billion sources (Gaia Collaboration 2018). For 1.3 billion of those sources, parallaxes and proper motions are also available. These data were obtained between 2014 July 25 and 2016 May 23. For V407 Cyg, the coordinates and proper motion at the reference epoch $t=2015.5$ are given in Table A.1. Extrapolating back to the epoch of the nova and combining in quadrature the error on the Gaia position and proper motions, we obtain the following coordinates for the epoch of the nova: $($ RA, Dec $)=\left(21^{\mathrm{h}} 02^{\mathrm{m}} 09.8156^{\mathrm{s}},+45^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} .6904\right)$; ( $\Delta$ RA, $\Delta \mathrm{Dec})=(1.4$ mas, 1.4 mas $)$; this is the position indicated by the cross in Figs. 1-3. Extrapolating the position further back to the VLA observations of 1993 presented by Chomiuk et al. (2012), the position shifts further to the north-east and falls nicely in agreement with the peak of the radio emission, as shown in Fig. A.1. The Gaia position was obtained at a time when the

Table A.1. Gaia DR2 data products for V407 Cyg.

| Quantity | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| RA $($ deg $)$ | 315.54089601822 |
| Dec $(\operatorname{deg})$ | +45.77574082898 |
| $\Delta$ RA $(\mathrm{mas})$ | 0.1339 |
| $\Delta$ Dec $\left.^{(m a s}\right)$ | 0.1526 |
| $\mu_{\text {RA }}\left(\operatorname{mas~yr}^{-1}\right)$ | -1.556 |
| $\mu_{\text {Dec }}\left(\operatorname{mas~yr}^{-1}\right)$ | -4.474 |
| $\Delta \mu_{\text {RA }}\left(\operatorname{mas~yr}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.268 |
| $\Delta \mu_{\text {Dec }}\left(\operatorname{mas~yr}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.270 |

WD was in quiescence and therefore is representative of the Mira position rather than the mass centre of the binary. In any case, the separation between the WD and the Mira in this image would be tiny for any reasonable value.


Fig. A.1. Archival VLA image of V407 Cyg at 8.4 GHz on 1993 May 18. White contours start at $0.10 \mathrm{mJy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}$ (about $3 \times$ the rms noise level of $42 \mu \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}$ ) and increase by steps of $\sqrt{2}$. The beam size is $2.6^{\prime \prime} \times 1.0^{\prime \prime}$ in p.a. $-82^{\circ}$; the peak brightness is 1.08 mJy beam ${ }^{-1}$. The inset shows the proper motion determined from the Gaia data between 1993.5 and 2010.3 (maroon arrow) and between 2010.3 and 2015.5 (teal arrow); the (almost circular) ellipses show the uncertainty on the position at the two epochs in corresponding colours, magnified by a factor $5 \times$ for readability. The black contours represent 1.6 GHz emission as observed with the EVN on day 44 (same as in Fig. 1).

## Appendix B: VLBI images

We show in this section the whole set of images for the epochs with a detection of the source. In Fig. B. 1 we show eleven 160 mas $\times 160$ mas panels illustrating the evolution of the inner ejecta. The epoch, array, and frequency of each observation is
indicated in the panel. In Fig. B. 2 we show 420 mas $\times 420$ mas panels illustrating the three epochs in which there is emission also detected in the distant component " $K$ ", in addition to the inner ejecta; all these observations were obtained with the EVN at 1.6 GHz .


Fig. B.1. Images by VLBI of the inner 160 mas $\times 160$ mas around the centre of the expansion ellipse. The ellipse and its centre are indicated by the dotted line and the white central dot, respectively. The position of V407 Cyg in Gaia DR2, brought back to $t=0$ by application of proper motions, is also shown with a white cross (whose size represents the associated statistical uncertainty); the white dot indicates the position of the assumed centre of expansion. Beam sizes are shown by the filled ellipses in the bottom right corners. Noise levels are given in Tables 1 (EVN data) and 2 (VLBA data). The colour scale ranges from the $3 \sigma$ noise level to the maximum intensity of each panel.


Fig. B.2. Images by VLBI of the $420 \mathrm{mas} \times 420$ mas field around the centre of the expansion ellipse. Symbols are plotted with the same meaning as in Fig. B.1.

## Appendix C: Alternative scenario for the motion of the ejecta

In the main text, we describe the motion of the bipolar ejecta of V407 Cyg as regions of a growing eccentric ellipse of given centre and axial ratio. The centre of that ellipse was determined somewhat arbitrarily and it lies within 16.7 mas ( $=45 \mathrm{AU}$ ), in p.a. $118^{\circ}$ degrees, from the Gaia position. This distance is comparable with the orbital separation of the system. However, the availability of the Gaia position referenced to the epoch of the nova offers a privileged reference that is worth exploring. Moreover, the spectral asymmetries between the two sides of the inner structure (see Table 6) suggest that it might also be worth exploring a scenario in which the two sides do not necessarily share the same physical conditions (both intrinsic or in terms of the surrounding medium). We have therefore re-referenced the coordinates of every component to the Gaia position, and separately fit the increase of their radii on the NW and SE sides. For most components, the actual radius in the sky plane could represent an underestimate of the real separation from the origin (if there is a significant component along the line of sight). Therefore, we highlight the upper values of our uncertainty range as a preferred estimate for the motion.

The results are shown in Fig. C.1. The best-fit power law representing the separation $r \propto t^{p}$ have indexes $p=0.54$ and $p=0.59$ on the SE and NW sides, respectively, that is slightly shallower than in the ellipse scenario. The resulting velocity is always higher on the SW side, initially by a factor 2 and eventually reaching a factor $\sim 1.5$.


Fig. C.1. Top panel: radial distance of VLBI components from the Gaia position referenced to the nova epoch. Filled blue and empty red dots indicate components in the SE and NW regions, respectively. The shaded regions show the best fit and uncertainty of a $r \propto t^{p}$ fit to the trend. Bottom panel: linear velocity obtained by deriving the power-law motion, in blue and red for the two sides, respectively.

Also in this case, as in the symmetric scenario, the velocity cannot be constrained well at initial epochs. We provide reference values of $v_{10}=4585 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, v_{25}=3010 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, $v_{100}=1590 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, v_{200}=1160 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, for the SE side, and of $v_{10}=2550 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, v_{25}=1750 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, v_{100}=980 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, $v_{200}=740 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, for the NW side (the subscript indicate the epoch, in days).


[^0]:    * The reduced images are only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http: //cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/638/A130
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