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#### Abstract

We report a novel asymptotic (large-order) behavior in an explicit sequence built out of the Bernoulli numbers and analyzed by a variant of instanton calculus or Darboux's theorem.


We will use: $B_{2 m}$ : the Bernoulli numbers; $\gamma$ : Euler's constant; $k!!=k(k-2)(k-4) \ldots$ : double factorial (with $0!!=(-1)!!=1$ as usual).

The real sequence explicitly spelled out for $n=1,2, \ldots$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{n}=(-1)^{n}\left[2^{-2 n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{2 m-1}\binom{2(n+m)}{n+m}\binom{n+m}{2 m} \log \frac{\left|B_{2 m}\right|}{(2 m-3)!!}\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{(2 n)!!}{2(2 n-1)!!} \log 2 \pi\right] \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

can be thus numerically computed (trivially to thousands of terms), and very early it satisfies (figs.)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \approx \log n-1.703 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be validated assuming the Riemann Hypothesis ( $=\mathrm{RH}$ ), as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \sim \log n+K, \quad K=\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma-\log \left(2 \pi^{2}\right)-1\right) \approx-1.70269564368 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

With RH verified up to an ordinate $T_{0} \gtrsim 2 \cdot 10^{12}$ currently, [5] it is plausible indeed to witness the behavior (3).


Figure 1: The coefficients $u_{n}$ computed by (1) up to $n=3500$, on a logarithmic $n$-scale, vs the function $(\log n+K)$ of (3) (straight line); the first values are $u_{1}=\log \pi-\frac{1}{2} \log 54 \approx-0.84976213743, u_{2}=-\frac{4}{3} \log \pi+\frac{23}{24} \log 2+\frac{55}{24} \log 3-\frac{35}{24} \log 5$ $\approx-0.69148426053, u_{3} \approx-0.46222439972$.


Figure 2: As fig. 1 but for the remainders $\delta u_{n}=u_{n}-(\log n+K)$, on a very dilated vertical scale. (The connecting segments between data points are only drawn for clarity.)

Now by large-order analysis through exponential asymptotics, [3][1] we found that if RH is false, $u_{n}$ will also admit a clear-cut asymptotic form but of a wholly different nature, dominated by individual terms $F_{n}(\rho)$ contributed by every zero $\rho=\frac{1}{2}+t+\mathrm{i} T$ off the critical line with $0<t\left(<\frac{1}{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}(\rho) \sim f(\rho)(-1)^{n} \frac{(2 n)^{\rho-1 / 2}}{\log n} \quad \text { for } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is an explicit function independent of $n$ with the main property

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(\rho)| \approx|T|^{-t-2} \text { for }|T| \gg 1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad\left|F_{n}(\rho)\right| \approx|T|^{-t-2}(2 n)^{t} / \log n \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each such $F_{n}(\rho)$ will ultimately dominate (3) in the $n \rightarrow \infty$ limit, but starts out exceedingly tiny at low $n$, and does not approach unity until

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \gtrsim \frac{1}{2}|T|^{1+2 / t} \quad\left(\text { at best } O\left(|T|^{5+\varepsilon}\right) \text { for } t \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^{-}\right) ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

yet we think that, with efficient signal-processing, the "signal" $F_{n}(\rho)$ of $\rho$ within $u_{n}$ ought to be detectable much sooner than at (6) (at $n \gtrsim O\left(|T|^{1+1 / t}\right)$ or even less, but within $n \gg|T|)$. Still, to seek a violation of RH and verify the form (4), $|T|>T_{0}$ is necessary, and $T_{0} \gtrsim 2 \cdot 10^{12}$ implies very large $n$-values.

The major issue is then that $u_{n}$ is an alternating sum of terms which turn out to be exponentially larger by an order of $(3+2 \sqrt{2})^{n}$. Increasingly delicate cancellations thus take place, requiring a precision beyond $\approx 0.7656 n$ decimal digits to evaluate $u_{n}$ by (1). On the other hand, this purely technical demand seems to be the sole obstacle raised by the use of (1) at unlimited $n$.

While other sequences sensitive to RH for large $n$ are known, [6][2][7][4] we are unaware of any previous case combining a fully closed form like (1) with a practical sensitivity threshold of tempered growth $n=O\left(T^{\nu}\right)$.

Details and derivations are currently under completion. [8]

## References

[1] R. Balian, G. Parisi and A. Voros, Quartic oscillator, in: Feynman Path Integrals (Proceedings, Marseille 1978), eds. S. Albeverio et al., Lecture Notes in Physics 106, Springer, Berlin (1979) 337-360.
[2] L. Báez-Duarte, A sequential Riesz-like criterion for the Riemann Hypothesis, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 21 (2005) 3527-3537.
[3] R.B. Dingle, Asymptotic Expansions: their Derivation and Interpretation, Academic Press (1973).
[4] Ph. Flajolet and L. Vepstas, On differences of zeta values, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 220 (2008) 58-73, and refs. therein.
[5] X. Gourdon, The $10^{13}$ first zeros of the Riemann Zeta function, and zeros computation at very large height, preprint (Oct. 2004), http://numbers.computation.free.fr/Constants/Miscellaneous/zetazeros1e13-1e24.pdf
[6] X.-J. Li, The positivity of a sequence of numbers and the Riemann Hypothesis, J. Number Theory 65 (1997) 325-333.
[7] K. Maślanka, Báez-Duarte's criterion for the Riemann Hypothesis and Rice's integrals, preprint (2006, revised 2008) arXiv:math.NT/0603713v2.
[8] A. Voros, to appear shortly (2015).

