



HAL
open science

Efficient generation of energetic ions in multi-ion plasmas by radio-frequency heating

O. Kazakov, J. Ongena, E. Lerche, M. Mantsinen, D. Van eester, V. Kiptily,
Y. Li, M. Nocente, F. Nabais, M. Nave, et al.

► To cite this version:

O. Kazakov, J. Ongena, E. Lerche, M. Mantsinen, D. Van eester, et al.. Efficient generation of energetic ions in multi-ion plasmas by radio-frequency heating. *Nature Physics*, 2017, 13 (10), pp.973-978. 10.1038/NPHYS4167 . cea-01898634

HAL Id: cea-01898634

<https://cea.hal.science/cea-01898634>

Submitted on 14 May 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Efficient generation of energetic ions in multi-ion plasmas by radio-frequency heating

Ye. O. Kazakov^{1*}, J. Ongena¹, J. C. Wright², S. J. Wukitch², E. Lerche^{1,3}, M. J. Mantsinen^{4,5}, D. Van Eester¹, T. Craciunescu⁶, V. G. Kiptily³, Y. Lin², M. Nocente^{7,8}, F. Nabais⁹, M. F. F. Nave⁹, Y. Baranov³, J. Bielecki¹⁰, R. Bilato¹¹, V. Bobkov¹¹, K. Crombé^{1,12}, A. Czarnecka¹³, J. M. Faustin¹⁴, R. Felton³, M. Fitzgerald³, D. Gallart⁴, L. Giacomelli⁸, T. Golfopoulos², A. E. Hubbard², Ph. Jacquet³, T. Johnson¹⁵, M. Lennholm^{16,17}, T. Loarer¹⁸, M. Porkolab², S. E. Sharapov³, D. Valcarcel³, M. Van Schoor¹, H. Weisen¹⁴, JET Contributors[†] and the Alcator C-Mod Team[†]

We describe a new technique for the efficient generation of high-energy ions with electromagnetic ion cyclotron waves in multi-ion plasmas. The discussed ‘three-ion’ scenarios are especially suited for strong wave absorption by a very low number of resonant ions. To observe this effect, the plasma composition has to be properly adjusted, as prescribed by theory. We demonstrate the potential of the method on the world-largest plasma magnetic confinement device, JET (Joint European Torus, Culham, UK), and the high-magnetic-field tokamak Alcator C-Mod (Cambridge, USA). The obtained results demonstrate efficient acceleration of ³He ions to high energies in dedicated hydrogen–deuterium mixtures. Simultaneously, effective plasma heating is observed, as a result of the slowing-down of the fast ³He ions. The developed technique is not only limited to laboratory plasmas, but can also be applied to explain observations of energetic ions in space-plasma environments, in particular, ³He-rich solar flares.

In magnetized plasmas, charged particles gyrate around the magnetic field lines with their characteristic cyclotron frequencies $\omega_{cs} = q_s B / m_s$, where q_s is the particle’s charge, m_s is the particle’s mass, and B is the local magnitude of the magnetic field. A variety of strong wave–particle interactions is possible when the wave frequency is close to the particle’s cyclotron frequency or its harmonics^{1–3}. Ion cyclotron resonance heating (ICRH) is a powerful tool used in toroidal magnetic fusion research. In recent decades, several efficient ICRH scenarios were identified theoretically and verified experimentally^{2–4}. In brief, this technique relies on external excitation of fast magnetosonic waves in the plasma, using specially designed ICRH antennas located at the edge of the device (see Fig. 1a). Antennas consist of a series of metallic straps that carry radio-frequency (RF) currents at a given frequency delivered by an external generator. The radially varying toroidal magnetic field then determines the location of the ion cyclotron layers $\omega = p\omega_{ci}$ ($p = 1, 2, \dots$), in the vicinity of which the RF power can be efficiently absorbed by ions.

The electric field of the excited fast waves can be decomposed as a sum of the left-hand polarized component E_+ , rotating in the sense of ions, and the oppositely rotating right-hand component E_- .

Wave absorption by non-energetic ions is evidently facilitated by the presence of a sufficiently large E_+ near the ion cyclotron resonance. To illustrate this, we note that fundamental cyclotron heating in single-ion plasmas is ineffective since E_+ almost vanishes at $\omega \approx \omega_{ci}$.

The choice of plasma composition, namely the number of ion species and their relative concentrations, allows one to control the radial dependence of the ratio E_+/E_- . In two-ion plasmas composed of one main ion species and a few per cent of minority ions with q_i/m_i different from that for the main ions, RF power absorption at the minority ion cyclotron frequency is strongly enhanced^{5,6}. These minority heating scenarios benefit from the enhanced E_+ in the vicinity of the ion–ion hybrid (IIH) cutoff-resonance pair, located close to the minority cyclotron resonance². If the IIH layer is not present in the plasma, as is the case at very low minority concentrations in two-ion plasmas, the RF power absorption by minorities is very limited. On the other hand, at minority concentrations significantly above the optimal value of a few per cent, the IIH pair is located too far away from the minority cyclotron layer, thus further reducing their absorption efficiency. Instead, such plasmas are typically used for localized electron heating through mode conversion (see ref. 7 for more details).

¹Laboratory for Plasma Physics, LPP-ERM/KMS, TEC Partner, 1000 Brussels, Belgium. ²Plasma Science and Fusion Center, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA. ³Culham Centre for Fusion Energy (CCFE), Culham Science Centre, Abingdon OX14 3DB, UK.

⁴Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BSC), 08034 Barcelona, Spain. ⁵ICREA, Pg. Lluis Companys 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain. ⁶National Institute for Laser, Plasma and Radiation Physics, 077126 Bucharest, Romania. ⁷Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Milano-Bicocca, 20126 Milan, Italy. ⁸Istituto di Fisica del Plasma, CNR, 20125 Milan, Italy. ⁹Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, IST, Universidade de Lisboa, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal. ¹⁰Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, 31-342 Krakow, Poland. ¹¹Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, 85748 Garching, Germany. ¹²Department of Applied Physics, Ghent University, 9000 Gent, Belgium. ¹³Institute of Plasma Physics and Laser Microfusion, 01-497 Warsaw, Poland. ¹⁴EPFL, Swiss Plasma Center (SPC), 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland. ¹⁵KTH Royal Institute of Technology, 114 28 Stockholm, Sweden. ¹⁶European Commission, 1049 Brussels, Belgium. ¹⁷JET Exploitation Unit, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon OX14 3DB, UK. ¹⁸CEA, IRFM, 13108 Saint-Paul-Lez-Durance, France. [†]A full list of authors and affiliations appear at the end of the paper. *e-mail: yevgen.kazakov@rma.ac.be




Figure 1 | A new technique for fast-ion generation in magnetized multi-ion plasmas. The goal of our study is to validate that, in properly chosen multi-ion plasmas, electromagnetic ion cyclotron waves can be effectively absorbed by a very low number of resonant ions at $\omega \approx \omega_{ci}$. This technique opens the possibility of high-efficiency generation of energetic ions in magnetized plasmas. **a**, Inside view of the world-largest magnetic confinement fusion device, Joint European Torus, showing different ion cyclotron resonance heating (ICRH) antennas at the edge. The insert shows an example of the computed RF electric field pattern in a cross-section of the JET plasma. **b**, ‘Three-ion’ scenarios require resonant ions with a $(Z/A)_2 < (Z/A)_3 < (Z/A)_1$, essentially following the ‘sandwich’ principle. The figure shows the fraction of RF power absorbed by ^3He minority ions for the D–(^3He)–H three-ion scenario as a function of H and ^3He concentrations. The computations were made by the TOMCAT code for the parameters of the JET experiments discussed in this paper ($B_0 = 3.2$ T, $f = 32.5$ MHz, $n_{e0} = 4 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$, $T_0 = 4$ keV, $k_{||}^{(ant)} = 3.4 \text{ m}^{-1}$). The zones within the dashed lines correspond to a single-pass absorption larger than 50%. The code predicts wave absorption by a tiny amount of ^3He ions (~ 0.1 – 0.2%) in H–D plasmas with H concentrations in the range 70–80%, in agreement with equation (2).

There is, however, an elegant way to use mixture plasmas to channel RF power to ions: simply add a third ion species with a cyclotron resonance layer close to the IHH cutoff-resonance pair. Under these conditions, a new IHH pair appears in close proximity to the cyclotron resonance of the third ion species, even if their concentration is extremely low! For this heating scheme to work, the Z/A value of the resonant ions should be ‘sandwiched’ between that of the two main plasma ions

$$(Z/A)_2 < (Z/A)_3 < (Z/A)_1 \quad (1)$$

where Z_i and A_i are the charge state and the atomic mass of ion species i . We use indices ‘1’ and ‘2’ for the main ions with the largest and lowest cyclotron frequencies, respectively, and index ‘3’ for the absorbing minority. Depositing nearly all RF power to a very small number of minority ions is maximized in plasmas with main ion concentrations^{8,9}

$$X_1^* \approx \frac{1}{Z_1} \frac{(Z/A)_1 - (Z/A)_3}{(Z/A)_1 - (Z/A)_2}, \quad X_2^* \approx \frac{1}{Z_2} \frac{(Z/A)_3 - (Z/A)_2}{(Z/A)_1 - (Z/A)_2} \quad (2)$$

where $X_i = n_i/n_e$. Heating minority ions at higher concentrations is equally possible; plasma mixtures with $X_i \gtrsim X_i^*$ are more optimal in this case¹⁰. The method can also be extended to plasmas containing more than three ion species by slightly adapting the plasma composition. For proof-of-principle demonstration, we select a plasma mixture composed of two hydrogen isotopes, H ions with $(Z/A) = 1$ and the heavier D ions with $(Z/A) = 1/2$, and ^3He ions with their unique $(Z/A) = 2/3$ as a resonant absorber. Equation (2) predicts that ^3He ions should efficiently absorb RF power in H–D (or H– ^4He) plasmas if the hydrogen concentration is $\sim 67\%$. This is supported by modelling with the TOMCAT code¹¹, using plasma parameters relevant for the JET experiments described below. Figure 1b shows dominant RF power absorption by a small amount of ^3He ions, down to concentrations $X[^3\text{He}] \approx 0.1$ – 0.2% . Plasma heating with the three-ion D–(^3He)–H scenario at higher $X[^3\text{He}] \approx 0.5$ – 1% is equally possible. We note that the recipe

for the plasma composition given by equation (2) is valid for fast magnetosonic waves, excited at the low magnetic field side and propagating towards regions with increasing B , as in most of present-day fusion machines.

Efficient plasma heating with three-ion ICRH scenarios

A series of dedicated experiments were performed on the Alcator C-Mod tokamak¹² (MIT, Cambridge, USA; major radius $R_0 \approx 0.67$ m, minor radius $a_{pl} \approx 0.23$ m) and on the world-largest magnetic fusion device JET (Joint European Torus, Culham, UK; $R_0 \approx 3$ m, $a_{pl} \approx 1$ m). The goal of these studies was to demonstrate that indeed a small amount of ^3He ions can efficiently absorb RF power in H–D mixtures. The Alcator C-Mod experiments were run at high central electron densities $n_{e0} \approx (2\text{--}3) \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$ and very high toroidal magnetic field $B_0 = 7.8$ T at a plasma current $I_p = 1.2$ MA. In the JET experiments, $n_{e0} \approx 4 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ and $B_0 = 3.2$ T, $I_p = 2.0$ MA were used. Accordingly, ICRH frequencies $f = \omega/2\pi = 78.0$ – 80.0 MHz (Alcator C-Mod) and $f = 32.2$ – 33.0 MHz (JET) were chosen to locate the ^3He cyclotron resonance in the plasma centre in both devices. The Alcator C-Mod plasmas were heated with 4–5 MW of ICRH power only. In JET plasmas, 3.2 MW of neutral beam injection (NBI) was added prior to applying ~ 4 MW of ICRH.

Figure 2 shows the time evolution of the central electron temperature T_{e0} and plasma stored energy W_p in response to the applied ICRH on Alcator C-Mod and on JET. These results confirm our earlier predictions (Fig. 1b) for the efficiency of ^3He absorption at concentrations of a few per mille (‰) in H–D plasmas. The optimal ^3He concentration for this scenario in C-Mod plasmas was approximately $X[^3\text{He}] \approx 0.5\%$. In JET, even lower ^3He concentrations $\sim 0.2\%$ were successfully applied.

In JET experiments, the edge isotopic ratio H/(H + D) was varied between 0.73 and 0.92 and the ^3He concentration between 0.1% and 1.5% to assess the sensitivity of ICRH on the detailed plasma composition. The core hydrogen concentration was estimated from the measured edge H/(H + D) ratio as $X[\text{H}] \approx 0.9 \times \text{H}/(\text{H} + \text{D})$, accounting for the presence of impurities in the plasma and additional D core fuelling from the D-NBI system. We find efficient




Figure 2 | Illustration of the performance of the D-(³He)-H three-ion ICRH scenario on Alcator C-Mod and JET tokamaks. a, Alcator C-Mod three-ion heating pulse (#1160901009, $X[{}^3\text{He}] \approx 0.5\%$, red) and (³He)-D pulse (#1160823003, $X[{}^3\text{He}] \approx 5-7\%$, black). **b**, JET three-ion heating pulses #90753 ($X[\text{H}] \approx 68-74\%$, $X[{}^3\text{He}] \approx 0.2-0.4\%$, blue) and #90758 ($X[\text{H}] \approx 80-82\%$, $X[{}^3\text{He}] \approx 0.1-0.3\%$, red). Whereas a few % of ³He is needed for minority heating in H or D majority plasmas, strong wave absorption in H-D plasmas is achieved with about ten times less ³He.

plasma heating for a fairly broad range of the isotopic ratio (see also Supplementary Figs 5 and 6). In particular, central plasma heating with $\Delta T_{e0}/\Delta P_{\text{ICRH}} > 0.5 \text{ keV MW}^{-1}$ was observed for H/(H + D) $\approx 0.78-0.91$ mixtures at ³He concentrations below 0.5%.

Figure 2a also includes the evolution of T_{e0} and W_p for ³He minority heating in the Alcator C-Mod D plasma with $X[{}^3\text{He}] \approx 5-7\%$ (pulse 1160823003). Compared to this (³He)-D scenario, the three-ion heating scenario in C-Mod showed a larger increase in the plasma stored energy ($\Delta W_p/\Delta P_{\text{ICRH}} = 22 \text{ kJ MW}^{-1}$ versus 14 kJ MW^{-1}).

A direct comparison of the heating performance of the three-ion discharges was not possible for the JET discharges discussed here. However, it can be assessed comparing the measured thermal plasma energy to that derived from a so-called scaling law. These scaling laws predict the energy confinement value for a given plasma experiment as a function of specific engineering parameters (I_p, B_0, n_e, \dots ; ref. 13) and result from a statistical analysis of data collected from multiple tokamaks worldwide. Here, we use the well-established ITERL96-P and IPB98(y,2) scalings for the energy confinement time τ_E (equations (24) and (20) in ref. 13) for L-mode and H-mode tokamak plasmas. τ_E is the characteristic time during which the plasma maintains its energy if the heating power is suddenly switched off¹. Under stationary conditions it is given by the ratio of the stored plasma energy divided by the total heating power. Supplementary Figs 1–4 show the results obtained for L-mode JET discharges heated with different ICRH minority scenarios, including the ratios $\tau_E/\tau_{E,\text{scaling}}$. From the definition of τ_E given above, it follows immediately that $\tau_E/\tau_{E,\text{scaling}}$ is equal to the ratio of the corresponding stored energies. For the three-ion heating pulse #90758 (Fig. 2b), we obtain $\tau_E/\tau_{\text{IPB98}(y,2)} \approx 0.85-0.88$ and $\tau_E/\tau_{\text{ITERL96-P}} \approx 1.43-1.48$. This compares very well to $\tau_E/\tau_{E,\text{scaling}}$ values for the excellent (H)-D minority heating scenario in JET plasmas (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Efficient generation of high-energy ions

Energetic ions play a crucial role in fusion plasmas¹⁴. Indeed, the success of magnetic fusion relies upon good confinement of fast alpha particles (⁴He ions with birth energies 3.5 MeV). This is

required to sustain high plasma temperatures and for economical operation of a fusion reactor¹. However, these energetic ⁴He ions can also trigger instabilities that degrade the plasma performance. To mimic the behaviour of fusion-born alphas, but without actually using D-T plasmas, ICRH has been extensively used in the past.

For fundamental ion cyclotron absorption the acquired ion energies scale with the absorbed RF power per particle¹⁵. Since three-ion scenarios allow minimizing the number of resonant particles down to ‰ levels, ions with rather high energies can be generated. For plasma densities and ICRH power levels available in the JET and C-Mod experiments, self-consistent power deposition computations with the codes AORSA¹⁶, PION¹⁷ and SCENIC¹⁸ predicted acceleration of ³He ions to energies of a few MeV.

Figure 2b shows fast repetitive drops in T_{e0} (so-called ‘sawtooth’ oscillations) with a period of $\sim 0.2 \text{ s}$ during the NBI-only phase of JET pulses #90753 and #90758 ($t = 7-8 \text{ s}$). Extended sawtooth periods up to $\sim 1.0 \text{ s}$ are seen when ICRH is applied on top of NBI. Similarly, in the three-ion Alcator C-Mod discharge in Fig. 2a, the sawtooth period increases from $\sim 0.13 \text{ s}$ during the 2 MW ICRH phase to $\sim 0.23 \text{ s}$ during the 4 MW phase. The observation of long-period sawteeth is a first indication of the creation of energetic ions by ICRH, as the presence of fast ions in a plasma is well known to have a stabilizing effect on sawteeth^{19,20}.

An independent confirmation of accelerating ³He ions to high energies is provided by gamma-ray emission spectroscopy on JET^{21,22}. Figure 3a shows the gamma-ray spectrum for pulse #90753 during $t = 8-14 \text{ s}$ ($P_{\text{ICRH}} = 4.4 \text{ MW}$), recorded with the LaBr₃ spectrometer²³. The observed lines originate from ⁹Be(³He, $p\gamma$)¹¹B and ⁹Be(³He, $n\gamma$)¹¹C nuclear reactions between fast ³He ions and beryllium (⁹Be) impurities. These impurities are intrinsically present in JET plasmas with the ITER-like wall. The reported plasmas were contaminated with $\sim 0.5\%$ ⁹Be, as estimated by charge exchange measurements.

The observation of the $E_\gamma \approx 4.44 \text{ MeV}$ line implies immediately the presence of confined fast ³He ions with energies $> 0.9 \text{ MeV}$ (ref. 21). Alpha particles, born in concurrent ³He-D fusion reactions, also contribute to the gamma-emission at this energy




Figure 3 | Gamma-ray emission from ${}^3\text{He} + {}^9\text{Be}$ nuclear reactions, proving the presence of energetic ICRH-accelerated ${}^3\text{He}$ ions. **a**, Gamma-ray spectra measured in JET pulse #90753 (three-ion scenario, $X[{}^3\text{He}] \approx 0.2\text{--}0.4\%$, red) and in pulse #91323 (${}^3\text{He}$ –H scenario, $X[{}^3\text{He}] \approx 1\text{--}2\%$, blue). The error bars represent the square root of the number of counts in each channel of the spectrum and arise from the underlying Poisson statistics of the gamma-ray detection process. **b, c**, The JET plasma cross-section and 19 lines-of-sight of the neutron/gamma camera. The reconstructed high-energy gamma-ray emission ($E_\gamma = 4.5\text{--}9.0$ MeV) visualizes the population of the confined energetic ${}^3\text{He}$ ions ($E[{}^3\text{He}] > 1\text{--}2$ MeV). Pulses #90752 (**b**) and #90753 (**c**) had a nearly identical plasma composition ($X[\text{H}] \approx 70\text{--}75\%$, $X[{}^3\text{He}] \approx 0.2\text{--}0.4\%$) and RF heating power ($P_{\text{ICRH}} = 4.3\text{--}4.4$ MW), except for the ICRH antenna phasing. A factor-of-two increase in the γ -ray emissivity was observed in pulse #90753, in which 2 MW of RF power was coupled to the plasma with $+\pi/2$ phasing (see text for more details).

through ${}^4\text{He} + {}^9\text{Be}$ reactions. Figure 3a also shows a number of characteristic gamma lines at $E_\gamma > 4.44$ MeV, originating from transitions between higher excited states of ${}^{11}\text{B}$ and ${}^{11}\text{C}$ nuclei (products of ${}^3\text{He} + {}^9\text{Be}$ reactions). The excitation efficiency for such high-energy levels increases by a factor of ten when the energy of the projectile ${}^3\text{He}$ ions increases from 1 MeV to 2 MeV (ref. 24). For comparison, we also display the γ -spectrum recorded in JET pulse #91323, in which ${}^3\text{He}$ ions ($\approx 1\text{--}2\%$) were heated as a minority with up to 7.6 MW of ICRH in an almost pure H plasma (see Supplementary Fig. 3). Figure 3a clearly shows higher gamma-count rates for the three-ion pulse #90753 ($X[{}^3\text{He}] \approx 0.2\text{--}0.4\%$), although a factor of two less ICRH power was injected into the plasma.

In JET, we further enhanced the efficiency for fast-ion generation by changing the configuration of ICRH antennas from dipole to $+\pi/2$ phasing. The phasing defines the dominant k_{\parallel} and the spectrum of emitted waves, where k_{\parallel} is the wavenumber parallel to B . The $+\pi/2$ phasing launches waves predominantly in the direction of the plasma current with typical values $|k_{\parallel}^{\text{(ant)}}| \approx 3.4\text{ m}^{-1}$, which is two times smaller than for dipole phasing ($|k_{\parallel}^{\text{(ant)}}| \approx 6.7\text{ m}^{-1}$). Since the width of the absorption zone scales with $|k_{\parallel}|$, reducing it has the advantage of increasing the absorbed RF power per ion. Furthermore, the $+\pi/2$ phasing allows one to exploit the RF-induced pinch effect, beneficial to localize the energetic ions towards the plasma core²⁵.

The result is clearly visible in Fig. 3b,c, showing the two-dimensional tomographic reconstruction of the $E_\gamma = 4.5\text{--}9.0$ MeV gamma-ray emission²¹ for two comparable three-ion heating pulses #90752 and #90753. Both had a similar edge $H/(H + D)$ ratio, varying from ~ 0.84 at the beginning of the pulse to ~ 0.75 at the end ($X[\text{H}] \approx 68\text{--}76\%$), and $X[{}^3\text{He}] \approx 0.2\text{--}0.4\%$. In pulse #90752 (Fig. 3b), all ICRH power was applied using dipole phasing, while in pulse #90753 (Fig. 3c) about half of the ICRH power (2.1 MW) was launched with $+\pi/2$ phasing. Energetic ${}^3\text{He}$ ions are more centrally localized and the number of gamma-ray counts increases by a factor of two in pulse #90753. The period of the sawtooth oscillations also increases from ~ 0.54 s to ~ 0.78 s.

We also observed excitation of Alfvén eigenmodes (AE) in JET plasmas with frequencies $\approx 320\text{--}340$ kHz in pulses, where $P_{\text{ICRH}} \geq 2$ MW was delivered with $+\pi/2$ phasing. These instabilities

are excited if a sufficiently large number of energetic ions with velocities comparable to the Alfvén velocity is present in the plasma. Figure 4a shows the AE dynamics for JET pulse #90758 (previously shown in Fig. 2b), with a sequential excitation of modes with mode numbers from $n = 8$ to $n = 5$ during a long-period sawtooth. The MHD code MISHKA²⁶ yields eigenfrequencies $f_{\text{AE}}^{(0)} \approx 285\text{--}295$ kHz for $n = 5\text{--}7$ modes in the plasma frame. Even closer correspondence to the observations is obtained when plasma rotation due to NBI ($f_{\text{rot}} \approx 5$ kHz measured at $R \approx 3.25$ m) is taken into account ($f_{\text{AE}}^{\text{(lab)}} = f_{\text{AE}}^{(0)} + n f_{\text{rot}} \approx 320$ kHz). Further analysis of the conditions for energetic ions to interact with the $n = 5$ AE mode yields ${}^3\text{He}$ ions with energies $\approx 1.5\text{--}2.5$ MeV.

A similar AE activity was also detected in the Alcator C-Mod experiments during a sawtooth cycle with a period extended up to ~ 40 ms ($P_{\text{ICRH}} = 5$ MW). As shown in Fig. 4b, AEs at frequencies $f_{\text{AE}} \approx 1,270\text{--}1,300$ kHz ($n \approx 12$) were observed 30 ms after the sawtooth crash. Interestingly, the normalized frequency ratio $f_{\text{AE}}/f_A(0) \approx 0.56\text{--}0.61$ is similar for the AE modes observed on both devices. Here, $f_A(0) = v_A(0)/2\pi R_0$, with $v_A(0)$ the on-axis Alfvén velocity. This further highlights the similarity of the three-ion heating experiments on the two devices.

How many ‘three-ion’ scenarios exist?

These novel scenarios allow great flexibility in the choice of the three ion components. Table 1 summarizes the (Z/A) values for fusion-relevant ion species. The isotopes of hydrogen have $Z/A = 1$ (protons), $1/2$ (D ions) and $1/3$ (T ions). Fusion plasmas can also contain ${}^4\text{He}$ and light impurity species, released in plasma-wall interactions. In the core of high-temperature plasmas, those ions (${}^4\text{He}$, ${}^{12}\text{C}$, ${}^{16}\text{O}$, and so on) are typically fully ionized with $Z/A = 1/2$, just as the D ions. We also note the isotope ${}^3\text{He}$, which has a unique $Z/A = 2/3$. Other ion species such as ${}^9\text{Be}^{4+}$, ${}^7\text{Li}^{3+}$, ${}^{22}\text{Ne}^{10+}$, and so on have a Z/A ratio in the range 0.43 and 0.45, and bring extra possibilities. Among these, beryllium is of particular importance. Plasmas in JET and the future tokamak ITER naturally contain a small amount of ${}^9\text{Be}$ impurities. Since $(Z/A)_{\text{T}} < (Z/A)_{\text{Be}} < (Z/A)_{\text{D}}$, ${}^9\text{Be}$ ions can efficiently absorb RF power and transfer most of their energy to D and T ions during their collisional slowing-down, a feature particularly attractive for




Figure 4 | Excitation of Alfvénic eigenmodes in magnetic fluctuation spectrograms, another proof of the presence of ICRH-accelerated fast ions. **a**, JET pulse #90758. **b**, Alcator C-Mod pulse #1160901023. The evolution of the central electron temperature T_{e0} is also plotted in the bottom part of the figures.




Figure 5 | Three-ion ICRH scenarios also explain some of the observations of energetic ions in space environments, in particular, ^3He -rich solar flares. **a**, $^4\text{He}/\text{H}$ and $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ ratios for ^3He -rich solar flares. Data taken from Table 1 and Fig. 2 of ref. 32, including the original error bars. The data cloud within the red line corresponds to a $n_{^4\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}}$ ratio very similar to our theoretical predictions for a hypothetical three-ion ^4He -(^3He)-H scenario at work in space plasmas (see text for more details). **b**, The ratio $n_{^3\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}} = (n_{^3\text{He}}/n_{^4\text{He}}) \times (n_{^4\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}})$, measured in the MeV-energy range, versus H concentration estimated from $X[\text{H}] \approx 1/(1 + 2n_{^4\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}})$ for the same dataset (ref. 32). A large ^3He enhancement for the events at $X[\text{H}] \approx 70$ –75% is seen. The error bars for $n_{^3\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}}$ are directly taken from Table 1 of ref. 32. The error bars for the estimated H concentration are computed using the relation between $X[\text{H}]$ and $n_{^4\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}}$, and taking the maximum and minimum values of $n_{^4\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}}$ for a particular ^3He -rich event.

Table 1 | (Z/A) ratio for different ion species in fusion plasmas.

Ion species	T	$^9\text{Be}, ^7\text{Li}, ^{22}\text{Ne}$	D, $^4\text{He}, ^{12}\text{C}, \dots$	^3He	H
$(Z/A)_i$	1/3	≈ 0.43 –0.45	1/2	2/3	1

a fusion reactor¹⁰. As another example of the three-ion technique, we mention the observed parasitic off-axis absorption of ICRH power by ^7Li impurities in D–T plasmas of the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor²⁷. Low-temperature plasmas offer an even larger variety of scenarios since light ion species are not necessarily fully ionized.

Relevance for space plasmas

As discussed above, ion species with $Z/A = 1/2$ are nearly identical to D ions from the wave propagation point of view. Therefore,

helium ions ($Z = 2, A = 4$) can replace D. According to equation (2) and Fig. 2b, hydrogen plasmas additionally including 10–17% of ^4He ions are optimal for effective RF power absorption by a small amount of ^3He ions.

The presented experimental results provide also an additional insight into the understanding of the ^3He -rich solar flares^{28–30}, known for the past four decades. These events are characterized by an anomalously large abundance ratio $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He} \sim 1$ in the energy range ~ 1 MeV/nucleon, compared with a typical value of $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He} \sim 5 \times 10^{-4}$ in the solar corona. The proposed theoretical models to explain anomalous ^3He -enrichment generally rely on selective energy absorption by these ions via wave interaction mechanisms making use of the unique charge-to-mass ratio of ^3He .

Fisk suggested pre-heating of ^3He ions via electrostatic ion cyclotron waves in H– ^4He plasmas, followed by a second-stage acceleration process²⁸. Crucial in his model for the wave absorption

by ^3He ions is also having a plasma mixture, consisting of H and ^4He ions. On the other hand, Reames highlights in his review (ref. 30) that the ^3He -rich events are associated with streaming 10–100 keV electrons. He suggests that such electron beams might be a source for electromagnetic ion cyclotron waves. The advantage of this explanation is that electromagnetic waves can directly accelerate ions to MeV energies, without the need of a secondary process, which is a serious simplification compared to the theory by Fisk. Roth and Temerin developed a single-stage model for the resonant acceleration of ^3He ions to high energies, utilizing electromagnetic ion cyclotron waves in H plasmas³¹. Their study resembles closely the (^3He)–H minority heating in tokamaks. Figure 3a, showing the γ -ray spectrum for JET pulse #91323, confirms generation of MeV-range ^3He ions with this scenario in a fusion hydrogen plasma.

Figure 3a also illustrates that a significantly larger number of high-energy ^3He ions was generated using the D–(^3He)–H three-ion scenario under similar conditions. Thus, we hypothesize that resonant absorption of electromagnetic waves by a small amount of ^3He ions in H– ^4He plasmas (that is, effectively the three-ion ^4He –(^3He)–H scenario) can be another effective mechanism for ^3He acceleration in space plasmas. This proposal then combines in one scenario the advantages of the theories of Fisk and Temerin–Roth. We recall that in JET experiments efficient RF power absorption by ^3He ions was observed in H–D plasmas with $X[\text{H}] \approx 68\%–82\%$ (see Fig. 2b and Supplementary Figs 5 and 6). Equivalent H– ^4He mixtures with the same H concentrations should have a $n_{^3\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}}$ ratio in the range between 0.11 and 0.24.

Figure 5a summarizes the $^4\text{He}/\text{H}$ and $^3\text{He}/^4\text{He}$ ratios for a number of observed ^3He -rich solar flares, taken from Table 1 and Fig. 2 of ref. 32. Remarkably, our estimates are consistent with the data points at $n_{^3\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}} \approx 0.1–0.3$. This becomes even clearer if the same dataset is plotted as a function of the estimated hydrogen concentration $X[\text{H}] \approx 1/(1 + 2n_{^3\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}})$ and using the measured number of energetic ^3He ions normalized to the number of protons, $n_{^3\text{He}}/n_{\text{H}}$ as an indicator for the efficiency of ^3He acceleration. Figure 5b shows a large ^3He enhancement for events with $X[\text{H}] \approx 70–75\%$, thus providing additional support for our hypothesis.

Data availability. The data that support the plots within this paper and other findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Received 19 December 2016; accepted 9 May 2017;
published online 19 June 2017

References

- Ongena, J. *et al.* Magnetic-confinement fusion. *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 398–410 (2016).
- Adam, J. Review of tokamak plasma heating by wave damping in the ion cyclotron range of frequency. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **29**, 443–472 (1987).
- Porkolab, M. *et al.* Recent progress in ICRF physics. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **40**, A35–A52 (1998).
- Noterdaeme, J.-M. *et al.* Physics studies with the additional heating systems in JET. *Fusion Sci. Tech.* **53**, 1103–1151 (2008).
- Lerche, E. *et al.* Optimization of ICRH for core impurity control in JET-ILW. *Nucl. Fusion* **56**, 036022 (2016).
- Van Eester, D. *et al.* JET (^3He)–D scenarios relying on RF heating: survey of selected recent experiments. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **51**, 044007 (2009).
- Mantsinen, M. J. *et al.* Localized bulk electron heating with ICRF mode conversion in the JET tokamak. *Nucl. Fusion* **44**, 33–46 (2004).
- Kazakov, Ye. O. *et al.* On resonant ICRF absorption in three-ion component plasmas: a new promising tool for fast ion generation. *Nucl. Fusion* **55**, 032001 (2015).
- Kazakov, Ye. O. *et al.* Fast ion generation and bulk plasma heating with three-ion ICRF scenarios. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1689**, 030008 (2015).
- Kazakov, Ye. O. *et al.* A new ion cyclotron range of frequency scenario for bulk ion heating in deuterium–tritium plasmas: How to utilize intrinsic impurities in our favour. *Phys. Plasmas* **22**, 082511 (2015).
- Van Eester, D. & Koch, R. A variational principle for studying fast-wave mode conversion. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **40**, 1949–1975 (1998).

- Marmor, E. S. *et al.* Alcator C-Mod: research in support of ITER and steps beyond. *Nucl. Fusion* **55**, 104020 (2015).
- ITER Physics Expert Groups on Confinement and Transport *et al.*, Chapter 2: Plasma confinement and transport. *Nucl. Fusion* **39**, 2175–2249 (1999).
- Breizman, B. N. & Sharapov, S. E. Major minority: energetic particles in fusion plasmas. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **53**, 054001 (2011).
- Stix, T. H. Fast-wave heating of a two-component plasma. *Nucl. Fusion* **15**, 737–754 (1975).
- Jaeger, E. F. *et al.* Advances in full-wave modeling of radio frequency heated, multidimensional plasmas. *Phys. Plasmas* **9**, 1873–1881 (2002).
- Eriksson, L.-G. *et al.* ICRF heating of JET plasmas with the third harmonic deuterium resonance. *Nucl. Fusion* **38**, 265–278 (1998).
- Jucker, M. Integrated modeling for ion cyclotron resonant heating in toroidal systems. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **182**, 912–925 (2011).
- Porcelli, F. *et al.* Model for the sawtooth period and amplitude. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **38**, 2163–2186 (1996).
- Graves, J. P. *et al.* Control of magnetohydrodynamic stability by phase space engineering of energetic ions in tokamak plasmas. *Nat. Commun.* **3**, 624 (2012).
- Kiptily, V. G. *et al.* Gamma ray diagnostics of high temperature magnetically confined fusion plasmas. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **48**, R59–R82 (2006).
- Tardocchi, M. *et al.* Diagnosis of physical parameters of fast particles in high power fusion plasmas with high resolution neutron and gamma-ray spectroscopy. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **55**, 074014 (2013).
- Nocente, M. *et al.* High resolution gamma ray spectroscopy at MHz counting rates with LaBr_3 scintillators for fusion plasma applications. *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* **60**, 1408–1415 (2013).
- Coker, W. R. *et al.* An investigation of the $^9\text{Be}(\alpha, p)^{11}\text{B}$ reaction at low energies. *Nucl. Phys. A* **91**, 97–111 (1967).
- Mantsinen, M. J. *et al.* Controlling the profile of ion-cyclotron-resonant ions in JET with the wave-induced pinch effect. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 115004 (2002).
- Mikhailovskii, A. B. *et al.* Optimization of computational MHD normal-mode analysis in tokamaks. *Plasma Phys. Rep.* **23**, 844–857 (1997).
- Wilson, J. R. *et al.* Ion cyclotron range of frequencies heating and flow generation in deuterium–tritium plasmas. *Phys. Plasmas* **5**, 1721–1726 (1998).
- Fisk, L. A. ^3He -rich flares: a possible explanation. *Astrophys. J.* **224**, 1048–1055 (1978).
- Kocharov, L. G. & Kocharov, G. E. ^3He -rich solar flares. *Space Sci. Rev.* **38**, 89–141 (1984).
- Reames, D. V. Particle acceleration at the sun and in the heliosphere. *Space Sci. Rev.* **90**, 413–491 (1999).
- Roth, I. & Temerin, M. Enrichment of ^3He and heavy ions in impulsive solar flares. *Astrophys. J.* **477**, 940–957 (1997).
- Ramadurai, S. *et al.* ^3He -rich solar flares. *Pramana – J. Phys.* **23**, 305–311 (1984).
- Litaudon, X. *et al.* Overview of the JET results in support to ITER. *Nucl. Fusion* (in the press).

Acknowledgements

This paper is dedicated to the late P. E. M. Vandenplas, founder and first director of LPP-ERM/KMS, in recognition of his lifelong outstanding commitment to fusion research, in particular to ICRH. The support from the JET and Alcator C-Mod Teams is warmly acknowledged. We are grateful to A. Cardinali, C. Castaldo, R. Dumont, J. Eriksson, T. Fülöp, C. Giroud, C. Hellesen, S. Menmuir and M. Schneider for fruitful discussions. This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014–2018 under grant agreement no. 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. This work was also supported by the US DoE, Office of Science, Office of Fusion Energy Sciences, SciDAC Center for Simulation of Wave Plasma Interactions under DE-FC02-01ER54648 and the User Facility Alcator C-Mod under DE-FC02-99ER54512. The Alcator C-Mod Team author list is reproduced from ref. 12. The JET Contributors author list is reproduced from ref. 33.

Author contributions

All authors have contributed to the publication, being variously involved in the design of the experiments, in running the diagnostics, acquiring data and finally analysing the processed data.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available in the online version of the paper. Reprints and permissions information is available online at www.nature.com/reprints. Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Ye.O.K.

Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Alcator C-Mod Team

E. S. Marmor¹, S. G. Baek¹, H. Barnard¹, P. Bonoli¹, D. Brunner¹, J. Candy², J. Canik³, R. M. Churchill⁴, I. Cziegler⁵, G. Dekow¹, L. Delgado-Aparicio⁴, A. Diallo⁴, E. Edlund⁴, P. Ennever¹, I. Faust¹, C. Fiore¹, Chi Gao¹, T. Golfopoulos¹, M. Greenwald¹, Z. S. Hartwig¹, C. Holland⁵, A. E. Hubbard¹, J. W. Hughes¹, I. H. Hutchinson¹, J. Irby¹, B. LaBombard¹, Yijun Lin¹, B. Lipschultz⁶, A. Loarte⁷, R. Mumgaard¹, R. R. Parker¹, M. Porkolab¹, M. L. Reinke⁶, J. E. Rice¹, S. Scott⁴, S. Shiraiwa¹, P. Snyder², B. Sorbom¹, D. Terry¹, J. L. Terry¹, C. Theiler⁸, R. Vieira¹, J. R. Walk¹, G. M. Wallace¹, A. White¹, D. Whyte¹, S. M. Wolfe¹, G. M. Wright¹, J. Wright¹, S. J. Wukitch¹ and P. Xu¹

¹Plasma Science and Fusion Center, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA. ²General Atomics, San Diego, California, USA. ³Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA. ⁴Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey, USA. ⁵Center for Energy Research, University of California San Diego, San Diego, California, USA. ⁶Department of Physics, University of York, York, USA. ⁷Plasma Operations Directorate, ITER Organization, St. Paul lez Durance, France. ⁸TCV Tokamak Physics, Centre de Recherches en Physique des Plasmas, Lausanne, Switzerland.

JET Contributors

S. Abduallev³⁹, M. Abhangi⁴⁶, P. Abreu⁵³, M. Afzal⁷, K. M. Aggarwal²⁹, T. Ahlgren¹⁰¹, J. H. Ahn⁸, L. Aho-Mantila¹¹², N. Aiba⁶⁹, M. Airila¹¹², R. Albanese¹⁰⁵, V. Aldred⁷, D. Alegre⁹³, E. Alessi⁴⁵, P. Aleynikov⁵⁵, A. Alfier¹², A. Alkseev⁷², M. Allinson⁷, B. Alper⁷, E. Alves⁵³, G. Ambrosino¹⁰⁵, R. Ambrosino¹⁰⁶, L. Amicucci⁹⁰, V. Amosov⁸⁸, E. Andersson Sundén²², M. Angelone⁹⁰, M. Anghel⁸⁵, C. Angioni⁶², L. Appel⁷, C. Appelbee⁷, P. Arena³⁰, M. Ariola¹⁰⁶, H. Arnichand⁸, S. Arshad⁴¹, A. Ash⁷, N. Ashikawa⁶⁸, V. Aslanyan⁶⁴, O. Asunta¹, F. Auriemma¹², Y. Austin⁷, L. Avotina¹⁰³, M. D. Axton⁷, C. Ayres⁷, M. Bacharis²⁴, A. Baciero⁵⁷, D. Baião⁵³, S. Bailey⁷, A. Baker⁷, I. Balboa⁷, M. Balden⁶², N. Balshaw⁷, R. Bament⁷, J. W. Banks⁷, Y. F. Baranov⁷, M. A. Barnard⁷, D. Barnes⁷, M. Barnes²⁷, R. Barnsley⁵⁵, A. Baron Wiechec⁷, L. Barrera Orte³⁴, M. Baruzzo¹², V. Basiuk⁸, M. Bassan⁵⁵, R. Bastow⁷, A. Batista⁵³, P. Batistoni⁹⁰, R. Baughan⁷, B. Bauvir⁵⁵, L. Baylor⁷³, B. Bazylev⁵⁶, J. Beal¹¹⁰, P. S. Beaumont⁷, M. Beckers³⁹, B. Beckett⁷, A. Becoulet⁸, N. Bekris³⁵, M. Beldishevski⁷, K. Bell⁷, F. Belli⁹⁰, M. Bellinger⁷, É. Belonohy⁶², N. Ben Ayed⁷, N. A. Benterman⁷, H. Bergsaker⁴², J. Bernardo⁵³, M. Bernert⁶², M. Berry⁷, L. Bertalot⁵⁵, C. Besliu⁷, M. Beurskens⁶³, B. Bieg⁶¹, J. Bielecki⁴⁷, T. Biewer⁷³, M. Bigi¹², P. Bílková⁵⁰, F. Binda²², A. Bisoffi³¹, J. P. S. Bizarro⁵³, C. Björkas¹⁰¹, J. Blackburn⁷, K. Blackman⁷, T. R. Blackman⁷, P. Blanchard³³, P. Blatchford⁷, V. Bobkov⁶², A. Boboc⁷, G. Bodnár¹¹³, O. Bogar¹⁸, I. Bolshakova⁶⁰, T. Bolzonella¹², N. Bonanomi⁹⁷, F. Bonelli⁵⁶, J. Boom⁶², J. Booth⁷, D. Borba^{35,53}, D. Borodin³⁹, I. Borodkina³⁹, A. Botrugno⁹⁰, C. Bottureau⁸, P. Boulting⁷, C. Bourdelle⁸, M. Bowden⁷, C. Bower⁷, C. Bowman¹¹⁰, T. Boyce⁷, C. Boyd⁷, H. J. Boyer⁷, J. M. A. Bradshaw⁷, V. Braic⁸⁷, R. Bravanec⁴⁰, B. Breizman¹⁰⁷, S. Bremond⁸, P. D. Brennan⁷, S. Breton⁸, A. Brett⁷, S. Brezinsek³⁹, M. D. J. Bright⁷, M. Brix⁷, W. Broeckx⁷⁸, M. Brombin¹², A. Brostowski⁶⁵, D. P. D. Brown⁷, M. Brown⁷, E. Bruno⁵⁵, J. Bucalossi⁸, J. Buch⁴⁶, J. Buchanan⁷, M. A. Buckley⁷, R. Budny⁷⁶, H. Bufferand⁸, M. Bulman⁷, N. Bulmer⁷, P. Bunting⁷, P. Buratti⁹⁰, A. Burckhart⁶², A. Buscarino³⁰, A. Busse⁷, N. K. Butler⁷, I. Bykov⁴², J. Byrne⁷, P. Cahyna⁵⁰, G. Calabrò⁹⁰, I. Calvo⁵⁷, Y. Camenen⁴, P. Camp⁷, D. C. Campling⁷, J. Cane⁷, B. Cannas¹⁷, A. J. Capel⁷, P. J. Card⁷, A. Cardinali⁹⁰, P. Carman⁷, M. Carr⁷, D. Carralero⁶², L. Carraro¹², B. B. Carvalho⁵³, I. Carvalho⁵³, P. Carvalho⁵³, F. J. Casson⁷, C. Castaldo⁹⁰, N. Catarino⁵³, J. Caumont⁷, F. Causa⁹⁰, R. Cavazzana¹², K. Cave-Ayland⁷, M. Cavinato¹², M. Ceconello²², S. Ceccuzzi⁹⁰, E. Cecil⁷⁶, A. Cenedese¹², R. Cesario⁹⁰, C. D. Challis⁷, M. Chandler⁷, D. Chandra⁴⁶, C. S. Chang⁷⁶, A. Chankin⁶², I. T. Chapman⁷, S. C. Chapman²⁸, M. Chernyshova⁴⁹, G. Chitarin¹², G. Ciraolo⁸, D. Ciric⁷, J. Citrin³⁸, F. Clairet⁸, E. Clark⁷, M. Clark⁷, R. Clarkson⁷, D. Clatworthy⁷, C. Clements⁷, M. Cleverly⁷, J. P. Coad⁷, P. A. Coates⁷, A. Cobalt⁷, V. Coccoresse¹⁰⁵, V. Cocilovo⁹⁰, S. Coda³³, R. Coelho⁵³, J. W. Coenen³⁹, I. Coffey²⁹, L. Colas⁸, S. Collins⁷, D. Conka¹⁰³, S. Conroy²², N. Conway⁷, D. Coombs⁷, D. Cooper⁷, S. R. Cooper⁷, C. Corradino³⁰, Y. Corre⁸, G. Corrigan⁷, S. Cortes⁵³, D. Coster⁶², A. S. Couchman⁷, M. P. Cox⁷, T. Craciunescu⁸⁶, S. Cramp⁷, R. Craven⁷, F. Crisanti⁹⁰, G. Croci⁹⁷, D. Croft⁷, K. Crombé¹⁵, R. Crowe⁷, N. Cruz⁵³, G. Cseh¹¹³, A. Cufar⁸¹, A. Cullen⁷, M. Curuia⁸⁵, A. Czarnecka⁴⁹, H. Dabirikhah⁷, P. Dalgliesh⁷, S. Dalley⁷, J. Dankowski⁴⁷, D. Darrow⁷⁶, O. Davies⁷, W. Davis^{55,76}, C. Day⁵⁶, I. E. Day⁷, M. De Bock⁵⁵, A. de Castro⁵⁷, E. de la Cal⁵⁷, E. de la Luna⁵⁷, G. De Masi¹², J. L. de Pablos⁵⁷, G. De Temmerman⁵⁵, G. De Tommasi¹⁰⁵, P. de Vries⁵⁵, K. Deakin⁷, J. Deane⁷, F. Degli Agostini¹², R. Dejarnac⁵⁰, E. Delabie⁷³, N. den Harder³⁸, R. O. Dendy⁷, J. Denis⁸, P. Denner³⁹, S. Devaux^{62,104}, P. Devynck⁸, F. Di Maio⁵⁵, A. Di Siena⁶², C. Di Troia⁹⁰, P. Dinca⁸⁶, R. D'Inca⁶², B. Ding⁵¹, T. Dittmar³⁹, H. Doerk⁶², R. P. Doerner⁹, T. Donné³⁴, S. E. Dorling⁷, S. Dormido-Canto⁹³, S. Doswon⁷, D. Douai⁸, P. T. Doyle⁷, A. Drenik^{62,81}, P. Drewelow⁶³, P. Drews³⁹, Ph. Duckworth⁵⁵, R. Dumont⁸, P. Dumortier⁵⁸, D. Dunai¹¹³, M. Dunne⁶², I. Đuran⁵⁰, F. Durodié⁵⁸, P. Dutta⁴⁶, B. P. Duval³³, R. Dux⁶², K. Dylst⁷⁸, N. Dzysiuk²², P. V. Edappala⁴⁶, J. Edmond⁷, A. M. Edwards⁷, J. Edwards⁷, Th. Eich⁶², A. Ekedahl⁸, R. El-Jorf⁷, C. G. Elsmore⁷, M. Enachescu⁸⁴, G. Ericsson²², F. Eriksson¹⁶, J. Eriksson²², L. G. Eriksson³⁶, B. Esposito⁹⁰, S. Esquembri⁹⁴, H. G. Esser³⁹, D. Esteve⁸, B. Evans⁷, G. E. Evans⁷, G. Evison⁷, G. D. Ewart⁷, D. Fagan⁷, M. Faitsch⁶², D. Falie⁸⁶, A. Fanni¹⁷, A. Fasoli³³, J. M. Faustin³³, N. Fawlk⁷, L. Fazendeiro⁵³, N. Fedorcak⁸, R. C. Felton⁷, K. Fenton⁷, A. Fernandes⁵³, H. Fernandes⁵³, J. Ferreira⁵³, J. A. Fessey⁷, O. Février⁸, O. Ficker⁵⁰, A. Field⁷, S. Fietz⁶², A. Figueiredo⁵³, J. Figueiredo^{53,35}, A. Fil⁸, P. Finburg⁷, M. Firdaouss⁸, U. Fischer⁵⁶, L. Fittill⁷, M. Fitzgerald⁷, D. Flammini⁹⁰, J. Flanagan⁷, C. Fleming⁷, K. Flinders⁷, N. Fonnesu⁹⁰, J. M. Fontdecaba⁵⁷, A. Formisano⁷⁹, L. Forsythe⁷, L. Fortuna³⁰, E. Fortuna-Zalesna¹⁹, M. Fortune⁷, S. Foster⁷, T. Franke³⁴,

T. Franklin⁷, M. Frasca³⁰, L. Frassinetti⁴², M. Freisinger³⁹, R. Fresca⁹⁸, D. Frigione⁹⁰, V. Fuchs⁵⁰, D. Fuller³⁵, S. Futatani⁶, J. Fyvie⁷, K. Gál^{34,62}, D. Galassi², K. Gałazka⁴⁹, J. Galdon-Quiroga⁹², J. Gallagher⁷, D. Gallart⁶, R. Galvão¹⁰, X. Gao⁵¹, Y. Gao³⁹, J. Garcia⁸, A. Garcia-Carrasco⁴², M. García-Muñoz⁹², J.-L. Gardarein³, L. Garzotti⁷, P. Gaudio⁹⁵, E. Gauthier⁸, D. F. Gear⁷, S. J. Gee⁷, B. Geiger⁶², M. Gelfusa⁹⁵, S. Gerasimov⁷, G. Gervasini⁴⁵, M. Gethins⁷, Z. Ghani⁷, M. Ghate⁴⁶, M. Gherendi⁸⁶, J. C. Giacalone⁸, L. Giacomelli⁴⁵, C. S. Gibson⁷, T. Giegerich⁵⁶, C. Gil⁸, L. Gil⁵³, S. Gilligan⁷, D. Gin⁵⁴, E. Giovanozzi⁹⁰, J. B. Girardo⁸, C. Giroud⁷, G. Giruzzi⁸, S. Glöggler⁶², J. Godwin⁷, J. Goff⁷, P. Gohil⁴³, V. Goloborod'ko¹⁰², R. Gomes⁵³, B. Gonçalves⁵³, M. Goniche⁸, M. Goodliffe⁷, A. Goodyear⁷, G. Gorini⁹⁷, M. Gosk⁶⁵, R. Goulding⁷⁶, A. Goussarov⁷⁸, R. Gowland⁷, B. Graham⁷, M. E. Graham⁷, J. P. Graves³³, N. Grazier⁷, P. Grazier⁷, N. R. Green⁷, H. Greuner⁶², B. Grierson⁷⁶, F. S. Griph⁷, C. Grisolia⁸, D. Grist⁷, M. Groth¹, R. Grove⁷³, C. N. Grundy⁷, J. Grzonka¹⁹, D. Guard⁷, C. Guérard³⁴, C. Guillemaut^{8,53}, R. Guirlet⁸, C. Guri⁷, H. H. Utoh⁶⁹, L. J. Hackett⁷, S. Hacquin^{8,35}, A. Hagar⁷, R. Hager⁷⁶, A. Hakola¹¹², M. Halitovs¹⁰³, S. J. Hall⁷, S. P. Hallworth Cook⁷, C. Hamlyn-Harris⁷, K. Hammond⁷, C. Harrington⁷, J. Harrison⁷, D. Harting⁷, F. Hasenbeck³⁹, Y. Hatano¹⁰⁸, D. R. Hatch¹⁰⁷, T. D. V. Haupt⁷, J. Hawes⁷, N. C. Hawkes⁷, J. Hawkins⁷, P. Hawkins⁷, P. W. Haydon⁷, N. Hayter⁷, S. Hazel⁷, P. J. L. Heesterman⁷, K. Heinola¹⁰¹, C. Hellesen²², T. Hellsten⁴², W. Helou⁸, O. N. Hemming⁷, T. C. Hender⁷, M. Henderson⁵⁵, S. S. Henderson²¹, R. Henriques⁵³, D. Hepple⁷, G. Hermon⁷, P. Hertout⁸, C. Hidalgo⁵⁷, E. G. Highcock²⁷, M. Hill⁷, J. Hillairet⁸, J. Hillesheim⁷, D. Hillis⁷³, K. Hizanidis⁷⁰, A. Hjalmarsson²², J. Hobirk⁶², E. Hodille⁸, C. H. A. Hogben⁷, G. M. D. Hogeweyj³⁸, A. Hollingsworth⁷, S. Hollis⁷, D. A. Homfray⁷, J. Horáček⁵⁰, G. Hornung¹⁵, A. R. Horton⁷, L. D. Horton³⁶, L. Horvath¹¹⁰, S. P. Hotchin⁷, M. R. Hough⁷, P. J. Howarth⁷, A. Hubbard⁶⁴, A. Huber³⁹, V. Huber³⁹, T. M. Huddleston⁷, M. Hughes⁷, G. T. A. Huijsmans⁵⁵, C. L. Hunter⁷, P. Huynh⁸, A. M. Hynes⁷, D. Iglesias⁷, N. Imazawa⁶⁹, F. Imbeaux⁸, M. Imříšek⁵⁰, M. Incelli¹⁰⁹, P. Innocente¹², M. Irishkin⁸, I. Ivanova-Stanik⁴⁹, S. Jachmich^{58,35}, A. S. Jacobsen⁸³, P. Jacquet⁷, J. Jansons¹⁰³, A. Jardin⁸, A. Järvinen¹, F. Jaulmes³⁸, S. Jednoróg⁴⁹, I. Jenkins⁷, C. Jeong²⁰, I. Jepu⁸⁶, E. Joffrin⁸, R. Johnson⁷, T. Johnson⁴², Jane Johnston⁷, L. Joita⁷, G. Jones⁷, T. T. C. Jones⁷, K. K. Hoshino⁶⁹, A. Kallenbach⁶², K. Kamiya⁶⁹, J. Kaniewski⁷, A. Kantor⁷, A. Kappatou⁶², J. Karhunen¹, D. Karkinsky⁷, I. Karnowska⁷, M. Kaufman⁷³, G. Kaveney⁷, Y. Kazakov⁵⁸, V. Kazantzidis⁷⁰, D. L. Keeling⁷, T. Keenan⁷, J. Keep⁷, M. Kempenaars⁷, C. Kennedy⁷, D. Kenny⁷, J. Kent⁷, O. N. Kent⁷, E. Khilkevich⁵⁴, H. T. Kim³⁵, H. S. Kim⁸⁰, A. Kinch⁷, C. King⁷, D. King⁷, R. F. King⁷, D. J. Kinna⁷, V. Kiptily⁷, A. Kirk⁷, K. Kirov⁷, A. Kirschner³⁹, G. Kizane¹⁰³, C. Klepper⁷³, A. Klix⁵⁶, P. Knight⁷, S. J. Knipe⁷, S. Knott⁹⁶, T. Kobuchi⁶⁹, F. Köchl¹¹¹, G. Kocsis¹¹³, I. Kodeli⁸¹, L. Kogan⁷, D. Kogut⁸, S. Koivuranta¹¹², Y. Kominis⁷⁰, M. Köppen³⁹, B. Kos⁸¹, T. Koskela¹, H. R. Koslowski³⁹, M. Koubiti⁴, M. Kovari⁷, E. Kowalska-Strzemińska⁴⁹, A. Krasilnikov⁸⁸, V. Krasilnikov⁸⁸, N. Krawczyk⁴⁹, M. Kresina⁸, K. Krieger⁶², A. Krivska⁵⁸, U. Kruezi⁷, I. Książek⁴⁸, A. Kukushkin⁷², A. Kundu⁴⁶, T. Kurki-Suonio¹, S. Kwak²⁰, R. Kwiatkowski⁶⁵, O. J. Kwon¹³, L. Laguardia⁴⁵, A. Lahtinen¹⁰¹, A. Laing⁷, N. Lam⁷, H. T. Lambert³⁹, C. Lane⁷, P. T. Lang⁶², S. Lanthaler³³, J. Lapins¹⁰³, A. Lasa¹⁰¹, J. R. Last⁷, E. Łaszyńska⁴⁹, R. Lawless⁷, A. Lawson⁷, K. D. Lawson⁷, A. Lazaros⁷⁰, E. Lazzaro⁴⁵, J. Leddy¹¹⁰, S. Lee⁶⁶, X. Lefebvre⁷, H. J. Leggate³², J. Lehmann⁷, M. Lehnen⁵⁵, D. Leichtle⁴¹, P. Leichner⁷, F. Leipold^{55,83}, I. Lengar⁸¹, M. Lennholm³⁶, E. Lerche⁵⁸, A. Lescinskas¹⁰³, S. Lesnoj⁷, E. Letellier⁷, M. Leyland¹¹⁰, W. Leysen⁷⁸, L. Li³⁹, Y. Liang³⁹, J. Likonen¹¹², J. Linke³⁹, Ch. Linsmeier³⁹, B. Lipschultz¹¹⁰, X. Litaudon³⁵, G. Liu⁵⁵, Y. Liu⁵¹, V. P. Lo Schiavo¹⁰⁵, T. Loarer⁸, A. Loarte⁵⁵, R. C. Lobel⁷, B. Lomanowski¹, P. J. Lomas⁷, J. Lönnroth^{1,35}, J. M. López⁹⁴, J. López-Razola⁵⁷, R. Lorenzini¹², U. Losada⁵⁷, J. J. Lovell⁷, A. B. Loving⁷, C. Lowry³⁶, T. Luce⁴³, R. M. A. Lucock⁷, A. Lukin⁷⁴, C. Luna⁵, M. Lungaroni⁹⁵, C. P. Lungu⁸⁶, M. Lungu⁸⁶, A. Lunniss¹¹⁰, I. Lupelli⁷, A. Lyssoivan⁵⁸, N. Macdonald⁷, P. Macheta⁷, K. Maczewa⁷, B. Magesh⁴⁶, P. Maget⁸, C. Maggi⁷, H. Maier⁶², J. Mailloux⁷, T. Makkonen¹, R. Makwana⁴⁶, A. Malaquias⁵³, A. Malizia⁹⁵, P. Manas⁴, A. Manning⁷, M. E. Manso⁵³, P. Mantica⁴⁵, M. Mantsinen⁶, A. Manzanares⁹¹, Ph. Maquet⁵⁵, Y. Marandet⁴, N. Marcenko⁸⁸, C. Marchetto⁴⁵, O. Marchuk³⁹, M. Marinelli⁹⁵, M. Marinucci⁹⁰, T. Marković⁵⁰, D. Marocco⁹⁰, L. Marot²⁶, C. A. Marren⁷, R. Marshal⁷, A. Martin⁷, Y. Martin³³, A. Martín de Aguilera⁵⁷, F. J. Martínez⁹³, J. R. Martín-Solís¹⁴, Y. Martynova³⁹, S. Maruyama⁵⁵, A. Masiello¹², M. Maslov⁷, S. Matejcek¹⁸, M. Mattei⁷⁹, G. F. Matthews⁷, F. Maviglia¹¹, M. Mayer⁶², M. L. Mayoral³⁴, T. May-Smith⁷, D. Mazon⁸, C. Mazzotta⁹⁰, R. McAdams⁷, P. J. McCarthy⁹⁶, K. G. McClements⁷, O. McCormack¹², P. A. McCullen⁷, D. McDonald³⁴, S. McIntosh⁷, R. McKean⁷, J. McKehon⁷, R. C. Meadows⁷, A. Meakins⁷, F. Medina⁵⁷, M. Medland⁷, S. Medley⁷, S. Meigh⁷, A. G. Meigs⁷, G. Meisl⁶², S. Meitner⁷³, L. Meneses⁵³, S. Menmuir^{7,42}, K. Mergia⁷¹, I. R. Merrigan⁷, Ph. Mertens³⁹, S. Meshchaninov⁸⁸, A. Messiaen⁵⁸, H. Meyer⁷, S. Mianowski⁶⁵, R. Michling⁵⁵, D. Middleton-Gear⁷, J. Miettunen¹, F. Militello⁷, E. Militello-Asp⁷, G. Miloshevsky⁷⁷, F. Mink⁶², S. Minucci¹⁰⁵, Y. Miyoshi⁶⁹, J. Mlynář⁵⁰, D. Molina⁸, I. Monakhov⁷, M. Moneti¹⁰⁹, R. Mooney⁷, S. Moradi³⁷, S. Mordijck⁴³, L. Moreira⁷, R. Moreno⁵⁷, F. Moro⁹⁰, A. W. Morris⁷, J. Morris⁷, L. Moser²⁶, S. Mosher⁷³, D. Moulton⁷¹, A. Murari^{12,35}, A. Muraro⁴⁵, S. Murphy⁷, N. N. Asakura⁶⁹, Y. S. Na⁸⁰, F. Nabais⁵³, R. Naish⁷, T. Nakano⁶⁹, E. Nardon⁸, V. Naulin⁸³, M. F. F. Nave⁵³, I. Nedzelski⁵³, G. Nemtsev⁸⁸, F. Nespola³³, A. Neto⁴¹, R. Neu⁶², V. S. Neverov⁷², M. Newman⁷, K. J. Nicholls⁷, T. Nicolas³³, A. H. Nielsen⁸³, P. Nielsen¹², E. Nilsson⁸, D. Nishijima⁹⁹, C. Noble⁷, M. Nocente⁹⁷, D. Nodwell⁷, K. Nordlund¹⁰¹, H. Nordman¹⁶, R. Nouailletas⁸, I. Nunes⁵³, M. Oberkofler⁶², T. Odupitan⁷, M. T. Ogawa⁶⁹, T. O'Gorman⁷, M. Okabayashi⁷⁶, R. Olney⁷, O. Omolayo⁷, M. O'Mullane²¹, J. Ongena⁵⁸, F. Orsitto¹¹, J. Orszagh¹⁸, B. I. Oswigwe⁷, R. Otin⁷, A. Owen⁷, R. Paccagnella¹², N. Pace⁷, D. Pacella⁹⁰, L. W. Packer⁷, A. Page⁷, E. Pajuste¹⁰³, S. Palazzo³⁰, S. Pamela⁷, S. Panja⁴⁶, P. Papp¹⁸, R. Paprok⁵⁰, V. Parail⁷, M. Park⁶⁶, F. Parra Diaz²⁷, M. Parsons⁷³, R. Pasqualotto¹², A. Patel⁷, S. Pathak⁴⁶, D. Paton⁷, H. Patten³³, A. Pau¹⁷, E. Pawelec⁴⁸, C. Paz Soldan⁴³, A. Peackoc³⁶, I. J. Pearson⁷, S.-P. Pehkonen¹¹², E. Peluso⁹⁵, C. Penot⁵⁵, A. Pereira⁵⁷,

R. Pereira⁵³, P.P. Pereira Puglia⁷, C. Perez von Thun^{35,39}, S. Peruzzo¹², S. Peschanyi⁵⁶, M. Peterka⁵⁰, P. Petersson⁴², G. Petravich¹¹³, A. Petre⁸⁴, N. Petrella⁷, V. Petržilka⁵⁰, Y. Peysson⁸, D. Pfefferlé³³, V. Philipps³⁹, M. Pillon⁹⁰, G. Pintsuk³⁹, P. Piovesan¹², A. Pires dos Reis⁵², L. Piron⁷, A. Pironti¹⁰⁵, Pisano¹⁷, R. Pitts⁵⁵, F. Pizzo⁷⁹, V. Plyusnin⁵³, N. Pomaro¹², O. G. Pompilian⁸⁶, P. J. Pool⁷, S. Popovichev⁷, M. T. Porfiri⁹⁰, C. Porosnicu⁸⁶, M. Porton⁷, G. Possnert²², S. Potzel⁶², T. Powell⁷, J. Pozzi⁷, V. Prajapati⁴⁶, R. Prakash⁴⁶, G. Prestopino⁹⁵, D. Price⁷, M. Price⁷, R. Price⁷, P. Prior⁷, R. Proudfoot⁷, G. Pucella⁹⁰, P. Puglia⁵², M. E. Puiatti¹², D. Pulley⁷, K. Purahoo⁷, Th. Pütterich⁶², E. Rachlew²⁵, M. Rack³⁹, R. Ragona⁵⁸, M. S. J. Rainford⁷, A. Rakha⁶, G. Ramogida⁹⁰, S. Ranjan⁴⁶, C. J. Rapson⁶², J. J. Rasmussen⁸³, K. Rathod⁴⁶, G. Rattá⁵⁷, S. Ratynskaia⁸², G. Ravera⁹⁰, C. Rayner⁷, M. Rebai⁹⁷, D. Reece⁷, A. Reed⁷, D. Réfy¹¹³, B. Regan⁷, J. Regaña³⁴, M. Reich⁶², N. Reid⁷, F. Reimold³⁹, M. Reinhart³⁴, M. Reinke^{110,73}, D. Reiser³⁹, D. Rendell⁷, C. Reux⁸, S. D. A. Reyes Cortes⁵³, S. Reynolds⁷, V. Riccardo⁷, N. Richardson⁷, K. Riddle⁷, D. Rigamonti⁹⁷, F. G. Rimini⁷, J. Risner⁷³, M. Riva⁹⁰, C. Roach⁷, R. J. Robins⁷, S. A. Robinson⁷, T. Robinson⁷, D. W. Robson⁷, R. Roccella⁵⁵, R. Rodionov⁸⁸, P. Rodrigues⁵³, J. Rodriguez⁷, V. Rohde⁶², F. Romanelli⁹⁰, M. Romanelli⁷, S. Romanelli⁷, J. Romazanov³⁹, S. Rowe⁷, M. Rubel⁴², G. Rubinacci¹⁰⁵, G. Rubino¹², L. Ruchko⁵², M. Ruiz⁹⁴, C. Ruset⁸⁶, J. Rzakiewicz⁶⁵, S. Saarelma⁷, R. Sabot⁸, E. Safi¹⁰¹, P. Sagar⁷, G. Saibene⁴¹, F. Saint-Laurent⁸, M. Salewski⁸³, A. Salmi¹¹², R. Salmon⁷, F. Salzedas⁵³, D. Samaddar⁷, U. Samm³⁹, D. Sandiford⁷, P. Santa⁴⁶, M. I. K. Santala¹, B. Santos⁵³, A. Santucci⁹⁰, F. Sartori⁴¹, R. Sartori⁴¹, O. Sauter³³, R. Scannell⁷, T. Schlummer³⁹, K. Schmid⁶², V. Schmidt¹², S. Schmuck⁷, M. Schneider⁸, K. Schöpf¹⁰², D. Schwörer³², S. D. Scott⁷⁶, G. Sergienko³⁹, M. Sertoli⁶², A. Shabbir¹⁵, S. E. Sharapov⁷, A. Shaw⁷, R. Shaw⁷, H. Sheikh⁷, A. Shepherd⁷, A. Shevelev⁵⁴, A. Shumack³⁸, G. Sias¹⁷, M. Sibbald⁷, B. Sieglin⁶², S. Silburn⁷, A. Silva⁵³, C. Silva⁵³, P. A. Simmons⁷, J. Simpson⁷, J. Simpson-Hutchinson⁷, A. Sinha⁴⁶, S. K. Sipilä¹, A. C. C. Sips³⁶, P. Sirén¹¹², A. Sirinelli⁵⁵, H. Sjöstrand²², M. Skiba²², R. Skilton⁷, K. Slabkowska⁴⁹, B. Slade⁷, N. Smith⁷, P. G. Smith⁷, R. Smith⁷, T. J. Smith⁷, M. Smithies¹¹⁰, L. Snoj⁸¹, S. Soare⁸⁵, E. R. Solano^{35,57}, A. Somers³², C. Sommariva⁸, P. Sonato¹², A. Sopples¹², J. Sousa⁵³, C. Sozzi⁴⁵, S. Spagnolo¹², T. Spelzini⁷, F. Spineanu⁸⁶, G. Stables⁷, I. Stamatelatos⁷¹, M. F. Stamp⁷, P. Staniec⁷, G. Stankūnas⁵⁹, C. Stan-Sion⁸⁴, M. J. Stead⁷, E. Stefanikova⁴², I. Stepanov⁵⁸, A. V. Stephen⁷, M. Stephen⁴⁶, A. Stevens⁷, B. D. Stevens⁷, J. Strachan⁷⁶, P. Strand¹⁶, H. R. Strauss⁴⁴, P. Ström⁴², G. Stubbs⁷, W. Studholme⁷, F. Subba⁷⁵, H. P. Summers²¹, J. Svensson⁶³, Ł. Świdorski⁶⁵, T. Szabolics¹¹³, M. Szawlowski⁴⁹, G. Szepesi⁷, T. T. Suzuki⁶⁹, B. Tál¹¹³, T. Tala¹¹², A. R. Talbot⁷, S. Talebzadeh⁹⁵, C. Taliercio¹², P. Tamain⁸, C. Tame⁷, W. Tang⁷⁶, M. Tardocchi⁴⁵, L. Taroni¹², D. Taylor⁷, K. A. Taylor⁷, D. Tegnered¹⁶, G. Telesca¹⁵, N. Teplova⁵⁴, D. Terranova¹², D. Testa³³, E. Tholerus⁴², J. Thomas⁷, J. D. Thomas⁷, P. Thomas⁵⁵, A. Thompson⁷, C.-A. Thompson⁷, V. K. Thompson⁷, L. Thorne⁷, A. Thornton⁷, A. S. Thrysoe⁸³, P. A. Tigwell⁷, N. Tipton⁷, I. Tisceanu⁸⁶, H. Tojo⁶⁹, M. Tokitani⁶⁷, P. Tolia⁸², M. Tomeš⁵⁰, P. Tonner⁷, M. Towndrow⁷, P. Trimble⁷, M. Tripsky⁵⁸, M. Tsalas³⁸, P. Tsavalas⁷¹, D. Tskhakaya jun¹⁰², I. Turner⁷, M. M. Turner³², M. Turnyanskiy³⁴, G. Tvalashvili⁷, S. G. J. Tyrrell⁷, A. Uccello⁴⁵, Z. Ul-Abidin⁷, J. Uljanovs¹, D. Ulyatt⁷, H. Urano⁶⁹, I. Uytendhouwen⁷⁸, A. P. Vadgama⁷, D. Valcarcel⁷, M. Valentinuzzi⁸, M. Valisa¹², P. Vallejos Olivares⁴², M. Valovic⁷, M. Van De Mortel⁷, D. Van Eester⁵⁸, W. Van Renterghem⁷⁸, G. J. van Rooij³⁸, J. Varje¹, S. Varoutis⁵⁶, S. Vartanian⁸, K. Vasava⁴⁶, T. Vasilopoulou⁷¹, J. Vega⁵⁷, G. Verdoolaege⁵⁸, R. Verhoeven⁷, C. Verona⁹⁵, G. Verona Rinati⁹⁵, E. Veshchev⁵⁵, N. Vianello⁴⁵, J. Vicente⁵³, E. Viezzer^{62,92}, S. Villari⁹⁰, F. Villone¹⁰⁰, P. Vincenzi¹², I. Vinyar⁷⁴, B. Viola⁹⁰, A. Vitins¹⁰³, Z. Vizvary⁷, M. Vlad⁸⁶, I. Voitsekhoitch³⁴, P. Vondráček⁵⁰, N. Vora⁷, T. Vu⁸, W. W. Pires de Sa⁵², B. Wakeling⁷, C. W. F. Waldon⁷, N. Walkden⁷, M. Walker⁷, R. Walker⁷, M. Walsh⁵⁵, E. Wang³⁹, N. Wang³⁹, S. Warder⁷, R. J. Warren⁷, J. Waterhouse⁷, N. W. Watkins²⁸, C. Watts⁵⁵, T. Wauters⁵⁸, A. Weckmann⁴², J. Weiland²³, H. Weisen³³, M. Weiszflog²², C. Wellstood⁷, A. T. West⁷, M. R. Wheatley⁷, S. Whetham⁷, A. M. Whitehead⁷, B. D. Whitehead⁷, A. M. Widdowson⁷, S. Wiesen³⁹, J. Wilkinson⁷, J. Williams⁷, M. Williams⁷, A. R. Wilson⁷, D. J. Wilson⁷, H. R. Wilson¹¹⁰, J. Wilson⁷, M. Wischmeier⁶², G. Withenshaw⁷, A. Withycombe⁷, D. M. Witts⁷, D. Wood⁷, R. Wood⁷, C. Woodley⁷, S. Wray⁷, J. Wright⁷, J. C. Wright⁶⁴, J. Wu⁸⁹, S. Wukitch⁶⁴, A. Wynn¹¹⁰, T. Xu⁷, D. Yadikin¹⁶, W. Yanling³⁹, L. Yao⁸⁹, V. Yavorskij¹⁰², M. G. Yoo⁸⁰, C. Young⁷, D. Young⁷, I. D. Young⁷, R. Young⁷, J. Zacks⁷, R. Zagorski⁴⁹, F. S. Zaitsev¹⁸, R. Zanino⁷⁵, A. Zarins¹⁰³, K. D. Zastrow⁷, M. Zerbini⁹⁰, W. Zhang⁶², Y. Zhou⁴², E. Zilli¹², V. Zolta⁸⁶, S. Zoletnik¹¹³ and I. Zychor⁶⁵

¹Aalto University, PO Box 14100, FIN-00076 Aalto, Finland. ²Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, M2P2 UMR 7340, 13451 Marseille, France. ³Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, IUSTI UMR 7343, 13013 Marseille, France. ⁴Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, PIIM, UMR 7345, 13013 Marseille, France. ⁵Arizona State University, Tempe, USA. ⁶Barcelona Supercomputing Center, Barcelona, Spain. ⁷CCFE, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 3DB, UK. ⁸CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint Paul Lez Durance, France. ⁹Center for Energy Research, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA. ¹⁰Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rua Xavier Sigaud, 160, Rio de Janeiro CEP 22290-180, Brazil. ¹¹Consorzio CREATE, Via Claudio 21, 80125 Napoli, Italy. ¹²Consorzio RFX, corso Stati Uniti 4, 35127 Padova, Italy. ¹³Daegu University, Jillyang, Gyeongsan, Gyeongbuk 712-174, Republic of Korea. ¹⁴Departamento de Física, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, 28911 Leganés, Madrid, Spain. ¹⁵Department of Applied Physics UG (Ghent University), St-Pietersnieuwstraat 41 B-9000 Ghent, Belgium. ¹⁶Department of Earth and Space Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-41296 Gothenburg, Sweden. ¹⁷Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, University of Cagliari, Piazza d'Armi 09123 Cagliari, Italy. ¹⁸Department of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics Comenius University Mlynska dolina F2, 84248 Bratislava, Slovak Republic. ¹⁹Department of Materials Science, Warsaw University of Technology, PL-01-152 Warsaw, Poland. ²⁰Department of Nuclear and Quantum Engineering, KAIST, Daejeon 34141, Korea. ²¹Department of Physics and Applied Physics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G4 ONG, UK. ²²Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, SE-75120 Uppsala, Sweden. ²³Department of Physics, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-41296 Gothenburg, Sweden. ²⁴Department of Physics, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ, UK. ²⁵Department of Physics, SCI, KTH, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden. ²⁶Department of Physics, University of Basel, Switzerland. ²⁷Department of Physics, University of Oxford, OX1 2JD, UK. ²⁸Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK. ²⁹Department of Pure and Applied Physics, Queens University, Belfast BT7 1NN, UK.

³⁰Dipartimento di Ingegneria Elettrica Elettronica e Informatica-Università degli Studi di Catania, 95125 Catania, Italy. ³¹Dipartimento di Ingegneria Industriale, University of Trento, Italy. ³²Dublin City University (DCU), Ireland. ³³Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Swiss Plasma Center (SPC), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland. ³⁴EUROfusion Programme Management Unit, Boltzmannstr. 2, 85748 Garching, Germany. ³⁵EUROfusion Programme Management Unit, Culham Science Centre, Culham OX14 3DB, UK. ³⁶European Commission, B-1049 Brussels, Belgium. ³⁷Fluid and Plasma Dynamics, ULB - Campus Plaine - CP 231 Boulevard du Triomphe, 1050 Bruxelles, Belgium. ³⁸FOM Institute DIFFER, Eindhoven, The Netherlands. ³⁹Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institut für Energie- und Klimaforschung - Plasmaphysik, 52425 Jülich, Germany. ⁴⁰Fourth State Research, 503 Lockhart Dr, Austin, Texas, USA. ⁴¹Fusion for Energy Joint Undertaking, Josep Pl. 2, Torres Diagonal Litoral B3, 08019 Barcelona, Spain. ⁴²Fusion Plasma Physics, EES, KTH, SE-10044 Stockholm, Sweden. ⁴³General Atomics, PO Box 85608, San Diego, California 92186-5608, California, USA. ⁴⁴HRS Fusion, West Orange New Jersey, USA. ⁴⁵IFP-CNR, via R. Cozzi 53, 20125 Milano, Italy. ⁴⁶Institute for Plasma Research, Bhat, Gandhinagar - 382 428 Gujarat, India. ⁴⁷Institute of Nuclear Physics, Radzikowskiego 152, 31-342 Kraków, Poland. ⁴⁸Institute of Physics, Opole University, Oleska 48, 45-052 Opole, Poland. ⁴⁹Institute of Plasma Physics and Laser Microfusion, Hery 23, 01-497 Warsaw, Poland. ⁵⁰Institute of Plasma Physics AS CR, Za Slovankou 1782/3, 182 00 Praha 8, Czech Republic. ⁵¹Institute of Plasma Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230031, China. ⁵²Instituto de Física - Universidade de São Paulo Rua do Matão Travessa R Nr.187 CEP 05508-090 Cidade Universitária, São Paulo, Brasil. ⁵³Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal. ⁵⁴Ioffe Physico-Technical Institute, 26 Politekhnicheskaya, St Petersburg 194021, Russian Federation. ⁵⁵ITER Organization, Route de Vinon, CS 90 046, 13067 Saint Paul Lez Durance, France. ⁵⁶Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, PO Box 3640, D-76021 Karlsruhe, Germany. ⁵⁷Laboratorio Nacional de Fusión, CIEMAT, Madrid, Spain. ⁵⁸Laboratory for Plasma Physics Koninklijke Militaire School - Ecole Royale Militaire Renaissancelaan 30 Avenue de la Renaissance B-1000, Brussels, Belgium. ⁵⁹Lithuanian energy institute, Breslaujos g. 3, LT-44403 Kaunas, Lithuania. ⁶⁰Magnetic Sensor Laboratory, Lviv Polytechnic National University, Lviv, Ukraine. ⁶¹Maritime University of Szczecin, Waly Chrobrego 1-2, 70-500 Szczecin, Poland. ⁶²Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, D-85748 Garching, Germany. ⁶³Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Teilinstitut Greifswald, D-17491 Greifswald, Germany. ⁶⁴MIT Plasma Science and Fusion Centre, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, Massachusetts, USA. ⁶⁵National Centre for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), 05-400 Otwock-Świerk, Poland. ⁶⁶National Fusion Research Institute(NFRI) 169-148 Gwahak-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-806, Republic of Korea. ⁶⁷National Institute for Fusion Science, Oroshi, Toki, Gifu 509-5292, Japan. ⁶⁸National Institute for Fusion Science, Toki 509-5292, Japan. ⁶⁹National Institutes for Quantum and Radiological Science and Technology, Naka, Ibaraki 311- 0193, Japan. ⁷⁰National Technical University of Athens, Iroon Politechniou 9, 157 73 Zografou Athens, Greece. ⁷¹NCSR "Demokritos" 153 10, Agia Paraskevi Attikis, Greece. ⁷²NRC Kurchatov Institute, 1 Kurchatov Square, Moscow 123182, Russian Federation. ⁷³Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6169, Tennessee, USA. ⁷⁴PELIN LLC, 27a, Gzhatskaya Ulitsa, Saint Petersburg 195220, Russian Federation. ⁷⁵Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, I-10129 Torino, Italy. ⁷⁶Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, James Forrestal Campus, Princeton, New Jersey 08543, New Jersey, USA. ⁷⁷Purdue University, 610 Purdue Mall, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, USA. ⁷⁸SCK-CEN, Nuclear Research Centre, 2400 Mol, Belgium. ⁷⁹Second University of Napoli, Consorzio CREATE, Via Claudio 21, 80125 Napoli, Italy. ⁸⁰Seoul National University, Shilim-Dong, Gwanak-Gu, Republic of Korea. ⁸¹Slovenian Fusion Association (SFA), Jozef Stefan Institute, Jamova 39, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia. ⁸²Space and Plasma Physics, EES, KTH SE-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden. ⁸³Technical University of Denmark, Department of Physics, Bldg 309, DK-2800 Kgs Lyngby, Denmark. ⁸⁴The "Horia Hulubei" National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Magurele- Bucharest, Romania. ⁸⁵The National Institute for Cryogenics and Isotopic Technology, Ramnicu Valcea, Romania. ⁸⁶The National Institute for Laser, Plasma and Radiation Physics, Magurele-Bucharest, Romania. ⁸⁷The National Institute for Optoelectronics, Magurele-Bucharest, Romania. ⁸⁸Troitsk Institute of Innovating and Thermonuclear Research (TRINITI), Troitsk 142190, Moscow Region, Russian Federation. ⁸⁹Uni of Electronic Science & Tech of China, China. ⁹⁰Unità Tecnica Fusione - ENEA C. R. Frascati - via E. Fermi 45, 00044 Frascati (Roma), Italy. ⁹¹Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain. ⁹²Universidad de Sevilla, Sevilla, Spain. ⁹³Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia, Madrid, Spain. ⁹⁴Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Grupo I2A2 Madrid, Spain. ⁹⁵Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Via del Politecnico 1, Roma, Italy. ⁹⁶University College Cork (UCC), Ireland. ⁹⁷University Milano-Bicocca, piazza della Scienza 3, 20126 Milano, Italy. ⁹⁸University of Basilicata, Consorzio CREATE, Via Claudio 21, 80125 Napoli, Italy. ⁹⁹University of California, 1111 Franklin St., Oakland, California 94607, USA. ¹⁰⁰University of Cassino, Consorzio CREATE, Via Claudio 21, 80125 Napoli, Italy. ¹⁰¹University of Helsinki, PO Box 43, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland. ¹⁰²University of Innsbruck, Fusion@Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften (ÖAW), Austria. ¹⁰³University of Latvia, 19 Raina Blvd., Riga, LV 1586, Latvia. ¹⁰⁴University of Lorraine, CNRS, UMR7198, IJIL, Nancy, France. ¹⁰⁵University of Napoli "Federico II", Consorzio CREATE, Via Claudio 21, 80125 Napoli, Italy. ¹⁰⁶University of Napoli Parthenope, Consorzio CREATE, Via Claudio 21, 80125 Napoli, Italy. ¹⁰⁷University of Texas at Austin, Institute for Fusion Studies, Austin, Texas 78712, Texas, USA. ¹⁰⁸University of Toyama, Toyama 930-8555, Japan. ¹⁰⁹University of Tuscia, DEIM, Via del Paradiso 47, 01100 Viterbo, Italy. ¹¹⁰University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, UK. ¹¹¹Vienna University of Technology, Fusion@Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften (ÖAW), Austria. ¹¹²VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, PO Box 1000, FIN-02044 VTT, Finland. ¹¹³Wigner Research Centre for Physics, PO B. 49, H - 1525 Budapest, Hungary.