



HAL
open science

Maintaining parallel flows in microsystem during solvent extraction of concentrated Uranium(VI) solutions a solution for the screening of extractive molecules

J-P. Jasmin

► **To cite this version:**

J-P. Jasmin. Maintaining parallel flows in microsystem during solvent extraction of concentrated Uranium(VI) solutions a solution for the screening of extractive molecules. BIT's 5th Annual Conference of AnalytiX 2017, Mar 2017, Fukuoka, Japan. cea-02438340

HAL Id: cea-02438340

<https://cea.hal.science/cea-02438340>

Submitted on 14 Jan 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE




Jean-Philippe JASMIN

Den—Service d'Etudes
Analytiques et de Réactivité des
Surfaces (SEARS), CEA

jean-philippe.jasmin@cea.fr

www.cea.fr

The background of the right side of the slide is a photograph of a microsystem chip. The chip is a square piece of silicon with a complex pattern of microchannels and chambers. The color of the chip is a mix of blue, green, and yellow, likely due to the lighting or the presence of different materials. The text is overlaid on this image.


**MAINTAINING PARALLEL FLOWS IN
MICROSYSTEM DURING SOLVENT EXTRACTION
OF CONCENTRATED URANIUM(VI) SOLUTIONS:
A SOLUTION FOR THE SCREENING OF
EXTRACTIVE MOLECULES**

PUREX: Plutonium and Uranium Recovery Extraction

Nuclear plant purpose


- ❑ Recycling part of the spent nuclear fuel
- ❑ Uranium and plutonium
- ❑ Based on liquid-liquid extraction ion-exchange

Nuclear fuel cycle



NEW EXTRACTIVE MOLECULES REQUIREMENT


TBP: Historically used



Assets and drawbacks:

- ✓ Highly effective
- ❖ Phosphorous atom
- ❖ Degradation products hardly manageable
- ❖ Redox U/Pu separation


Monoamide: next generation of extractive molecules



Assets and drawbacks:

- ✓ CHON principle respected
- ✓ Incinerable
- ✓ Easy U/Pu separation

Current screening procedure :



Screening procedure of the monoamide is fastidious and costly due to:

- synthesis,
- purification,
- use of radiochemicals


Volume reduction

Aim of the study

Conception of a **unique** screening tool for the **monoamides** featuring:

- Few manipulations
- Low amount of reagents (radionuclides and extractant)
- Limited generated wastes


Microfluidics for the screening



Classic hydrodynamic principles conserved [1]

[1] Whitesides, *Nature*, 2006, 442, 368-373

EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP




- Pyrex glass microchips (IMT, Japan)

- ✓ Resistance toward corrosive products (concentrated acid, solvents, radionuclides)

- Channel geometry

- ✓ High interfacial area $A' = A/V = 10^4 \text{ m}^{-1}$
 - Height $H = 100 \mu\text{m}$
 - Width $W = 40 \mu\text{m}$
 - Length $L = 12 \text{ cm}$



Experimental conditions

- **Parallel flows**
 - **Centered interface**
 - **Suitable contact time** for efficient extraction
- } Phase separation


$$t_{\text{aq}} = \frac{h W L}{Q_{\text{aq}}}$$

Simple case: U-TBP reference chemical system


$$\left[\begin{array}{l} 30 \% \text{ TBP in } n\text{-dodecane (v/v) / } \mu_{\text{org}} = 1,97 \pm 0,01 \text{ mPa.s} \\ [\text{U(VI)}] = 10^{-4} \text{ M in } [\text{HNO}_3] = 3 \text{ M / } \mu_{\text{aq}} = 1,22 \pm 0,01 \text{ mPa.s} \end{array} \right]$$

- Only one extractant
- Low concentration of uranium
- Viscosities unchanged

Extraction equilibrium



Flow patterns of the biphasic system




Non-centered interface




Centered interface



Instable flows



Good separation




$$\frac{Q_{\text{org}}}{Q_{\text{aq}}} \approx \frac{\mu_{\text{aq}}}{\mu_{\text{org}}}$$

SPECIFICITY OF HYDRODYNAMICS CONTROL FOR THE SCREENING OF EXTRACTANTS

More difficult, if:

- ❑ Several extractants with different viscosities
- ❑ the viscosities evolve along the stream because high **concentrations**



Limitations:

- Challenging conception
- Impact on the interfacial area
- Specific geometry for one chemical system

$$\frac{Q_{org}}{Q_{aq}} \approx \left(\frac{\mu_{aq}}{\mu_{org}} \right)_{outlet} \times \left(\frac{L_{aq}}{L_{org}} \right)_{outlet}$$



Screening tool specifications

Main requirement for the screening tool:

- Same device for a wide range of molecules
- Screening with high U and Pu concentrations (≥ 10 g/L)

Conception strategy for the screening tool:

- Two monoamides known for their respectively low and high viscosities were used

Molecule	MOEHA	DEHDMBA
Formula		
Viscosity at 1.4 M in THP (mPa.s)	4.02 ± 0.01	8.04 ± 0.01


Main challenges

- Each molecule owns a specific viscosity
- The viscosities evolve between inlets and the outlets

Parameters

- U(VI) in $[\text{HNO}_3] = 5$ M
- $[\text{Monoamide}] = 1.4$ M in THP


Extraction equilibrium



Two objectives:

- Parallel flows and centered interface for any monoamide
- Compare the efficiency of the monoamides

Determination of a common hydrodynamic protocole for monoamides




Flow rate ratio Range:

$$\frac{Q_{org}}{Q_{aq}}$$

1st step: Determination of the suitable flow rate ratio


- With the aqueous and organic phase initial viscosities:

$$\left(\frac{\mu_{aq}}{\mu_{org}}\right)_{Inlet} \approx \left(\frac{Q_{org}}{Q_{aq}}\right)_{Inlet}$$



Evolution of the aqueous phase viscosity [HNO₃] = 5 M with [U(VI)].

$$4.02 \leq \mu_{org} \leq 8.04 \text{ mPa.s}$$



Evolution of the aqueous flow rate ratio for MOEHA and DEHDMBA at 1.4 M with [HNO₃] = 5 M depending on [U(VI)].

Any inlet **flow rate ratio** can be determined knowing the **organic phase viscosity**


2^{cd} step: Determination of the suitable Outlet length ratio

- With batch experiments according to:

$$\frac{Q_{org}}{Q_{aq}} \approx \left(\frac{\mu_{aq}}{\mu_{org}} \right)_{Outlet} \times \frac{L_{aq}}{L_{org}}$$

Batch experiments ([U(VI)]=20 g.L⁻¹)


$$\frac{V_{org}}{V_{aq}} = \frac{Q_{org}}{Q_{aq}}$$




Monoamide	MOEHA	DEHDMBA
$\left(\frac{\mu_{aq}}{\mu_{org}} \right)_{Inlet} \approx \frac{Q_{org}}{Q_{aq}}$	0.34	0.17
$\left(\frac{\mu_{aq}}{\mu_{org}} \right)_{Outlet}$	0.20	0.07
$\frac{L_{aq}}{L_{org}}$	2.5	1.7

Common Length ratio:

$$\left(\frac{L_{aq}}{L_{org}} \right)_{Outlet}$$



Evolution of the organic phases viscosities with [U(VI)] in the organic phase after extraction, $V_{org}/V_{aq} = 1$, $T = 293$ K. [DEHDMBA] = 1.4 M (red) and [MOEHA] = 1.4 M (green), (diluted in THP)



Evolution of the aqueous phase viscosity [HNO₃] = 5 M with [U(VI)].

The outlet **length ratio** is determined with the **batch extraction yields**




Common Contact time:

$$t_{aq} = \frac{h W L}{Q_{aq}}$$

3rd step: Determination of a suitable contact time

□ With solvent extraction experiments in microsystem with:

- Chip length: 12 cm
- Flow rates ratio: **0.17** and **0.34**
- Outlet length ratio: $L_{aq} = 2 \times L_{org}$



Extraction kinetic profiles obtained with [DEHD MBA] = 1.4 M, [MOEHA] = 1.4 M. With ICC-DY15 (12 cm), [U(VI)] = 20 g.L⁻¹ in [HNO₃] = 5 M and [monoamide] = 1.4 M, and $L_{aq,Outlet}/L_{org,Outlet} = 2$, T = 293 K.

Equilibrium reached in **3 seconds**
or with a maximum flow rate of $Q_{aq} = 0.3 \text{ mL.h}^{-1}$

1st Objective: Parallel flows and centered interface for any monoamide**Initial parameters**

- ❑ **U(VI)** in $[\text{HNO}_3] = 5 \text{ M}$
- ❑ $[\text{Monoamide}] = 1.4 \text{ M}$ in THP

Hydrodynamic parameters


- ❑ Chip length: **12 cm**
- ❑ Flow rates ratio: **0.17** and **0.34**
- ❑ Outlet length ratio: $L_{\text{aq}} = 2 \times L_{\text{org}}$
- ❑ Aqueous flow rate: $Q_{\text{aq}} = 0.3 \text{ mL.h}^{-1}$

Flow rate ratio Range:

$$0.17 \leq \frac{Q_{\text{org}}}{Q_{\text{aq}}} \leq 0.34$$

Common Length ratio:

$$\left(\frac{L_{\text{aq}}}{L_{\text{org}}} \right)_{\text{Outlet}} = 2$$

Common Contact
time:

$$t_{\text{aq}} = 3\text{s}$$

$$(Q_{\text{aq}} = 0.3 \text{ mL.h}^{-1})$$

2^{cd} Objective: Compare the efficiency of the monoamides

Specific viscosity

 μ_{org} 

Specific Flow rate

 Q_{org} **Normalized Yield**


$$R_N = \frac{R_{\text{chip}}}{100 \times \frac{Q_{\text{org}}}{Q_{\text{aq}}}}$$

MICROFLUIDIC SCREENING TOOL FOR MONOAMIDES

Validation of the method for $[U(VI)] = 20 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$


Screening of 3 monoamides A, B, C

Monoamide	A	B	C
Viscosity at 1.4 M in THP (mPa.s)	6.07	5.6	5.45




flow rate ratio evolution depending on the viscosity of the organic phase containing monoamide diluted 1.4 M in THP with $[U(VI)]_{\text{initial}} = 20 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$

$$R_N = \frac{R_{chip}}{100 \times \frac{Q_{org}}{Q_{aq}}}$$



ICC-DY15 (12cm), $[U(VI)] = 20 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$ in $[HNO_3] = 5 \text{ M}$ and $[\text{monoamide}] = 1.4 \text{ M}$, and $V_{aq}/V_{org} = 1$, $T = 293 \text{ K}$.



ICC-DY15 (12cm), $[U(VI)] = 20 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$ in $[HNO_3] = 5 \text{ M}$ and $[\text{monoamide}] = 1.4 \text{ M}$, and $L_{aq,Outlet}/L_{org,Outlet} = 2$, $T = 293 \text{ K}$.

Same relative performances as batch experiments

Conclusion

Microfluidic screening tool

Parameters

Aqueous flow rate

$$Q_{aq} = 0.3 \text{ mL/h}$$

Length ratio

$$L_{aq} \approx 2 L_{org}$$


Contact time

$$t_{aq} = 3 \text{ s}$$

Monoamide viscosity

Extraction Efficiency

Establishment of a viscosity/phase ratio diagram



flow rate ratio evolution depending on the viscosity of the organic phase containing monoamide diluted 1.4 M in THP and $[U(VI)]_{initial}$ in aqueous phase.

- ✓ Only 20 μL of solution are need
- ✓ Screening method can be applicable with large range of uranium concentration
- ✓ This conception strategy applicable on other kind of molecules

Perspectives

- ✓ CFD simulation (COMSOL) for the design of a custom-made chip
 - Asymmetrical geometry
 - More suitable length of microchip
 - Test with U(VI) and Pu(IV)



Thank your
Attention

Question?

Publication

J.P. Jasmin and al. Solvent Extraction of Concentrated Uranium (VI) by 30 % TBP in a Parallel Flows Microsystem: Towards a Tool for Screening New Extractant Molecules

Solvent Extraction and Ion Exchange, 2017, vol 35
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07366299.2017.1308151>

Patent

Patent pending work:

BD17584 (application submitted on december 2016)