

Spatial and temporal variation of muddy floods in central Belgium, off-site impacts and potential control measures

O. Evrard, Charles Bielders, Karel Vandaele, Bas van Wesemael

▶ To cite this version:

O. Evrard, Charles Bielders, Karel Vandaele, Bas van Wesemael. Spatial and temporal variation of muddy floods in central Belgium, off-site impacts and potential control measures. CATENA, 2007, 70 (3), pp.443-454. 10.1016/j.catena.2006.11.011 . cea-02511861

HAL Id: cea-02511861 https://cea.hal.science/cea-02511861v1

Submitted on 10 May 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 2 3 4	Spatial and temporal variation of muddy floods in central Belgium, off-site impacts and potential control measures
5 6 7	Olivier Evrard ^{a \diamond*} , Charles L. Bielders ^b , Karel Vandaele ^c , Bas van Wesemael ^a
8	^a Département de Géographie, Université catholique de Louvain, Place Louis Pasteur, 3,
9	B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
10	^b Département des Sciences du Milieu et de l'Aménagement du Territoire, Université
11	catholique de Louvain, Croix du Sud, 2, Bte 2, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
12	^c Watering van Sint-Truiden, Interbestuurlijke samenwerking Land en Water,
13	Minderbroedersstraat, 16, B-3800 Sint-Truiden, Belgium
14	⁶ Fonds pour la formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et l'Agriculture (F.R.I.A.), Belgium
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 	* Corresponding author. Tel. : +32-10-47-29-91; fax: +32-10-47-28-77. E-mail address: <u>evrard@geog.ucl.ac.be</u> (Olivier Evrard).

- 1 Abstract
- 2

3 Numerous villages in the European loess belt are confronted with floods caused by runoff 4 from agricultural land. Seventy-nine percent of the municipalities in central Belgium 5 experienced at least one muddy flood during the last decade. Of these flooded municipalities, 6 22% have been affected more than 10 times during this period. Twenty municipalities have 7 been selected for a detailed analysis. A database of 367 locations affected by muddy floods 8 has been compiled, and the connectivity between cultivated areas and inhabited zones could 9 be assessed for 100 flooded locations. Roads and drainage network facilitate runoff transfer 10 between cultivated and inhabited areas in 64% of cases. Three types of areas producing 11 muddy floods have been identified: hillslopes (1 - 30 ha) without thalweg where runoff is 12 generally dominated by sheet flow; small catchments (10 - 300 ha) characterised by runoff 13 concentration in the thalweg and medium catchments (100 - 300 ha) with multiple thalwegs 14 dominated by concentrated runoff. About 90% of muddy floods are generated on hillslopes 15 and in small catchments. A critical area – slope threshold for triggering muddy floods has 16 been computed for hillslopes. A logistic regression shows that muddy floods are generated in 17 small and medium catchments with 99% probability after 43 mm rainfall. Rainfall depths 18 required to trigger muddy floods are lower in May and June (25 ± 12 mm) than between July 19 and September (46 ± 20 mm), because of different surface conditions (crusting, roughness and crop cover). Each year, muddy floods lead to a total societal cost of $16 \times 10^6 - 172 \times 10^6 \in$ in 20 21 central Belgium, depending on the extent and intensity of thunderstorms and monetary values 22 damaged. Recent datasets suggest that the phenomenon is becoming more frequent in central 23 Belgium, because of land consolidation, urban sprawl and expansion of row crops, sown in 24 spring, at the expense of winter cereals. The huge costs induced by muddy floods justify the 25 installation of erosion control measures. It is suggested to install a grassed buffer strip at the

1 downslope edge of cultivated hillslopes to protect houses and roads. In small and medium 2 catchments, it is preferred to install a grassed waterway and earthen dams in the thalweg. 3 **Keywords** : muddy floods; runoff control; cost analysis; Belgium 4 5 6 **1. Introduction** 7 8 Numerous villages in central Belgium are confronted with floods caused directly by 9 runoff from agricultural land (Boardman et al., 1994; Verstraeten and Poesen, 1999; Bielders 10 et al., 2003). This type of process is now commonly referred to as a 'muddy flood', and has 11 recently been defined as water flowing from agricultural fields carrying large quantities of soil 12 as suspended sediment or bedload (Boardman et al., 2006). Therefore, it is a fluvial process 13 rather than a mass movement one, originating in valleys without permanent water courses (so 14 called 'thalwegs'). Muddy floods are reported from most European loess areas, e.g. from the Belgium loess belt, the South Downs in the UK, from South Limburg in the Netherlands, 15 16 from Northern France and Slovakia (Boardman et al., 1994; Boardman et al., 2006). 17 According to Verstraeten and Poesen (1999), the risk to be affected by such a flood 18 can be considered as a combination of property vulnerability and muddy flood hazard. On the 19 one hand, Varnes (1984) defines a hazard as the probability of occurrence within a specific 20 period of time and within a given area of a potentially damaging phenomenon. On the other 21 hand, vulnerability expresses the level of damage incurred by a target for a given hazard 22 (Blaikie et al., 1994). The muddy flood hazard itself depends on the occurrence of a 23 meteorological hazard on a vulnerable landscape. Major factors influencing landscape 24 vulnerability (e.g. geomorphology, land use, cropping practices) as well as climatic conditions 25 leading to muddy floods in the European loess belt were first synthesised by Boardman et al. (1994). Meanwhile, further research has been carried out (Table 1) and a new overview of 26 27 muddy floods throughout Europe has been provided (Boardman et al., 2006).

1 In the continental, western-European loess belt, landscape vulnerability is strongly 2 related to the areal extent of cropland and cropping practices, and in particular by the presence 3 of summer crops, which occupy up to 50% of the cropland area in some regions (e.g. the loess 4 belt of central Belgium; Bielders et al., 2003). These summer crops provide a low soil cover 5 during the intense storms of May and June (see references in Table 1). Furthermore, they 6 require a fine seedbed that promotes surface seal development and reduces surface roughness, 7 thereby increasing runoff volume and velocity; and enhancing peak discharge (Schröder and 8 Auerswald, 2000; Le Bissonnais et al., 2005). These sources of vulnerability have increased 9 during the last thirty years as a result of an increase in farm size, farm mechanisation, the 10 conversion of grassland into cropland, and the expansion of summer crops at the expense of 11 winter cereals.

12 Whereas the land use factors and cropping practices that favour the occurrence of 13 muddy floods have been studied in some detail, much less is known regarding the 14 morphology of the sites draining to flooded locations (physical landscape vulnerability) and 15 the rainfall depth leading to muddy floods (meteorological hazard). Such information is 16 crucial to implement strategies to cope with the phenomenon and to prevent the frequent 17 flooding of houses and villages. So far, the literature on landscape configurations where 18 muddy floods are generated only describes the general landscape context. Boardman et al. 19 (1994) mention 'dry valley systems'. Verstraeten and Poesen (1999) refer to 'concentration of 20 runoff in thalwegs' and state that runoff starts in 'small agricultural drainage basins'. They list 21 all relevant topographic conditions (e.g. catchment morphology, slope gradient, slope 22 morphology), but they do not quantify thresholds for the occurrence of muddy floods. 23 Boardman et al. (2003) create logistic regression models to determine the probability of 24 occurrence of muddy floods and their magnitude from geomorphic and land use parameters, 25 as well as combined criteria. However, these were based on data available for southern

England. Their applicability to central Belgian conditions remains to be proven. Regarding
rainfall conditions leading to muddy floods, the literature mentions 'heavy thunderstorms'
(Boardman et al., 1994; Van Dijk et al., 2005) or 'heavy convective rainshowers' (Verstraeten
and Poesen, 1999). When rainfall depths or intensities are given, they usually refer to single
extreme events from which a rainfall threshold can hardly be derived (Table 2).

6 The aim of this paper is to refine previous conceptual models of muddy flood 7 triggering by determining rainfall and topographic thresholds for the Belgian loess belt as 8 well as to quantify off-site impacts of muddy floods. Based on the evidence, the most suitable 9 control measures to be applied in different landscape configurations are then reviewed. 10 Indeed, both the connectivity between the areas producing runoff and the flooded locations as 11 well as the probability of flooding of specific sites should be taken into account in order to 12 design the most appropriate control measures. In addition, information regarding costs 13 induced by muddy floods is needed to assess the financial feasibility of the proposed control 14 measures.

15

16 **2. Materials and methods**

17 2.1. Study area

18 Belgium (32,545 km²) can be divided into 14 agro-pedological regions (i.e. zones of 19 similar geology, soil type, relief and climate; Ministère de l'Agriculture, 1958). The loess 20 belt (8867 km²), regroups both the silt-loam and sandy-loam agro-pedological regions and 21 consists of a plateau in central Belgium (Fig. 1). This plateau, gently sloping to the North, has 22 a mean altitude of 115 m. Valleys having a north-south orientation dissect the plateau. Mean 23 annual temperature ranges from 9 to 10°C, while mean annual precipitation in central 24 Belgium varies between 700 and 900 mm (Hufty, 2001; Verstraeten et al., 2006). Rainfall is 25 evenly distributed throughout the year, but rainfall erosivity shows a peak between May and

1 September (Verstraeten et al., 2006). Mean monthly potential evapotranspiration reaches 75 2 mm (Mitchell et al., 2004). Arable land is by far the most important land use in the loess belt, 3 covering c. 65 % of the total surface (FPS Economy, 2006). The most important crops are 4 cereals, industrial and fodder crops (oilseed rape, maize, sugar beet), as well as potatoes. 5 Sowing of cover crops (e.g. mustard, phacelia) is encouraged among the farmers during the 6 dormant period (Bielders et al., 2003). The study area includes 204 municipalities (104 in 7 Wallonia and 100 in Flanders). The administrative entities of Flanders and Wallonia are 8 responsible for agriculture and environment, but these entities do not correspond to a homogeneous physical region. Brussels is excluded, since agricultural land is virtually absent 9 from the capital city. 10

The Sint-Truiden catchment (c. 200 km²) is a pilot area in the Belgian loess belt (Fig. 1). The area has been affected by numerous muddy floods during the last decades. The local water agency specifically addresses the problems of flooding and water quality. Therefore, 120 grassed strips and grassed waterways combined with earthen dams have been installed in 13 the catchment between 2002 and 2005.

16

17 2.2. Data sources on muddy floods in the Belgian loess belt

18 A survey on muddy floods was previously carried out for the municipalities of 19 Wallonia (Bielders et al., 2003) and Flanders (Verstraeten and Poesen, 1999). However, these 20 studies can hardly be compared, due to the differences in the set-up of the questionnaires. Therefore, a questionnaire similar to the one used in Wallonia was sent to the Flemish 21 22 municipalities of the loess belt (n=100) in order to obtain comparable data for the entire 23 Belgian loess belt. In both questionnaires, the local authorities were asked: (1) if they were 24 confronted with muddy floods during the previous decade; (2) how many floods occurred 25 during this period; (3) on which dates important muddy floods occurred. Both surveys

1 concern different time intervals (1991-2000 in Wallonia vs. 1995-2004 in Flanders). The 4year difference between the two surveys was not considered a problem given that climate and 2 3 land use did not change significantly over such a short period (SPF Economy, 2006; 4 Verstraeten et al., 2006). Rainfall erosivity is considered to be homogeneous in the Belgian 5 loess belt and Uccle (Fig. 1) is generally taken as the reference station (Laurant and Bollinne, 6 1978; Bollinne et al., 1979; Verstraeten, 2006). The annual rainfall erosivity (R-factor in the RUSLE model of Renard et al., 1997; MJ.mm.ha⁻¹.yr⁻¹ h⁻¹) can be expressed as a function of 7 8 the mean annual rainfall depth (P in mm; Bollinne et al., 1979; Eq. 1).

9 $R = 115.4 \times e^{0.00215 \times P}$ (1)

Mean rainfall erosivity in Uccle, calculated according to Eq. (1), differed by less than
10% for both survey periods compared to the 1995-2004 period (725 MJ.mm.ha⁻¹.yr⁻¹ h⁻¹ for
1991-2000 vs. 791 MJ.mm.ha⁻¹.yr⁻¹ h⁻¹ for 1995-2004; Royal Meteorological Institute – RMI).
Furthermore, the total agricultural area remained stable at 60 – 65 % of the Belgian loess belt
surface during this period (SPF Economy, 2006).

15 The records of the Disaster Fund (Belgian Ministry of Home Affairs) provided a 16 second source of data on muddy floods. The records are available for the 204 municipalities 17 of the Belgian loess belt for the 1993 – 2002 period, and constitute the 'Disaster Fund database'. Four conditions need to be fulfilled for an event to be recognised as a natural 18 19 disaster : (1) total damage has to reach 1,250,000 €; (2) each affected household must incur at 20 least 5,000 € damage; (3) the event must have a 20-year return period or more according to 21 the RMI; (4) the event must be exceptional at the national scale. The Belgian official journal 22 'Moniteur belge' cites the cause of the event recognised as a natural disaster and lists the 23 affected municipalities. In order to restrict our research as much as possible to muddy floods and avoid accounting for river flooding, we excluded the disasters due to 'overbank flow of 24

watercourses' and focused on the categories 'heavy rainfall', 'intense rainfall' and 'violent
 thunderstorms'.

Data on fire brigade interventions related to muddy floods are available for the SintTruiden catchment during the 1977-2001 period. The local fire brigade classifies its
interventions according to their nature (fire, road accident, riverine flood, muddy flood).
These data will subsequently be referred to as 'Sint-Truiden database'.

7

8 2.3. Analysis of physical landscape vulnerability

9 Based on the Walloon survey (Bielders et al., 2003) and the updated Flemish survey, 10 20 municipalities (10 in each administrative entity, covering a total area of 870 km²) were 11 selected on the basis of muddy flood frequency (more than 5 muddy floods in 10 years) and 12 the presence of a RMI rain gauge. Note that the Sint-Truiden catchment has not been selected 13 as one of the 20 municipalities, because the installation of mitigation measures could 14 introduce a bias in the location of the flooded sites and the assessment of flood frequency.

15 The selected municipalities are fairly evenly distributed over the entire study area (Fig. 16 1). In the Walloon municipalities, locations affected by muddy floods were visited in the field 17 and located on a 1:10,000 topographic map. In Flanders, this fieldwork was not necessary given the existence of municipal erosion mitigation schemes pointing out the affected areas. 18 19 Reports corresponding to the selected municipalities were therefore consulted at the Flemish 20 Ministry of Environment. In total, a database of 367 flooded sites, which will be subsequently 21 referred to as 'regional database', has been obtained for the 20 selected municipalities. 22 Information on connectivity between the locations affected by muddy floods and the upslope 23 draining area was available for 100 flooded sites that were visited in the field or well 24 documented in the municipal erosion mitigation schemes.

According to the threshold concept (Patton and Schumm, 1975), there exists for a given slope gradient of the soil surface a critical drainage area necessary to produce sufficient runoff which will cause valley instability. Based on this concept applied by Vandaele et al. (1996) and Poesen et al. (2003) to the triggering for gully incision, we hypothesise that, for a given slope gradient (mean slope gradient of the drainage area), a critical drainage area is needed to trigger muddy floods. Such a threshold line can be described by a power function (Eq. 2).

8 $S_{cr} = a \times A^{-b}$ (2)

9 where S_{cr} is the critical slope gradient (m/m); A is the drainage area (ha); a is a coefficient and 10 b is an exponent. Slope length was measured between the higher and lower extremities of the 11 drainage area, perpendicular to the contour lines. Slope gradient was calculated as the ratio of 12 the elevation difference measured between the higher and lower extremities of the drainage 13 area, perpendicular to the contour lines and the slope length. Drainage area was derived by 14 catchment delineation. Slope length, slope gradient and drainage area were determined for (i) 15 the 100 flooded sites for which information on connectivity between the cultivated area and 16 the flooded sites was available from the regional database as well as for (ii) 50 comparable 17 locations where no muddy flood has been reported. Critical slope gradient was then plotted 18 versus drainage area for the flooded and non flooded sites to derive the a and b parameters of 19 Eq. 2.

20

21 2.4 Analysis of rainfall hazard

In order to refine the rainfall threshold conditions leading to muddy floods, we identified from (1) the Disaster Fund database and (2) the list of flood dates given by the local authorities who filled in the questionnaire 132 muddy floods that occurred in the 20 selected municipalities (between 1993 and 2005) for which daily rainfall was available from rain
 gauges of the RMI.

For the Sint-Truiden catchment, daily precipitation depths for the 1977-2001 period were obtained from the local RMI rain gauge station in Gorsem (1.5 km from the town centre of Sint-Truiden). According to the Sint-Truiden database, most rainfall events leading to muddy floods are thunderstorms lasting less than one hour. However, rainfall data was not available at a temporal resolution finer than one day over such a long period. Consequently, estimation of rainfall return periods is probably underestimated.

9

10 2.5 Probability of muddy flood generation

11 A linear logistic regression has been used to generate probabilities (*p*) of muddy flood 12 occurrence as a function of daily precipitation and the topographic variables (slope length, 13 slope gradient and drainage area; Boardman et al., 2003) using the 100 muddy flood locations 14 available from the regional database and the 50 comparable sites which were not flooded. 15 Daily rainfall is available from the RMI stations for muddy flood events identified from (1) 16 the Disaster Fund database and (2) the list of flood dates given by the local authorities in the 17 questionnaire. The lower number of non-flooded locations (n=50) compared to flooded 18 locations (n=100) is not considered a problem, given that the number of observations per 19 variable (50/4=12.5) is greater than 10 (Peduzzi et al., 1996). A logistic model is used to 20 predict a binary dependant variable from one or several independent variables (Wrigley, 21 1985). The linear logistic model has the form (Eq. 3) :

22
$$\log it(p) = \log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \alpha + \beta_n X_n$$
 (3)

23 where α is the intercept; β_n are slope parameters and X_n are the three topographic variables 24 and the daily precipitation. 1 The probability values can thus be expressed as (Eq. 4) :

2
$$p = \frac{\exp(\alpha + \beta_n X_n)}{1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta_n X_n)}$$
(4)

3

4 2.6 Cost of muddy floods

5 Data about damage costs was available from the Disaster Fund database (1601 records 6 for the 20 selected municipalities). The authorities of 10 municipalities also had their own 7 damage data for a total of 16 muddy floods. This information has been exploited to (i) 8 associate a rough damage cost to rainfall events of different intensities as well as to (ii) 9 provide a global figure of costs induced by muddy floods in the Belgian loess belt.

10 Data on damage costs induced by muddy floods and on costs due to the installation of 11 pilot measures was also available for the Sint-Truiden catchment (and particularly for the 12 Velm village) from the Disaster Fund and the local water agency. These data were used to 13 assess the economic feasibility of the installation of the control measures.

14

15 **3. Results and discussion**

16 3.1. Extent and frequency of muddy floods in the Belgian loess belt

17 Only three municipalities did not respond to the questionnaire (response rate of 98.5%). 18 Muddy floods are a very widespread phenomenon in central Belgium (Fig. 2; Table 3). 19 Seventy-nine percent of the municipalities (n=201) were confronted with at least one muddy 20 flood over a ten year period. Of these 160 flooded municipalities, 22 % experienced more 21 than 10 floods in 10 years. The muddy flood problem is more acute in Flanders where 90 % 22 (1995-2004) of the municipalities have to deal with the problem as opposed to 67 % (1991-23 2000) in Wallonia. For intense events requiring an intervention of the Belgian Disaster Fund, 24 the situation is also very different in Flanders and Wallonia (Table 3). More than one disaster 25 occurred in c. 75 % of the Flemish municipalities, and in only 50 % of the Walloon

1 municipalities. Given the similar physical, climatic and agricultural context in both entities, 2 such differences must be explained by other factors, e.g. the difference in population density (500 inhabitants km⁻² in Flanders, and 370 inhabitants km⁻² in Wallonia). These differences 3 4 are attributed to a different socio-economic evolution and an earlier urban sprawl in the 5 Flemish countryside (Denis, 1992). Muddy floods are more likely to go unnoticed in less 6 populated areas as long as there is no damage to public or private infrastructure. The higher 7 cost of muddy flood damage in more populated areas will also lead to more frequent 8 recognition of flood events as natural disasters (see criteria in section 2.2). The adoption of 9 specific policies to combat erosion in Flanders can also explain the higher number of muddy 10 floods reported. The Flemish regional government adopted an erosion decree in December, 11 2001 (Verstraeten et al., 2003). These policies lead to an increased consciousness raising and 12 encourage local managers to better identify the problem. Undoubtedly, awareness of local 13 agents about muddy floods has increased during the last years. In a previous survey carried 14 out by Verstraeten and Poesen (1999) in Flanders for the period 1987 –1997, only 43 % of the 15 municipalities reported muddy floods (vs. 90 % in 2005, according to our updated survey).

16 The analysis of the Disaster Fund database indicates that muddy floods affect more 17 municipalities than reported by the respondents of the municipality survey. This is rather 18 surprising as only floods with serious damage are recorded in the Disaster Fund database, 19 whereas no such restriction applies to the survey data. It is possible that the flood events 20 derived from the Disaster Fund database were not all 'muddy' and therefore not reported by 21 the survey respondents.

The number of muddy floods can be expressed per 100 km² to enable a comparison with the literature (e.g. Boardman et al., 2006). According to the analysis of the regional database covering a total area of 870 km², mud deposits on roads are quite widespread (42 flooded sites/100 km²). Muddy floods lead to problems to houses in 50% of the cases,

representing 21 flooded sites/100 km². The sites indicated by local authorities were flooded at least once between 2000 and 2005. The annual figure would then be 8.2 floods per 100 km² yr^{-1} (or 4.2 floods if we only consider those that led to problems to houses). On average, each municipality of the Belgian loess belt (mean area of 43.5 km²) is therefore confronted with 3.6 muddy floods per year. Although probably underestimated by the local authorities, we observed a higher number of floods than reported by Boardman et al., 2006 (1 – 3 muddy floods per 100 km² yr⁻¹ for the loess belt of Flanders).

8 The increase of muddy flood frequency is confirmed by the Sint-Truiden database 9 (Fig. 3). This increase is neither due to a significant increase of rainfall erosivity (Fig. 4), nor 10 to the occurrence of land consolidation in the catchment, which had already been consolidated 11 before 1977. The most important factor explaining this increase of muddy floods is the 12 expansion of row crops, vegetables and orchards at the expense of cereals in the area (Fig. 5). 13 At the regional scale, local press reports regarding muddy floods have increased over the 14 years (Verstraeten and Poesen, 1999), but no systematic records are kept by local authorities. 15 Besides a greater awareness and the expansion of vegetable and row crops, as observed in 16 Sint-Truiden, two additional explanations can be put forward regarding this increase. First, 17 land consolidation schemes were carried out in central Belgium since 1956. The main 18 objectives were to increase productivity by increasing field size and improving field 19 accessibility by constructing new concrete roads. It has been shown that such roads lead to 20 runoff concentration and to an increase of runoff velocity, endangering the downstream 21 villages (Evrard et al., in press). According to the regional database, 45% of the flooded sites 22 are situated downstream of consolidated areas for a region where only 15% of the area has 23 been consolidated (Ministère de la Région Wallonne, Direction Générale de l'Agriculture). 24 Second, urban sprawl is increasing in central Belgium. According to the regional database, we 25 observed that c. 30% of flooded sites concerned new houses, even though only 7.5% of the houses have been built since 1991 (Ministère de la Région Wallonne, Direction Générale des
 Ressources Naturelles et de l'Environnement). This would indicate the particular sensitivity
 of new building sites in rural areas.

4

5 *3.2. Analysis of physical landscape vulnerability*

6 We could assess the connectivity between cultivated land and the affected human 7 infrastructures for the sites in the regional database (Table 4). In 36% of the cases, erosion 8 phenomena (e.g. interrill and rill erosion, ephemeral gullies) were observed. A road network 9 acts as connector in 31% of the cases, while the existing drainage network (e.g. watercourses, 10 ditches or culverts) ensures connectivity in 33% of the cases.

11 A slope versus drainage area diagram has been plotted for (i) the cultivated areas 12 connected to inhabited zones by roads and ditches (Fig 6a) and for (ii) the cultivated areas 13 where erosion phenomena have been observed up to the inhabited zones (Fig 6b). In the first 14 case, muddy floods are always reported, stressing the important role played by roads and 15 ditches in muddy flood triggering. The three types of drainage areas identified in the field are 16 represented in the slope - drainage area diagrams (Fig. 6 a-b). The first type consists of 17 hillslopes (1 - 30 ha) without thalweg where runoff is generally dominated by sheet flow. 18 They are subsequently referred to as 'hillslopes'. A critical slope – area threshold for muddy 19 flood triggering could only be plotted for hillslopes connected to the flooded site by erosion 20 phenomena (Eq. 5):

21 $S_{cr} = 0.0035 \times A^{-0.659}$ (5)

22 About 15 % of the hillslopes where no muddy flood has been reported have similar

23 characteristics to those where floods have been observed (Fig. 6 b). However, on average, the

24 latter are steeper (5.7 % vs. 2.5 %) and larger (13 ha vs. 11 ha; Table 5).

1 The second type of drainage area, referred to as 'small catchments', occurs when a thalweg is 2 clearly recognisable on the 1:10,000 topographical map. These are generally larger (10 - 300)3 ha) than the hillslopes and are characterised by concentrated runoff in the valley bottom. 4 Slope gradient has no influence on the triggering of muddy floods from small catchments 5 (Fig. 6 a-b). However, muddy floods are triggered in such a landscape configuration when 6 they drain an upslope area of at least 20 ha and when they are not connected to the flooded 7 site by a road or a ditch (Fig. 6 b). When such a connection is observed, muddy floods are 8 systematically reported from the downstream inhabited zones (Fig. 6 a). The third type of 9 drainage area consists of medium catchments with multiple thalwegs dominated by 10 concentrated runoff (100 - 300 ha). Connecting roads or ditches have always been observed 11 in medium catchments and muddy floods have systematically been reported in the 12 downstream inhabited zones (Fig. 6 a). Muddy floods from hillslopes and small catchments 13 (92 %) are the most frequent (Table 5). They generally cause damage to limited sections of 14 roads (leaving a 'mud blanket'), isolated houses or hamlets. Runoff generated on more 15 complex terrain such as medium catchments is observed less frequently (8 %) but it 16 systematically leads to larger-scale muddy floods. Hillslopes have gentle to moderate slope 17 gradients (2.5 - 5.7 % on average) and drain to wide outlets, the width of the junction 18 between the cultivated hillslope and the connector (contour length) reaching 170 - 241 m on 19 average (Table 5). Small and medium catchments have a gentler slope (mean value of 1.2 -20 2.3 %) but, since runoff concentrates in the valley bottom, it drains through a narrower section 21 (108 - 152 m on average; Table 5).

22

23 3.3. Analysis of rainfall hazard

According to the Sint-Truiden database, 85% of fire brigade interventions in relation to runoff from cultivated areas occur from May to September (Fig. 7). This is consistent with

1 the findings of Vandaele and Poesen (1995) who reported that muddy floods in central 2 Belgium mainly occur between May and September, after local convective storms. Rainy 3 days with less than 10 mm account for 90% of all rainfall events, but they lead to muddy 4 floods in only 1% of the cases. In contrast, 100% of rainfall events with more than 45 mm 5 lead to floods (Fig. 8). Mean rainfall which produced the floods is lower in May and June 6 $(25\pm12\text{mm})$ than between July and September $(46\pm20\text{mm})$. In central Belgium, potatoes, 7 maize and sugar beets protect the soil surface very poorly (less than 20% cover) in May and 8 June at a time when rainfall erosivity is at its highest. Fields planted with these summer crops 9 are hence particularly sensitive to surface sealing by rainfall. At the beginning of May, after a 10 fine seedbed preparation, soil roughness is low and crusting may rapidly occur. With such a surface crust, infiltration capacity is very low (less than 5 mm.h⁻¹; e.g. Le Bissonnais, 1996). 11 12 In summer (July to September), the soil crust is disturbed by earthworm activity and 13 desiccation (e.g. Schröder and Auerswald, 2000). Soils are also well protected by the 14 vegetation. This can explain the higher rainfall required to trigger a muddy flood.

15

16 *3.4. Probability of muddy flood generation*

17 Two separate regression analyses have been performed on the regional database : (a) for 18 hillslopes and (b) for small and medium catchments (Table 6). We focused on topographic 19 and rainfall conditions to explain muddy flood triggering, since the influence of individual 20 crops is averaged out by crop rotation and soils are quite homogenous in the loess belt. A 21 stepwise selection of the criteria (with a 0.05 significance level to enter the model) was 22 chosen. For a muddy flood to be generated on a hillslope, the most important explanatory 23 variables are the upslope area, the slope gradient as well as a combination of slope and 24 rainfall. In contrast, the only significant explanatory variable for small and medium 25 catchments is the rainfall amount. Small catchments hence generate muddy floods as soon as

1 a rainfall threshold is reached if no control measure is taken. Upon 25 mm of daily rainfall, 2 the probability to observe a muddy flood at the outlet of small and medium catchments is 7%, whereas it reaches 99% after 43 mm precipitation. The spatial distribution of the different 3 4 types of crops within the catchment would hence be more important to trigger muddy floods 5 than the catchment topographic characteristics. The larger the catchment area, the higher the 6 probability to observe crops sensitive to runoff generation (e.g. row crops) in the catchment. 7 Both regressions have a quite good explanatory power (ρ^2 -values of 0.39 and 0.45). In 8 empirical studies, goodness-of-fit values between 0.2 - 0.4 generally represent a very good fit 9 of the logistic model (Wrigley, 1985). Similar models developed by Boardman et al. (2003) 10 with greatest predictive power contained the variables of mean relief, catchment area and 11 absolute runoff contributing area on slopes in excess of 10% gradient. The inclusion of 12 additional topographic variables in the Boardman et al. (2003) study can be explained by a 13 more complex geomorphology compared to central Belgium. The South Downs (UK) are a 14 range of low rolling hills rising to more than 200 m and are dissected by deep dry valleys 15 (Boardman et al., 2003).

16

17 *3.5. Cost of muddy floods*

18 After a flood, the fire brigade and municipal workers clean up public infrastructure 19 and private property (Table 7). Fire brigade interventions cost between 2,250 € and 25,000 € 20 per event, while cleaning operations lead to an estimated cost that ranges between 500 € for a 21 single road segment and 11,000 € for a whole village. Several additional repairs to 22 infrastructure may be required, such as unclogging of sewers, local replacement of tarmac or 23 pavements. These works are very costly, ranging between 14,000 € and 300,000 € per event 24 and per municipality. In total, damage to public infrastructure and cleaning induce a global 25 cost of $12.5 - 122 \times 10^6 \notin \text{yr}^{-1}$ for the entire Belgian loess belt. It must be underlined that the highest costs are only reached after widespread extreme thunderstorms (e.g. August 26-28,
 2002, with rainfall depths of more than 100 mm in 24 hours in some areas).

Damage to houses is also very important, affecting gardens, garages or even the ground floor of the houses. According to the analysis of the Disaster Fund database, mean damage costs reach 4,436 $\in \pm$ 3,406 per house. The number of flooded sites with affected houses obtained from the analysis of the regional database (4.2 floods per 100 km² yr⁻¹) can be extrapolated to the entire Belgian loess belt (8867 km²). Assuming 1 and 10 affected houses per flooded site, damage to private property varies between 1.6×10^6 and $16.5 \times 10^6 \in$ yr⁻¹, respectively.

These estimates do not take all the off-site impacts of muddy floods into account, such as the dredging of rivers. For instance, the Belgian Hainaut Province spends c. 18,000 € to dredge one kilometre of a 2-m wide watercourse (total length c. 10 km). On average, such works are carried out every four years (Mr. Personne, Hainaut Province, personal communication). Besides the cost induced by the technical operation, biodiversity is subjected to several disturbances because of dredging. Still riparian habitats contribute to maintenance of biodiversity in agro-ecosystems (e.g. Deschênes et al., 2003; Jobin et al., 2004).

The costs associated to muddy floods generated by rainfall events of different magnitude was assessed using the Disaster Fund database (Fig. 9). Although very intense storms are not frequent, they lead to huge costs. More frequent events lead to much lower costs, but they induce psychological damage due to repeated flooding of certain houses (Boardman et al., 2006).

22

23 3.6 Control strategies to curtail muddy floods

The best long-term solution would be the adoption of alternative farming practices that reduce soil loss, such as reduced tillage or no-tillage (e.g., Holland, 2004). Gillijns et al. 1 (2004) have shown that conservation farming practices significantly reduce soil erosion and 2 runoff in the Belgian loess belt. Although such a conversion has been encouraged among 3 farmers for several years in Flanders, very few have adopted these practices. For instance, for 4 the entire Flemish Region (where agricultural land covers a total area of 633,769 ha), no-till 5 has been subsidised on 985 ha and direct drilling on only 58 ha (Flemish Ministry of 6 Environment, 2006). However, mitigation measures can relatively easily be installed along 7 field borders or in small and medium catchments (Fig. 10).

8 Grass buffer strips reduce runoff and erosion by sediment filtration and runoff 9 infiltration. This infiltration leads to a decrease of runoff volume. Combined with the 10 reduction of runoff velocity, it leads to a decrease of runoff transport capacity and hence to an 11 increase of sedimentation (e.g., Mersie et al., 2003; Le Bissonnais et al., 2004; Vianello et al., 12 2005). A grass buffer strip can be installed at the downslope end of fields in order to slow 13 runoff down and hence allow re-infiltration and sediment deposition. In Belgium, grass buffer 14 strips along field borders are subsidised. Hillslopes with topographic conditions exceeding 15 threshold conditions mentioned in the section 3.2 should be equipped as a priority. The 16 sowing and maintenance of grass buffer strips is currently subsidised in Flanders (0.13 - 0.16)€.m⁻².yr⁻¹) and Wallonia (0.15 €.m⁻².yr⁻¹). The grass strip width recommended by the regional 17 18 authorities varies between 3 and 30 m, with a mean of 12 m. For a 12 m-wide grass strip 19 installed at the downslope end of a field running 100 m along the contour line (area of 1200 m²), the cost will be relatively low (180 \in yr⁻¹ in Wallonia or 156 – 192 \in yr⁻¹ in Flanders) in 20 comparison with the damage due to the flooding of a house (4,436 \in on average) or the 21 22 cleaning of a road (at least 500 €). Since consolidation roads collect runoff and facilitate its 23 transfer to villages located downstream (see section 3.2), ditches can help to drain water from 24 the road and redirect runoff to nearby grassed waterways or grassed buffer strips. Runoff from roads should be redirected to a grass buffer strip by grids across the roads or by lowering its
shoulders (Fig. 10).

3 Greater attention should be paid to control floods from small and medium catchments, 4 since they are characterised by concentrated runoff. It is suggested to install a grassed 5 waterway in the thalweg in order to slow down runoff and prevent gullying, as shown by 6 previous studies (e.g. Fiener and Auerswald, 2003; Evrard et al., in press). To further buffer 7 runoff, small-scale earthen dams can be installed across the waterway (Fiener et al., 2005; 8 Evrard et al., in press). Straw-bale dams can also be set up, in order to filter runoff and reduce 9 runoff velocity. In a pilot thalweg draining to Velm village located in the Sint-Truiden 10 catchment, a 12 ha grassed waterway as well as three earthen retention dams have been 11 installed in 2002-2003 for a 20-year period. Total cost of the works amount to 351,528 € 12 (17,567 €.yr⁻¹), and subsidies given to farmers for the maintenance of the grassed waterway 13 equal 16,000 € per year. Available data about damage induced by muddy floods in the village 14 suggest that it would cost c. 1,700,000 € if the village was affected by 4 floods in the next 15 twenty years (85,000 €.yr⁻¹). On a yearly basis, the installation of the mitigation measures is 16 hence worthwhile (33,500 vs. $85,000 \in yr^{-1}$). Overall, the financial feasibility of the 17 installation of these control measures has been proven for the Belgian loess belt.

18

19 4. Conclusions

20

Muddy floods are a widespread phenomenon in central Belgium, affecting 79% of the municipalities at least once in 10 years. One fifth of the municipalities were confronted with more than five floods during the last decade. Floods are mainly generated on hillslopes (1 – 30 ha) or in small (10 – 300 ha) and medium (100 – 300 ha) catchments. Upslope area, slope gradient and rainfall are relevant factors for triggering a muddy flood on a hillslope. In

1 contrast, all small and medium catchments generate floods whenever heavy rainfall occurs if 2 no mitigation measure is taken. The probability of flooding generated in small and medium 3 catchments reaches 99% for 43 mm daily rainfall (5 year-return period). Roads and ditches 4 facilitate runoff transfer between cultivated and inhabited areas in 64% of the observed cases. 5 On average, each municipality is affected by 3.6 muddy floods each year. A detailed dataset 6 for a 200 km²-catchment suggests that the phenomenon becomes more frequent in central 7 Belgium, mainly as a result of increasing acreage of row crops and orchards at the expense of cereals. The high costs induced by muddy floods $(16 - 172 \times 10^6 \text{ f yr}^{-1} \text{ for the entire Belgian})$ 8 9 loess belt) strengthen the necessity to take immediate measures to alleviate the phenomenon. 10 At the downslope end of small hillslopes, grassed buffer strips can help mitigate floods at a 11 low cost compared to potential damage to property, while in small and medium catchments, it 12 is recommended to install a grassed waterway as well as earthen dams. Pilot measures have 13 been installed in the 200 km²-catchment of Sint-Truiden. Their efficiency is being quantified 14 in a long-term study in order to optimise their design for future application.

15

16 Acknowledgments

17

The remarks of two anonymous referees greatly improved the manuscript. We are also grateful to the following administrations for providing data: Belgian Ministry of Home Affairs, Disaster Fund; Royal Meteorological Institute of Belgium; Ministerie van de Vlaamse Gemeenschap, AMINAL, Afdeling Land; Ministère Wallon de l'Equipement et des Transports; Ministère de la Région Wallonne, Direction Générale de l'Agriculture – Direction Générale des Ressources Naturelles et de l'Environnement; the staff in all visited municipalities.

25 **References**

1	Auerswald, K., 1991. Onsite und Offsite-Schäden durch Bodenerosion. (In German). Berichte
2	über Landwirtschaft 205, 75-82.

- Auzet, A.V., 1987. L'érosion des sols par l'eau dans les régions de grande culture: aspects
 agronomiques. (In French). Strasbourg (CEREG-URA 95 CNRS), Ministères de
 l'Environnement et de l'Agriculture.
- Auzet, A.V., Boiffin, J., Ludwig, B., 1995. Concentrated flow erosion in cultivated
 catchments: influence of soil surface state. Earth Surface Processes Landforms 20, 759767.
- 9 Bielders, C.L., Ramelot, C., Persoons, E., 2003. Farmer perception of runoff and erosion and
- 10 extent of flooding in the silt-loam belt of the Belgian Walloon Region. Environmental
- 11 Science and Policy 6, 85 93.
- Blaikie, P., Cannon, T., Davis, I., Wisner, B., 1994. At Risk; Natural Hazards, Peoples's
 Vulnerability and Disasters. Routledge, London.
- 14 Boardman, J., 1995. Damage to property by run off from agricultural land, South Downs,

15 southern England, 1976-1993. Geography Journal 161 (2), 177-191.

- 16 Boardman, J., Ligneau, L., de Roo, A., Vandaele, K., 1994. Flooding of property by runoff
- 17 from agricultural land in northwestern Europe. Geomorphology 10, 183-196.
- 18 Boardman, J., Evans, R., Ford, J., 2003. Muddy floods on the South Downs, southern
- 19 England: problem and responses. Environmental Science and Policy 6, 69-83.
- 20 Boardman, J., Verstraeten, G., Bielders, C., 2006. Muddy floods. In : Boardman, J., Poesen, J.
- 21 Soil Erosion in Europe. Wiley, Chichester, pp. 743-755.
- 22 Bollinne, A., Laurant, A., Boon, W., 1979. L'érosivité des précipitations à Florennes.
- 23 Révision de la carte des isohyètes et de la carte d'érosivité de la Belgique. (In French).
- 24 Bulletin de la Société Géographique de Liège 15, 77-99.

1	Cerdan, O., Couturier, A., Le Bissonnais, Y., Lecomte, V., Souchère, V., 2001. Incorporating
2	soil surface crusting processes in an expert-based runoff model: Sealing and Transfer by
3	Runoff and Erosion related to Agricultural Management. Catena 46, 189-205.
4	Delbeke, L., 2001. Extreme neerslag in Vlaanderen. (In Dutch). Technical report. AMINAL,
5	Afdeling Water. Ministerie van de Vlaamse Gemeenschap.
6	Denis, J., 1992. Géographie de la Belgique. (In French). Crédit Communal de Belgique,
7	Brussels, 622 p.
8	De Roo A.P.J., Wesseling, C.G., Ritsema, C.J., 1996. LISEM : a single-event physically
9	based hydrological and soil erosion model for drainage basins. I. Theory, input and output.
10	Hydrological Processes 10, 1107-1117.
11	Deschênes, M., Bélanger, L., Giroux, J.F., 2003. Use of farmland riparian strips by declining
12	and crop damaging birds. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 95, 567-577.
13	Evrard, O., Persoons, E., Vandaele, K., van Wesemael, B., in press. Effectiveness of erosion
14	mitigation measures to prevent muddy floods: A case study in the Belgian loam belt.
15	Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment.
16	FPS (Federal Public Service) Economy, Directorate-General Statistics Belgium, 2006.
17	http://www.statbel.fgov.be/home_en.asp
18	Fiener, P., Auerswald, K., 2003. Effectiveness of grassed waterways in reducing runoff and
19	sediment delivery from agricultural watersheds. Journal of Environmental Quality 32, 927-
20	936.
21	Fiener, P., Auerswald, K., Weigand, S., 2005. Managing erosion and water quality in
22	agricultural watersheds by small detention ponds. Agriculture, Ecosystems and
23	Environment 110 (3-4), 132-142.
24	Gillijns, K., Govers, G., Poesen, J., Van Hecke, E., Verbist, K., Gabriels, D., 2004. Reductie
25	van sedimentaanvoer naar waterlopen vanuit landelijke gebieden: begroting en evaluatie

1	van controlemaatregelen. Minimale grondbewerking en grasbufferstroken. Final report (In
2	Dutch). K.U. Leuven, Laboratory for Experimental Geomorphology.
3	Gyssels, G., Poesen, J., Nachtergaele, J., Govers, G., 2002. The impact of sowing density of
4	small grains on rill and ephemeral gully erosion in concentrated flow zones. Soil and
5	Tillage Research 64 (3-4), 189-201.
6	Holland, J.M., 2004. The environmental consequences of adopting conservation tillage in
7	Europe: reviewing the evidence. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 103(1), 1-25.
8	Hufty, A., 2001. Introduction à la climatologie. (In French). Ed. De Boeck Université,
9	Brussels, 542 p.
10	Joannon, A., Papy, F., Martin, P., Souchère, V., 2005. Planning work constraints within farms
11	to reduce runoff at catchment level. Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment 111, 13-20.
12	Jobin, B., Bélanger, L., Boutin, C., Maisonneuve, C., 2004. Conservation value of agricultural
13	riparian strips in the Boyer River watershed, Québec (Canada). Agriculture, Ecosystems
14	and Environment 103, 413-423.
15	King, C., Lecomte, V., Le Bissonnais, Y., Baghdadi, N., Souchère, V., Cerdan, O., 2005.
16	Remote-sensing data as an alternative input for the 'STREAM' runoff model. Catena 62,
17	125-135.
18	Larue, J.P., 2001. La morphogenèse accélérée menace-t-elle les régions rurales de l'ouest du
19	bassin parisien ? (In French). Géographie physique et Quaternaire 55(3), 243-255.
20	Laurant, A., Bollinne, A., 1976. L'érosivité des pluies à Uccle (Belgique). (In French).
21	Bulletin des Recherches Agronomiques de Gembloux 11 (1-2), 149-168.
22	Le Bissonnais, Y., 1996. Aggregate stability and assessment of soil crustability and
23	erodibility : I. Theory and methodology. European Journal of Soil Science 47, 425-437.
24	Le Bissonnais, Y., Lecomte, V., Cerdan, O., 2004. Grass strip effects on runoff and soil loss.
25	Agronomie 24, 129-136.

1	Le Bissonnais, Y., Cerdan, O., Lecomte, V., Benkhadra, H., Souchère, V., Martin, P., 2005.			
2	Variability of soil surface characteristics influencing runoff and interrill erosion. Catena 62,			
3	111-124.			
4	Martin, P., 1999. Reducing flood risk from sediment-laden agricultural runoff using intercrop			
5	management techniques in Northern France. Soil and Tillage Research 52(3-4), 233-245.			
6	Mersie, W., Seybold, C., Mc Namee, C., Lawson, M.A., 2003. Abating endosulfan from			
7	runoff using vegetative filter strips: the importance of plant species and flow rate.			
8	Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 97, 215-223.			
9	Ministère de l'Agriculture, 1958. L'agriculture belge. Structure et situation. (In French).			
10	Technical report, Brussels.			
11	Mitchell, T., Carter, T., Jones, P., Hulme, M., New, M., 2004. A comprehensive set of high-			
12	resolution grids of monthly climate for Europe and the globe: the observed record (1901-			
13	2000) and 16 scenarios (2001-2100). Tyndall Centre Working Paper No. 55. Tyndall			
14	Centre for Climate Change Research, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK.			
15	Papy, F., Douyer, C., 1991. Influence des états de surface du territoire agricole sur le			
16	déclenchement des inondations catastrophiques. (In French). Agronomie 11, 201-215.			
17	Patton, P.C., Schumm, S.A., 1975. Gully erosion, Northwestern Colorado: a threshold			
18	phenomenon. Geology 3, 88-90.			
19	Peduzzi, P., Concato, J., Kemper, E., Holford, T.R., Feinstein, A.R., 1996. A simulation study			
20	of the number of events per variable in logistic regression analysis. Journal of Clinical			
21	Epidemiology 49(12), 1373-1379.			
22	Poesen, J., Nachtergaele, J., Verstraeten, G., Valentin, C., 2003. Gully erosion and			
23	environmental change: importance and research needs. Catena 50, 91-133.			

1	Renard, K.G., Foster, G.R., Weesies, G.A., Mc Cool, D.K., Yoder, D.C., 1997. Predicting soil				
2	erosion by water: a guide to conservation planning with the revised universal soil loss				
3	equation (RUSLE). Agriculture Handbook, 703, USDA, Washington DC.				
4	Schouten, C.J., Rang, M.C., Huigen, P.M.J., 1985. Erosie en wateroverlast in Zuid-Limburg.				
5	(In Dutch). Landschap 2, 118-132.				
6	Souchère, V., King, C., Dubreuil, N., Lecomte-Morel, V., Le Bissonnais, Y., Chalat, M.,				
7	2003. Grassland and crop trends: role of the European Union Common Agricultural Policy				
8	and consequences for runoff and soil erosion. Environmental Science and Policy 6, 7-16.				
9	Schröder, R., Auerswald, K., 2000. Modellierung des Jahresgangs der				
10	verschlämmungsinduzierten Abflussbildung in kleinen, landwirtschaftlich genutzten				
11	Einzugsgebieten. (In German). Zeitschrift für Kulturtechnik und Landentwicklung 41, 167-				
12	172.				
13	Stammers, R., Boardman, J., 1984. Soil erosion and flooding on downland areas. Surveyor				
14	164, 8-11.				
15	Takken, I., Govers, G., Jetten, V., Nachtergaele, J., Steegen, A., Poesen, J., 2001. Effects of				
16	tillage on runoff and erosion patterns. Soil and Tillage Research 61 (1-2), 55-60.				
17	Vandaele, K., Poesen, J., 1995. Spatial and temporal patterns of soil erosion rates in an				
18	agricultural catchment, central Belgium. Catena 25(1-4), 213-226.				
19	Vandaele, K., Poesen, J., Govers, G., van Wesemael, B., 1996. Geomorphic threshold				
20	conditions for ephemeral gully incision. Geomorphology 16, 161-173.				
21	Van Dijk, P.M., Auzet, A.V., Lemmel, M., 2005. Rapid assessment of field erosion and				
22	sediment transport pathways in cultivated catchments after heavy rainfall events. Earth				
23	Surface Processes Landforms 30, 169-182.				

1	Vanwalleghem, T., Bork, H.R., Poesen, J., Schmidtchen, G., Dotterweich, M., Nachtergaele,
2	J., Bork, H., Deckers, J., Brüsch, B., Bungeneers, J., De Bie, M., 2005. Rapid development
3	and infilling of a buried gully under cropland, central Belgium. Catena 63 (2-3), 221-243.
4	Varnes, D.J., 1984. Landslide hazard zonation : a review of principles and practice.
5	Commission on landslides of the IAEG UNESCO. Natural Hazards 3, 61.
6	Verstraeten, G., Poesen, J, 1999. The nature of small-scale flooding, muddy floods and
7	retention pond sedimentation in central Belgium. Geomorphology 29, 275-292.
8	Verstraeten, G., Van Oost, K., Van Rompaey, A., Poesen, J., Govers, G., 2001. Integraal land-
9	en waterbeheer in landelijke gebieden met het oog op het beperken van bodemverlies en
10	modderoverlast (proefproject gemeente Gingelom). Final report (In Dutch). K.U. Leuven,
11	Laboratory for Experimental Geomorphology.
12	Verstraeten, G., Poesen, J., Govers, G., Gillijns, K., Van Rompaey, A., Van Oost, K., 2003.
13	Integrating science, policy and farmers to reduce soil loss and sediment delivery in
14	Flanders, Belgium. Environmental Science and Policy 6, 95-103.
15	Verstraeten, G., Poesen, J., Goossens, D., Gillijns, K., Bielders, C., Gabriels, D., Ruysschaert,
16	G., Van Den Eeckhaut, M., Vanwalleghem, T., Govers, G., 2006. Belgium. In : Boardman,
17	J., Poesen, J. Soil Erosion in Europe. Wiley, Chichester, pp. 385-411.
18	Verstraeten, G., 2006. Regional scale modelling of hillslope sediment delivery with SRTM
19	elevation data. Geomorphology 81, 128-140.
20	Vianello, M., Vischetti, C., Scarponi, L., Zanin, G., 2005. Herbicide losses in runoff events
21	from a field with a low slope: Role of a vegetative filter strip. Chemosphere 61, 717-725.
22	Wrigley, N., 1985. Categorical Data Analysis for Geographers and Environmental Scientists.
23	Longman, London, 392 p.

1	Figure captions			
23	Fig. 1. Location of the loess belt, the 20 selected municipalities (regional database), the Sint-			
4	Truiden pilot catchment (Sint-Truiden database) and the Uccle RMI station (rainfall reference			
5	station) in Belgium.			
6 7	Fig. 2. Frequency of muddy floods over a 10-year period in all municipalities of the study			
8	area; data for Wallonia (1991-2000) taken from Bielders et al. (2003), data for Flanders			
9	(1995-2004) derived from a questionnaire sent to all municipalities in 2005.			
10				
11	Fig. 3. Evolution of fire brigade interventions in relation to muddy floods in Sint-Truiden			
12	district, central Belgium; period 1977 – 2001.			
13				
14	Fig. 4. Annual rainfall erosivity in Gorsem (Sint-Truiden) for the period 1977 – 2001 (RMI,			
15	2006). Dotted line represents mean annual rainfall erosivity for the period 1977-2001 (667			
16	$MJ.mm.ha^{-1}.yr^{-1}h^{-1}$).			
17				
18	Fig. 5. Evolution of the relative agricultural land cover in the Sint-Truiden catchment between			
19	1973 and 2000 (Data for Gingelom; SPF Economy, 2006).			
20				
21	Fig. 6. Slope gradient versus drainage area for 100 areas draining to flooded locations in			
22	central Belgium and 50 non flooded sites. (a) Runoff connectivity achieved by road or			
23	drainage network; (b) runoff connectivity achieved by erosion phenomena. Solid line			
24	represents critical threshold conditions for muddy flood triggering on hillslopes (Eq. 5).			
25				
26	Fig. 7. Monthly distribution of fire brigade interventions in relation to muddy flood events			
27	from the Sint-Truiden database between 1977 and 2001.			

2	Fig. 8. Frequency distribution of daily rainfall and percentage of rainfall events leading to
3	muddy floods for different classes of daily rainfall from the Sint-Truiden database. Rainfall
4	data from the Gorsem station of the RMI; muddy flood data according to fire brigade
5	interventions. Rainfall return period (T) in years for 24 hours-rain (after Delbeke, 2001).
6	
7	Fig. 9. Frequency of daily rainfall and total damage paid by the Disaster Fund for muddy
8	floods in 20 municipalities of central Belgium between 1993 and 2002; rainfall data from the
9	Royal Meteorological Institute of Belgium; damage data from the Belgian Ministry of Home
10	Affairs, Disaster Fund.
11	
12	Fig. 10. Conceptual model of muddy flood triggering mechanisms and possible control
13	measures in central Belgium. Two situations are compared regarding costs : cleaning and
14	damage costs induced by a muddy flood (after data collected in the visited municipalities of
15	the Belgian loess belt) vs. cost to install control measures (after data obtained for the
16	catchment of Sint-Truiden). We assume that a muddy flood occurs on a given site every 5
17	years.

Table 1. Main literature contributions about 'muddy floods' in the European loess belt.

Study area	Main contribution	Reference
Northwestern Europe	First European overview of the phenomenon	Boardman et al., 1994
	Updated European overview	Boardman et al., 2006
Northern France	General description of the phenomenon	Auzet, 1987
	Influence of soil surface state on runoff	Papy and Douyer, 1991; Auzet et al., 1995
	Effect of land use change on runoff	Souchère et al., 2003
	Use of farming techniques to reduce runoff	Martin, 1999
	Farmer's leeway to reduce runoff	Joannon et al., 2005
	Design of runoff models	Cerdan et al., 2001; King et al., 2005
South Downs, UK	First specific study on the problem	Stammers and Boardman, 1984
	Property damage	Boardman, 1995
	Risk assessment	Boardman et al., 2003
	Types of responses to the phenomenon	Boardman et al., 2003
Central Belgium	General causes; retention ponds as a symptom	Verstraeten and Poesen, 1999
C	Farmer perception of erosion; extent of flooding	Bielders et al., 2003
	Ephemeral gully development	Poesen et al., 2003; Vanwalleghem et al., 2005
	Effect of farming practices on runoff	Takken et al., 2001; Gyssels et al., 2002
South Limbourg,	Description of the phenomenon	Schouten et al., 1985
The Netherlands	Design of LISEM model	De Roo et al., 1996
Germany	On-site and off-site damage due to erosion	Auerswald, 1991
	Efficiency of grassed waterways to reduce runoff	Fiener and Auerswald, 2003

Specific information	Study area	Reference	
General conditions			
Rainfall intensity > 10 mm.h ⁻¹	Pays de Caux, France	Boardman et al., 1994	
35 mm.h^{-1} for 15 min.	Belgian catchment (50 ha)	Boardman et al., 1994	
30 mm in two days for rilling	South Downs, UK	Boardman et al., 2003	
Extreme events			
60 mm in 2 h (May 8, 1988)	Dué catchment, France	Larue, 2001	
45 mm in 30 min. (May 8, 1990)	Dué catchment, France	Larue, 2001	
60 mm in 1 h (June 8, 1996)	Heks, Flanders, Belgium	Verstraeten et al., 2001	
70 mm in 1 h (May 30, 1999)	Hoegaarden, Flanders, Belgium	Boardman et al., 2006	
70-75mm in 1 h (May 8, 2000)	Velm, Flanders, Belgium	Verstraeten et al., 2001	
32 mm in 20 min. (May 24, 2001)	Landser, Alsace, France	Van Dijk et al., 2005	

_

Table 2. Rainfall conditions leading to muddy floods in the literature

Table 3. Frequency of muddy floods in the municipalities of central Belgium over a 10-year period as derived from 1) a municipal questionnaire in Wallonia (1991-2000; adapted from Bielders et al., 2003) and Flanders (1995-2004; updated questionnaire, this study); and 2) Disaster Fund database (1995-2004; Belgium Belgian Ministry of Home Affairs).

Number of floods in ten years	% of municipalities Central Belgium	Wallonia	Flanders
Municipal questionnaire	n=202	n=103	n=99
No muddy flood	21.6	32.4	10.1
1 to 5 muddy floods	39.7	34.3	45.4
6 to 10 muddy floods	21.6	20.0	23.2
More than 10 muddy floods	17.1	13.3	21.2
Disaster Fund database	n=205	n=103	n=102
No disaster	9.7	14.3	4.9
1 disaster	27.5	34.3	20.6
2 to 4 disasters	45.9	40.0	52.1
5 disasters and more	16.9	11.4	22.5

Connector	Number of o	observations
Erosion phen	omena	36
	Interrill and rill erosion	28
	Ephemeral gully	6
	Bank gully	2
Drainage network		33
-	Watercourse	16
	Ditch	13
	Culvert	4
Road network		31
	Road	24
	Sunken lane	7

Table 4. Runoff connectivity between cultivated areas and inhabited areas in central Belgium. Dataset: 100 flooded locations visited in the field or well-documented in the Flemish erosion mitigation schemes.

Table 5 . Mean topographic characteristics of areas producing muddy floods (MF); in total
100 sites where muddy floods occurred (1995-2004) are taken into account, as well as 50 sites
where no muddy flood has been reported. Standard deviation (SD) is indicated for each
characteristic.

Type of drainage area	Frequency	Area (SD)	Slope (SD)	Contour length (SD)	
		(ha)	(%)	(m)	
Connection achieved b	y erosion phen	omena			
Hillslopes					
With MF	36	13 (13)	5.7 (4)	192 (113)	
Without MF	41	11 (8)	2.5 (0.9)	241 (146)	
Small catchments					
With MF	12	45 (80)	2.3 (0.8)	108 (25)	
Without MF	9	73 (81)	1.2 (0.6)	124 (17)	
Connection achieved b	y roads and dit	tches			
Hillslopes					
With MF	22	21 (25)	3.9 (1.9)	170 (106)	
Small catchments					
With MF	23	71 (62)	2.3 (0.5)	106 (19)	
Medium catchments					
With MF	8	187 (75)	2.2 (0.4)	152 (51)	

Table 6. Linear logistic regressions relating rainfall and topographic factors to the probability of occurrence of muddy floods: (a) on hillslopes, $\rho^2 = 0.39$; (b) in small and medium catchments, $\rho^2 = 0.45$. Only statistically significant (p<0.05) parameters have been retained. In model (a), rainfall is included in the model despite a p=0.0922 given it is also contained in a significant interaction variable (Rainfall*Slope; p=0.0011).

Variable	Parameter estimate	Standard error	Wald χ^2	$p > \chi^2$	
(a) Hillslopes	3				
Intercept	2.1929	3.1903	0.4725	0.4918	
Rainfall	-0.1508	0.0896	2.8356	0.0922	
Upslope area	0.1543	0.0411	14.0961	0.0002	
Slope	-3.9381	1.3805	8.1383	0.0043	
Rainfall * Slo	ope 0.1256	0.0385	10.6558	0.0011	
(b) Small and	l medium catchments				
Intercept	-12.6655	4.2481	8.8889	0.0029	
Rainfall	0.4014	0.1315	9.3164	0.0023	

Table 7. Data on damage costs induced by muddy floods collected in the visited municipalities. Data available from the 'public work' services of the municipalities, except for Herzele and Riemst (data available from the municipal erosion mitigation schemes).

<u>Municipality</u>	Date of event	Type of intervention	Cost (€)
Beauvechain	August 2002	Cleaning of roads	10,125
	September 2005	Cleaning of roads	16,350
Chaumont-Gistoux	May 5, 2006-07-28	Cleaning of roads and cellars	80,000
Ellezelles	2-3 times/year	Cleaning of roads	500 - 1,000
Fernelmont	August 27, 2002	Damage to bridges and roads	143,000
Flobecq	1999	Cleaning of streets, help to population	11,000
-	June 4-5, 2002	Cleaning of streets	4,720
Frasnes-lez-Anvaing	September 8-10, 2005	Cleaning of roads	1,512
		Repair to roads	14,000
Herzele	1999	Cleaning and repairs	327,640
		Fire brigade interventions	9,000
	2001	Cleaning and repairs	83,475
		Fire brigade interventions	2,250
	2002	Cleaning and repairs	83,275
		Fire brigade interventions	2,250
Riemst	July 1999	Repairs to public infrastructure	150,000
		Repairs to public buildings	70,000
		Mud storage	32,000
		Staff and material costs	48,000
Walhain	August 2002	Repair to infrastructures	164,620



Figure 1







Figure 3



Figure 4



Figure 5









Figure 6



Figure 7



Figure 8



Figure 9

