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Controlling the polymerization of coniferyl alcohol with cyclodextrins

Lionel Tarrago*, Camille Modolo, Mehdi Yemloul, Viviane Robert, Pierre Rousselot-Pailley, Thierry Tron*

The mono-electronic oxidation of coniferyl alcohol leads to phenoxy radicals and ultimately to the synthesis of dimeric lignans. Coniferyl alcohol and lignans are all potential guests for cyclodextrins (CDs) to form noncovalent host-guest inclusion complexes. Here, the influence of CDs with different cavity volumes (i.e. α, β or γCD) on the laccase-driven oxidation of coniferyl alcohol is studied. We are clearly showing that βCD interacts with the lignan products and selectively prevent their further oxidation by the enzyme. Moreover, amongst the three lignans generated the system made of a laccase and βCD allows a selective enrichment of pinoresinol, a behaviour somehow mimicking that of plant dirigent proteins.

Introduction

Natural molecules such as sugars and amino acids are produced in cell as pure enantiomers by dedicated enzymes. One peculiar situation is however found in the synthesis of some plant metabolites (scheme 1) deriving from the mono-electronic oxidation of the lignol coniferyl alcohol (as the result from the activity of oxidases such as laccases) which leads initially to phenoxy radicals. In vitro a bimolecular radical coupling results in the transient accumulation of a mixture of (±)-dehydrodiconiferyl alcohols (2), (±)-pinoresinols (3), and (±)-guaiacylglycerol 8-O-4-coniferyl alcohol ethers (4) prior a further polymerization. In plants however either the (+)- or (-)-enantiomer of pinoresinol is preferentially formed. Regio- and enantioselectivity are provided by dirigent proteins (DP) such as FiDIR1 and AtDIR6, which have been shown to be respectively responsible for the formation of (+)-pinoresinol in Forsythia intermedia and of (-)-pinoresinol in Arabidopsis thaliana. These proteins, which might not possess a catalytic center per se, are proposed to act as a scaffold interacting with radicals of coniferyl alcohol to direct the bimolecular coupling through a yet undetermined host/guest mechanism. In a bioconversion, the combined use of these proteins and a laccase or a chemical oxidant such as ammonium persulfate allows the enantioselective enrichment of pinoresinol.

Produced from the enzymatic degradation of starch the naturally occurring cyclic oligosaccharides cyclodextrins (CDs)

Scheme 1. Laccase catalysed oxidation of coniferyl alcohol

Share with DPs the ability to host guest molecules. Most commons CDs are made of 6 (αCD), 7 (βCD) or 8 (γCD) α-D-glucopyranoside units linked by α-1,4 bonds. They have the form of truncated cones in which the hydroxyl groups are exposed to the solvent, making the exterior of the cone hydrophilic whereas the interior, considerably less hydrophilic than the aqueous environment, is able to host hydrophobic molecules. While the height of the torus remains constant (0.79 nm), the cavity diameter varies from 0.47-0.53 nm for the αCD, 0.6-0.65 nm for the βCD and 0.75-0.83 nm for the γCD. The internal cavity volume of α, β and γCD directly influences the size of the guest a CD molecule can accommodate. Because of these properties, CDs are used to enhance the solubility and bioavailability of numerous...
hydrophobic compounds such as drugs. Moreover, CDs can selectively bind chiral molecules and are used to produce commercial HPLC columns for enantiomers separation. Notably, in enantioselective synthesis, CDs or their derivatized forms have been shown to increase enantiopure production of β-amino alcohols and to facilitate the enantioselective N-acylation of primary amines. CDs have been also shown to significantly improve enantiomeric excess in enzyme-catalysed reactions, such as the peroxidase catalysed synthesis of binaphthyl derivatives from naphtols and the esterase catalysed synthesis of the 5-enantiomer of the anti-inflammatory drug naproxen.

In this work, we studied the influence of CDs with different cavity volumes on the laccase-driven oxidation of coniferyl alcohol in bimolecular systems in which the substrate is mixed with an excess of CDs. We are clearly showing that while the dimerization of coniferyl alcohol is unaffected by the presence of CDs, the βCD cavity is stabilizing the lignan products and prevent their further oxidation by the enzyme. Moreover, amongst the three lignans generated the combination of laccase with βCD allows a selective enrichment of pinoresinol, a behaviour somehow reminiscent of lignan synthesis in the presence of plant dirigent proteins.

Results and Discussion

In vitro oxidation of coniferyl alcohol (3-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-propen-1-ol) (2.5 x 10⁻³ M) by the fungal laccase LAC3 from Trametes sp. C30 (5 U.L⁻¹) generates lignans 2, 3 and 4 as initial products accumulating during the rapid phase of substrate consumption (see scheme 1). Influence of the CD cavity volume on the oxidation of 1 by LAC3 was evaluated in the presence of an excess (12 x 10⁻⁵ M) of either α, β or γCD. Both the consumption of 1 and the production of the dimeric lignans were followed as function of time by Reverse-Phase High Performance Liquid Chromatography (RP-HPLC). Analysis of the chromatograms is presented Figure 1. In the absence of CDs, the kinetic of consumption of 1 follows a decreasing exponential with a consumption of nearly 90% of the substrate during the first hour (Fig. 1, graph (1)). In our experimental conditions lignans 2 and 3 account for more than 80% of the dimers formed (Fig. 1, graphs (2) and (3)). The neo-synthesized lignans containing phenolic moieties (i.e. a 2-methoxyphenol), their concentration is rapidly high enough to compete with 1 as substrates for the LAC3 enzyme. Thus, after reaching a maximum (equilibrium between formation and disappearance) the concentration of lignans was significantly decreasing with initial velocities of ca. 0.16 x 10⁻⁷ mol.L⁻¹.h⁻¹ (2) and ca. 0.10 x 10⁻⁷ mol.L⁻¹.h⁻¹ (3) (Fig. 1, graphs (2) and (3)). As the reaction proceeds through mono-electronic oxidations, these observations are consistent with a further oxidation of the dimeric lignans produced from coniferyl alcohol oxidation into polymers.

Evolution of the oxidation products was differentially influenced by the presence of α, β or γCD in the reaction mixture (Fig. 1). Addition of αCD to the reaction mixture had influence neither on the oxidation of 1 nor on that of the neo-synthesized lignans. To the contrary, the presence of CDs with a larger cavity volume had a marked effect on the disappearance of the reaction products maintaining the concentration of the lignans 2 and 3 fairly stable around 80 to 85% of the maximum values for several hours without apparently affecting the oxidation rate of 1 (Fig. 1). Thus, addition of βCD to the reaction mixture is stabilizing lignans, probably forming a βCD:lignan complex that is significantly slowing down lignans oxidation by the enzyme (vide infra).

Figure 1. Influence of CDs on the laccase catalysed oxidation of coniferyl alcohol. Coniferyl alcohol (1) (2.5 x 10⁻³ M) was incubated with the laccase LAC3 (5 U.L⁻¹) at 30°C in the absence (open square) or presence of an excess (12 x 10⁻⁵ M) of either αCD, βCD or γCD (black circles). Consumption of 1 and apparition of 2 and 3 were monitored by RP-HPLC. Fits (dotted lines) were obtained either from a single decay (exponential) model (for the oxidation of 1) or as described in Supplementary Information.

In the presence of γCD, the stabilization effect is clearly less pronounced as apparent initial rates of lignans oxidation were three times faster in the presence of γCD than in the presence of βCD (ca. 0.09 x 10⁻⁸ mol.L⁻¹.h⁻¹ vs. 0.03 x 10⁻⁸ mol.L⁻¹.h⁻¹ and 0.06 x 10⁻⁸ mol.L⁻¹.h⁻¹ vs. 0.02 x 10⁻⁸ mol.L⁻¹.h⁻¹ for 2 and 3 respectively). Overall, in these experiments β and γCDs have apparently no significant effect on the rate of oxidation of 1.
Both the apparent complexity of the kinetics due to an oxidation of the products concomitant to their generation and the absence of exploitable data on the fate of lignan 4 lead us to further study the oxidation of pure lignans (see Supplementary Information for details about the origin of lignans). In the absence of CD, 3 is oxidized by laccase at a significantly faster rate than 2 and 4 (i.e. $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ mol.L$^{-1}$.h$^{-1}$ vs $0.7 \times 10^{-3}$ mol.L$^{-1}$.h$^{-1}$ and $0.6 \times 10^{-3}$ mol.L$^{-1}$.h$^{-1}$ respectively) the kinetics of oxidation of which were otherwise similar (Fig. 2). On the other hand, lignans oxidation rates are globally slower than the rate of oxidation of 1 (compare graph (1) from Fig. 1 and data (black circle) from Fig. 2). Amongst different factors, this might be mainly linked to differences in redox potentials between lignans and 1 (for example $E^\circ 1/1^-$ = 0.48 V vs SHE). Cleared of the step corresponding to the dimerization of 1, the effects of CDs on laccase-mediated oxidation of 2, 3 or 4 are simpler to analyse. Regardless of their specific oxidation rates, the oxidation of each lignan was similarly slowed down in the presence of β (0.04 h$^{-1}$ for all lignans) or γCD (0.08 to 0.1 h$^{-1}$) suggesting a similar mode of interaction of the three different lignans with each of the CDs. As observed previously for the oxidation products of 1 (Fig. 1), γCD was less effective than βCD in protecting lignans from oxidation.

The ability of a CD to form an inclusion complex with a guest molecule is function both of a steric factor (the relative size of the CD cavity to the size of the guest) and of a thermodynamic factor (a driving force bringing the guest into the cavity). Altogether, the differential effects induced by α, βCD or γCD on coniferyl alcohol and lignans oxidation match well amongst the molecules we tested (3 > 2 > 4 > 1) and their formation induce shifts of host and guest representative metabolic signals. Initially, the equilibrium between the constituents of a given complex was challenged in a titration of a low concentration of ligand (i.e. $500 \times 10^{-6}$ M) with increasing concentrations of CDs. Association constants ($K_a$) extracted from Scott Plots ([$CD$]$_0$/Δ$\delta_{obs}$ = f ([CD]$_0$), see Supplementary information Fig. SI2 for details) are presented in Table 1. Reflecting a dynamic exchange in CD:guest complexes $K_a$ values are notoriously fairly low. As expected, values for γCD are lower than those calculated for βCD. Nevertheless, amongst complexes the apparent hierarchy 3:CD > 2:CD > 4:CD > 1:CD matches that deduced from theoretical physico-chemical properties of ligands (vide supra). $K_a$ values for a potential 1:CD complex are extremely low and their significance questionable. Both the absence of substantial effect on the oxidation rate of 1 (see Fig. 1) and low $K_a$ values strongly support that 1 is not forming stable complexes with CDs.

Table 1. Association constants (M$^{-1}$) measured for lignans/CD complexes.
In addition, plotting the extend of the shifts as function of the inter-molecular interactions.

Figure 3. Schematic view of a potential βCD/3-pinoresinol inclusion complex as deduced from 2D-ROESY data. Double arrows indicate spatial dipolar interactions classified from each CD proton: close (red), intermediate (green), far (blue).

These NMR data are consistent with a potential insertion of lignans 2, 3 or 4 into the βCD cavity and their stabilization at the narrow rim. A scheme of a potential inclusion complex reflecting correlations observed between protons H2, H5, H6 of 3 and protons H’3, H’5, H’6 of βCD is proposed in Figure 3. However, as CD may also form non-inclusion complexes with guest molecules, a stabilization of lignans at the narrow rim but outside the cavity cannot be formally ruled out. Whatever their structure (i.e. inclusion or non-inclusion), βCD:guest complexes stabilize lignans thereby offering them a protection against oxidation.

Considering the above results, only βCD was further investigated. Proportions of lignans 2, 3 and 4 derived from the oxidation of 1 were found insensitive to a variation of the β-CD concentration (0-13 x 10⁻³ M range). Whereas in several cases of catalysed reactions the presence of BCD has been shown to influence the selectivity⁵-⁷, 15-19, there is here no selectivity in the oxidation of 1 (as assessed by separation of the lignan products on a chiral column) simply because 1 is not (or too weakly) interacting with the CD. Besides, amounts of lignans remaining non-oxidized in the reaction mixture were found to follow a saturation profile as the concentration of βCD increased (Fig. 4).

Figure 4. Influence of varying the concentration of βCD on final concentrations of lignans. Lignans (2.5 x 10⁻³ M) were incubated with the laccase LAC3 (5 U.L⁻¹) at 30°C in the presence of variable concentrations (0-13 x 10⁻⁵ M) of βCD for 6 hours. C = lignan concentration in the absence of βCD; Cβ = lignan concentration in the presence of βCD. Fits (dotted lines) were obtained using a one-phase association (exponential) model.

Comparatively, the amplitude of the variation in dimer concentrations in the presence of βCD versus in the absence of βCD is higher for dimer 3 than for dimer 2 (note that the concentration of 4 was too low be properly analysed). Since oxidation rates of lignans 2 and 3 obtained in the presence of βCD are quite similar (see Fig. 2), this behaviour is totally attributable to differences in oxidation rates of the lignans by the enzyme alone. On the other hand, the protective effect of βCD on lignan oxidation decreased as the initial concentration of 1 increased (Fig. SI6). This is both related to the rate of lignan synthesis and to the formation of lignan:CD complexes that is limited by the concentration of βCD (12-13 x 10⁻³ M). With a LAC3 apparent Michaelis constant (Kapp) value estimated around 10 x 10⁻³ M for 1, the rate of lignans formation is likely not maximal at low concentrations of 1 (e.g.
2.5 x 10^{-5} M). All lignans produced are probably forming a complex with βCD molecules which protects them from a further oxidation by LAC3. As the concentration of 1 increases, lignans accumulate and there is a competition between lignans:βCD complexes formation and oxidation of lignans by LAC3.

CDs are known to interact with the surface of macromolecules, a property often used to stabilize these objects. Beyond a sequestration of the substrate that is apparently here not influencing the fate of 1 (vide supra), a direct laccase:CD interaction was challenged. Whether or not βCD interferes with the oxidation of 1 by LAC3 (pseudo competition) was tested recording enzyme kinetics in the presence of increasing concentrations of βCD. βCD had no influence on initial rates of consumption of 1. Neither it has an influence on initial rates of production of dimers as dimerization occurs through a radical-radical coupling independent of the presence of the enzyme. Therefore, in the oxidation of 1, βCD is not a modulator of the laccase activity neither through the formation of a complex with 1 or through a direct CD:enzyme interaction. This leaves that the observed stabilisation of the dimers is entirely due to their interaction with the βCD.

Experimental
All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received except for βCD which was recrystallized twice in 60 % ethanol. The laccase LAC3 (from *Trametes* sp C30) was produced in *Aspergillus niger* and purified as previously described. Oxidation of coniferyl alcohol was performed with laccase at 30°C in Britton-Robinson buffer adjusted at pH 5.0 and oxidation products were separated by reverse phase HPLC. All NMR experiments were performed at 298K in D2O on a Bruker Avance III 600MHz spectrometer, equipped with a 5mm triple resonance high resolution probe. All NMR datasets were processed in TOPSPIN 3.2 version (Bruker BioSpin, Germany).

Conclusions
The use of cyclodextrins in the laccase catalysed oxidation of coniferyl alcohol allows a control of the polymerisation by a stabilisation of the dimers resulting from radical coupling. Stabilisation goes through complexes depending on the size of the CD cavity and is maximum for βCD. Whereas a CD:enzyme interaction, that is apparently here not influencing the fate of 1 (vide supra), a direct laccase:CD interaction was challenged. Whether or not βCD interferes with the oxidation of 1 by LAC3 (pseudo competition) was tested recording enzyme kinetics in the presence of increasing concentrations of βCD. βCD had no influence on initial rates of consumption of 1. Neither it has an influence on initial rates of production of dimers as dimerization occurs through a radical-radical coupling independent of the presence of the enzyme. Therefore, in the oxidation of 1, βCD is not a modulator of the laccase activity neither through the formation of a complex with 1 or through a direct CD:enzyme interaction. This leaves that the observed stabilisation of the dimers is entirely due to their interaction with the βCD.
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Supplementary Information

1. Enzymes and chemicals

The laccase LAC3 (from *Trametes* sp C30) was produced in *Aspergillus niger* and purified as described previously.¹ Protein concentration was estimated by UV-visible spectroscopy using an $\varepsilon_{600\text{ nm}} = 5 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ for the T1 copper. Laccase activity of the purified protein solution was assayed using 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS). One unit (U) of laccase oxidizes one micromole of ABTS per minute.

Alpha-cyclodextrin (αCD), beta-cyclodextrin (βCD) and gamma-cyclodextrin (γCD) were obtained from Aldrich or TCI Europe. Beta-cyclodextrin (βCD) was recrystallized twice in 60 % ethanol.

Dehydrodiconiferyl alcohols (2) and (±)-pinoresinols (3) were obtained from the bioconversion of coniferyl alcohol (1) (purchased from Sigma France) catalysed by the enzyme LAC3, purified by preparative chromatography (silica) and characterized by $^1$H NMR and mass spectrometry. All other chemicals were purchased from Sigma France.

2. Synthesis of (±)-guaiacylglycerol 8-O-4-coniferyl alcohol ethers (4)

4 was obtained by oxidation of 1 in the presence of silver oxide (Ag$_2$O) following a protocol described Kishimoto et al., 2015.² The product of interest was purified by preparative chromatography (silica) and characterized by $^1$H NMR and mass spectrometry.

3. Coniferyl alcohol oxidation in the presence of CD

Oxidation of coniferyl alcohol was performed at 30°C in Britton-Robinson buffer adjusted at pH 5.0 and oxidation products were detected by reverse phase HPLC. A typical reaction mixture (1 mL) contained LAC3 (5 U/L) and coniferyl alcohol (2.5 $10^{-3}$ M) in the absence or presence of CD (up to 12 $10^{-3}$ M). The reaction proceeded for 8-hours and was initiated by the addition of the enzyme. For each time-point, the reaction was stopped by mixing 100-µL aliquot to 100 µL acetonitrile containing 2.85 mM benzophenone. 30 µL was injected on C18 column (EC150/4 Nucleosil® 100-5-18 Macherey-Nagel™) and analyzed by HPLC (Waters™ Alliance® 2690/2690D Separations Module) with the following gradient: solvent A water containing 3% acetic acid, solvent B acetonitrile; t=0min 90%A 10%B, t=5min 90%A 10%B, t=25 min 50% A 50% B, t= 27 min 50% A 50% B, t=28 min 90%A 10% B, t=30 min 90%A 10%B. Coniferyl alcohol, dehydrodiconiferyl alcohol, pinoresinol and benzophenone were eluted at 4.0 min, 6.6 min, 8.2 min and 16.6 min, respectively. Quantity was normalized using benzophenone as internal reference.

Apparent $K_M$ and $k_{cat}$ values were obtained from the initial rate ($v$), enzyme concentration (E) and substrate concentration (S) according to the equation $v = k_{cat} E S/(K_M + S)$. Inhibition kinetics data were obtained using appropriate equations. All data were determined using non-linear regression fitting using Prism software, Graphpad, San Diego, (CA). Because laccase catalysis involves two
substrates and the [O₂] was invariant and assumed to be saturating in this study, the measured \( K_M \) for the various substrates used should be considered apparent. Because of the assumption that 100% of the laccase participated in the catalysis as active enzyme, the measured \( k_{cat} \) should also be considered apparent.

4. Fitting kinetics

The oxidation of coniferyl alcohol (1) by the enzyme laccase is a mono-electronic process leading to the transient formation of a radical species (1') (eq. 1). Radical molecules recombine to give dimers 2, 3 and 4 according to eq. 2. Dimers are themselves subsequently oxidized by the enzyme (eq. 2, 4, 5) in a reaction similar to the oxidation of 1.

\[
\begin{align*}
1 + \text{H}^- + \text{e}^- & \rightarrow 1' \quad \text{(eq. 1)} \\
1' & \rightarrow \frac{k_1}{k_{\text{dim}}} m2 + k_2 + k_3 + l4 \quad \text{(eq. 2)} \\
2 & \rightarrow \frac{k_1}{k_{\text{dim}}} 2' \quad \text{(eq. 3)} \\
3 & \rightarrow \frac{k_1}{k_{\text{dim}}} 3' \quad \text{(eq. 4)} \\
4 & \rightarrow \frac{k_1}{k_{\text{dim}}} 4' \quad \text{(eq. 5)}
\end{align*}
\]

Kinetic data presented in Figure 1 from the main article were simulated using the stochastic kinetics simulator Kinetoscope (freeware). In the simulation, we introduced:

- the initial concentration of coniferyl alcohol [1] = \(2.5 \times 10^{-3} \) M
- rate constants from (eq. 1, 3, 4, 5) initially derived from experimental data presented in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 from the main article: \( k_1 = 3.3 \, \text{h}^{-1} \); \( k_2 = 0.3 \, \text{h}^{-1} \); \( k_3 = 0.5 \, \text{h}^{-1} \); \( k_4 = 0.25 \, \text{h}^{-1} \)
- a bi-molecular constant \( k_{\text{dim}} \) from (eq. 2) arbitrary set to a value of \( >k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 \) (i.e. \(10^6 \, \text{M}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}\)). With this approximation we recognize the recombination of radicals as a process considerably faster than oxidation steps and we do not distinguish the formation of each dimer 2, 3 and 4 (eq. 2).

The model obtained (Fig. SI1) is compatible with experimental data (Fig. 1 main text).

---

**Figure SI1.** Simulation of the formation of lignans from the oxidation of coniferyl alcool. Stochastic calculations performed with the freeware Kinetoscope. Initial parameters: [1] = \(2.5 \times 10^{-3} \) M, \( k_1 = 3.3 \, \text{h}^{-1} \); \( k_2 = 0.3 \, \text{h}^{-1} \); \( k_3 = 0.5 \, \text{h}^{-1} \); \( k_4 = 0.25 \, \text{h}^{-1} \).
Eventually, kinetics of the two successive oxidations leading to the formation and to the disappearance of each dimer (see Fig. 1 panels 2 and 3 main text) can be fitted using the simple model:

\[
A \xrightarrow{k_1} B \xrightarrow{k_2} C
\]

treated as a succession of order 1 kinetics in which the dimerization step is not influencing the rate (i.e. \(k_{\text{dim}} \ll k_1, k_2\)).

\[
Y = \left(\frac{a \cdot k_1}{(k_2 - k_1)}\right) \cdot \left(\exp(-k_1 \cdot X) - \exp(-k_2 \cdot X)\right)
\]

with \(k_1 = \) rate constant for the oxidation of the alcohol and \(k_2 = \) rate constant for the oxidation of the dimer.

5. **Determination of the apparent association constant and complex structure by NMR**

All experiments were performed at 298K in D\(_2\)O on a Bruker Advance III 600MHz spectrometer, equipped with a 5mm triple resonance high resolution probe. All NMR datasets were processed in TOPSPIN 3.2 version (Bruker BioSpin, Germany). Proton NMR spectra were acquired with a spectral width of 6000 Hz and relaxation delay of 2s during which a water pre-saturation was applied.

\(K_a\) values were extracted from Scott plots \( ([CD]_t/\Delta\delta_{\text{obs}} = ([CD]_t/\Delta\delta_{c}) + (1/Ka\Delta\delta_{c})) \).

\(\delta_l = \) chemical shift of a proton from the ligand or from the free CD.

\(\delta_c = \) chemical shift of the same proton in the ligand:CD complex.

\(\Delta\delta_{\text{obs}} = \delta_l - \delta_c\)

Plots for \(\beta\)CD complexes are given in Figure SI2.

![Figure SI2. Representation of the ligand: \(\beta\)CD interaction (Scott plots). [Ligand] = 0.5 \(10^{-3}\)M, [\(\beta\)CD] = 2-7 \(10^{-3}\)M.](image)

The stoichiometry for all the CD:guest complexes was studied plotting the extend of the shifts as function of the evolution of the molar ratio between the CD (\(\beta\) or \(\gamma\)CD) and the ligand (Job Plots). Plots for \(\beta\)CD complexes are given in Figure SI3.
For complex structure analysis, 2D phase-sensitive ROESY were acquired by pulse field gradient-selected methods, with 32 scans and 2048-time domain in F2, and 384 experiments in F1 by using the TPPI method and a mixing time (spin-lock) of 200 ms at a field of 6 kHz.

**Figure SI3.** Representation of the ligand: βCD interaction (Job plots). [Ligand] = 0.8 × 10^-3 M, [βCD] = 8.0 × 10^-3 M. Chemical shifts from βCD protons H1, H2 and H5.

βCD/pinoresinol complex deduced from the observed strong, medium, and low ROESY correlations effects between protons H2, H5, H6 of (±)-pinoresinol and protons H'3, H'5, H'6 of βCD is presented in the main text (Fig. 3). The proposed model is consolidated by strong interactions between protons H8 and H9 of (±)-pinoresinol with protons H'5 of βCD (red double arrows).

It should be pointed out that only unambiguous correlations were considered. Those with overlapping patterns potentially involving intramolecular interactions were discarded as for example in the coniferyl alcohol 2D-ROESY map (see red rectangle in panel B of Fig. SI.4).

**Figure SI4.** Fragments of ROESY spectra showing the intermolecular interactions between protons from ligands and proton from βCD. Panel A, ligand = (±)-pinoresinol; panel B, ligand = coniferyl alcohol.

Interaction of dehydro di-coniferyl alcohol with β-CD led to splitting of some peaks in the 2D-ROESY spectrum indicating the presence of diastereoselective complexes that exhibit different cross peaks. The model proposed in Figure SI5 is based on strong interactions between H'3 and H'5 of βCD with the
H$_{15}$ of dehydro di-coniferyl alcohol (red double arrow). The orientation of dehydro di-coniferyl alcohol inside the βCD cavity is based on the interaction of H$_6'$ and H$_3'$ with H$_{16}$ and H$_9$, respectively (green double arrows). However, this deep inclusion concerns only one of the two stereoisomers since the other H$_{15}$ presents lower cross peaks. Therefore, only the complex with the deepest inclusion is illustrated in Figure SI5.

Figure SI5. Fragments of ROESY spectra showing the intermolecular interactions between protons from dehydro di-coniferyl alcohol and proton from βCD. Panel A, zoom in the interactions between the proton H$_{15}$ of dehydro di-coniferyl alcohol and protons H$_3'$ and H$_5'$ of βCD; panel B, zoom in the interactions between the proton H$_9$ of dehydro di-coniferyl alcohol and protons H$_3'$ of βCD (figure panel D); panel C, zoom in the interactions between the proton H$_{16}$ of dehydro di-coniferyl alcohol and protons H$_5'$ and H$_6'$ of βCD (figure panel D); panel D, scheme of the potential interactions; double arrows indicate spatial dipolar interactions classified from each CD proton: close (red), intermediate (green).

6. Evolution of lignans production as function of the initial coniferyl alcohol concentration

Oxidation of coniferyl alcohol was performed and analysed as described earlier in the text (see paragraph 2). A typical reaction mixture (1 mL) contained LAC3 (5 U/L) coniferyl alcohol (2.5, 5 or 10 $10^{-3}$ M) in the presence (or absence) of 12 $10^{-3}$ M of βCD.

Figure SI6. Effects of a variable initial concentrations of coniferyl alcohol on the formation of dimeric lignans in the presence of βCD.
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Controlling the polymerization of coniferyl alcohol with cyclodextrins

Lionel Tarrago*, Camille Modolo, Mehdi Yemloul, Viviane Robert, Pierre Rousselot-Pailley, Thierry Tron*

The mono-electronic oxidation of coniferyl alcohol leads to phenoxy radicals and ultimately to the synthesis of dimeric lignans and neo-lignans products. Coniferyl alcohol and dimeric products are all potential guests for cyclodextrins (CDs) to form noncovalent host-guest inclusion complexes. Here, the influence of CDs with different cavity volumes (i.e. α, β or γCD) on the laccase-driven oxidation of coniferyl alcohol is studied. We are clearly showing that βCD interacts with the dimeric products and selectively prevent their further oxidation by the enzyme. Moreover, amongst the three dimeric products generated the system made of a laccase and βCD allows a selective enrichment of pinoresinol, a behaviour somehow mimicking that of plant dirigent proteins.

Introduction

Natural molecules such as sugars and amino acids are produced in cell as pure enantiomers by dedicated enzymes. One peculiar situation is however found in the synthesis of some plant metabolites (scheme 1) deriving from the mono-electronic oxidation of the lignol coniferyl alcohol (as the result from the activity of oxidases such as laccases) which leads initially to phenoxy radicals.\(^1\) In vitro a bimolecular radical coupling results in the transient accumulation of a mixture of (±)-dehydrodiconiferyl alcohols (neo-lignan \(^2\)), (±)-pinoresinols (lignan \(^3\)), and (±)-guaiacylglycerol 8-O-4’-coniferyl alcohol ethers (neo-lignan \(^4\)) prior a further polymerization. In plants however either the (+)- or (-)-enantiomer of pinoresinol is preferentially formed.\(^2\) Regio- and enantioselectivity are provided by dirigent proteins (DP) such as \(F_i\)DIR1 and \(A_t\)DIR6, which have been shown to be respectively responsible for the formation of (+)-pinoresinol in \(F_{or}s\)ythia intermedia\(^2\) and of (-)-pinoresinol in Arabidopsis thaliana\(^2\). These proteins, which might not possess a catalytic center per se, are proposed to act as a scaffold interacting with radicals of coniferyl alcohol to direct the bimolecular coupling through a yet undetermined host/guest mechanism. In a bioconversion, the combined use of these proteins and a laccase or a chemical oxidant such as ammonium persulfate allows the enantioselective enrichment of pinoresinol.\(^4\)

Produced from the enzymatic degradation of starch the

Scheme 1. Laccase catalysed oxidation of coniferyl alcohol

naturally occurring cyclic oligosaccharides cyclodextrins (CDs) share with DPs the ability to host guest molecules. Most commons CDs are made of 6 (αCD), 7 (βCD) or 8 (γCD) α-D-glucopyranoside units linked by α-1,4 bonds.\(^3\) They have the form of truncated cones in which the hydroxyl groups are exposed to the solvent, making the exterior of the cone hydrophilic whereas the interior, considerably less hydrophilic than the aqueous environment, is able to host hydrophobic molecules. While the height of the torus remains constant (0.79 nm), the cavity diameter varies from 0.47-0.53 nm for the αCD, 0.6-0.65 nm for the βCD and 0.75-0.83 nm for the γCD. The internal cavity volume of α, β and γCD directly influences the size of the guest a CD molecule can accommodate.\(^3\) Because of these properties, CDs are used to
enhance the solubility and bioavailability of numerous hydrophobic compounds such as drugs. Moreover, CDs can selectively bind chiral molecules and are used to produce commercial HPLC columns for enantiomers separation. Notably, in enantioselective synthesis, CDs or their derivatized forms have been shown to increase the enantiopure production of β-amino alcohols and to facilitate the enantioselective N-acylation of primary amines. CDs have been also shown to significantly improve enantiomeric excess in enzyme-catalysed reactions, such as the peroxidase catalysed synthesis of binaphthyl derivatives from naphtols and the esterase catalysed synthesis of the 5-enantiomer of the anti-inflammatory drug naproxen. In this work, we studied the influence of CDs with different cavity volumes on the laccase-driven oxidation of coniferyl alcohol in bimolecular systems in which the substrate is mixed with an excess of CDs. We are clearly showing that while the dimerization of coniferyl alcohol is unaffected by the presence of CDs, the βCD cavity is stabilizing the lignan (3) and neo-lignans (2, 4) products and prevent their further oxidation by the enzyme. Moreover, amongst the three dimeric products generated the combination of laccase with βCD allows a selective enrichment of pinoresinol, a behaviour somehow reminiscent of lignan synthesis in the presence of plant dirigent proteins.

**Results and Discussion**

*In vitro* oxidation of coniferyl alcohol 1 (3-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-propen-1-ol) (2.5 x 10⁻⁷M) by the fungal laccase LAC3 from *Trametes sp.* C30 (5 U.L⁻¹) generates lignans 2, 3 and 4 as initial products accumulating during the rapid phase of substrate consumption (see scheme 1). Influence of the CD cavity volume on the oxidation of 1 by LAC3 was evaluated in the presence of an excess (12 x 10⁻³ M) of either α, β or γCD. Both the consumption of 1 and the production of 2, 3 and 4 were followed as function of time by Reverse-Phase High Performance Liquid Chromatography (RP-HPLC). Analysis of the chromatograms is presented Figure 1. In the absence of CDs, the kinetic of consumption of 1 follows a decreasing exponential with a consumption of nearly 90% of the substrate during the first hour (Fig. 1, graph (1)). In our experimental conditions 2 and 3 accounted for more than 80% of the dimers formed (Fig. 1, graphs (2) and (3)). The neo-synthesized dimers 2, 3 and 4 containing phenolic moieties (i.e. a 2-methoxyphenol), their concentration is rapidly high enough to compete with 1 as substrates for the LAC3 enzyme. Thus, after reaching a maximum (equilibrium between formation and disappearance) the concentration of 2, 3 and 4 was significantly decreasing with initial velocities of ca. 0.16 x 10⁻³ mol.L⁻¹.h⁻¹ (2) and ca. 0.10 x 10⁻⁶ mol.L⁻¹.h⁻¹ (3) (Fig. 1, graphs (2) and (3)). As the reaction proceeds through mono-electronic oxidations, these observations are consistent with a further oxidation of the dimers 2, 3 and 4 produced from coniferyl alcohol oxidation into polymers.

Evolution of the oxidation products was differentially influenced by the presence of α, β or γCD in the reaction mixture (Fig. 1). Addition of αCD to the reaction mixture had influence neither on the oxidation of 1 nor on that of the neo-synthesized dimers. To the contrary, the presence of CDs with a larger cavity volume had a marked effect on the disappearance of the reaction products maintaining the concentration of 2 and 3 fairly stable around 80 to 85% of the maximum values for several hours without apparently affecting the oxidation rate of 1 (Fig. 1). Thus, addition of βCD to the reaction mixture is stabilizing 2, 3 and 4, probably forming β-CD-dimer complexes that are significantly slowing down their further oxidation by the enzyme (*vide infra*).

**Figure 1. Influence of CDs on the laccase catalysed oxidation of coniferyl alcohol.** Coniferyl alcohol (1) (2.5 x 10⁻³M) was incubated with the laccase LAC3 (5 U.L⁻¹) at 30°C in the absence (open square) or presence of an excess (12 x 10⁻³ M) of either αCD (crosses), βCD (black circles) or γCD (black triangles). Consumption of 1 and apparition of 2 and 3 were monitored by RP-HPLC. Fits (dotted lines) were obtained either from a single decay (exponential) model (for the oxidation of 1) or are described in Supplementary Information.

In the presence of γCD, the stabilization effect is clearly less pronounced as apparent initial rates of dimers oxidation were three times faster in the presence of γCD than in the presence of βCD (ca. 0.09 x 10⁻³ mol.L⁻¹.h⁻¹ vs. 0.03 x 10⁻³ mol.L⁻¹.h⁻¹ and 0.06 x 10⁻³ mol.L⁻¹.h⁻¹ vs. 0.02 x 10⁻³ mol.L⁻¹.h⁻¹ for 2 and 3 respectively). Overall, in these experiments β and γCDs have
apparently no significant effect on the rate of oxidation of 1 whereas they have a marked effect on the stabilization of 2, 3

Figure 2. Influence of CDs on the laccase catalysed oxidation of the dimers 2, 3 and 4. Dehydroconiferyl alcohol (2), pinoresinol (3) or erythro guaiacyl glycerol (4) (2.5 x 10⁻⁶ M) were each incubated with the laccase LAC3 (5 U.L⁻¹) at 30°C in the absence (black circles) or presence of an excess (12 x 10⁻³ M) of either βCD (black squares) or γCD (black triangles). Oxidations were monitored by RP-HPLC. Fits (dashed lines) were obtained using a single decay (exponential) model.

Both the apparent complexity of the kinetics due to an oxidation of the products concomitant to their generation and the absence of exploitable data on the fate of the neo-lignan 4 lead us to further study the oxidation of pure dimers 2, 3 and 4 (see Supplementary Information for details about the origin of dimers). In the absence of CD, 3 is oxidized by laccase at a significantly faster rate than 2 and 4 (i.e. 1.3 x 10⁻³ mol.L⁻¹.h⁻¹ vs 0.7 x 10⁻³ mol.L⁻¹.h⁻¹ and 0.6 x 10⁻³ mol.L⁻¹.h⁻¹ respectively) the kinetics of oxidation of which were otherwise similar (Fig. 2). On the other hand, oxidation rates for 2, 3 and 4 are globally slower than the rate of oxidation of 1 (compare graph (1) from Fig. 1 and data (black circle) from Fig. 2). It is to note that these differences in kinetics are similar to those previously reported for the oxidation of 1, 2, 3, 4 by a peroxidase. Despite the fact that Matsushita and colleagues in the same article reported no difference in apparent oxidation potentials (E°) for 1, 2, 3, 4, we cannot exclude here that these kinetic differences might be still mainly linked to different E° between dimers 2, 3, 4 and 1. Indeed, these electrochemical systems are irreversible as their oxidation is coupled to a chemical reaction (dimerization) and measuring their redox potentials (E°) requires specific setting and conditions (in particular very high scan rates). Cleared of the step corresponding to the dimerization of 1, the effects of CDs on laccase-mediated oxidation of 2, 3 or 4 are simpler to analyse. Regardless of their specific oxidation rates, the oxidation of each dimer 2, 3 or 4 was similarly slowed down in the presence of β (0.04 h⁻¹ for all lignans) or γCD (0.08 to 0.1 h⁻¹) suggesting a similar mode of interaction of the three different dimers with each of the CDs. As observed previously for the oxidation products of 1 (Fig. 1), γCD was less effective than βCD in protecting dimers 2, 3 and 4 from oxidation.

The ability of a CD to form an inclusion complex with a guest molecule is function both of a steric factor (the relative size of the CD cavity to the size of the guest) and of a thermodynamic factor (a driving force bringing the guest into the cavity). Altogether, the differential effects induced by α, βCD or γCD on coniferyl alcohol and the neo-synthesized dimers oxidation match well both the physico-chemical properties of guests and CDs structural differences (i.e. cavity volume and rigidity). Dimers 2 to 4 contain each at least one aromatic 2-metoxyphenol moiety inherited from their precursor the coniferyl alcohol molecule (1) (see scheme 1). The molecular volume of 2-metoxyphenol is estimated to be roughly 180 Å³. Although an α-CD:coniferyl alcohol inclusion complex has been postulated from 1D ¹H NMR experiments it seems that the internal cavity of αCD (174 Å³) might be eventually too small to stably accommodate neither the substrate nor the neo-synthesized dimers. On the other hand, γ (427 Å³) and β (262 Å³) CDs are both likely capable to accommodate molecules of the volume of guaiacol and larger. As γCD is both larger and more flexible than βCD, γCD:dimer complexes are potentially less stable than βCD:dimer complexes and that can account for observed kinetic differences. The absence of any "CD effect" on the oxidation kinetics of coniferyl alcohol (1) suggests that CDs may have a significantly less affinity for 1 than for the neo-synthesized dimers. Excepting αCD the cavity of which is probably too small (vide supra), γ and βCD cavities could be too large to stabilize 1. Beyond the internal CD cavity volume, a simple examination of theoretical values describing the hydrophobic character and the water solubility of the ligand molecules point 1 as the least favourable ligand for forming an inclusion complex with CDs amongst the molecules we tested (3>2>4>1).

CD:guest complexes were studied by ¹H NMR experiments as their formation induce shifts of host and guest representative signals. Initially, the equilibrium between the constituents of a given complex was challenged in a titration of a low concentration of ligand (i.e. 500 x 10⁻⁶ M) with increasing concentrations of CDs. Association constants (Kₐ) extracted
potential guest molecules, from Scott plots (vide supra) chemical properties of ligands (vide supra). Ka values for a potential 1:CD complex are extremely low and their significance questionable. Both the absence of substantial displacements of host and/or guest molecules as often found in inclusion complexes. Highlighting intra and inter-molecular spatial proximity below 6Å the Nuclear Overhauser Effect is directly correlated with the inter-nuclear distance of the two observed protons. Beyond the observation of 1H chemical shift displacements of host and/or guest molecules as often found in descriptions of ligand:CD complexes, this approach allows a more accurate description of inclusion complexes classifying interatomic distances from each CD proton. For each complex, we studied the cross peaks involving H3 and H5 protons from the inside of the CDs cavity and the H6 proton located out at the narrow rim crown. 2D-ROESY spectra in which the intermolecular cross peaks are highlighted are presented in supplementary information (Fig. S13). Next, we used rotating frame nuclear Overhauser Effect Spectroscopy (2D-ROESY) experiments to further characterize 1, 2, 3 and 4. βCD inclusion complexes. Highlighting intra and inter-molecular spatial proximity below 6Å the nuclear Overhauser Effect is widely used for the characterization of host-guest intermolecular interactions. Globally, disregarding relaxation and molecular motions, in the phase sensitive 2D-ROESY experiments the integrated intensity of the cross-peaks is directly correlated with the inter-nuclear distance of the two observed protons. Beyond the observation of 1H chemical shift displacements of host and/or guest molecules as often found in descriptions of ligand:CD complexes, this approach allows a more accurate description of inclusion complexes classifying interatomic distances from each CD proton. For each complex, we studied the cross peaks involving H3 and H5 protons from the inside of the CDs cavity and the H6 proton located out at the narrow rim (crown). 2D-ROESY spectra in which the intermolecular cross-peaks are highlighted are presented in supplementary information (Fig. S14 and S15). These NMR data are consistent with a potential insertion of lignans 2, 3 or 4 into the βCD cavity and their stabilization at the narrow rim. A scheme of a potential inclusion complex reflecting correlations observed between protons H2, H5, H6 of 3 and protons H’3, H’5, H’6 of βCD is proposed in Figure 3. However, as CD may also form non-inclusion complexes with guest molecules,2 a stabilization of dimers 2, 3 and 4 at the narrow rim but outside the cavity cannot be formally ruled out. Whatever their structure (i.e. inclusion or non-inclusion), βCD-guest complexes stabilize dimers 2, 3 and 4 thereby offering them a protection against oxidation.

**Figure 3. Schematic view of a potential βCD/(±)-pinoresinol inclusion complex as deduced from 2D-ROESY data.** Double arrows indicate spatial dipolar interactions classified from each CD proton: close (red), intermediate (green), far (blue).

Considering the above results, only βCD was further investigated. Proportions of dimers 2, 3 and 4 derived from the oxidation of 1 were found insensitive to a variation of the β-CD concentration (0-13 x 10^{-3} M range). Whereas in several cases of catalysed reactions the presence of βCD has been shown to influence the selectivity1-4, 16-20, there is here no selectivity in the oxidation of 1 (as assessed by separation of the neosynthesized dimeric products on a chiral column) simply because 1 is not (or too weakly) interacting with the CD. Besides, amounts of 2 and 3 remaining non-oxidized in the reaction mixture were found to follow a saturation profile as the concentration of βCD increased (Fig. 4).

**Figure 4. Influence of varying the concentration of βCD on final concentrations of dimers 2 and 3.** Dimers 2 and 3 (2.5 x 10^{-3} M) were incubated with the laccase LAC3 (5 U.L^{-1}) at 30°C in the presence of variable concentrations (0-13 x 10^{-6} M) of βCD for 6 hours. C = dimer concentration in the absence of βCD; Cβdimer = dimer concentration in the presence of βCD. Fits (dotted lines) were obtained using a one-phase association (exponential) model.

Comparatively, the amplitude of the variation in dimer concentrations in the presence of βCD versus in the absence of βCD is higher for dimer 3 than for dimer 2 (note that the concentration of 4 was too low to be properly analysed). Since oxidation rates of dimers 2 and 3 obtained in the presence of βCD are quite similar (see Fig. 2), this behaviour is totally attributable to differences in oxidation rates of the dimers by the enzyme alone. On the other hand, the protective effect of βCD on dimer oxidation decreased as the initial concentration of 1 increased (Fig. S16). This is both related to the rate of dimer synthesis and to the formation of dimer:CD complexes that is limited by the concentration of βCD (12-13 x 10^{-3} M). With a LAC3 apparent Michaelis constant (K_{mapp}) value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>βCD</th>
<th>γCD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1065</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Association constants (M^{-1}) measured for lignans/CD complexes.
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Supplementary Information

1. Enzymes and chemicals
The laccase LAC3 (from *Trametes* sp C30) was produced in *Aspergillus niger* and purified as described previously.\(^1\) Protein concentration was estimated by UV-visible spectroscopy using an \(\epsilon_{600\text{ nm}} = 5 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}\) for the T1 copper. Laccase activity of the purified protein solution was assayed using 2,2’-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS). One unit (U) of laccase oxidizes one micromole of ABTS per minute.

Alpha-cyclodextrin (αCD), beta-cyclodextrin (βCD) and gamma-cyclodextrin (γCD) were obtained from Aldrich or TCI Europe. Beta-cyclodextrin (βCD) was recrystallized twice in 60 % ethanol. Dehydrodiconiferyl alcohols (2) and (±)-pinoresinols (3) were obtained from the bioconversion of coniferyl alcohol (1) (purchased from Sigma France) catalysed by the enzyme LAC3, purified by preparative chromatography (silica) and characterized by \(^1\)H NMR and mass spectrometry. All other chemicals were purchased from Sigma France.

2. Synthesis of (±)-guaiaacylglycerol 8-O-4’-coniferyl alcohol ethers (4)
4 was obtained by oxidation of 1 in the presence of silver oxide (Ag\(_2\)O) following a protocol described Kishimoto et al., 2015.\(^2\) The product of interest was purified by preparative chromatography (silica) and characterized by \(^1\)H NMR and mass spectrometry.

3. Coniferyl alcohol oxidation in the presence of CD
Oxidation of coniferyl alcohol was performed at 30°C in Britton-Robinson buffer adjusted at pH 5.0 and oxidation products were detected by reverse phase HPLC. A typical reaction mixture (1 mL) contained LAC3 (5 U/L) and coniferyl alcohol (2.5 \(10^{-3}\) M) in the absence or presence of CD (up to 12 \(10^{-3}\) M). The reaction proceeded for 8-hours and was initiated by the addition of the enzyme. For each time-point, the reaction was stopped by mixing 100-µL aliquot to 100 µL acetonitrile containing 2.85 mM benzophenone. 30 µL was injected on C18 column (EC150/4 Nucleosil® 100-5-18 Macherey-Nagel™) and analyzed by HPLC (Waters® Alliance® 2690/2690D Separations Module) with the following gradient: solvent A water containing 3% acetic acid, solvent B acetonitrile; t=0min 90%A 10%B, t=5min 90%A 10%B, t=25 min 50% A 50% B, t= 27 min 50% A 50% B, t=28 min 90%A 10% B, t=30 min 90%A 10%B. Coniferyl alcohol, dehydrodiconiferyl alcohol, pinoresinol and benzophenone were eluted at 4.0 min, 6.6 min, 8.2 min and 16.6 min, respectively. Quantity was normalized using benzophenone as internal reference.

Apparent \(K_M\) and \(k_{cat}\) values were obtained from the initial rate (v), enzyme concentration (E) and substrate concentration (S) according to the equation \(v = k_{cat} \cdot E \cdot S / (K_M + S)\). Inhibition kinetics data were obtained using appropriate equations. All data were determined using non-linear regression fitting using Prism software, Graphpad, San Diego, (CA). Because laccase catalysis involves two
substrates and the \([O_2]\) was invariant and assumed to be saturating in this study, the measured \(K_M\) for the various substrates used should be considered apparent. Because of the assumption that 100% of the laccase participated in the catalysis as active enzyme, the measured \(k_{cat}\) should also be considered apparent.

4. Fitting kinetics

The oxidation of coniferyl alcohol (1) by the enzyme laccase is a mono-electronic process leading to the transient formation of a radical species (1•) (eq. 1). Radical molecules recombine to give dimers 2, 3 and 4 according to eq. 2. Dimers are themselves subsequently oxidized by the enzyme (eq. 2, 4, 5) in a reaction similar to the oxidation of 1.

\[
\begin{align*}
1 & \xrightarrow{k_1^{-1}H^+ - e^-} 1' \\
\text{n}1' & \xrightarrow{k_{\text{dim}}} m2 + k3 + l4 \\
2 & \xrightarrow{k_2^{-1}H^+ - e^-} 2' \\
3 & \xrightarrow{k_3^{-1}H^+ - e^-} 3' \\
4 & \xrightarrow{k_4^{-1}H^+ - e^-} 4'
\end{align*}
\] (eq. 1) (eq. 2) (eq. 3) (eq. 4) (eq. 5)

Kinetic data presented in Figure 1 from the main article were simulated using the stochastic kinetics simulator Kinetiscope (freeware)\(^3\). In the simulation, we introduced:

- the initial concentration of coniferyl alcohol \([1] = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}\) M
- rate constants from (eq. 1, 3, 4, 5) initially derived from experimental data presented in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 from the main article: \(k_1 = 3.3 \text{ h}^{-1}\); \(k_2 = 0.3 \text{ h}^{-1}\); \(k_3 = 0.5 \text{ h}^{-1}\); \(k_4 = 0.25 \text{ h}^{-1}\)
- a bi-molecular constant \(k_{\text{dim}}\) from (eq. 2) arbitrary set to a value of \(\gg k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4\) (i.e. \(10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}\)). With this approximation we recognize the recombination of radicals as a process considerably faster than oxidation steps and we do not distinguish the formation of each dimer 2, 3 and 4 (eq. 2).

The model obtained (Fig. SI1) is compatible with experimental data (Fig. 1 main text).

\[\text{simulation}\]

![Simulation graph]

Figure SI1. Simulation of the formation of lignans from the oxidation of coniferyl alcohol. Stochastic calculations performed with the freeware Kinetiscope. Initial parameters: \([1] = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}\) M, \(k_1 = 3.3 \text{ h}^{-1}\); \(k_2 = 0.3 \text{ h}^{-1}\); \(k_3 = 0.5 \text{ h}^{-1}\); \(k_4 = 0.25 \text{ h}^{-1}\).
Eventually, kinetics of the two successive oxidations leading to the formation and to the disappearance of each dimer (see Fig. 1 panels 2 and 3 main text) can be fitted using the simple model:

\[
\text{A} \xrightarrow{k_1} \text{B} \xrightarrow{k_2} \text{C}
\]

treated as a succession of order 1 kinetics in which the dimerization step is not influencing the rate (i.e. \(k_{\text{dim}} \gg k_1, k_2\)).

\[
Y = \left(\frac{a \cdot k_1}{k_2 - k_1}\right) \times \left(\exp(-k_1 \times X) - \exp(-k_2 \times X)\right)
\]

with \(k_1\) = rate constant for the oxidation of the alcohol and \(k_2\) = rate constant for the oxidation of the dimer.

5. **Determination of the apparent association constant and complex structure by NMR**

All experiments were performed at 298K in D\textsubscript{2}O on a Bruker Advance III 600MHz spectrometer, equipped with a 5mm triple resonance high resolution probe. All NMR datasets were processed in TOPSPIN 3.2 version (Bruker BioSpin, Germany). Proton NMR spectra were acquired with a spectral width of 6000 Hz and relaxation delay of 2s during which a water pre-saturation was applied.

\(K_a\) values were extracted from Scott plots \(\frac{[\text{CD}]}{\Delta \delta_{\text{obs}}} = \frac{[\text{CD}]}{\Delta \delta_{\text{c}}} + \frac{1}{K_a \Delta \delta_{\text{c}}}\).

\(\delta_l\) = chemical shift of a proton from the ligand or from the free CD.

\(\delta_c\) = chemical shift of the same proton in the ligand:CD complex.

\(\Delta \delta_{\text{obs}} = \delta_l - \delta_c\)

Plots for \(\beta\text{CD}\) complexes are given in Figure SI2.

![Figure SI2. Representation of the ligand: \(\beta\text{CD}\) interaction (Scott plots). \([\text{Ligand}] = 0.5 \times 10^{-3}\text{M}, [\beta\text{CD}] = 2-7 \times 10^{-3}\text{M}.

The stoichiometry for all the CD:guest complexes was studied plotting the extend of the shifts as function of the evolution of the molar ratio between the CD (\(\beta\) or \(\gamma\text{CD}\)) and the ligand (Job Plots). Plots for \(\beta\text{CD}\) complexes are given in Figure SI3.
For complex structure analysis, 2D phase-sensitive ROESY were acquired by pulse field gradient-selected methods, with 32 scans and 2048-time domain in F2, and 384 experiments in F1 by using the TPPI method and a mixing time (spin-lock) of 200 ms at a field of 6 kHz.

![Figure SI3](image1)

**Figure SI3. Representation of the ligand: βCD interaction (Job plots).** [Ligand] = 0–8 10⁻⁸ M, [βCD] = 8–0 10⁻³ M. Chemical shifts from βCD protons H1, H2 and H5.

The βCD/pinoresinol complex deduced from the observed strong, medium, and low ROESY correlations effects between protons H₂, H₅, H₆ of (±)-pinoresinol and protons H'₃, H'₅, H'₆ of βCD is presented in the main text (Fig. 3). The proposed model is consolidated by strong interactions between protons H₈ and H₉ of (±)-pinoresinol with protons H'₅ of βCD (red double arrows).

It should be pointed out that only unambiguous correlations were considered. Those with overlapping patterns potentially involving intramolecular interactions were discarded as for example in the coniferyl alcohol 2D-ROESY map (see red rectangle in panel B of Fig. SI.4).

![Figure SI4](image2)

**Figure SI4. Fragments of ROESY spectra showing the intermolecular interactions between protons from ligands and proton from βCD.** Panel A, ligand = (±)-pinoresinol; panel B, ligand = coniferyl alcohol.

Interaction of dehydro di-coniferyl alcohol with β-CD led to splitting of some peaks in the 2D-ROESY spectrum indicating the presence of diastereoselective complexes that exhibit different cross peaks. The model proposed in Figure SI5 is based on strong interactions between H'₃ and H'₅ of βCD with the
H$_{15}$ of dehydro di-coniferyl alcohol (red double arrow). The orientation of dehydro di-coniferyl alcohol inside the βCD cavity is based on the interaction of H'$_6$ and H'$_3$ with H$_{16}$ and H$_9$, respectively (green double arrows). However, this deep inclusion concerns only one of the two stereoisomers since the other H$_{15}$ presents lower cross peaks. Therefore, only the complex with the deepest inclusion is illustrated in Figure SI5.

Figure SI5. Fragments of ROESY spectra showing the intermolecular interactions between protons from dehydro di-coniferyl alcohol and proton from βCD. Panel A, zoom in the interactions between the proton H$_{15}$ of dehydro di-coniferyl alcohol and protons H'$_3$ and H'$_5$ of βCD; panel B, zoom in the interactions between the proton H$_9$ of dehydro di-coniferyl alcohol and protons H'$_3$ of βCD (figure panel D); panel C, zoom in the interactions between the proton H$_{16}$ of dehydro di-coniferyl alcohol and protons H'$_5$ and H'$_6$ of βCD (figure panel D); panel D, scheme of the potential interactions; double arrows indicate spatial dipolar interactions classified from each CD proton: close (red), intermediate (green).

6. Evolution of dimers production as function of the initial coniferyl alcohol concentration

Oxidation of coniferyl alcohol was performed and analysed as described earlier in the text (see paragraph 2). A typical reaction mixture (1 mL) contained LAC3 (5 U/L) coniferyl alcohol (2.5, 5 or 10 $10^{-3}$ M) in the presence (or absence) of 12 $10^{-3}$ M of βCD.

Figure SI6. Effects of a variable initial concentrations of coniferyl alcohol on the formation of dimers in the presence of βCD.