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# The crystalline $\alpha, \omega$-dicarboxylate metal complex with the longest aliphatic chain to date: uranyl 1,15-pentadecanedioate $\dagger$ 

Pierre Thuéry*a and Jack Harrowfield ${ }^{\text {b }}$

Under solvo-hydrothermal conditions, 1,15-pentadecanedioic acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C} 15\right)$ reacts with uranyl ions to form the complex $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right]_{2}\left[\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{C} 15)_{3}\right]$ (1), in which the uranyl ions are trischelated by carboxylate groups and the well-ordered aliphatic chains are either all-trans or kinked. $\mathbf{1}$ crystallizes as a two-dimensional network of the bilayer type and with the $\left\{8^{2} .10\right\}$ point symbol, the packing displaying alternate sheets containing either the ionic parts or stacks of aliphatic chains, at variance with the helicates or honeycomb networks previously obtained with other long-chain HOOC- $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n-2}-\mathrm{COOH}$ dicarboxylic acids ( $n=9-13$ ) and bulkier counterions.

The potential of long-chain $\alpha, \omega$-dicarboxylic acids of general formula $\mathrm{HOOC}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n-2}-\mathrm{COOH}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C} n\right)$ for the building of uranyl-organic coordination polymers or frameworks (UOFs ${ }^{1}$ ) was demonstrated some years ago by Cahill's group, ${ }^{2}$ who reported several one- to three-dimensional assemblies based on the acids with $n=5-10$. The role of organic counter-cations containing pyridyl groups as structure-directing agents was specifically investigated and enabled the synthesis of one of the first polycatenated species in uranyl chemistry. ${ }^{2 c}$ The presence of additional coordinated chloride anions was later shown to lead to dinuclear complexes in the cases with $n=4$ and $6,{ }^{3}$ and the association of uranyl dicarboxylate ( $n=6-10$ ) complexes with cucurbit[6]uril molecules was also studied. ${ }^{4}$ More recently, the uranyl complexes formed by the acids with $n=7-10,12$ and 13 in the presence of various 3d-block metal-containing cations, in particular those of the form $\left[\mathrm{M}(\text { bipy } / \mathrm{phen})_{n}\right]^{+/ 2+}(n=2$ or 3 , bipy $=2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine, phen $=1,10$-phenanthroline), or in the presence of bipy or phen as co-ligands for uranyl were investigated. ${ }^{5}$ This work provided the first examples of uranylbased triple-stranded helicates $\left(n=9\right.$ and 12) ${ }^{5 a}$ and Borromean entanglement $(n=13),{ }^{5 b}$ as well as a case of 2D $\rightarrow 3$ D parallel polycatenation. ${ }^{5 d}$ In many cases, and particularly for $n=13$, the crystal structures of these complexes are marred by disorder affecting the aliphatic chains, and complexes with higher members in the dicarboxylate series ( $n=14,15$ and 20) proved extremely resistant to crystallization.

More generally, a search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 5.38) ${ }^{6}$ shows that, although there are a great many crystal structures involving monocarboxylic acids of the form HOOC $-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n-2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, with $n$ often as large as 17-20, ${ }^{7}$ and even up to $23,{ }^{8}$ which most often crystallize in the all-trans conformation, studies of their dicarboxylic counterparts are scarce. A remarkable early study of the structures of dicarboxylic fatty acids was extended to $n=18,9$ and more recent reports include investigations of the association of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C} 13$ with $1,1^{\prime}$-di-
pyridyl-ferrocene, ${ }^{10}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C} 14$ with bis-amidopyridines ${ }^{11}$ and $\beta$-cyclodextrin. ${ }^{12}$ Concerning dicarboxylate metal complexes, and apart from those with uranyl ions cited above, the only ones to be found with the highest members of the series are a diosmium(I) complex in which $\mathrm{C} 14^{2-}$ makes an intramolecular loop, ${ }^{13}$ and several complexes with $\mathrm{Sr}^{\text {II }}, \mathrm{Ba}^{\text {II }}, \mathrm{Co}^{\text {II }}, \mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}, \mathrm{Rh}^{\text {II }}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}^{\text {II }}$ with $\mathrm{C} 12^{-12-,}{ }^{14}$ which have been characterized by diffraction on single crystals, or powders when no single crystal of sufficient quality could be obtained. ${ }^{14 b, d}$

After many failed attempts at synthesis of uranyl ion complexes with diacids for which $n>13$ under varying experimental conditions, single crystals of the complex $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right]_{2}\left[\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{C} 15)_{3}\right]$ (1), involving 1,15-pentadecanedioic acid ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C} 15$ ), were finally obtained under solvo-hydrothermal conditions in a $70: 30 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ mixture of water and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ dimethylformamide (DMF) $\ddagger$, which were of suitable quality for crystal structure determination.§ The dimethylammonium counterions are formed in situ from DMF hydrolysis, which is a frequent occurrence, ${ }^{15}$ but which may be of particular significance in the generation of nicely crystalline material in the present case because of its slow generation of the precipitating cation. Complex $\mathbf{1}$ crystallizes in the monoclinic space group


Fig. 1 View of complex 1. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50\% probability level and carbon-bound hydrogen atoms are omitted. Only the strongest hydrogen bond is shown as a dashed line. Symmetry codes: $\mathrm{i}=x-$ $1 / 2, y-1 / 2, z-1 ; \mathrm{j}=x+1 / 2, y+1 / 2, z+1 ; \mathrm{k}=-x, y, 1 / 2-z$. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : U1-O1 1.758(4), U1-O2 1.757(4), U1-O3 $2.439(4), \mathrm{U} 1-\mathrm{O} 42.487(4), \mathrm{U} 1-\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} 2.468(4)$, U1-O6 ${ }^{\mathrm{i}} 2.463(4)$, U1-O7 $2.465(4)$, U1-O8 2.474(4), O1-U1-O2 178.93(19), O3-U1-O4 52.98(13), O4-U1-O5 ${ }^{\mathrm{i}} 70.33(13)$, O5i$-\mathrm{U} 1-O 6{ }^{\mathrm{i}} 52.90(14)$, O6 ${ }^{\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{U} 1-O 766.26(15), ~ O 7-~}$ U1-O8 52.29(14), O8-U1-O3 65.85(14).
$C 2 / c$ with an asymmetric unit containing one uranyl cation, one $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}{ }^{+}$cation and two fully deprotonated $\mathrm{C} 15^{2-}$ anions, one of them having twofold rotation symmetry (Fig. 1, Table S1). The uranium atom is in a hexagonal bipyramidal environment, being chelated by three carboxylate groups from three different anions. The two independent ligands, which show no sign of disorder, have different conformations; the symmetrical one is in the alltrans, nearly planar geometry, with only a slight rotation of the carboxylate group [ $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ torsion angle $167.0(7)^{\circ}$ ], while the unsymmetrical one is kinked due to one $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ torsion angle being gauche [56.8(8) ${ }^{\circ}$ around the fourth $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond from the

O5/O6 end] and the carboxylate group nearer to the curved part being also slightly rotated [ $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} 161.0(6)^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$.

The uranium atoms are threefold nodes, and the ligands are simple links, in the two-dimensional network parallel to (20ī) which is formed (Fig. 2). This assembly has the point (Schläfli)


Fig. 2 Top: View of the 2D network. Bottom: Packing with four bilayers viewed edge-on. Uranium coordination polyhedra are yellow and hydrogen atoms are omitted.


Fig. 3 Simplified view of the 2D network showing the 1D subunits in red and green, and the linkers in blue. Uranium atoms are yellow and the centroids of the ligands are shown as blue dots.
symbol $\left\{8^{2} .10\right\}$ and corresponds to the plane SP KIa topological type of the topos\&RCSR database. ${ }^{16}$ This arrangement can be viewed as formed of two families of non-intersecting onedimensional subunits running at an angle from each other, and connected by the ligand with twofold rotation symmetry, as shown in the simplified representation given in Fig. 3. The overall shape is that of a slightly serrated chiral bilayer with internal links, as shown in the view of the packing given in Fig. 2 , the chirality changing from one bilayer to the next (the crystal being centrosymmetric). An arrangement with the same topology was found with the much shorter C52- ligand and 4,4'bipyridinium cations, ${ }^{2 c}$ thus establishing an unexpected connection between two widely separated members of this
dicarboxylate family. The counterions are hydrogen bonded to carboxylate oxygen atoms [ $\mathrm{N} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ 2.818(8) $\AA$, $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ $149^{\circ}$; N1 $\cdots 6^{1} 3.117(10) \AA, \mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} \cdots 6^{1} 156^{\circ}$; symmetry code: 1 $=1-x, y, 5 / 2-z]$, and they are thus located close to the uranyl cations. As a consequence, the packing displays sheets parallel to ( 100 ) containing the ionic components, separated by large regions occupied by nearly parallel aliphatic chains. The presence of voids in the structure and the moderate value of 0.56 for the Kitaigorodski packing index estimated with PLATON ${ }^{17}$ indicate that unresolved solvent molecules may be present. It is notable that the metal/ligand ratio of 2:3 and the ligand elongated shape and flexibility would be suitable for the formation of a two-dimensional network with $\left\{6^{3}\right\}$ honeycomb topology, as observed (with significant distortion from planarity) in $\left[\mathrm{Mn}(\text { phen })_{3}\right]\left[\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl0})_{3}\right] \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$,
$\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\text { bipy })_{3}\right]\left[\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{C} 12)_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}(\mathrm{C} 12)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},{ }^{5 c}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{phen})_{3}\right]\left[\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{C} 13)_{3}\right],{ }^{5 b}$ or of a triple-stranded helicate as in $\left[(\mathrm{M})(\text { bipy })_{3}\right]\left[\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{C} 9)_{3}\right] \quad(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}) \quad$ and $\left[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{phen})_{3}\right]\left[\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{C} 12)_{3}\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Co}) .{ }^{5 a}$ However, all these latter species possess bulky counterions that have been shown to play a structure-directing role, particularly in the case of helicates, while the small counterions in $\mathbf{1}$, located very near the metal centres, do not interact significantly with the aliphatic chains, which are then left to organize in the parallel fashion commonly found with fatty acids. Unfortunately, no crystal could be obtained with $\left[\mathrm{M}(\text { bipy } / \text { phen })_{3}\right]^{2+}$ counterions in the case of $\mathrm{C} 15^{2-}$ for the sake of comparison.

The interactions present in the lattice can be visualized through calculation of Hirshfeld surfaces (HSs) ${ }^{18}$ with CrystalExplorer. ${ }^{19}$ The HS of the anionic part, mapped with $d_{\text {norm }}$ and calculated on the asymmetric unit (Fig. 4), evidences the hydrogen bonds made by the counter-cations (not only the strong ones indicated above, but also much weaker, bifurcated ones). The red dot located on the HS near the oxo atom (O1) and that just to the left of the hydrogen bonded carboxylate group correspond to a $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ (oxo) hydrogen bond, not shown for clarity $\left[\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{m}} 3.315(7) \AA, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{m}} 143^{\circ}\right.$; symmetry code: $\mathrm{m}=1 / 2-x, 1 / 2-y, 2-z]$. One $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ (carboxylate) hydrogen bond may also be present [ $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{O} 3.626(8) \AA, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O} 163^{\circ}$ ], but several short contacts between methyl hydrogen atoms of the countercation and carboxylate oxygen atoms are more dubious due to the somewhat uncertain location of these hydrogen atoms. Interactions involving the aliphatic chains can be compared to those described in the series of $n$-alkanes, ${ }^{20}$ and they can best be visualized with different mappings of the HS (Figs. 4 and S1). It appears that interactions do not exceed dispersion on one side of the chains, as shown by the dark blue parts in the $d_{\text {norm }}$ mapping representation, corresponding to regions of large inter-chain separations (it is notable however that large voids in the lattice may indicate the presence of unresolved, and probably very disordered, solvent molecules). The chains are involved only in $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ interactions, apart from the two $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds indicated above. The alternating pattern of red/yellow (concave) and blue (convex) regions in the shape index mapping representation is considered characteristic of $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts, but these appear to represent a negligible percentage of the surface area here, while the yellow/orange dots in the $d_{\mathrm{e}}$ representation indicate the presence of $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts, particularly visible in the lower region of


Fig. 4 Hirshfeld surface calculated on the anionic part of the asymmetric unit and mapped (from top to bottom) with $d_{\text {norm }}$, shape index, and $d_{\mathrm{e}}$. Hydrogen bonds with the dimethylammonium cation are shown as dashed lines. Anomalous parts in the vicinity of uranium are due to truncation of the polymer chain.
the symmetrical chain on the right side of the HS, which overall represent $55 \%$ of the surface area. The pattern of contacts is much less regular than that which is found in $n$-alkanes such as hexanenonane, ${ }^{20}$ the packing of chains being here disturbed by the presence of the ionic moieties and the ensuing kinked shape of the asymmetric ligand, although the chains are for the most part parallel to one another.

The uranyl emission spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ measured in the solid state, at room temperature and with an excitation wavelength of 420 nm (Fig. S2) is of weak intensity, but it displays three well-resolved peaks at 488, 508 and 529 nm which are in the upper range of values generally measured for complexes in which uranyl is chelated by three carboxylate groups, ${ }^{21}$ and it is red-shifted with respect to the spectrum of the complex with $\mathrm{C} 5^{2-}$ displaying the same topology (but with different counter-ions). ${ }^{2 c}$ The infrared spectrum (Fig. S3) shows the usual absorption band at $911 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to the uranyl antisymmetric stretching mode frequency $\nu_{3 .}{ }^{22}$

In conclusion, we succeeded in obtaining single crystals, of sufficient quality for structure determination, of a metal complex with the longest $\alpha, \omega$-dicarboxylate reported to date in such a study. The C15 ${ }^{2-}$ ligands show no sign of disorder, and the two-dimensional chiral bilayers generated pack so as to create alternate planes containing the ionic parts and large regions dominated by $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts between aliphatic chains. The difference between the connectivity observed and that in honeycomb networks or helicates with the same metal/ligand ratio and $\alpha, \omega$-dicarboxylates with $n$ in the range $9-13$ is ascribed to the variation in counterions, much less bulky in the present case.
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## Notes and References

$\ddagger$ Synthesis of complex 1. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C} 15(14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, formic acid $(8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17$ $\mathrm{mmol}), N, N$-dimethylformamide $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and demineralized water $(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ were placed in a 10 mL tightly closed glass vessel and heated at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under autogenous pressure, giving light yellow crystals of complex 1 in low yield within one week.
§ Crystallographic data were collected at 150(2) K on a Nonius Kappa-CCD area-detector diffractometer ${ }^{23}$ using graphitemonochromated Mo K $\alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$. The data were processed with HKL2000, ${ }^{24}$ and absorption effects were corrected with SCALEPACK. ${ }^{24}$ The structure was solved by intrinsic phasing with SHELXT ${ }^{25}$ and refined by full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ with SHELXL-2014. ${ }^{26}$ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. All hydrogen atoms were introduced at calculated positions and were treated as riding atoms with an isotropic displacement parameter equal to 1.2 times that of the parent atom ( 1.5 for $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). Large voids in the lattice indicate the possible presence of unresolved solvent molecules, and the corresponding electron density has been subtracted with the SQUEEZE software. ${ }^{17}$ The drawings were done with ORTEP-3, ${ }^{27}$ VESTA, ${ }^{28}$ and TOPOS. ${ }^{16}$ Crystal data for 1: $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{94} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{16} \mathrm{U}_{2}, M=$ 1443.32, monoclinic, space group $C 2 / c, a=33.683(2), b=$ 13.1767(9), $c=16.5993(8) \AA, \beta=108.896(4)^{\circ}, V=6970.2(7) \AA^{3}, Z$ $=4$. Refinement of 314 parameters on 6593 independent reflections out of 158636 measured reflections $\left(R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.036\right)$ led to $R 1=0.038$, $w R 2=0.105, \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.87, \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.76 \mathrm{e}^{\circ} \AA^{-3}$.
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