

4D/3D reduction of dualities: mirrors on the circle

Antonio Amariti, Davide Forcella, Claudius Klare, Domenico Orlando,

Susanne Reffert

To cite this version:

Antonio Amariti, Davide Forcella, Claudius Klare, Domenico Orlando, Susanne Reffert. 4D/3D reduction of dualities: mirrors on the circle. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2015, 2015, pp.048. $10.1007/JHEP10(2015)048$. cea-01336138

HAL Id: cea-01336138 <https://cea.hal.science/cea-01336138v1>

Submitted on 19 Oct 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

4D/3D reduction of dualities: mirrors on the circle

Antonio Amariti♠, **Davide Forcella**♠♠, **Claudius Klare**♥, **Domenico Orlando^{♦, ♣} and Susanne Reffert[◇]**

♠ LPTENS – UMR CNRS 8549, 24, rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris, France

♠♠ Physique Théorique et Mathématique and International Solvay Institutes

ULB, C.P. 231, 1050 Bruxelles, Belgium

 \heartsuit IPHT, CEA/Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

 \heartsuit IHES, 35, Route de Chartres, 91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France

♣ IPT Ph. Meyer, 24, rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris, France

 \diamond ITP – AEC, University of Bern, Sidlerstrasse 5, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

Abstract

We engineer a brane picture for the reduction of Seiberg dualities from 4D to 3D, valid also in the presence of orientifold planes. We obtain effective 3D dualities on the circle by T–duality, geometrizing the non-perturbative superpotential which is an affine Toda potential. When reducing to pure 3D, we define a double-scaling limit which creates a sector of interacting singlets, giving a unified mechanism for the brane reduction of dualities.

1 Introduction

We construct the brane representation for the reduction of gauge theory dualities from 4D to 3D. This analysis was started in [1] where it was shown how to translate the dimensional reduction of dualities in terms of D– and NS–branes in type IIA supergravity. Here we elaborate on this picture, finding an algebraic description of the superpotential involved in the dimensional reduction which incorporates the generalization from unitary to real gauge groups. The brane picture gives a unified treatment of various dualities involving different gauge groups and matter content. It allows also to reduce further to pure 3D dualities, by a double-scaling on the relative positions of some D–branes and the radius of the circle. In this process an extra sector is created in the magnetic theories, reproducing the gauge theory duality in the pure 3D limit in the brane picture.

Recent insight in the structure of supersymmetric field theories has been obtained relating results in different dimensions. One example is the similarity between the electric-magnetic duality discovered by Seiberg in [2] for four-dimensional sqcp and the three-dimensional dualities studied in $[3, 4]$. The dualities can indeed be connected by dimensional reduction, as discussed in [5] (see also [6]). It turns out that in a necessary intermediate step of this reduction one needs to consider the duality on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1$. At scales lower than the inverse radius of S^1 this gives rise to a new, effective, 3D duality. The presence of the circle is manifest through the contributions of Kaluza–Klein (kk) monopoles. The limit to pure 3D dualities, recovering *e.g.* the results of [3, 4], depends on the details of the gauge and matter content [5, 7].

In this paper we study the brane construction of this reduction, giving a physical origin for the differences in the pure 3D limit. We obtain the effective 3D dualities by T–duality, where Euclidean D1–branes reproduce the non-perturbative effects of the kk monopoles. Equivalently these effects are captured by an algebraic formulation in terms of S–dual F1–strings. Configurations creating the monopole superpotential are classified by affine Dynkin diagrams and this superpotential is an affine Toda potential. As depicted in Figure 1, we take the pure 3D limit in the electric theory by moving some flavor branes to the mirror point x_3° , when sending the radius to infinity. The magnetic dual is obtained by an Hanany–Witten [8] (hw) transition, generating an additional gauge theory at x_3° . This gauge theory is described by a sector of interacting singlets. It is necessary in reproducing the limit to pure 3D dualities.

The brane construction is quite general and can also be applied to theories with real gauge groups and tensor matter, which require some extra treatment in the field theory analysis [5, 7]. In brane language these theories are obtained including orientifold planes. Orientifolds are straightforwardly incorporated in our picture. When the theory is put on the circle, a second orientifold plane is generated after T-duality at the mirror point x_3° [9, 10]. In the HW transition the orientifold, carrying D−brane charge, modifies the rank of the gauge groups both at $x_3 = 0$ and at $x_3 = x_3^{\circ}$. By considering various brane realizations with orientifolds we recover the 3D dualities with orthogonal or symplectic gauge groups and those with tensor matter [3, 4, 11–19].

Figure 1: Geometry of the compact direction. The possible orientifolds are depicted in red color. LHS: type IIA circle of radius *r*. RHS: T–dual circle of radius *α* 0/*r*. The black arrowheads indicate the motion of the D–branes to the mirror point $x_3 = x_3^\circ \equiv \pi \alpha'/r$.

The plan of this article is as follows. In Section 2.1, we recap the reduction of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ dualities from 4 to 3 dimensions. In Section 2.2, we summarize the brane realization of the dimensional reduction. We discuss the generation of an affine Toda potential on the Coulomb branch variables when the theories are studied on a circle and its relation to the second orientifold appearing in the T–dual picture. Moreover, we explain the double-scaling limit and the reduction to pure three-dimensional dualities. In Section 3 we apply the brane picture on symplectic gauge theories with fundamental, antisymmetric and adjoint matter. In Section 4 we study the brane setup of unitary gauge groups with antisymmetric flavor. In Section 5 we study orthogonal gauge groups, our analysis is at the level of the local properties and the gauge algebra. We conclude in Section 6 by outlining some open questions.

2 Brane reduction of dualities

2.1 Remarks on the 4D/3D reduction

In this subsection we review some general field theoretical aspects of the reduction of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ 4D dualities to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ dualities in 3D. For a more complete review see Section 2.1 of [1] and the original work [5]. Here we just recall few aspects which are important for our analysis.

Connecting via dimensional reduction pairs of dual theories in 4D to corresponding pairs in 3D requires some care. A consistent reduction has been obtained by studying the 4D theory on a circle of finite radius *r*, where a non-perturbative superpotential is generated from kk monopoles on *S* 1 . We refer to this superpotential as W_n in the rest of this paper.

In order to preserve the 4D duality in the dimensional reduction where $r \to 0$, one needs to consider, in some cases, an RG flow triggered by real masses of order $\frac{1}{r}$. Furthermore, it has been argued in [5] that while some electric quarks are integrated out, one sometimes has to consider the magnetic theory in a particular vacuum. This magnetic vacuum corresponds to a large vev for the scalar field in the vector multiplet.

The details of the reduction – involving the superpotential W_n , the real mass

				$0 \t1 \t2 \t3 \t4 \t5 \t6 \t7 \t8 \t9$				
D4		\times \times \times \times			\times			
D6 \times \times \times \times							\times \times \times	
D6' \times \times \times \times \times \times						\times		
NS \times \times \times \times \times \times								
$NS' \times \times \times \times \times$							\times \times	
$O4^{\pm}$ \times \times \times \times					\times			
$O6^{\pm}$		\times \times \times \times \times \times				\times		

Table 1: Brane setup for the realization of the gauge theories of interest in this paper.

flow and the non-trivial vacua – depend on the nature of the gauge group and the matter content. We refer the reader to the papers [5, 7, 20–22] for more details and explicit examples.

Let us note that the 4D dualities on a finite circle, with non-perturbative superpotentials *Wη*, give rise to new, effectively 3 dimensional dualities, which are interesting in their own right.

To sum up, the aspects important for the forthcoming analysis are the superpotential *Wη*, the real mass flow and the associated vacuum structure in the magnetic theory upon shrinking the circle to zero size.

In the following we describe the brane construction of this reduction. We provide an algebraic description of *W^η* in terms of the gauge group structure. This allows for a generalization from unitary to real gauge groups. We also find the brane description of the real mass flow and the vacuum structure.

2.2 The general strategy

In this section we first summarize the brane engineering of theories with four supercharges on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1$. In the second part we provide a brane picture for reducing 4D dualities to 3D. There, for the sake of being explicit, we focus on the example of *U*(*N*) gauge theories and fundamental matter. The analysis of real groups and tensor matter follows analogously and is the subject of sections 3, 4 and 5.

A brane description of theories on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1$ is found *e.g.* in [1]. Let us give a brief summary. The four dimensional gauge theory is engineered by a type IIA brane system of D4–branes stretched between NS– and NS'–branes as denoted in Table 1. A dimensional reduction of field theories can be reproduced in this picture by T-dualizing along one compact, space-like dimension (say *x*3). There is a compact Coulomb branch (CB) which is parameterized by the scalars σ_i in the vector multiplet the dual photons ϕ_i , where $i = 1 \cdots \text{rank}(G)$. The vev of the scalars σ_i , and hence the position on the CB , corresponds to the positions of the D3-branes in x_3 . In this configuration the D3 branes repel each other. The force can be understood in terms of Euclidean D1–branes stretched between the NS and the D3–branes.

These D1–branes map in the field theory to monopoles, which generate an Affleck–Harvey–Witten $[23]$ (AHW) superpotential for the \overline{c} coordinates. The force of this superpotential maps to the repulsive force between branes.

Note that there is a special Euclidean D1-brane when the configuration is compact, as depicted in the left side of Figure 2 (there the S-dual scenario with F1-strings instead of D1-branes is shown). This special D1-brane connects the 1st and the *N*th D3-brane "on the rear side" of the compact x_3 . In field theory this corresponds to an additional term in the superpotential. As mentioned in Section 2.1, a crucial role in the dimensional reduction of dualities is played by the superpotential *Wη*, appearing at finite circle radius. In the brane picture it is reproduced precisely by this special, winding D1 brane.

In the literature the "regular" D1-branes are usually referred to as Bogomol'nyi– Prasad–Sommerfield (BPS)- and the "special" ones as KK-monopoles.

So far the summary of engineering gauge dynamics from branes as given in [1]. Now we want to discuss how the superpotential *W^η* is given in terms of gauge group data. Stable configurations of branes, possibly in the presence of orientifolds, are in one to one correspondence with the Dynkin diagram of the gauge group. The Dynkin diagrams, in turn, are in one to one correspondence with the possible superpotentials *Wη*.

• The fundamental (in the sense of $[24, 25]$) BPS monopoles are labeled by the simple co-roots of the Lie co-algebra. For unitary gauge groups *G* this corresponds to placing the *i*–th D1–brane between the *i*–th and the $(i + 1)$ –th D3–brane (for $i =$ $1, \ldots$, rank (G)). It is useful to study the S-dual configurations where D1-branes become F1–strings. In this picture the D3–branes are still distributed on the circle and connected by F1–strings, as depicted in the upper left corner of Figure 2.

We now exploit the crucial fact that the spectrum of the allowed BPS F1–strings is given by the simple roots of the corresponding Lie algebra [10, 26]. For a unitary gauge group the simple roots of the A_N series correspond to $\sigma_i - \sigma_{i+1}$, *i.e.* to the difference between the positions of two consecutive D3–branes.

We can include real gauge groups by adding orientifold planes (see Appendix B for details). The allowed spectrum of F1–strings is again given by the corresponding Dynkin diagrams, classified by the B_N , C_N and D_N series.

Summing the contributions from the bps monopoles we obtain the superpotential on the Coulomb branch, finding a Toda potential for the associated Lie algebra [27]

$$
W(\Sigma)_{\rm BPS} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\rm rank}(G) \frac{2}{\alpha_i^2} \exp[\alpha_i^* \cdot \Sigma], \qquad (2.1)
$$

• The picture incorporates very naturally the kk monopoles due to the compact direction. It turns out that the extra F1 string, which winds around the circle connecting, for unitary *G*, the 1st and the *N*th D3 brane, can be accounted for by extending the Dynkin diagram to its *affine* version. We depicted this in Figure 2. Summing the contributions from the BPS and the KK monopoles we obtain the superpotential on the compact Coulomb branch, finding an affine Toda potential for the associated affine algebra [27]

$$
W(\Sigma) = W(\Sigma)_{\text{BPS}} + W(\Sigma)_{\text{KK}} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\text{rank}(G)} \frac{2}{\alpha_i^2} \exp[\alpha_i^* \cdot \Sigma] + \frac{2\eta}{\alpha_0^2} \exp[\alpha_0^* \cdot \Sigma], \quad (2.2)
$$

Figure 2: Branes and Dynkin diagrams for A_N and \widetilde{A}_N . The left column shows the S-dual configuration of F1–strings stretched between D3–branes. In the right column we depict the corresponding A_N and \tilde{A}_N Dynkin diagrams. After compactification a new string appears between σ_1 and σ_N and corresponds to the affine node (in blue in the brane cartoon and in the Dynkin diagram).

where $\Sigma = \sigma/e_3^2 + i\phi$, α_i are the simple roots and α_i^* are the associated co-roots. The extra simple root α_0 corresponds to the KK monopole and the corresponding contribution $W(\Sigma)_{KK}$ to (2.2) is identified with W_n in field theory.

• After this general recipe let us spell out some details for the example of unitary gauge groups. Here we have the affine algebra \ddot{A}_N , where the extra simple root is associated to the combination $\sigma_N - \sigma_1$ (see Figure 2). For *SU*(*N*) theories¹ the superpotential (2.2), associated to the \ddot{A}_N diagram, is

$$
W = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{Y_i} + \eta Y_N \,, \tag{2.3}
$$

where $Y_i = e^{\sum_i -\sum_{i+1}}$. The last term in (2.3) breaks explicitly the $U(1)_A$ symmetry in the three-dimensional field theory. This symmetry is associated to the rotation in the (4, 5) plane in the brane picture. The geometric realization of the breaking of this symmetry for compact x_3 has been discussed in [1].

• Next we want to discuss real gauge groups. Here we outline few aspects, the proper analysis is given in the next sections. They are realized by including O3 or O5 planes. Let us first discuss the configurations with O3 planes on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1$. As reviewed in Appendix B the four differently charged orientifolds O3⁺, O3⁻, $\widetilde{O3}^+$ and $\widetilde{O3}^$ project a unitary group to *SP*(2*N*), *SO*(2*N*), *SP*(2*N*) and *SO*(2*N* + 1) respectively. In presence of a compact direction orientifolds come in pairs and here² we have six different such pairs [10]. Brane configurations with $(O3^-, O3^-)$, $(O3^-, O3^-)$ and

¹ In the $U(N)$ case the same result holds but the last (affine) root splits in two terms $Y_+ = e^{\sigma_1/e_3^2 + i\phi_1}$ and $Y = e^{-\sigma_N/e_3^2 - i\phi_N}$.

² For simplicity we do not distinguish between $O3^+$ and $\widetilde{O3}^+$ planes.

 $(O3^+, O3^+)$ are associated to affine Dynkin diagrams. The other pairs $(O3^+, O3^-)$, $(O3^+, \tilde{O}3^-)$ and $(\tilde{O}3^-, \tilde{O}3^-)$ correspond to twisted affine Dynkin diagrams. In this paper we are interested only in the "affine" pairs, since those are obtained by a T-duality from type IIA configurations with O4-planes.

A similar discussion holds with O6–planes, while the effect of the orientifold charge on the projection is exchanged. O5⁺ is associated to an *SO*(*N*) and O5[−] to an *Sp*(2*N*) gauge group. The pairs $(O5^{\pm}, O5^{\pm})$ are obtained by T-duality from a type IIA configuration with $O6^{\pm}$ planes.

Eventually we include matter fields. Standardly, we can couple them to the four dimensional gauge theory by adding stacks of D6–branes in the type IIA setup, as shown in Table 1.In the T–dual frame they become D5–branes.

So far the brane configurations for the dynamics of gauge theories on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1$. Let us now turn to dualities and how their dimensional reduction can be understood in this picture. Here we highlight the steps following the example of $U(N)$ gauge theories with fundamental matter, in the next sections we apply them to more general cases.

The brane construction of Seiberg dualities in 4D is well understood, it boils down to an HW transition in the type IIA configuration. In the HW transition every time a D6–brane crosses a non-parallel fivebrane, a D4–brane is generated. This brane creation mechanism in necessary for charge conservation, to preserve the so called linking number [8]. Moving the entire stack of flavor D6–branes from one side of the NS to the other amounts to changing the brane configuration of the electric gauge theory to the configuration describing the magnetic one.

For example unitary sqcp with $F + k$ flavors, *i.e.* $F + k$ pairs of fields in the fundamental and anti-fundamental representation of $U(N)$, is engineered by a stack of *N* D4–branes stretched between an NS and an NS', with $F + k$ D6–branes on top as denoted in Table 1. The magnetic dual is obtained by swapping the NS with the NS', changing the number of D4–branes in the stack to $F + k - N$.

From the 4D brane picture we can obtain the one describing Seiberg duality on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1$ by a T–duality as described earlier in this section. The T–dual of the configuration describing the 4D electric theory maps to the brane configuration of the effective 3D electric theory with *W^η* and analogously the T–dual of the 4D magnetic brane configuration maps to the brane setup of the magnetic theory with *Wη*.

In our example of unitary sqcp we obtain the type IIB configuration with a stack of *N* D3 and $F + k$ D5–branes at finite radius of x_3 . This brane setup describes the electric theory with $U(N)$ gauge group, the superpotential W_{η} , and $F + k$ flavors. The magnetic dual configuration has $F + k - N$ D3 and $F + k$ D5–branes, it describes a $U(F + k - N)$ gauge theory with the superpotential W_{η} , $F + k$ flavors and $(F + k)^2$ gauge singlets.

Ultimately we want to obtain pure 3D dualities in this brane picture. In order to reproduce the construction in [5] we move, as depicted in Figure 1, some flavor branes of the electric theory to the mirror point of the T–dual circle. In field theory this corresponds to giving a mass $\sim \mathcal{O}(\frac{\alpha'}{r})$ to the associated matter fields. When

taking the radius *r* to zero, it corresponds to the double-scaling limit mentioned in the introduction and used in the next sections.

The magnetic dual can be obtained by an hw transition swapping the NS− branes in the type IIB configuration. This is equivalent to a double-scaling limit in the magnetic theory, that we had obtained by T–duality from type IIA, when the flavor D5–branes each drag a D3 to the mirror point. In field theory it hence reproduces the higgsing of the theory.

More explicitly, in the example of unitary soco, we move one stack of *k* D5– branes clockwise and another one counterclockwise on the circle, until they reconnect at the mirror point x_3° . When taking the limit $r \to 0$ the D5–branes at x_3° correspond to a set of massive fields, which do not contribute to the low energy theory. On the other hand in the magnetic theory, the D5–branes at x_3° do give rise to massless states, contributing to the low energy dynamics. The reason is that the Hw transition creates k D3–branes at x_3 ^o. In terms of field theory, this corresponds to an extra *U*(*k*) gauge theory with *k* massless fundamental flavors and *k* ² massless singlets. The singlets interact with the flavor fields through a superpotential, as the $D5$ and the NS' are parallel.

In all cases studied in this paper the extra gauge sector in the magnetic theory at $x_3 = x_3^\circ$ can be described as a theory of interacting gauge singlets. Furthermore, this extra sector always interacts with the monopoles of the magnetic theory at $x_3 = 0$ and the interaction involves some of the gauge singlets describing the theory at $x_3 = x_3^{\circ}$. Next we discuss the example of unitary gauge groups with fundamental flavor, other examples will be described in the next sections.

In the example of sqcp the extra sector corresponds to a $U(2k)$ gauge theory with 2k flavors \hat{f} and \hat{f} , a singlet L with $4k^2$ components and the superpotential $W = L f \tilde{f}$.

This theory is "mirror" dual [28] to a set of singlets M , L and V_{\pm} , where M is identified with the meson $M \equiv f \tilde{f}$ and the singlets V_{\pm} are identified with the monopoles of the *U*(2*k*) sector, $V_+ \equiv e^{\Sigma_1}$ and $V_- \equiv e^{-\Sigma_2 t}$, where Σ_i is the *i*th CB coordinate of the $U(2k)$ gauge theory. The mirror theory is interacting, with superpotential $W = LM + V + V - \det M$. In the interval superpotential is set to zero by the eom.

We just have argued that the $U(2k)$ gauge theory at $x_3 = x_3^\circ$ is effectively described by a theory of interacting gauge singlets. Now we want to come back to the brane picture of 3D duality. There is an interaction between this *U*(2*k*) sector and the magnetic $U(F - N)$ gauge theory at $x_3 = 0$. This interaction can be studied, as in the beginning of this section, by D1–branes stretching between the two stacks of D3–branes. More explicitly, they describe a repulsive force between the 1st D3 brane at $x_3 = 0$ and the $(2k)$ th D3–brane at x_3° , and analogously a repulsive force between the $(F - N)$ th D3–brane at $x_3 = 0$ and the 1st D3-brane at x_3° . In field theory language this force is manifest through the superpotential3

$$
W = y_+ V_- + y_- V_+, \t\t(2.4)
$$

where $y_+ = e^{\sum_1}$ and $y_- = e^{-\sum_{F-N}}$ and Σ_i is the *i*th cB coordinate of the $U(F - N)$

³ This superpotential corresponds to an ahw superpotential due to the higgsing of the magnetic gauge theory.

	Sp(2N)	$Sp(2\widetilde{N})$	SU(2F)	$U(1)_{A}$	$U(1)_R$
	2N		2F		$1-(N+2)/F$
a		2N	2F	-1	$(N+2)/F$
М			$F(2F-1)$		$2 - 2(N + 2)/F$

Table 2: Field content for the $Sp(2N)$ gauge theory with global $SU(2F) \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_R$ symmetry.

gauge theory at $x_3 = 0$.

Note that the superpotential (2.4) survives in the mirror dual descrition of the *U*(2*k*) gauge theory. Indeed, the monopoles of the *U*(2*k*) sector, which interact with the monopoles of the $U(F - N)$ sector, are exactlty those which are identified with the singlets V_+ and V_- under mirror symmetry.

The interaction (2.4) can be seen as generating the relations $y_{\pm} = 0$ on the chiral ring of the magnetic theory with gauge group $U(F - N)$, as in the Aharony duality.

Indeed, given their interaction with the monopoles y_{\pm} of the magnetic theory, the singlets *V*⁺ and *V*[−] have the natural interpretation as monopoles of the electric theory. In this sense we have recovered a brane description of the dynamics of Aharony duality.

In the rest of this paper we illustrate the generality of this picture, considering the effects of orientifold planes. Their D−brane charge modifies the standard brane creation effect in the HW transition. Nevertheless, the extra sector at x_3 [°] in the magnetic theory remains mirror dual to singlets.

3 Sp(2N) theories

In this section we discuss the reduction of the duality for $Sp(2N)$ with 2*F* fundamentals. An $Sp(2N)$ gauge theory with $2F$ fundamentals⁴ and global symmetry $SU(2F) \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_R$ without superpotential is dual to an $Sp(2(F - N - 2))$ gauge theory with 2*F* dual fundamentals *q* and a meson *M* with superpotential $W = Mqq$. This duality was first presented in [29]. The $U(1)_A$ symmetry is anomalous at the quantum level. We present the global charges associated to this symmetry because it is quantum realized in the three-dimensional case. The field content is given in Table 2.

3.1 Brane description

There are two ways to represent this theory, by either considering an $O4^+$ –plane or an O6−–plane. These two constructions give rise to similar theories, that differ for the representation of the matter fields under the global symmetries. When modifying the theory (by allowing larger numbers of NS–branes) the two constructions give rise to different two-index matter fields, adjoint or antisymmetric. We will study both possibilities.

⁴ In some cases the flavor symmetry is $SU(F)^2$ instead of $SU(2F)$ and we have *F* pairs of fundamental and anti fundamental. We will denote this possibility as having *F* flavors.

Figure 3: Brane cartoon for the realization of an *Sp*(2*N*) theory in the electric phase with an O4–plane.

- In the O4⁺-plane case, the brane setup is summarized in Table 1 and Figure 3. In this case we consider a stack of $2N$ D4–branes and an $O4^+$ –plane stretched between an NS and an NS'–brane. We consider also 2F D6–branes on the NS'–brane. At brane level this theory as an *SO*(2*F*) global symmetry, while on the field theory side there is the enhancement to *SU*(2*F*). This is similar to the usual doubling of the global symmetry for unitary gauge groups. The dual theory is obtained by an hw transition that exchanges the NS and the NS'-branes. In presence of an orientifold the linking number is modified. For example an $O4⁺$ has to be treated as a stack of [−]4 D6–branes [30]. After the transition we obtain the dual picture, in which the net number of D4–branes is $2(F - N - 2)$.
- A similar theory can be constructed by using an O6−–plane. Consider two NS− branes, ²*^N* D4s, ²*^F* D6's and an O6−–plane as in Figure 4(a). If all the NS−-branes are parallel, the system has $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry. The orientifold projects the *SU*(2*N*) gauge group to *Sp*(2*N*) (where, as usual, *Sp*(2) \simeq *SU*(2)). The theory has an *SU*(*F*) global symmetry with *F* flavors. We expect this symmetry to be enhanced to $SU(F)^2$. Here we rotate the NS--branes and the D6'-branes by an angle θ as in Figure 4(a), and we have two stacks of $NS_{\pm\theta}$ and $DS_{\pm\theta}$. For generic angles the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ adjoint is massive. If $\theta = \pi/2$ the orientifold is parallel to the $NS_{\pm\theta}$ -branes and this field is massless and has to be considered in the low-energy spectrum. We will come back to this configuration later. This model (for $\theta \neq \pi/2$) has a dual description as discussed above. In this case the O6− behaves like a stack of [−]4 D6–branes in the hw transition. The brane picture becomes the one shown in Figure 4(b) where the dual gauge group is again $Sp(2(F - N - 2))$.

3.2 Dimensional reduction

O3**–planes**

Let us begin with the reduction of the duality with an $O4^+$ –plane. The threedimensional system is obtained by compactifying the *x*3–direction and T–dualizing. The NS–branes remain invariant while the orientifold becomes an pair of $(O3^+, O3^+)$ – planes. We can study the properties of the Coulomb branch by looking at the spectrum of BPS F1 strings as explained above. In this case the superpotential can

Figure 4: Brane cartoon for the realization of an *Sp*(2*N*) theory in the (a) electric and (b) magnetic phase with an O6–plane.

Figure 5: Dynkin and affine Dynkin diagrams and spectrum of BPS F1–strings associated to the fundamental monopoles for *Sp*(2*N*) theories in the linear case and on the circle. The affine root is represented in blue on the affine Dynkin diagram and in the brane cartoon.

Figure 6: Dual Aharony flow *Sp* in the O3 configuration

be read off from the top half of Figure 5,

$$
W = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{2}{Y_i} + \frac{1}{Y_N} \,, \tag{3.1}
$$

where $Y_i = e^{(\sigma_i - \sigma_{i+1})/e_3^2 + i(\phi_i - \phi_{i+1})}$ and $Y_N = e^{2(\sigma_N/e_3^2 + i\phi_N)}$. The extra root in the affine case is proportional to the variable $Y_0 = e^{2(\sigma_1/e_3^2 + i\phi_1)}$ (shown in blue on the botton half of Figure 5) and it gives the superpotential

$$
W_{\eta} = \eta e^{2\sigma_1/e_3^2 + 2i\phi_1} \,. \tag{3.2}
$$

The same result is obtained after the HW transition.

Now we want to flow to the Aharony duality. We start by considering $2(F + 1)$ D5–branes in the electric theory. We rotate two D5–branes on the circle and reconnect them on the other side of the circle. Since the D5s intersect the NS–brane in this configuration, there are no massless fields in this extra sector. If we take the $r \rightarrow 0$ limit on this configuration, we obtain an *Sp*(2*N*) theory with 2*F* fundamentals.

Next we turn to the dual theory. In this case if we perform an HW transition, there are $2(F - N - 1)$ D3s at the origin. On the other side of the circle the two D3s created by the D5 crossing the NS–brane are destroyed by the extra orientifold plane located there. This example shows one of the general aspects of our analysis. In principle it is not necessary to reconnect the D5–branes at x_3 ^o. For example for unitary gauge groups the double scaling was realized by putting the D5–branes at $x_3 < x_3^{\circ}$ [1]. Here avoiding the orientifold to create a negative number of D3–branes in the HW transition we have to reconnect the D5s at x_3° . In the rest of the paper we will always follow this strategy.

The final configuration is represented in Figure 6. In this case, even if there is no gauge symmetry, an extra meson arising from the D5–brane remains massless. This suggests that we cannot simply decouple this sector before considering the effect of this massless field in the ordinary dual gauge theory. In fact in this case the two D5–branes attract the branes labeled by σ_1 and $-\sigma_1$ in the dual gauge sector. This

Figure 7: Aharony flow *Sp* in O5 picture

attractive force is reflected in the scale-matching relation between Y_1 and the meson $M_{2F+1,2F+2}$. It corresponds to the superpotential interaction

$$
W = \widetilde{\eta} y_{low} M_{2F+1,2F+2} \tag{3.3}
$$

i.e. the low-energy description of the superpotential *Wη*. In the large-mass limit the effect of this interaction has to be considered.

This reproduces the field theory expectation: the dual theory is an $Sp(2(F - N -$ 1)) theory with 2*F* fundamentals, an antisymmetric meson *M* and superpotential

$$
W = Mqq + yY, \t\t(3.4)
$$

where we identified the broken component of the electric singlet *M* that parametrizes a direction in the dual Higgs branch, with the electric monopole *Y* that parameterizes the Coulomb branch of the electric phase. This is commonly the case when dealing with mirror symmetry and in fact the electric singlet describes the Higgs branch of the dual phase, *i.e.* the Coulomb branch of the electric theory.

O5**–planes**

Also in the case of the O6–plane realization one can reduce the duality to three dimensions by compactifying the x_3 -direction. After T-duality the type IIB system contains a pair of O5–planes and describes a theory with the same superpotential *W_n* as above. By considering $F + 2$ flavors and by integrating out of them we recover the usual Aharony duality. At the brane level this is obtained by introducing $F + 2$ D5_{$\pm \theta$}. We introduce real masses as in the construction with the O3–plane. The orientifold identification is however different: in this case we have a unitary symmetry. Moving a pair $D5_{\pm\theta}$ along x_3 gives a mass to one flavor.

One can flow to Aharony duality by taking the double scaling limit as above (see Figure 7). Let us explain the duality in this case. First we move the D5–branes in the *x*3–direction, assigning the real masses. We reconnect them on the other side of the circle. They reconnect at $x_3 = x_3^{\circ}$, where the second orientifold plane is located. The extra sector does not have massless degrees of freedom, and we can take the $r \to 0$ limit in this case. We obtain a three-dimensional *Sp*(2*N*) theory with 2*F* flavors. Now we can turn to the dual picture, by exchanging the NS_{+θ}-branes. The D3s are created when the branes cross each other. While at the origin the orientifold cancels two D3s every time an NS−-brane crosses it, the net effect on the D3s at $x_3 = x_3^{\circ}$ is the absence of branes in the gauge theory. The final configuration is reproduced in Figure 7.

Like in the case with O3–planes, here we have an extra sector with massless singlets (coming from the original mesons). The $r \to 0$ limit has to be taken by considering the effect of this sector on the $Sp(2(F - N - 1))$ theory. This is the same mechanism introduced above: the superpotential *W^η* is absorbed in a scale matching, the meson couples with the magnetic monopoles, and in the final three-dimensional dual theory the extra interaction between the electric and magnetic monopoles takes place.

3.3 Generalizations

Sp(2N) with antisymmetric matter

An $Sp(2N)$ gauge theory with *F* flavors *Q* and \tilde{Q} and an antisymmetric field *A*, with superpotential $W = \text{Tr} A^{k+1}$ is dual to an $Sp(2(k(F-2) - N))$ gauge theory with *F* flavors *q* and \tilde{q} , an antisymmetric *a* and superpotential $W = \text{Tr} a^{k+1} +$ $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} M_{k-j-1} q a^j \tilde{q}$, where $M_j = QA^j \widetilde{Q}$ is the generalized meson, with $j=0,\ldots,k-1.$ This duality was first presented in [31].

In this case we consider two stacks of k NS_{+ θ}-branes. The gauge symmetry is broken by separating them along the directions 4 and 5 and leads to a polynomial superpotential for the antisymmetric field *A*. The electric theory is broken to

$$
Sp(2N) \to \prod_{i=1}^{k} Sp(2r_i) , \qquad (3.5)
$$

while the magnetic one becomes

$$
Sp(2\widetilde{N}) \to \prod_{i=1}^{k} Sp(2\widetilde{r}_{i}), \qquad (3.6)
$$

where $\tilde{r}_i = F - r_i - 2$ (see Figure 8).

In this case we can perform the reduction on each sector. The bare monopoles associated to each $Sp(2r_i)$ factor recombine, through the scale matching relation, with the antisymmetric field when the superpotential deformations are turned off. This correspond to recombining the $NS_{+\theta}$ –branes.

The theory on the circle can be further reduced to an Aharony-like duality by integrating out some matter fields. In the three-dimensional case we refer to the antisymmetric representation discussed in [17], obtained by combining the irreducible antisymmetric with a singlet.

If we consider $(F + 2)$ flavors and integrate out two of them in each sector we arrive in the dual at a $\prod_{i=1}^{k} Sp(2(F - r_i - 1))$ gauge theory. After reconnecting the branes, the dual theory is $Sp(2(k(F-1) - N))$. As a check we consider $(F + 2K)$ flavors and flow to a known duality. Integrating out 2*K* flavors after assigning them

Figure 8: Electric and magnetic sides of the duality for $Sp(2N)$ gauge theories with antisymmetric matter.

the same large real mass generates a Chern–Simons (cs) term. We arrive at the duality of Kapustin, Kim and Park [17] between⁵ $Sp(2N)_{2K}$ and $Sp(2(k(F+|K| (1) - N)$)_{−2K}.

Sp(2N) with adjoint matter

An *Sp*(2*N*) gauge theory with 2*F* fundamentals and an adjoint field *X*, with superpotential $W = \text{Tr}(X)^{2(k+1)}$ is dual to an $Sp(2((2k+1)F-N-2))$ gauge theory with 2*F* fundamentals, an adjoint *Y* and superpotential

$$
W = Y^{2(k+1)} + \sum_{j=0}^{2k} M_{2k-j} q Y^j q , \qquad (3.7)
$$

where *Y* is in the adjoint of the dual group and $M_i = QX^jQ$. This duality was first presented in [32].

The electric theory is represented by 2*N* D4–branes and an O4−–plane stretched between 2k + 1 NS–branes and one NS'. In addition, there are 2F D6–branes on the NS–branes. By separating the NS–branes along the (45)–plane we have a polynomial deformation in the adjoint *X*.

In a generic vacuum the adjoint *X* acquires a vacuum expectation value. At matrix level there is a rank= $2r_0$ sector at zero vev, and it gives rise to an $SP(2r_0)$ gauge group. The other k rank= r_i sectors, where the vev of the adjoint is non zero, give raise to a set of $U(r_i)$ sectors. The ranks are chosen such that $\sum_{i=0}^{k} r_i = N$. This higgsing corresponds to separating the D4–branes along the directions 4 and 5 in the brane picture, as in Figure 9. Eventually, in a generic vacuum, the gauge group is broken as

$$
Sp(2N) \to Sp(2r_0) \times \prod_{i=1}^k U(r_i) \ . \tag{3.8}
$$

⁵ The cs levels have an extra factor of two because of the normalization of the generators in the Lie algebra.

Figure 9: Electric and magnetic sides of the duality for *Sp*(2*N*) gauge theories with adjoint matter.

in the electric theory and

$$
Sp(2\tilde{N}) \to Sp(2(F - r_0 - 2)) \times \prod_{i=1}^{k} U(F - r_i).
$$
 (3.9)

in the magnetic theory. At the brane level this dual description is obtained by first separating the NS-branes, then performing the HW transition and eventually reconnecting them, see Figure 9.

In the case of *Sp*(2*N*) gauge theories with 2*F* fundamentals and adjoint matter with superpotential $W = \text{Tr } X^{2(k+1)}$ one can perform the reduction in the $Sp(2r_0)$ and in the $U(r_i)$ sectors separately. In each sector, an superpotential W_η is generated. By reconnecting the branes and using the scale-matching relation one can identify the bare monopoles of the theory with the product $Sp(2r_0) \times U(r_i)$ with the dressed monopoles of the $Sp(2N)$ theory. In the dual case the situation is similar. First one dualizes each sector, obtaining $Sp(2(F-2-r_0)) \times \prod U((F-r_i))$, then reconnects the branes and eventually uses the scale matching relation to recover the duality without the polynomial deformation in the adjoint field.

We can flow to the Aharony-like duality. Let us consider $2F + 2D5$ -branes in the electric phase. The dual gauge group is broken to

$$
Sp(2\widetilde{r}_0) \times \prod_{i=1}^k U(\widetilde{r}_i + 1) , \qquad (3.10)
$$

where $\tilde{r}_0 = F - r_0 - 1$ and $\tilde{r}_i = F - r_i$. In the brane description, we move two D5–branes in each sector and perform the hw transition. The dual gauge group at $x_3 = 0$ becomes

$$
Sp(2(F - r_0 - 1)) \times \prod_{i=1}^{k} U(F - r_i).
$$
 (3.11)

This is given by imposing in the field theory description the correct vacuum structure preserving the duality. By joining the NS–branes back it becomes $Sp(2((2k+1)F (N - 1)$).

As a check we flow to a known duality. We can consider $2(F + K)$ fundamentals, integrating out 2*K* of them generating a cs term. One obtains the duality of Kapustin, Kim and Park [17], between an $Sp(2N)_{2K}$ – and an $Sp(2((2k+1)(F+|K|)-N-$ ¹)−2*^K* gauge theory, with superpotential as in [17].

4 U(N) groups and antisymmetric matter

For unitary groups with tensor matter there are two main cases: antisymmetric and symmetric tensors. We refer the reader to [33] where these dualities have been first presented. Here we focus on the antisymmetric case. In the antisymmetric case one has:

• An $SU(N)$ gauge theory with an antisymmetric tensor A , its conjugate \widetilde{A} with

$$
W = \text{Tr}(A\widetilde{A})^2 \tag{4.1}
$$

and *F* flavors is dual to an $SU(3F - N - 4)$ with superpotential

$$
W = \text{Tr}(a\tilde{a})^2 + M_1 q\tilde{q} + M_0 q\tilde{a}a\tilde{q} + Pq\tilde{a}q + \tilde{P}\tilde{q}a\tilde{q} \,, \tag{4.2}
$$

where a , \tilde{a} are the dual antisymmetric fields, q , \tilde{q} the dual quarks and the mesons are

$$
P = Q\widetilde{A}Q, \qquad \widetilde{P} = \widetilde{Q}A\widetilde{Q}, \qquad M_0 = Q\widetilde{Q}, \qquad M_1 = Q\widetilde{A}A\widetilde{Q}. \qquad (4.3)
$$

• We can also consider the superpotential

$$
W = \text{Tr}(A\widetilde{A})^2 + A\widetilde{Q}\widetilde{A}Q + (Q\widetilde{Q})^2
$$
\n(4.4)

in the electric case. The $SU(N)$ gauge theory is dual to an $SU(2F - N - 4)$ gauge theory with superpotential

$$
W = \text{Tr}(a\tilde{a})^2 + q\tilde{a}\tilde{q}a + (q\tilde{q})^2.
$$
 (4.5)

This duality can be obtained from the previous one by a Higgs mechanism: a dual meson appears as a linear perturbation in the dual theory. After higgsing we obtain (4.4) from (4.1) and the dual rank is modified accordingly.

• The discussion can be generalized to the superpotential $W = \text{Tr}(A\widetilde{A})^{k+1}$. In this case one can break the gauge group by adding a polynomial superpotential in $(A\widetilde{A})^j$. By turning this superpotential off one then finds a generalized Kutasov–

Figure 10: Brane cartoon summarizing all the constructions of unitary gauge theories with tensor matter

Schwimmer–Seiberg [34] (kss) duality with dual rank $\tilde{N} = (2k+1)F - N - 4$ for the generalization of (4.1) and $\widetilde{N} = 2kF - N - 4$ for the generalization of (4.4).

4.1 Brane description

The brane realization of these models has been done in $[35, 36]$. All cases in this family can be summarized in the brane cartoon in Figure 10. In order to understand the action of the orientifold we start by discussing a configuration with three NS− branes without the O6-plane. The theory is an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ quiver with two unitary nodes, connected by a pair of bifundamentals and adjoints (see Figure 11). At each node there are *F* flavors. This configuration and its generalization to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ where extensively studied in [37]. Adding the orientifold plane the two nodes are identified and projected to a single *U*(*N*) gauge node. The matter fields are identified as well and there are two possibilities, corresponding to the different signs of the orientifold projection: the pair (A, \tilde{A}) or (S, \tilde{S}) . Here we focus on the case with (A, \tilde{A}) .

Now we can break to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ by rotating the external NS–branes: rotating the left and right NS−-brane by an angle *θ* (*resp.* −*θ*) corresponds to introducing a mass term $\mu(\theta_+)$ proportional to tan(θ_+) for the adjoints in the $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplet. Integrating out the massive adjoints we obtain the superpotential $W = \text{Tr } A \tilde{A}$. If the rotation angle is $\pi/2$, the adjoint is infinitely massive and the superpotential vanishes. More in general we can consider two stacks of *k* NS±*θ*–branes, obtaining the superpotential $W = (A\widetilde{A})^{k+1}$.

The flavor branes can be added in two ways. In the first case one can add two stacks of D6s parallel to the orientifold and to the NS–brane, one on the left and one on the right. In the second case one can rotate the stack of D6s on the left (right) to a stack of D6_{*θ*} (D6_{−*θ*}). In the first case we have to add the term $Q\widetilde{A}\widetilde{Q}A + (Q\widetilde{Q})^2$ to the superpotential. In the second case, the flavor branes are parallel to the NS±*θ*–branes and the quartic terms for the fundamentals are absent. The two configurations with D6 or $D6_{+\theta}$ –branes have different ranks in the dual Hw picture.

The Seiberg duality can be studied in terms of brane motions. It is convenient to describe the motion at first without the orientifold and then add the projection at the end. The starting theory has two unitary gauge groups connected by a pair of bifundamentals and extra flavors. The Seiberg-dual phase is obtained by a cascading process, first we dualize one gauge group, then the other, and finally we dualize

Figure 11: Orbifold projection of the A_2 quiver realizing a U(N) theory with tensor matter.

again the first gauge group. In terms of branes it corresponds to exchanging the first two NS–branes, then the last two and then the first two again. Before this exchange, it is convenient to move the D6–branes. We have to distinguish the two situations, where we have either two stacks of $D6_{\pm\theta}$ or two stacks of D6s parallel to the central brane.

• In the first case, the D6*^θ* crosses first the NS–brane and then the *NS*−*θ*–brane. Both times the crossing generates a stack of D4–branes. The same operation has to be performed on the second brane. In this case there are 2 D4–branes ending on each D6_{±θ}. The S–rule is not violated because one stack of D4s is attached to an NS–brane and the other to an NS_∓^{*θ*}. If we interchange the position of the NS−-branes we obtain the dual picture. The reduction of this duality has been studied in [1] from the brane perspective.

At this point we can consider the effect of the O6− orientifold on the central NS–brane. The following happens.

- 1. the gauge group is projected from $SU(N) \times SU(N)$ to $SU(N)$;
- 2. the bifundamentals connecting the gauge groups become the tensor matter fields;
- 3. the two flavor groups are identified.

At the level of the duality the orientifold carries the charge of −4 D6–branes. By carefully considering the orientifold charge in each transition we end up with the *SU*($3F - N - 4$) gauge theory as expected.

• In the second case the D6–branes are parallel to the NS–brane. We move the D6 on the left of the NS towards the NS_{θ} and the other in the opposite direction. Once they cross the $NS_{\pm\theta}$, each D6 generates a stack of *F* D4–branes. After this motion the duality works as in the case above. By carefully adding the orientifold charge, the dual $SU(2F - N - 4)$ gauge theories are recovered.

One can also study the duality with *k* NS±*θ*–branes. In this case one first separates these branes along the direction orthogonal to the plane that they occupy in (4589) and then studies the duality in each sector separately. By reconnecting the branes the expected dualities are recovered.

Figure 12: $U(N)$ gauge theory with antisymmetric matter, electric theory. (a) and (b) show the case without superpotential (D5–branes parallel to *NS*±*^θ*), (c) and (d) show the case with superpotential (D5–branes are parallel to the NS–branes).

4.2 Dimensional reduction

Now we compactly *x*³ and T–dualize along this direction. We consider the *U*(*N*) case, where the baryonic symmetry is gauged. On the T–dual circle, the theory develops a superpotential of the form

$$
W = \eta Y_+ Y_- \,, \tag{4.6}
$$

where $Y_+ = e^{\sigma_1/e_3^2 + i\phi_i}$ and $Y_- = e^{-(\sigma_N/e_3^2 + i\phi_N)}$. This can be understood from the brane picture as follows: there are two sets of D3s, one connecting the NS*^θ* and NS–branes and the other connecting the NS−*^θ* and NS–branes. On each stack a superpotential *W_n* is generated by the Euclidean D1–branes. The two superpotentials are identical and identified by the orientifold. Finally, one has (4.6).

Now we want to investigate the dual phase. As discussed above there are two possible situations: the D5–branes are parallel to the NS–branes *or* to the *NS*_{\pm} θ –branes. In the first case $\tilde{N} = 3F - N - 4$, while in the second case we have $\widetilde{N} = 2F - N - 4.$

On the circle, the extra superpotential

$$
W = \eta' y_+ y_- \tag{4.7}
$$

is generated. Here $y_+ = e^{\tilde{\sigma}_1/\tilde{e}_3^2 + i \tilde{\phi}_i}$ and $y_- = e^{-(\tilde{\sigma}_{\tilde{N}}/\tilde{e}_3^2 + i \tilde{\phi}_{\tilde{N}})}$. Figures 12 (a) and 12 (b) show the brane cartoon of the electric theory in the case without the extra superpotential. The NS–branes are drawn in black, the D5s in green, the orientifold plane is orange and the D3s are red. In Figures 12 (c) and 12 (d) we represent the case with the superpotential turned on. Now we want to flow to the theory without the

Figure 13: Aharony-like duality for models with antisymmetric matter.

superpotential *W_n*. We consider the case with $F + 2$ green branes in each sector, and assign a positive large real mass to one flavor and one negative large real mass to a second one. We rotate one pair of $D5_{+\theta}$ clockwise on the circle and another pair counterclockwise. Finally, we reconnect the pairs at $x_3 = x_3^{\circ}$, where the second orientifold is placed.

Now we can proceed as above, we interchange the NS–branes and arrive at the dual configuration. Finally, we obtain the setup in Figure 13.

These pictures represent the Aharony-like duality for the models with antisymmetric matter. The extra sectors are dualized to singlets, as done in [1] for the $U(N)$ sqcp. The extra singlets that are generated interact with the monopoles of the magnetic theory, and they are identified with the Coulomb branch variable of the electric theory. This can be explicitly verified on the field theory side. The duality now involves a *U*(3*F* − *N* − 2) gauge group in the case where the superpotential is $W = (A\widetilde{A})^2$. One can add the extra deformation $(Q\widetilde{Q})^2 + A\widetilde{Q}\widetilde{A}Q$ (corresponding to rotating the branes as in Figure (c)). In the dual phase this deformation enforces a Higgs flow to the theory with *U*(2*F* − *N* − 2), and it exactly corresponds to the expected dual, after dualizing the extra sectors and considering the ahw superpotential. This confirms the validity of our rules and of our picture. We can reproduce the same story by considering *k* external $NS_+\theta$ –branes. In this case we can break the $NS_{\pm\theta}$ –branes, *e.g.* generating a power superpotential $W \simeq \sum_i \lambda_i (A\widetilde{A})^j$. By breaking the gauge group in the decoupled sectors we can use the same rules used above and reconstruct the dual theory. Finally, we obtain the dual ranks $U((2k + 1)F - N - 2k)$ and $U(2kF - N - 2k)$. As a final check, we can flow to the case with cs terms. In this case we reproduce the duality between the $U(N)_K$ theory with *F* flavors and

		$SO(N)$ $SO(\widetilde{N})$	SU(2F)	$U(1)_{A}$	$U(1)_R$
	\mathcal{N}		2F		$1-(N-2)/F$
a			2F	-1	$(N-2)/F$
М			$F(2F-1)$		$2 - 2(N - 2)/F$

Table 3: Field content for the $SO(N)$ gauge theory with global $SU(2F) \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_R$ symmetry.

the dual $U((2k+1)(F+K) - N - 2k)_{-K}$ studied in [17].

5 Orthogonal gauge groups

In this section we discuss orthogonal gauge groups. An *SO*(*N*) gauge theory with 2*F* fundamental vectors and global symmetry $SU(2F) \times U(1)_A \times U(1)_R$ without superpotential is dual to an $SO(2F - N - 4)$ gauge theory with 2*F* fundamental vectors *q* and a meson in the (conjugate) symmetric representation of the global *SU*(2*F*) with superpotential $W = Mqq$. This duality was first presented in [38]. The field content is given in Table 3.

5.1 Aspects of field theory

On can associate three distinct gauge groups to the Lie algebra *so*(*N*), as discussed in [39] where they were called $SO(N)_+$ and $Spin(N)$. In four dimensions the different choices depend on the spectrum of line defects, while in three dimensions they depend on the monopole charges in the dual algebra.

The Coulomb branch variables associated to the *so*(*N*) algebra are

$$
Y_i = e^{(\sigma_i - \sigma_{i-1})/e_3^2 + i(\phi_i - \phi_{i-1})}, \qquad i = 1, ..., N-1
$$
 (5.1)

and

$$
\begin{cases}\nY_N = e^{(\sigma_{N-1} - \sigma_N)/e_3^2 + i(\phi_{N-1} - \phi_N)} & N \text{ even }, \\
Y_N = e^{2\sigma_N/e_3^2 + 2i\phi_N} & N \text{ odd }.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(5.2)

At finite radius there is also a superpotential $W_\eta = \eta Z$ from the KK monopoles [7, 27, 40], where $Z = Y_1 \prod_{i=2}^{N-2} Y_i^2 Y_{N-1} Y_N$ in the even case and $Z = Y_1 \prod_{i=2}^{N-1} Y_i^2 Y_N$ in the odd case. The two expressions finally boil down to $Z = e^{(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)/e_3^2 + i(\phi_1 + \phi_2)}$. In presence of matter fields this superpotential still contributes to the theory, but there is a difference with the symplectic and unitary cases: the superpotential *W^η* does not completely lift the Coulomb branch, parameterized by $Y_{Spin} = e^{2\sigma_1/e_3^2 + 2i\phi_1}$ in the Spin(*N*) case and $Y = e^{\sigma_1/e_3^2 + i\phi_1}$ in the $SO(N)$ case. There are three possible dualities: $Spin(N)$ ↔ $SO(\widetilde{N})$ _−, $SO(N)$ _− ↔ $Spin(\widetilde{N})$ or $SO(N)$ ₊ ↔ $SO(\widetilde{N})$ ₊, where in each case $\widetilde{N} = F - N + 2$.

It is possible to reduce the 4D dualities to 3D dualities by considering the limit $r \to 0$, *i.e.* $\eta \to 0$, without adding real masses. This is possible because of the presence of a Coulomb branch. A region near the origin of the moduli space on

the electric side of $SO(N)$ + corresponds in the dual to the region $\widetilde{Y} = i/\sqrt{\widetilde{\eta}}$. This breaks the gauge symmetry to $SO(F - N + 2) \times SO(2)$. This last sector in the IR is described by its Coulomb branch variable interacting with the monopole of the unbroken sector through an AHW superpotential. Similarly, one obtains a duality between $SO(N)$ and $Spin(N)$ theories in pure 3D. At the local level, the $O(N)$ duality studied in [16, 40] is recovered.

5.2 Brane description

Now we turn to the brane picture. We will limit the discussion to the study of the local properties, without focusing on the difference between the (S)pin(*N*) and the $(S)O₊$ cases. We will comment on the possibility of extending the analysis to the global property of the gauge group in the conclusions. At the brane level these theories are obtained in two different ways. In one case, we put the *O*4 − (04^-) on $N = 2n$ ($N = 2n + 1$) D4–branes stretched between the NS and NS^{\prime}–brane. This theory has an *Sp*(2*F*) global symmetry and we expect that this symmetry is enhanced to $SU(2F)$. In the second case we consider two $NS_{\pm\theta}$ -branes connected by a stack of D4–branes intersecting the $O6^+$ –plane symmetrically with respect to the NS_{+ θ}-branes. We can distinguish between the even $N = 2n$ case and the odd $N = 2n + 1$ case, essentially this corresponds to the number of D4–branes. In this case the global symmetry is $SU(F)$ and we expect this enhances to $SU(F)^2$.

In the T–dual type IIB description there is an O3–plane between the two NS_{θ} *_{+* θ *}* and the 2*F* D5_{\pm θ}–branes. The gauge theory lives on the *N* D3s extended along x_6 . First we study the generation of the superpotential in the Coulomb branch in the case of a pure gauge theory. Then we discuss the new duality obtained on the circle and finally we reproduce the 3D limit studied in $[7]$. The three-dimensional theory on the circle has two possible orientifolds $O3^-$ or $O3^-$. In the first case we have to consider an even number of D3–branes while in the second case they have to be odd. We can study in both cases the generation of the superpotential in terms of the Coulomb branch variables.

The superpotential on the Coulomb branch is obtained in terms of the spectrum of the allowed bps F1–strings in presence of the orientifold, as discussed in Section 2.2. In the orthogonal case we can represent the two different possibilities for the O3⁻ or $\tilde{O}3$ ⁻ with the B_N and the D_N series. (see Figure 14 and Figure 15).

The extra superpotential corresponds in both cases to the extra term $Z =$ $e^{(\sigma_1+\sigma_2)/e_3^2+i(\phi_1+\phi_2)}$. Since it identifies two eigenvalues after we cross from one half to the other of the circle it involves the identification and add the superpotential *Wη*. Finally, we have

$$
W_{SO(2n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{r_G} \frac{1}{Y_i} + \eta Z, \qquad W_{SO(2n+1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{r_G-1} \frac{1}{Y_i} + \frac{2}{Y_{r_G}} + \eta Z. \qquad (5.3)
$$

When we consider the D6–branes there is an unlifted direction in the Coulomb branch, corresponding to the term *e* 2*σ*1/*e* 2 3 .

We consider $F + 2$ D5 on each NS–brane and take the pure 3D limit. In the electric theory we are left with a pure 3D $so(N)$ theory with 2F flavors. In the dual theory the situation is more intricate. At $x_3 = 0$ there is an $\mathfrak{so}(F - N - 2)$ theory

Figure 14: Dynkin and affine Dynkin diagrams and spectrum of BPS F1–strings associated to the fundamental monopoles for *SO*(2*N* + 1) theories (*B^N* algebra). The orientifold at the mirror point $x_3=x_3^\circ$ is an O_3^- , while the one at $x_3=0$ is an O_3^- . For this reason the Dynkin diagram of \widetilde{B}_N does not have a \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry.

Figure 15: Dynkin and affine Dynkin diagrams and spectrum of BPS F1–strings associated to the fundamental monopoles for *SO*(2*N*) theories (*D^N* algebra). The affine root is in blue, the root due to the orientifold in $x_3=0$ in teal. Both orientifolds are O_3^- and the affine Dynkin diagram has a \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry.

Figure 16: Two D5–branes reconnect at the mirror point of the circle.

with superpotential $W = Mqq$. At $x_3 = x_3^{\circ}$ there is an $so(4)$ gauge theory with two fundamentals. It can be dualized to a singlet Y, interacting with the $so(F - N - 2)$ through an AHW superpotential. This interaction is $W = \gamma Y$ where γ is the magnetic monopole. By interpreting *Y* as the electric monopole acting as a singlet in the magnetic theory we arrive to the expected duality.

An alternative limit

Differently from the unitary and symplectic cases, here the pure 3D limit can be obtained without any real mass flow [7]. The reason is that the region $x_3 \simeq 0$ of the Coulomb branch in the electric theory corresponds to the region $x_3 \simeq x_3^\circ$ in the magnetic one. An $so(2)$ gauge theory is created at x_3° in the magnetic theory, and the pure 3D limit can be taken directly, preserving the duality.

In the brane description we consider the D3s in the electric theory at the origin, while in the magnetic theory the orientifold generates automatically a pair of D3– branes at *x*[°]₃. The dual gauge theory becomes $so(2F - N - 2) \times so(2)$. The final configuration is in Figure 16. The *so*(2) sector is dual to a singlet *Y*, that interacts with the $\frac{so(2F - N - 2)}{sector}$ through an AHW superpotential. Again, in the pure 3D case, *Y* has the same quantum numbers as the electric monopole.

It is possible to study the case with O6–planes as well. In this case the discussion follows the one of the symplectic case, and we do not report the whole analysis. The mirror orientifold is created on the circle and the extra sectors can be studied with the usual brane techniques. One can also study the cases with tensor matter, by adding *k* NS^{\prime}-branes in the case with an O4–plane and *k* NS_{\pm} θ for the cases with the O6–planes. Moreover, one can consider the cases with O6–planes and an extra NS–brane, this leads to unitary theories with symmetric matter and the discussion follows the one in Section 4. In all the cases new examples of threedimensional dualities can be worked out. We conclude by observing that the known three-dimensional case studied in [18] can be recovered from these dualities.

6 Conclusions

In this paper we completed the analysis started in [1] of the reduction of fourdimensional dualities to three dimensions via brane constructions. We have shown that this picture captures the relevant properties of the reduction of the duality on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1$. By T-duality the Coulomb branch on the circle is correctly described, after

separating the D3–branes in the compact direction, by an affine Toda potential for the F1–strings in an S-dual frame. When considering real groups or tensor matter fields, a crucial role is played by the behavior of the orientifold under T–duality. A second orientifold plane is generated at an opposite point on the T–dual circle. We have shown that it is necessary to consider the physics at this mirror point when taking the three-dimensional limit. This limit is a double scaling on the real masses and the radius. The masses correspond to the positions of certain D5–branes (and in the magnetic phases also D3–branes). By reconnecting the branes at the mirror point, a new unified scenario to study the reduction of four-dimensional dualities admitting a type IIA description in four dimensions emerges. The construction presents an algorithmic way to obtain many new three-dimensional dual pairs from their four-dimensional parents which we have discussed in this article.

The construction presented here is generic for 4D dualities that can be described by type iia brane systems and several extensions are possible. *E.g.* one could apply the reduction to type IIA setups involving chiral matter and orientifolds like the ones studied in [41–43].

It would be interesting to study the spectrum of line defects and their connection to dualities in the brane picture. The relations of line defects to global properties of the gauge groups has been pointed out [39] and there are various implications for the duality involving the orthogonal algebras $so(n)$ [7]. It should be possible to distinguish between "Spin(*N*)" and the " $SO(N)_+$ " (in the language of [7]) also in the brane setup, *e.g.* following the discussion in [44]. More explicitly, in the type IIB description, one can separate the D3–branes, studying configurations of semi-infinite (electric) D1–branes and (magnetic) F1–strings with endpoints on the D3–branes. In the presence of an orientifold, this analysis should give rise to the distinction between $Spin(N)$ and $SO(N)_+$. We leave this problem for future investigation.

Another interesting extension of our analysis involves the pairs of orientifolds associated to *twisted* affine Dynkin diagrams. These cases do not descend from a compactification of a type IIA background, and they do not represent a fourdimensional theory. However, they do correspond to well-defined theories on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1$. One might expect obtaining new Seiberg-like dualities corresponding to these configurations. By assigning suitable real masses one may even expect to obtain new purely three-dimensional dualities, without four-dimensional parents. It would be interesting to further investigate in this direction.

Let us comment on the generation of the monopole charges. The axial $U(1)_{A}$, anomalous in four dimensions, is broken by the kk monopole superpotential at finite radius. However, rotating the D5s on the circle partially breaks the non-abelian flavor symmetry and generates the axial symmetry. The massless singlets located at $x_3 = x_3^\circ$ are charged under this symmetry and survive the pure 3D limit. They correspond to the monopoles of the electric theory and, at the same time, their $U(1)_A$ charge is imposed by the original global symmetry. This observation explains the relation pointed out in $[45]$, between the equations governing the cancellation of the anomalies in 4D and those governing the monopole charges in 3D.

It is possible to reproduce our results when reducing the four-dimensional superconformal index $[46, 47]$ to the three-dimensional partition function $[48, 49]$.

That was done for the case of soco in [5] and in presence of adjoint matter in [50] 6 . One should consider the identities summarized in [52] and obtain new identities for the three dimensional dualities. A possible strategy for this calculation is the kk reduction of the one-loop determinants while shifting some fugacities of the global and local symmetries. This reproduces the double-scaling limit discussed in this paper. One should check that the surviving zero modes remove the possible divergent contributions found in [7].

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Alberto Zaffaroni, Francisco Morales, Jan Troost, and Massimo Bianchi for discussions and comments.

A.A. is funded by the European Research Council (ERC-2012-ADG_20120216) and acknowledges support by anr grant 13-bs05-0001. D.F. is frs-fnrs Chargé de Recherches. He acknowledges support by the frs-fnrs, by iisn - Belgium through conventions 4.4511.06 and 4.4514.08, by the Communauté Francaise de Belgique through the arc program and by the erc through the SyDuGraM Advanced Grant. C.K. acknowledges support by anr grant 12-bs05-003-01 and by Enhanced Eurotalents, which is co-funded by cea and the European Commission. The work of S.R. is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF) under grant number PP00P2_157571/1.

D.O. and S.R. would like to thank the Kavli IPMU for hospitality during the final stages of this work.

A Conventions

In this appendix we summarize the conventions of the geometry that we used in the paper. We consider a circle of length *β* and radius $r = \beta/(2\pi)$. The kinetic term is normalized as in [53]

$$
S = \frac{\beta}{4g_4^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4g_3^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \,, \tag{A.1}
$$

where we used the relation $g_4^2 = 2\pi r g_3^2$. The Coulomb branch variables are

$$
X_i = e^{4\pi\sigma_i/g_3^2 + i\phi_i}, \tag{A.2}
$$

with periodicity of σ ^{*i*} proportional to $1/r$. It follows that

$$
\eta \equiv \Lambda^b = e^{-4\pi/(r g_3^2)} \,. \tag{A.3}
$$

To simplify the notation in the paper we work with the coupling $e_3^2 = g_3^2/(4\pi)$.

 6 Another example, involving matter matter in antisymmetric representation, appeared in [51].

type	charge	gauge
Op^-	-2^{p-5}	SO(2n)
Op^+	2^{p-5}	Sp(2n)
Op^-	$2^{p-5}/2$	$SO(2n+1)$
Op^+	2^{p-5}	Sp(2n)

Table 4: Orientifold charges and corresponding gauge symmetry

B Orientifolds

Orientifold planes played a special role in our discussion, therefore we briefly review here some of their basic aspects [54–56]. A *p*-dimensional orientifold (O*p*–plane) is defined in string theory by its perturbative action. It corresponds to the projection $\sigma \cdot \Omega \cdot (-1)^{F_L}$, where σ is the parity inversion of the coordinates transverse to the plane, Ω is the world-sheet parity and *F^L* is the left-moving fermion number. The orientifold acts both on the NS [57] and on the R sector [58, 59], by two distinct **Z**² parities. The action on the NS sector is perturbative in string theory and we denote it with a + or a $-$. The \mathbb{Z}_2 acting on the R sector is non-perturbative in string theory and we denote it with the presence or the absence of a tilde (∼) on the orientifold [9, 43]. These charges identify the action of the orientifold on the gauge symmetry. We summarize the different possibilities in Table 4.

In this paper we have been mostly interested in the O3 and O5 cases, coming from a T–duality from type IIA. After compactification an $O(p+1)$ plane becomes a pair of orientifolds which turn, after T–duality, into a pair of O*p*–planes (see Figure 1) [9, 43], and this fact has been crucial in our analysis. There are in principle 16 different possibilities, depending on the discrete torsion, but only some of them have been relevant for our analysis, as we discussed in the paper.

References

- [1] A. Amariti et al. The braneology of 3D dualities (2015). arXiv:[1501.06571 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.06571).
- [2] N. Seiberg. Electric magnetic duality in supersymmetric non Abelian gauge theories. Nucl.Phys. B435 (1995), pp. 129–146. arXiv:[hep-th/9411149 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9411149).
- [3] A. Karch. Seiberg duality in three-dimensions. Phys.Lett. B405 (1997), pp. 79–84. arXiv:[hep-th/9703172 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9703172).
- [4] O. Aharony. IR duality in $d = 3$ N=2 supersymmetric USp(2N(c)) and U(N(c)) gauge theories. Phys.Lett. B404 (1997), pp. 71–76. arXiv:[hep-th/9703215 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9703215).
- [5] O. Aharony, S. S. Razamat, N. Seiberg, and B. Willett. 3d dualities from 4d dualities. JHEP 1307 (2013), p. 149. arXiv:[1305.3924 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.3924).
- [6] V. Niarchos. Seiberg dualities and the 3d/4d connection. JHEP 1207 (2012), p. 075. arXiv:[1205.2086 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.2086).
- [7] O. Aharony, S. S. Razamat, N. Seiberg, and B. Willett. 3*d* dualities from 4*d* dualities for orthogonal groups. JHEP 1308 (2013), p. 099. arXiv:[1307.0511](http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.0511).
- [8] A. Hanany and E. Witten. Type IIB superstrings, BPS monopoles, and three-dimensional gauge dynamics. Nucl.Phys. B492 (1997), pp. 152–190. arXiv:[hep-th/9611230 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9611230).
- [9] A. Hanany and B. Kol. On orientifolds, discrete torsion, branes and M theory. JHEP 0006 (2000), p. 013. arXiv:[hep-th/0003025 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0003025).
- [10] A. Hanany and J. Troost. Orientifold planes, affine algebras and magnetic monopoles. JHEP 0108 (2001), p. 021. arXiv:[hep-th/0107153 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0107153).
- [11] A. Giveon and D. Kutasov. Seiberg Duality in Chern-Simons Theory. Nucl.Phys. B812 (2009), pp. 1–11. arXiv:[0808.0360 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0808.0360).
- [12] V. Niarchos. Seiberg Duality in Chern-Simons Theories with Fundamental and Adjoint Matter. JHEP 0811 (2008), p. 001. arXiv:[0808.2771 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0808.2771).
- [13] D. Orlando and S. Reffert. Relating Gauge Theories via Gauge/Bethe Correspondence. JHEP 1010 (2010), p. 071. arXiv:[1005.4445 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1005.4445).
- [14] D. Orlando and S. Reffert. The Gauge-Bethe Correspondence and Geometric Representation Theory. Lett.Math.Phys. 98 (2011), pp. 289–298. arXiv:[1011.6120 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1011.6120).
- [15] B. Willett and I. Yaakov. N=2 Dualities and Z Extremization in Three Dimensions (2011). arXiv:[1104.0487 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1104.0487).
- [16] F. Benini, C. Closset, and S. Cremonesi. Comments on 3d Seiberg-like dualities. JHEP 1110 (2011), p. 075. arXiv:[1108.5373 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1108.5373).
- [17] A. Kapustin, H. Kim, and J. Park. Dualities for 3d Theories with Tensor Matter. JHEP 1112 (2011), p. 087. arXiv:[1110.2547 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.2547).
- [18] H. Kim and J. Park. Aharony Dualities for 3d Theories with Adjoint Matter. JHEP 1306 (2013), p. 106. arXiv:[1302.3645 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1302.3645).
- [19] O. Aharony and D. Fleischer. IR Dualities in General 3d Supersymmetric SU(N) QCD Theories (2014). arXiv:[1411.5475 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.5475).
- [20] C. Csáki et al. Dynamics of 3D SUSY Gauge Theories with Antisymmetric Matter. JHEP 1408 (2014), p. 141. arXiv:[1406.6684 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.6684).
- [21] K. Nii. 3d duality with adjoint matter from 4d duality (2014). arXiv:[1409.3230 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.3230).
- [22] A. Amariti. A note on 3D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ dualities: real mass flow and partition function. JHEP 1403 (2014), p. 064. arXiv:[1309.6434 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1309.6434).
- [23] I. Affleck, J. A. Harvey, and E. Witten. Instantons and (Super)Symmetry Breaking in (2+1)-Dimensions. Nucl.Phys. B206 (1982), p. 413.
- [24] E. J. Weinberg. Fundamental Monopoles and Multi-Monopole Solutions for Arbitrary Simple Gauge Groups. Nucl.Phys. B167 (1980), p. 500.
- [25] E. J. Weinberg. Fundamental Monopoles in Theories With Arbitrary Symmetry Breaking. Nucl.Phys. B203 (1982), p. 445.
- [26] H. Garland and M. Murray. Kac-Moody Monopoles and Periodic Instantons. Commun. Math. Phys. 120 (1988), pp. 335–351.
- [27] N. M. Davies, T. J. Hollowood, and V. V. Khoze. Monopoles, affine algebras and the gluino condensate. J.Math.Phys. 44 (2003), pp. 3640–3656. arXiv:[hep-th/0006011 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0006011).
- [28] O. Aharony et al. Aspects of N=2 supersymmetric gauge theories in three-dimensions. Nucl.Phys. B499 (1997), pp. 67–99. arXiv:[hep-th/9703110 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9703110).
- [29] K. A. Intriligator and P. Pouliot. Exact superpotentials, quantum vacua and duality in supersymmetric SP(N(c)) gauge theories. Phys.Lett. B353 (1995), pp. 471–476. arXiv:[hep](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9505006)[th/9505006 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9505006).
- [30] S. Elitzur et al. Brane dynamics and N=1 supersymmetric gauge theory. Nucl.Phys. B505 (1997), pp. 202–250. arXiv:[hep-th/9704104 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9704104).
- [31] K. A. Intriligator. New RG fixed points and duality in supersymmetric $SP(N(c))$ and SO(N(c)) gauge theories. Nucl.Phys. B448 (1995), pp. 187–198. arXiv:[hep-th/9505051](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9505051) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9505051).
- [32] R. Leigh and M. Strassler. Duality of $Sp(2N(c))$ and $SO(N(c))$ supersymmetric gauge theories with adjoint matter. Phys.Lett. B356 (1995), pp. 492–499. arXiv:[hep - th /](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9505088) [9505088 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9505088).
- [33] K. A. Intriligator, R. Leigh, and M. Strassler. New examples of duality in chiral and nonchiral supersymmetric gauge theories. Nucl.Phys. B456 (1995), pp. 567–621. arXiv:[hep](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9506148)[th/9506148 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9506148).
- [34] D. Kutasov, A. Schwimmer, and N. Seiberg. Chiral rings, singularity theory and electric - magnetic duality. Nucl.Phys. B459 (1996), pp. 455–496. arXiv:[hep-th/9510222](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9510222) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9510222).
- [35] K. Landsteiner and E. Lopez. New curves from branes. Nucl.Phys. B516 (1998), pp. 273-296. arXiv:[hep-th/9708118 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9708118).
- [36] C. Csaki, M. Schmaltz, W. Skiba, and J. Terning. Gauge theories with tensors from branes and orientifolds. Phys.Rev. D57 (1998), pp. 7546–7560. arXiv:[hep-th/9801207](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9801207) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9801207).
- [37] J. H. Brodie and A. Hanany. Type IIA superstrings, chiral symmetry, and $N=1$ 4-D gauge theory dualities. Nucl.Phys. B506 (1997), pp. 157–182. arXiv:[hep-th/9704043 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9704043).
- [38] K. A. Intriligator and N. Seiberg. Duality, monopoles, dyons, confinement and oblique confinement in supersymmetric SO(N(c)) gauge theories. Nucl.Phys. B444 (1995), pp. 125– 160. arXiv:[hep-th/9503179 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9503179).
- [39] O. Aharony, N. Seiberg, and Y. Tachikawa. Reading between the lines of four-dimensional gauge theories. JHEP 1308 (2013), p. 115. arXiv:[1305.0318](http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.0318).
- [40] O. Aharony and I. Shamir. On $O(N_c)$ d=3 N=2 supersymmetric QCD Theories. JHEP 1112 (2011), p. 043. arXiv:[1109.5081 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.5081).
- [41] A. Hanany and A. Zaffaroni. Chiral symmetry from type IIA branes. Nucl.Phys. B509 (1998), pp. 145–168. arXiv:[hep-th/9706047 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9706047).
- [42] A. Hanany and A. Zaffaroni. On the realization of chiral four-dimensional gauge theories using branes. JHEP 9805 (1998), p. 001. arXiv:[hep-th/9801134 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9801134).
- [43] A. Hanany and A. Zaffaroni. Issues on orientifolds: On the brane construction of gauge theories with SO(2n) global symmetry. JHEP 9907 (1999), p. 009. arXiv:[hep-th/9903242](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9903242) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9903242).
- [44] G. W. Moore, A. B. Royston, and D. Van den Bleeken. Brane bending and monopole moduli. JHEP 1410 (2014), p. 157. arXiv:[1404.7158 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.7158).
- [45] M. K. Benna, I. R. Klebanov, and T. Klose. Charges of Monopole Operators in Chern-Simons Yang-Mills Theory. JHEP 1001 (2010), p. 110. arXiv:[0906.3008 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0906.3008).
- [46] C. Romelsberger. Counting chiral primaries in $N = 1$, $d=4$ superconformal field theories. Nucl.Phys. B747 (2006), pp. 329–353. arXiv:[hep-th/0510060 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0510060).
- [47] J. Kinney, J. M. Maldacena, S. Minwalla, and S. Raju. An Index for 4 dimensional super conformal theories. Commun.Math.Phys. 275 (2007), pp. 209–254. arXiv:[hep-th/0510251](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0510251) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0510251).
- [48] D. L. Jafferis. The Exact Superconformal R-Symmetry Extremizes Z. JHEP 1205 (2012), p. 159. arXiv:[1012.3210 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.3210).
- [49] N. Hama, K. Hosomichi, and S. Lee. Notes on SUSY Gauge Theories on Three-Sphere. JHEP 1103 (2011), p. 127. arXiv:[1012.3512 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.3512).
- [50] A. Amariti and C. Klare. A journey to 3d: exact relations for adjoint SQCD from dimensional reduction (2014). arXiv:[1409.8623 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.8623).
- [51] I. B. Gahramanov and G. S. Vartanov. Superconformal indices and partition functions for supersymmetric field theories (2013). arXiv:[1310.8507 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.8507).
- [52] V. Spiridonov and G. Vartanov. Elliptic Hypergeometry of Supersymmetric Dualities. Commun.Math.Phys. 304 (2011), pp. 797–874. arXiv:[0910.5944 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0910.5944).
- [53] N. M. Davies, T. J. Hollowood, V. V. Khoze, and M. P. Mattis. Gluino condensate and magnetic monopoles in supersymmetric gluodynamics. Nucl.Phys. B559 (1999), pp. 123– 142. arXiv:[hep-th/9905015 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9905015).
- [54] A. Sagnotti. Open Strings and their Symmetry Groups (1987). arXiv:[hep-th/0208020](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0208020) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0208020).
- [55] P. Horava. Strings on World Sheet Orbifolds. Nucl.Phys. B327 (1989), p. 461.
- [56] J. Polchinski. Tasi lectures on D-branes (1996), pp. 293-356. arXiv:hep th/9611050 [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9611050).
- [57] E. G. Gimon and J. Polchinski. Consistency conditions for orientifolds and d manifolds. Phys.Rev. D54 (1996), pp. 1667–1676. arXiv:[hep-th/9601038 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9601038).
- [58] E. Witten. Baryons and branes in anti-de Sitter space. JHEP 9807 (1998), p. 006. arXiv:[hep-th/9805112 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9805112).
- [59] A. M. Uranga. Towards mass deformed $N=4$ SO(n) and Sp(k) gauge theories from brane configurations. Nucl.Phys. B526 (1998), pp. 241–277. arXiv:[hep-th/9803054 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9803054).