

## Irradiation damage in nuclear graphite at the atomic scale

A. Chartier, L. van Brutzel, J. Pageot

#### ▶ To cite this version:

A. Chartier, L. van Brutzel, J. Pageot. Irradiation damage in nuclear graphite at the atomic scale. 9th International Conference on Multiscale Materials Modeling, Oct 2018, Osaka, Japan. cea-02338969

#### HAL Id: cea-02338969 https://cea.hal.science/cea-02338969v1

Submitted on 13 Dec 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE

# Ceaden

## UNIVERSITE PARIS-SACLAY



### Irradiation damage in nuclear graphite at the atomic scale

A. Chartier, L. Van Brutzel, and J. Pageot



- Graphite = moderator in "UNGG"  $\rightarrow$  neutrons, temperature
- In 11 years of exploitation 2.6 dpa
- Activation of some impurities

i-graphite contains <sup>14</sup>C & <sup>36</sup>Cl ( $\frac{1}{2}$  life 5 500 & 3×10<sup>5</sup> years)

#### Foreseen Solutions:

- Decommissioning under water
- Treatment to eliminate <sup>14</sup>C & <sup>36</sup>Cl, (<sup>3</sup>H)

interaction: graphite  $\leftrightarrow$  H<sub>2</sub>O, O<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>... depends on the localization of <sup>14</sup>C & <sup>36</sup>Cl after irradiation

- Graphite microstructure evolution under irradiation?
  - radiation damages and swelling
  - link between XRD HRTEM images and atomic scale structure

### Irradiated graphite in graphite-gas nuclear reactors



## <u>ceaden</u>

#### Framework: decommissioning of graphite from "UNGG"

**Microstructure / swelling by irradiation** 





Shen et al (2015)

Ceaden

MMM - 2018 | PAGE 3

### Scenario of swelling under irradiation



#### Scenario of swelling

Ceaden

- 1. point defects by irradiation
- 2. wrinkling
- 3. sliding
- 4. formation of dislocations

#### Based on:

- HRTEM observations
- Atomic scale (DFT MD) calculations
- > Wigner energy estimations



Heggie & Telling (2007)

#### <u>Our goals:</u>

- Link between swelling atomic configurations for irradiated single crystal
- Link between HRTEM images and atomic configurations for irradiated single crystal for poly-crystal

### Modelling radiation damages



#### Primary ballistic damage by displacement cascade, with primary knocked on atom with 0.5 to 10 keV



Ceaden

if point defects then

#### Dose effect by Frenkel pairs accumulation

- Creation of Frenkel pairs every 2 ps high dose rate
- Dose up to 10 dpa
- constant pressure and constant temperature





**Frenkel pairs** 

### **Analysis of MD snapshots**

Ceaden





MMM - 2018 | PAGE 6

**Frenkel pairs accumulation** 

#### Shrinking in the basal plane

RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE

Ceaden

b

#### Swelling along c-axis

#### 10 nm





defects in blue graphene sheets in red

### Ceaden Link between point defects and swelling





### Ceaden Microstructure and HRTEM images



#### La and Lc - Scherrer's formula



Decrease of graphene size with increasing dose

→ small graphene sheets in "noodles shape" = BSU (Basic Structural Units)

#### What simulations show:

graphite amorphizes



### Ceaden Nano-crystalline graphite



#### Creation of 20 crystallites, stacked along c-axis, with angles randomly distributed between 0° and 20°



DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE

### Ceaden HRTEM images of nano-crystalline graphite





HRTEM image shows dislocation (edge or screw) MD snapshot shows wrinkles

HRTEM image shows nano-pore MD snapshot shows nano-pore DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE

### Ceaden HRTEM images of nano-crystalline graphite





## $\begin{array}{l} \text{HRTEM images} \\ \rightarrow \text{dislocations} \end{array}$

but MD snapshots → wrinkles

### Ceaden Conclusion - summary

Irradiation damages in graphite single crystal: Frenkel Pair Accumulation method

- Scenario in 3 steps:
  - 1. Point Defects
  - 2. Pinning + Wrinkling of graphene sheets
  - 3. Growth of amorphous pockets  $\rightarrow$  Amorphisation
- Swelling due to both defects + wrinkling of graphene sheets

- > Microstructure from XRD HRTEM
  - 1. Link dislocations wrinkles ??
  - 2. Basic Structural Units or amorphous??







### Thanks for listening!



#### A. Chartier, L. Van Brutzel and J. Pageot, Carbon 133 (2018) 224.

A. Chartier, L. Van Brutzel, B. Pannier, and Ph. Baranek, Carbon 91 (2015) 395.

#### Alain Chartier

Commissariat à l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives DEN/DANS/DPC/SCCME/LM2T bât. 450SudEsi Centre de Saclay | 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex T. +33 (0)1 69 08 31 68 | F. +33 (0)1 69 08 92 21

Etablissement public à caractère industriel et commercial RCS Paris B 775 685 019

Direction de l'énergie Nucléaire

Département de Physico-Chimie Service de Corrosion et du Comportement des Matériaux dans leur Environnement

#### DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE



axe c

#### 0.01 dpa



### Local curvature threshold

### Remaining graphene sheets

Plans pinned by disordered amorphous zones

Graphene sheets are discriminated by local curvature

- $\rightarrow$  average curvature radius
- $\rightarrow$  size of graphene clusters



DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE









## Ceaden Graphite – displacement cascade



DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRII

### Ceaden Graphite - Analysis with DXA, HRTEM and XRD



#### cea den Graphite – simulated XRD



MMM - 2018 | PAGE 20

**Ceaden** 



equivalent to 3.50 dpa



#### equivalent to 0.50 dpa



equivalent to 2.50 dpa



0.00 dpa



equivalent to 1.2 dpa

Ceaden

### **Graphite – simulated HRTEM**



0.00 dpa



equivalent to 0.50 dpa



equivalent to 1.00 dpa



equivalent to 2.50 dpa



equivalent to 1.2 dpa

nm ີ. ເ

5. nm

equivalent to 3.50 dpa

### Ceade∩ Graphite – simulated SAED



0.00 dpa



equivalent to 0.50 dpa



equivalent to 1.00 dpa



equivalent to 3.50 dpa



equivalent to 2.50 dpa



equivalent to 1.2 dpa