



Boron Doped Diamond Electrodes for Direct Measurement in Biological Fluids: An In Situ Regeneration Approach

R. Kiran, Emmanuel Scorsone, Jacques Sanoit (de), Jean-Charles Arnault, P. Mailley, P. Bergonzo

► To cite this version:

R. Kiran, Emmanuel Scorsone, Jacques Sanoit (de), Jean-Charles Arnault, P. Mailley, et al.. Boron Doped Diamond Electrodes for Direct Measurement in Biological Fluids: An In Situ Regeneration Approach. *Journal of The Electrochemical Society*, 2012, 160 (1), pp.H67 - H73. 10.1149/2.014302jes . cea-01802314

HAL Id: cea-01802314

<https://cea.hal.science/cea-01802314>

Submitted on 24 Sep 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Boron Doped Diamond Electrodes for Direct Measurement in Biological Fluids: An In Situ Regeneration Approach

Raphael Kiran,^{a,z} Emmanuel Scorsone,^a Jacques de Sanoit,^a Jean-Charles Arnault,^a Pascal Mailley,^b and Philippe Bergonzon^a

^aCEA, LIST, Diamond Sensors Laboratory, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

^bCEA- LITEN, Laboratoire de Stockage de l'Electricité, 73377 Le Bourget du Lac, France

Boron doped diamond (BDD) electrodes are extremely promising in the field of biomedical applications as they exhibit a unique combination of properties. Despite these advantages, BDD electrodes are prone to fouling when used in biological fluids (urine, blood plasma), and synthetic fluids. We propose an electrochemical (EC) treatment where a train of short cathodic and/or anodic pulses are applied to clean fouled electrodes. This technique can be used to retrieve the lost reactivity, characterized by electron transfer rate k_0 of the boron doped diamond electrodes, thereby enhancing their reusability over long period of measurements without degradation of the signal, thus significantly extending the field of monitoring and surveying applications. The technique does not require the use of a specific medium and thus can be directly performed in the probed fluid. Although an aqueous electrolyte containing non-electroactive species is preferred for EC activation, it can also be done in biological fluids such as blood, urine etc, thereby opening the field for *in-vivo* analysis. Through Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) it was observed that the k_0 value was increased up to 0.1 cm s^{-1} after the activation process. This technique improves the sensitivity, reproducibility and lifetime of the electrodes to a considerable extent.

© 2012 The Electrochemical Society. [DOI: 10.1149/2.014302jes] All rights reserved.

Manuscript submitted May 29, 2012; revised manuscript received October 22, 2012. Published November 14, 2012.

In biomedical and environmental applications, electrochemical sensors are well adapted due to their good sensitivity, fast measuring time, portability, low power consumption and cost effectiveness. BDD electrodes have been a major focus of research and development in electrochemical and biomedical sensors due to their electro-analytically advantageous features,¹⁻⁶ namely: wide potential window in aqueous electrolytes ($>3 \text{ V}$), corrosion stability in aggressive media, morphological and structural stability at very high current, low background current and bio-inertness. Despite these promising properties when compared to classical electrodes, they are also susceptible to fouling when used in organic or biological fluids.⁷⁻⁹ Electrode fouling can be due to adsorption or adhesion of biomolecules such as proteins, enzymes, cells, intermediate products of oxidation of organic compounds, etc.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ Hydrogen terminated BDD electrodes exhibit very good electrochemical reactivity characterized by the electron transfer rate k_0 .¹⁵ But aging in air or in aqueous solution reduces the k_0 value to considerable extents,^{15,17} which could be due to surface modification. The H termination of as grown BDD electrode is gradually modified to O termination under exposure to air. Both fouling and aging affects the accuracy, sensitivity and reproducibility of the measurement and lifetime of the electrode. Moreover, as grown BDD electrodes grown in hot filament reactor contains adsorbed hydrocarbons and oxygen contamination and they affect the electrochemical properties of the electrode.¹⁶

Several approaches have been investigated to overcome this issue of fouling. For instance coating the electrochemical sensor with chemically inert polymers like Nafion enhances the antifouling capability.^{10,18} Surfactant modified electrode also enhances resistance to protein adsorption and cell adhesion. However, polymer or membrane deposition increases the degree of complexity of fabrication of the electrochemical sensor, decreases the electrode reactivity and as a result the lifetime of the modified electrode will be shorter than that of an 'as grown' electrode. Alternatively, sono-electrochemical method provides in-situ cleaning accompanied with electrochemical measurement.¹³ Nevertheless the power consumption, accuracy of measurement and simplicity of the design of the sensor have, in this case, to be compromised. Hydrogen plasma treatment is another approach that leads to clean H terminated BDD surface.^{19,20} But the use of plasma treatment is clearly not practical, especially when measurements have to be performed outside the laboratory. Electrochemical techniques have also been developed. In particular, an aged BDD electrode can be reactivated by cathodic pre-treatment by applying

-3 V for 3 to 30 minutes in $0.5 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ aqueous solution.¹⁷ Also the non-diamond sp^2 impurities can be eliminated by anodic treatment in aqueous electrolyte.^{19,21,22} Electrochemical reactivity of an aged electrode can be improved by performing 10 redox cycles in 0.5 M LiClO_4 aqueous electrolyte (de-aerated) from $400 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$ to $-400 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$ at 100 mV s^{-1} .¹⁵ Rodrigo et al. has demonstrated that anodic treatment of fouled electrode at 10 mA cm^{-2} for 30 minutes can recover initial reactivity of the electrode.³⁰ This is due to oxidation of the organic molecule to CO_2 by the electrogenerated hydroxyl radical (OH^*). On-line reactivation by anodic treatment (3 V for 30 minutes) was reported by some groups.^{31,32} However the analyte under investigation was of concentration range of milli molar and even micro molar range in a supporting electrolyte, when the on-line activation was done. Chloro-phenols and Carbamate compounds possess lower molecular weight compared to proteins and enzymes. Electrode fouling due to the phenolic derivatives is mainly due to the formation of passive polymeric formed as a result phenol oxidation.³¹ Human urine contains many proteins such as macroglobulin, fibrinogen, uromucoid, antigens of epithelial cells, etc.³³ in addition to carbohydrates, hormones, fatty acids and other organic and inorganic compounds. Similarly blood contains many proteins like albumin, immunoglobulin, fibrinogen, enzymes, hormones and other high molecular weight species. The fouling of the electrode is not only due to deposition of polymeric film formed after oxidation of species, but also adsorption of these biomolecules. It was experimentally observed that a BDD electrode of high reactivity ($k_0 > 0.1 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$) dropped its reactivity by an order of magnitude after being immersed in fetal bovine serum. Hence the anodic treatment technique demonstrated by other groups will not work in biological fluids.^{31,32} Furthermore anodic treatment results in reduced electron transfer rate, formation of passivation layer, shift in flatband potential etc.³⁴

A pulsed cleaning technique has been reported by Mahé et al.³⁵ where alternating current pulses of amplitude $\pm 250 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ in 1 M HNO_3 were applied to clean the graphitic domains on diamond electrode and the total activation time is 400 seconds. We report herein an improved EC activation process for BDD electrodes, based on applying specific current or potential pulses, that lead to electrochemical cleaning and to remarkable electron transfer rates (k_0 value above $10^{-3} \text{ cm s}^{-1}$) with good stability and an activation time shorter (as short as 400 ms when compared to longer activation time reported by other groups) than known prior art.²⁸ This EC treatment does not require a specific electrolytic solution and has been successfully performed in a wide range of compounds, including biological fluids such as blood and urine. The in-situ cathodic treatment enhances the electron transfer rate when compared to anodic treatment due to H

^zE-mail: raphael.kiran@cea.fr

termination of surface. The surface modification of an EC activated electrode is explained from the analysis of X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) results. Influence of current density, pH, and number of pulses on EC activation is discussed. Some examples of activation within biological and synthetic fluids are also mentioned.

Experimental

BDD films of boron concentration near 2×10^{21} at cm^{-3} were synthesized by microwave plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (MPECVD) using a metallic wall reactor over p-Si (100) substrate (Siltronix).²³ The thickness of BDD layer was about 500 nm as measured by optical interferometry. The EC characterizations were carried out in a 3 electrode setup where BDD is the working electrode (working area = 0.5 cm^2). Ultrapure deionised (DI) water (Millipore Direct Q3) was used to make all the solutions. Equimolar (1 mM) solutions of potassium ferricyanide(III)/ potassium hexacyanoferrate(II).trihydrate (Acros Organics) were prepared in 0.5 M potassium chloride (Acros Organics) solution for EIS. 0.5 M lithium perchlorate (Sigma Aldrich) aqueous solution was prepared for EC activation of the electrodes. Activation of the electrodes was also performed using 0.2 M Na_2SO_4 , CuSO_4 , MnSO_4 , ZnSO_4 (Prolabo) aqueous solution, 0.2 M tetrabutylammonium tetrafluoroborate (Sigma Aldrich) in acetonitrile, human urine (from healthy volunteer eating balanced diet), and bovine blood containing Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (freshly collected at the veterinary hospital Maison Alfort, France).

The electron transfer rate constant k_0 (k_0 is measured in 1 mM ferro/ferricyanide in 0.5 M KCl, through out the paper) was experimentally determined by EIS over a frequency range of 50 kHz–1 Hz with logarithmic point spacing and potential amplitude of 0.01 V rms while the BDD electrode was maintained at open circuit potential. The EIS was performed in a three electrode setup where BDD electrode is the working electrode, platinum wire the pseudo-reference electrode and platinum mesh the counter electrode. During cyclic voltammetry (CV) and EC treatment processes the pseudo reference was replaced by Ag/AgCl/3 M KCl electrode. The electrodes were rinsed in DI water and dried under flow of argon gas prior to each experiment.

The standard activation protocol is a pulse train of 50 current pulses of alternating amplitude (10 mA cm^{-2} and -10 mA cm^{-2}) and of equal duration (100 ms) applied between working and counter electrodes in 0.5 M LiClO_4 solution. The parameters were varied according to the electrolytes. The standard cathodic activation protocol is a train of 50 current pulses of amplitude -20 mA cm^{-2} , duration of 110 ms and a duty cycle of 90.91%. Autolab PGSTAT 302 potentiostat was used for all the EC characterizations. The k_0 value was determined from the Nyquist plot fitted using ZSimWin 3.21 software. Unless stated otherwise the potential is always given versus an Ag/AgCl reference electrode.

The quality of an electrode can be related to its electron transfer rate k_0 which is defined by equation 1 and used to characterize our electrodes in the rest of the document.³⁸

$$k_0 = \left(\frac{RT}{nF} \right) \frac{1}{nSFR_T C_0} \quad [1]$$

Where R = Universal gas constant, T = Absolute temperature (K), S = Surface area of the electrode (cm^2), F = Faraday's constant (96500 C mol^{-1}), R_T = Electron transfer resistance of electrode (ohm), C_0 = Concentration of redox couple (mol cm^{-3}), n = number of electrons transferred. The higher the value of k_0 , the better is the reactivity of the electrode.

The surface analysis was performed using X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS). Two electrodes were treated under hydrogen plasma (500°C) for 45 minutes. XPS analysis was carried on one sample directly after hydrogen plasma treatment whereas the other sample was activated prior to XPS analysis. The surface chemistry of the electrode was also characterized by XPS after fouling in urine. The spectrometer consists of a hemispherical analyzer and an Al K α anode supplied with a monochromator. Binding energies were referenced to the Au 4f $_{7/2}$ peak located at 84 eV.²⁹ According to the experimental




Figure 1. Comparison between the Nyquist plot of ‘as grown’ BDD electrode and that of the same electrode which has been exposed to air for 30 days.

geometry, the probed depth was estimated to be 1 nm. A curve fitting procedure was carried out to extract the components in the C1s spectra using Voigt functions with a Lorentzian half-width of 0.2 eV. The Gaussian width was considered as an adjustable parameter. Then the area of each peak was calculated and the ratio of this area was recorded with respect to the total area.

Results

Activation of aged and fouled electrodes.— ‘As grown’ BDD electrodes exhibit very high reactivity with k_0 values above 0.01 cm s^{-1} . The peak to peak separation of the oxidation and reduction potential (ΔE_p in $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-/4-}$ solution) of such an electrode was probed at 60 mV at a 100 mV s^{-1} scan rate, a value close to the theory for this couple, and demonstrating the extreme reactivity of the diamond surface. The k_0 value for this electrode was probed at $5.9 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm s}^{-1}$. However, when exposed to air for 30 days (in laboratory condition), the same electrode demonstrated a decrease of the k_0 value by 1100%. The transfer resistance R_T was increased from 10 to 105Ω and ΔE_p value decreased by 42%.

We used our very innovative EC treatment on the aged electrode in LiClO_4 solution by applying a train of alternating square wave current pulses on the working electrode with respect to the counter electrode. The standard activation protocol was used to activate the electrode. It was observed that the electrode activity was recovered, with probed ΔE_p value brought back to 60 mV and k_0 value reaching $6.1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ after this novel EC treatment. Figure 1 shows Nyquist plots of an aged electrode and the electrode after electrochemical activation. The time required to bring back the lost reactivity of the aged electrode, in this case, was kept low at a value of 10 seconds. With respect to other techniques^{13,15,19–22} as previously reported in the literature, this technique is much faster, can be performed using an extremely simple electronic setup (pulse generator), and requires lower power resources.

A set of 5 CV cycles from -0.4 V to 1.1 V vs Ag/AgCl at 100 mV s^{-1} was performed in human urine using a freshly prepared BDD electrode and after each trial EIS was done to assess the k_0 value. An oxidation peak (P1) was observed at approximately 0.5 V vs Ag/AgCl (Figure 2a). The peak (P1) corresponds to the oxidation potential of uric acid and ascorbic acid in urine.²⁵ However the amplitude of P1 is observed to significantly decrease after each trial. Also the oxidation potential of this peak shifts toward more positive potentials and the k_0 value decreases after each trial (Figure 2a). The attenuation of current is not because of diffusion limited phenomena the electrode was washed thoroughly in DI water and dried before each scan. Before the measurement, a k_0 value of 0.27 cm s^{-1} was observed, whereas




Figure 2. (a) Cyclic voltammogram in human urine from -0.4 V to 1.1 V at 100 mV s^{-1} where J is the current density in $\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$ and E is the applied voltage in volts. The electrode was cleaned thoroughly in deionized water prior to each scan and hence the attenuation of the peak (P1) is due to fouling and not because the solution surrounding electrode is depleted of electro-active species. (b) Comparison of the cyclic voltammogram of 'as grown' electrode (solid line) and the same electrode after activation (dotted line) where J is the current density in $\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$ and E is the applied voltage in volts. The electrolyte is human urine and the scan rate is 100 mV s^{-1} .

after five trials it decreased to values lower than 10^{-3} cm s^{-1} . This clearly corresponds to the fouling of the electrode.²⁶ However, this fouled electrode was treated by our EC activation technique using the following parameters. A set of 150 current pulses of alternating amplitudes (10 mA cm^{-2} and -10 mA cm^{-2}) and of equal duration (100 ms) was applied to the working electrode with respect to the counter electrode in LiClO_4 solution. The total number of pulses were tripled when compared to standard activation protocol because it was observed that the k_0 value after 50 pulses was not as high as that of as grown electrode (although significantly higher than 0.01 cm s^{-1}). This corresponds to an overall activation time of 30 seconds. The results were extremely convincing as it can be observed in Figure 2b that the CV curve after activation perfectly coincides with that of the first scan. The difference in amplitude of the P1 peak for these two curves remained below 0.0001% and the k_0 value was brought back to more than 0.2 cm s^{-1} by this activation.

Activation in other electrolytes.— This EC activation approach can also successfully be performed in most inorganic salt solutions as well as organic solutions. In order to demonstrate this, electrodes were fouled and then activated in various electrolytes. For examples, the BDD electrodes were fouled in red wine (Chassagne-Montrachet 1^{er} Cru) by maintaining them at 0.7 V vs Ag/AgCl for 20 s. The red wine sample was particularly chosen since it led to high level electrode fouling.²⁷ The fouled electrodes were exhibiting k_0 values below 10^{-3} cm s^{-1} . Electrodes were then activated in different salt solution using 8 alternating current pulse ($+1.5$ mA cm^{-2} and -1.5 mA cm^{-2}) of 2 seconds each. The k_0 value, after activation in each salt solution, is summarized in Table I. This demonstrated the role of cathodic current pulses in electrode cleaning. For non-electroactive electrolytes such as LiClO_4 and Na_2SO_4 at this current density, the negative potential goes beyond -1.6 V where H_2 gas is electro-generated. The electro-generated H_2 gas helps in desorption of adsorbed organic species and H termination of the electrode. Whereas for the electroactive electrolyte the current generated was mainly due to metal deposition rather than H_2 electro-generation and hence the k_0 value is lower. At a relatively low current density of 1.5 mA cm^{-2} , electroactive species such as Cu, Zn and Mn salts gets electrodeposited on the surface and thereby decreasing the yield of OH^* , which plays a critical role in electrode cleaning. The deposition of metals were observed by the

anodic stripping voltammogram where Zn was stripped at -0.9 V, Cu at 0.4 V and for Mn 2 peaks were observed (oxidation and reduction peak). It was observed that at higher current density (>10 mA cm^{-2} , the k_0 value was higher after activation in some electro-active electrolyte) because the yield of H_2 generation and H termination increases with current density. Activation process in 0.2 M TBATFB in acetonitrile solution demonstrated that activation process can also be successfully performed in organic solvents to reach considerably high k_0 values above 10^{-3} cm s^{-1} .

Influence of pH, current density and number of pulses on EC activation.— To probe the influence of factors such as the amplitude of the current density, the pH of the electrolytic solution and the number of pulses in a pulse train, we varied one of such parameter at a time while the two others were kept constant. To measure the influence of pH and number of pulses, the total activation duration was fixed at 16 seconds. But for current density, the duration of activation was limited to 100 ms because the current density was varied from 1 μA to 100 mA cm^{-2} . 16 seconds of activation at 100 mA cm^{-2} electrolyze the solution rapidly and may also affect the surface termination although BDD is known to be robust.

At first, the electrodes were fouled in the red wine to reach k_0 values below 10^{-3} cm s^{-1} and then activated in 0.5 M LiClO_4 solutions with varying pH values. The pH was adjusted to 1.5, 4.5, 7, 9.5 and 12.5 by adding either H_2SO_4 or NaOH . Activation was performed using 8 current pulses of alternating amplitude (1.5 mA cm^{-2} and -1.5 mA cm^{-2}) and duration of 2 seconds each. The more alkaline the solution, better the activation of the electrode as displayed in Figure 3. The k_0 value of the electrode after activation in a solution




Figure 3. pH dependence of activation process. The higher the pH, the better the activation and the value of electron transfer rate (measured in 1 mM ferro/ferricyanide in 0.5 M KCl) k_0 measured in cm s^{-1} .

Table I. The electron transfer rate (k_0) value determined from the Nyquist plot after activation in salt solutions.

Salt	Solvent	k_0 (cm s^{-1})
LiClO_4	Water	$0.0268 \pm 8\text{e-}4$
Na_2SO_4	Water	$0.0205 \pm 6\text{e-}4$
MnSO_4	Water	0.0108 ± 0.004
ZnSO_4	Water	$0.0027 \pm 7\text{e-}4$
CuSO_4	Water	$0.0013 \pm 6\text{e-}4$
H_2SO_4	Water	$0.0197 \pm 3\text{e-}3$
TBATFB	Acetonitrile	$0.0095 \pm 4\text{e-}4$




Figure 4. Effect of the current density on the activation process. The higher the current density, the higher the electron transfer rate k_0 (cm s⁻¹), where J is the current density in $\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$.

of pH 1.5 was found to be 1.34×10^{-2} cm s⁻¹ whereas that of an electrode activated in alkaline solution of pH 12.5 was 4.82×10^{-2} cm s⁻¹. We, thus, observe an increase of around 250% in k_0 when the fouled electrode is activated in an alkaline medium as compared to that in an acidic medium. This could possibly be associated to the fact that, when alternating positive and negative pulses were applied through the working electrode, OH* radicals generated may play a relevant role to oxidize organic compounds.^{1,24} Electrode fouling is associated with the deposition or adsorption of organic compounds on the electrode surface and the OH* radicals play an important role in oxidizing them to CO₂. Alkaline solution produce more OH* radicals when compared to acidic solutions.

Increasing the current density of the current pulses causes a very dramatic increase in k_0 value (Figure 3). The electrodes were fouled in red wine and then were activated in 0.5 M LiClO₄ solution using 4 alternating current pulses of 100 ms duration each, while the pH of the solution was kept constant (pH = 4.5). This activation was performed using varying current density amplitudes of 1 $\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$, 10 $\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$, 100 $\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$, 1 mA cm⁻², 10 mA cm⁻² and 100 mA cm⁻², respectively. The k_0 of the electrode after activation using a current density of 1 $\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$ was $6.97 \pm 0.8 \times 10^{-4}$ cm s⁻¹ and that of an electrode activated using a current density of 100 mA cm⁻² was $1.71 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-2}$ cm s⁻¹. Maintaining constant the total time of activation and pH, the result is an increase of the k_0 value by up to a factor 25 with the current density.

The number of pulses required to activate the electrode also plays a very critical role. This was assessed by varying the total number of pulses per activation, whereas the total activation time, pH and current density were kept constant (pH = 4.5). Alternating current pulses of absolute amplitude of 1.5 mA cm⁻² were applied through the fouled electrode in 0.5 M LiClO₄ solution and the total activation time was 16 seconds. The fouled electrode was activated using series of 2, 4, 8, 16, 32 and 64 alternating pulses and with the corresponding pulses exhibiting durations of 8, 4, 2, 1, 0.5 and 0.25 seconds, respectively. It was observed that the more the number of pulses for a finite activation time, the better the yield of activation. When 2 alternating pulses of 8 seconds each were applied, the post activation k_0 was $8.2 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-3}$ cm s⁻¹, and values reached $2.1 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-2}$ cm s⁻¹ when 64 alternating pulses were applied (Figure 5). A 250% increase was observed for the k_0 value when the number of pulses was increased from 2 to 64 for an activation time period of 16 seconds. This demonstrates the reason for using several pulses instead of just 2 pulses (Cathodic and anodic).

From Figure 5 it is clear that there is a enormous increase in k_0 value when the number of pulses were increased from 1 to 10 and the




Figure 5. Impact of the number of pulses on the activation process, where k_0 is electron transfer rate (cm s⁻¹).

slope is relatively gradual when the number of pulses were increased further. Similarly there is a enormous increase in k_0 value when the current density was increased beyond 10 mA cm⁻² as seen in Figure 4. Based on these assumptions and experimental findings the standard activation protocol is suggested to clean the electrode in a non-reactive aqueous electrolyte: Positive and negative trains of pulses of the same amplitude (± 10 mA cm⁻²) and duration (100 ms each) and series of 100 such pulses.

Surface analysis and activation mechanism.— The surface modifications induced by the activation treatment were characterized using X-ray Photoemission Spectroscopy (XPS) using a surface sensitive geometry. After hydrogen plasma, no oxygen was detected using XPS corresponding to values below the detection threshold of 0.5 at%. In addition to the C-C sp³ / C-H major peak located at 283.9 eV, the C1s signal exhibits a shoulder at + 0.6 eV corresponding to CH_x (x > 1) bonds which represents 19% of the C1s total area (Table II). This signature was previously reported for hydrogenated diamond surfaces.¹⁵ Finally, a negligible contribution (<0.5 at%) was detected at 282.5 eV corresponding to sp² carbon. This is related to the grain size of nanocrystalline boron doped diamond films leading to a weak contribution from grain boundaries. After fouling in urine, oxygen and nitrogen are measured at the electrode surface with concentrations of 17.6 at% and 7.9 at%, respectively. This leads to a broadening of the C1s peak (FWHM 1.8 eV compared to 0.8 eV after hydrogenation). After activation, the oxygen concentration extracted from the O1s core level was of 3.8 at%. Two new contributions have to be taken into account at the C1s core level. The first one is located at +1.3 eV from the C-C sp³ / C-H peak and could be assigned to C-OH bonds. The second weaker contribution at 1.9 eV from the C-C sp³ is attributed to C-O-C bonds (Table II). This surface chemistry is close to the one measured after activation in LiClO₄ electrolyte¹⁵ where C-OH (4%) and C-O-C (5%) contributions were also present.

During EC activation, three mechanisms are expected to occur: (i) oxidation of adsorbed organic molecule by OH*, (ii) H termination during cathodic pulsing,³⁶ (iii) desorption of adsorbed organic compounds by electro-generated gaseous species. It was experimentally observed that the activation in basic solution has yielded better

Table II. Percentages of total C1s area of the XPS components for hydrogenated electrode and electrode after EC activation.

	C-C sp ²	C-C sp ³ / C-H	CH _x	C-OH	C-O-C
Hydrogenated BDD	<0.5%	81%	19%	-	-
Pulse activated BDD	<0.5%	72%	20%	6%	2%





Figure 6. Optical microscopic (10× magnification) and SEM images of electrode deposited with biofilm (a,c) and after 3 standard activation protocol (b,d).

reactivity when compared to acidic solutions. OH^* formation is explained by the following chemical equation:³⁷



OH^* generated are oxidants and they oxidize the adsorbed organic molecule to CO_2 .



The concentration of OH^* increases progressively until a constant value is reached due to mass transfer limitations or chemical destruction of the OH^* formed. At higher pH more OH^* is generated. LiClO_4 is non-electroactive species and hence the only oxidant species is OH^* .

The double layer capacitance of the electrode was measured before and after activation. Two techniques were used for measurement of capacitance: CV (The electrode was scanned in 0.5 M LiClO_4 solution at 100 mV s^{-1}) and charge-discharge curves (I-t plot, where the electrode was held at 0 V for 0.005 s and 0.05 V for 0.005 s). There was no significant difference in the capacitance value (less than 0.5%) before and after activation. XPS analysis, high k_0 value and negligible change in double layer capacitance indicate that there is no significant difference between as grown electrode and EC activated electrode in terms of electrochemical reactivity and surface termination.

BDD electrodes were horizontally immersed in an aquarium containing fish and aquatic plants for 90 days. The electrodes were then rinsed in DI water, dried and were observed under optical microscope. A biofilm was formed on the surface which consists of several microbial communities. SEM and optical microscopic images (Figure 6) shows that the BDD surface was masked by the microbes. EC characterization such as EIS and CV were performed between various steps of EC activation. It took 3 standard activation protocol to clean the electrode completely and get a ΔE_p (in $[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-/4-}$ solution) of 60 mV and a $k_0 > 0.1 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$. It was observed from SEM and optical images that the microbes have been completely removed by 3 steps of standard activation protocol. 3 processes outline the activation process: OH^* might have destroyed the microbes, electro-generated O_2

and H_2 gas bubbles helped in desorbing the attached microbes and cathodic pulse have H terminated the surface.

4 H terminated BDD samples were prepared: sample 1 is an ‘as grown’ BDD electrode, sample 2,3 and 4 are electrodes fouled by 5 CV scans in human urine. Sample 3 and 4 were cleaned using standard cathodic protocol in urine and standard activation protocol respectively. The fouled sample (2) was very cloudy under SEM (Figure 7) and the underlying BDD film was not clearly observed suggesting a nonconductive biomolecule (enzyme, protein, fat etc.) layer over BDD film. It was observed that the samples 1 and 4 resembles nearly the same suggesting that the sample 4 has been almost cleaned with the help of OH^* generated that has oxidized the adsorbed biomolecules, desorption of the adsorbed species by electrogenerated H_2 and O_2 bubbles and cathodic pulse H terminating (observed by high k_0 values). Sample 3 was almost cleaned with less cloudy appearances. There was no generation of OH^* as the activation was cathodic. Hence the cleaning was due to desorption of the adsorbed species by electro-generated H_2 bubbles and H termination of surface (observed by high k_0 values).

In-situ activation in biological fluids.— A set of 9 trials each comprising of 3 CV scans were performed in human urine and the current density of the 1st peak (P1) of the first scan were recorded. The electrode was then activated in urine for all the trials except before trial no: 4, 7, and 9. The EIS of the electrode was then recorded to enable the comparison of the k_0 values between activated and non-treated trials. Activation in human urine was performed using a train of negative current pulses of 50 pulses. Each pulse has amplitude of -20 mA cm^{-2} , duration of 110 ms and a duty cycle of 90.91%. It was observed that the mean value of k_0 for an activated electrode was around 0.01 cm s^{-1} . When the electrode was not activated this value was reduced by a factor of 40 with respect to an activated electrode. From Figure 8 it clearly appears that once the electrode is activated after a non-treated trial, one can bring back the lost reactivity of the electrode as well as a k_0 value close to mean values. Similarly the mean current density J1 of the peak P1 for the activated trials was




Figure 7. SEM images of as grown electrode (a), electrode after being fouling in urine (b) after activation in urine (c) and after activation in LiClO_4 (d).

observed to be $345 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$. For the non-treated trials this value was only $3/4^{\text{th}}$ of the activated trials. This value was brought back to mean values by activation in urine as seen in Figure 9.

Another illustration used a BDD electrode of high reactivity ($k_0 = 0.1 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$) dipped in bovine blood for two hours. This results in a drop of k_0 to 0.007 cm s^{-1} . The EC activation was then performed directly in bovine blood by applying a train of negative current pulses consisting of 50 pulses where each pulse exhibits an amplitude of -20 mA cm^{-2} , a duration of 110 ms and a duty cycle of 90.91%

(same condition as that of urine). EIS measurement showed that the k_0 value was brought back to 0.012 cm s^{-1} after activation.

In summary, we assessed that this very novel activation process finds very convincing interests for the monitoring of analytes in real samples such as blood, urine etc because of the very short activation times and tunable current density required. However, in real samples adsorbents could accumulate on the surface of the electrode which can be desorbed by applying stronger negative pulses (indicated by increase in k_0 value after activation).




Figure 8. Electron transfer rate k_0 is measured after each trial. For trial no: 4, 7 and 9, the electrode was not activated in urine. For all other trials, the electrode was activated in urine and as a result the k_0 of the electrode is close to 0.01 cm s^{-1} .




Figure 9. Current density J_1 ($\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$) of the peak P1 measured from CV in urine versus trial number. The peak values J_1 of activated trails are close to $350 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$ and if the electrodes are not activated (trial no: 4, 7 and 9) this value is dropped by 25%.

Conclusions

It has been demonstrated that this electrochemical treatment retrieve the lost reactivity of BDD electrodes when aged in air as well as in solutions or fouled by a medium. The higher the current density, the lower the time require to activate, and better the result. By tuning the above mentioned parameters (current density, pulse duration and number of pulses, and type of the electrolyte, etc.), one can increase the charge transfer rate constant k_0 to reach values above 0.01 cm s^{-1} . The other advantage of this technique is to enhance the reusability of the BDD electrode. As opposed to other more conventional pre-treatments techniques reported in the literature, such as anodic, cathodic or thermal ones, this novel electrochemical pre-treatment is relatively simpler, fast, and requires a minimum of resources.

Also, the real breakthrough is that it can be successfully performed directly in the measuring solution especially in a biofluid. As a result this electrochemical activation can be performed prior to analytical measurements to ensure reliable and reproducible results, especially when the electrode has not been used for a long period of time. It also opens up the door to long term field measurements where electrodes are prone to fouling when immersed for long periods of time in liquids, including on-line measurement since electrodes may be regenerated very quickly in-situ within biological medium (uric acid quantification with in-situ cleaning in human urine will be published soon).

Acknowledgment

The authors thank veterinary hospital Maison Alfort, France for supplying bovine blood as well as the Burgundy wine Domaine Philippe Colin, in Chassagne Montrachet, France for their welcome and provision of red wine test samples.

References

1. M. Panizza and G. Cerisola, *Electrochim Acta*, **51**, 191 (2005).
2. R. Ramesham and M. F. Rose, *Diam. Relat. Mater.*, **6**, 17 (1997).
3. G. M. Swain, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **141**, 3382 (1994).
4. G. M. Swain and R. Ramesham, *Anal. Chem.*, **65**, 345 (1993).
5. M. C. Granger, M. Witek, J. Xu, J. Wang, M. Hupert, A. Hanks, M. D. Koppang, J. E. Butler, G. Lucazeau, M. Mermoux, J. W. Strojek, and G. M. Swain, *Anal. Chem.*, **72**, 3793 (2000).
6. C. E. Nebel, D. Shin, B. Rezek, N. Tokuda, H. Uetsuka, and H. Watanabe, *J. R. Soc. Interface*, **22**, 439 (2007).
7. J. Iniesta, P. A. Michaud, M. Panizza, and C. Comninellis, *Electrochem. Comm.*, **3**, 346 (2001).
8. F. Montilla, P. A. Michaud, E. Morallón, J. L. Vázquez, and C. Comninellis, *Electrochim Acta*, **47**, 3509 (2002).
9. J. Iniesta, P. A. Michaud, M. Panizza, G. Cerisola, A. Aldaz, and C. Comninellis, *Electrochim Acta*, **46**, 3573 (2001).
10. W. Yantasee, B. Charnhattachakorn, G. E. Fryxell, Y. Lin, C. Timchalk, and R. S. Addleman, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **620**, 55 (2008).
11. J. Wang and L. D. Hutchins, *Anal. Chem.*, **57**, 1536 (1985).
12. B. F. Y. Yon Hin and C. R. Lowe, *Anal. Chem.*, **59**, 2111 (1987).
13. J. Davis and R. G. Compton, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **404**, 241 (2000).
14. B. Hoyer and T. M. Florence, *Anal. Chem.*, **59**, 2839 (1987).
15. E. Vanhove, J. de Sanoit, J. C. Arnault, S. Saada, C. Mer, P. Mailley, P. Bergonzo, and M. Nesladek, *Phys. stat. sol.*, **204**, 2931 (2007).
16. C. H. Goeting, F. Marken, A. Gutierrez-Sosa, R. G. Compton, and J. S. Foord, *Diam. Relat. Mater.*, **9**, 390 (2000).
17. G. R. Salazar-Banda, L. S. Andrade, P. A. P. Nascente, P. S. Pizani, R. C. Rocha-Filho, and L. A. Avaca, *Electrochim Acta*, **51**, 4612 (2006).
18. N. Wisniewski and M. Reichert, *Coll. Surf. B (Biointerfaces)*, **18**, 197 (2000).
19. A. Kraft, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, **2**, 355 (2007).
20. T. N. Rao, D. A. Tryk, K. Hashimoto, and A. Fujishima, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **146**, 680 (1999).
21. F. Beck, W. Kaiser, and H. Krohn, *Electrochim Acta*, **45**, 4691 (2000).
22. K. B. Holt, C. Forryan, R. G. Compton, J. S. Foord, and F. Marken, *New J. Chem.*, **27**, 698 (2003).
23. E. Vanhove, J. de Sanoit, P. Mailley, M-A Pinault, F. Jomard, and P. Bergonzo, *Phys. stat. sol.*, **206**, 2063 (2009).
24. P. Canizares, J. Garcia-Gomez, J. Lobato, and M. A. Rodrigo, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **42**, 956 (2003).
25. E. Popa, Y. Kubota, D. A. Tryk, and A. Fujishima, *Anal. Chem.*, **72**, 1724 (2000).
26. A. Salimi, H. Mamkhezri, and R. Hallaj, *J. Talanta*, **70**, 823 (2006).
27. B. V. Sarada, T. N. Rao, D. A. Tryk, and A. Fujishima, *Anal. Chem.*, **72**, 1632 (2000).
28. R. Kiran, J. de Sanoit, and E. Scorsone, Patent application no: 11 51341 (2011).
29. M. P. Seah, *Surf. Interface Anal.*, **20**, 243 (1993).
30. M. A. Rodrigo, P. A. Michaud, I. Duo, M. Panizza, G. Cerisola, and Ch. Comninellis, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **148**, D60 (2001).
31. T. N. Rao, B. H. Loo, B. V. Sarada, C. Terashima, and A. Fujishima, *Anal. Chem.*, **74**, 1578 (2002).
32. C. Terashima, T. N. Rao, B. V. Sarada, D. A. Tryk, and A. Fujishima, *Anal. Chem.*, **74**, 895 (2002).
33. N. G. Anderson, N. L. Anderson, and S. L. Tollaksen, *Clin. Chem.*, **25**, 1199 (1979).
34. H. B. Suffredini, V. A. Pedrosa, L. Codognoto, S. A. S. Machado, R. C. Rocha-Filho, and L. A. Avaca, *Electrochim Acta*, **49**, 4021 (2004).
35. E. Mahé, D. Devilliers, and Ch. Comninellis, *Electrochim Acta*, **50**, 2263 (2005).
36. R. Hoffmann, A. Kriele, H. Obloh, J. Hees, M. Wolfer, W. Smirnov, N. Yang, and C. E. Nebel, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **97**, 052103 (2010).
37. B. Marselli, J. Garcia-Gomez, P.-A. Michaud, M. A. Rodrigo, and Ch. Comninellis, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **150**, D79 (2003).
38. R. Kiran, L. Rousseau, G. Lissorgues, E. Scorsone, A. Bongrain, B. Yvert, S. Picaud, P. Mailley, and P. Bergonzo, *Sensors*, **12**, 7669 (2012).