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ABSTRACT

Aims. Our aim is to study the thermal and dynamical evolution of protoplanetary discs in global simulations, including the physics of radiation transfer and magneto-hydrodynamic turbulence caused by the magneto-rotational instability.

Methods. We have developed a radiative transfer method based on the flux-limited diffusion approximation that includes frequency dependent irradiation by the central star. This hybrid scheme is implemented in the PLUTO code. The focus of our implementation is on the performance of the radiative transfer method. Using an optimized Jacobi preconditioned BiCGSTAB solver, the radiative module is three times faster than the magneto-hydrodynamic step for the disc set-up we consider. We obtain weak scaling efficiencies of 70\% up to 1024 cores.

Results. We present the first global 3D radiation magneto-hydrodynamic simulations of a stratified protoplanetary disc. The disc model parameters were chosen to approximate those of the system AS 209 in the star-forming region Ophiuchus. Starting the simulation from a disc in radiative and hydrostatic equilibrium, the magneto-rotational instability quickly causes magneto-hydrodynamic turbulence and heating in the disc. We find that the turbulent properties are similar to that of recent locally isothermal global simulations of protoplanetary discs. For example, the rate of angular momentum transport \( \alpha \) is a few times \( 10^{-3} \). For the disc parameters we use, turbulent dissipation heats the disc midplane and raises the temperature by about 15\% compared to passive disc models. The vertical temperature profile shows no temperature peak at the midplane as in classical viscous disc models. A roughly flat vertical temperature profile establishes in the optically thick region of the disc close to the midplane. We reproduce the vertical temperature profile with viscous disc models for which the stress tensor vertical profile is flat in the bulk of the disc and vanishes in the disc corona.

Conclusions. The present paper demonstrates for the first time that global radiation magneto-hydrodynamic simulations of turbulent protoplanetary discs are feasible with current computational facilities. This opens up the window to a wide range of studies of the dynamics of the inner parts of protoplanetary discs, for which there are significant observational constraints.
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1. Introduction

The understanding of planet formation requires a deep insight into the physics of protoplanetary discs. Recent observations of young discs in nearby star-forming regions (Furlan et al. 2009; Andrews et al. 2009) have been able to constrain important physical parameters, like the disc mass and radial extent, its flaring index, or the dust-to-gas mass ratio. Our understanding of these observations is mainly based on 2D radiative viscous disc models (Chiang & Goldreich 1997; D’Alessio et al. 1998; Dullemond et al. 2002) that include proper dust opacities and irradiation by the star. The energy released by the accretion process is an important source for determining the structure and the evolution of the inner disc regions. The magneto-rotational instability (MRI) is the most likely candidate to drive accretion by an effective viscosity from magnetic turbulence (Balbus & Hawley 1998). Up to now there has been no global model which combines both magneto-hydrodynamics (MHD) turbulence driven by the MRI and the radiative transfer including irradiation by the star and proper dust opacities. The main challenge to performing these simulations is the computational effort. Global MHD simulations need high resolutions to resolve the MRI properly (Fromang & Nelson 2006; Flock et al. 2010; Sorathia et al. 2012) and the computational cost required to solve additional radiative transfer equations remains a challenge. The first full radiation magneto-hydrodynamics (RMHD) of that problem were performed in local box simulations by Turner et al. (2003) using a flux-limited diffusion (FLD) approach (Levermore & Pomraning 1981). Over the past few years, several accretion disc simulations have been performed using similar numerical schemes (Turner 2004; Hirose et al. 2006; Blaes et al. 2007; Krolik et al. 2007; Flaig et al. 2009) and have recently included irradiation heating (Hirose & Turner 2011). More sophisticated radiation hydrodynamics (RHD) methods, like the two-moment method (González et al. 2007), are usually very time demanding because they require large matrix inversion. In this work we develop a radiative transfer method based on the two-temperature grey\textsuperscript{1} FLD approach by Commerçon et al. (2011) and the hybrid FLD approach (Kuiper et al. 2010) that includes frequency dependent irradiation by the star. This hybrid scheme accurately captures

--

\textsuperscript{1} A grey approach integrates over all frequencies.
the irradiation energy by the star and performs well compared to computational expensive Monte Carlo radiative transfer methods (Kuiper & Klessen 2013). We particularly focus on the serial and parallel performance of our method. The model we design is especially suited for global RMHD disc calculations. Our paper is split into the following parts. In Sect. 2 we describe the RMHD equations, the numerical scheme, and a performance test. In Sect. 3 we explain our initial conditions for global RMHD disc calculations, the iteration method for calculating the disc’s radiative hydrostatic equilibrium, and the boundary conditions. In Sect. 4 we present the results, followed by the discussion and the conclusion. In the Appendix we show details of the discretization of the FLD method, the numerical developments, and tests.

2. Numerical implementations

2.1. Equations and numerical scheme

In this paper we solve the ideal RMHD equations using the FLD approximation. We use a spherical coordinate system $(r, \theta, \phi)$ which has advantages for the treatment of stellar irradiation by means of a simple ray-tracing approach and because it is well adapted to the flared structure of protoplanetary discs. The set of equations reads

\[
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [\rho u] = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \rho u}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [\rho u u - \tau B] = -\partial \rho \nabla \Phi, \quad \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [(E + P) u - (u \cdot B) B] = -\partial \rho c^2 \nabla \Phi, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_R - \nabla \cdot \gamma \frac{c^2}{\kappa \eta(T)} \nabla E_R = +\kappa(T) c (a_R T^4 - E_R), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_R + \nabla \times \sigma = 0, \quad \partial \rho e = -\kappa(T) c (a_R T^4 - E_R) - \nabla \cdot F_R, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_R - \nabla \cdot \gamma \frac{c^2}{\kappa \eta(T)} \nabla E_R = +\kappa(T) c (a_R T^4 - E_R),
\]

with the density $\rho$, the velocity vector $u$, the magnetic field vector $\mathbf{B}$, the total pressure $P = P + 0.5 \mathbf{B}^2$, the gas pressure $P = \rho k_B T/\mu_m u$ with the gas temperature $T$, the mean molecular weight $\mu_m$, the Boltzmann constant $k_B$, the atomic mass unit $u$, the gravitational potential $\Phi = GM/r$ with the gravitational constant $G$, stellar mass $M_*$, $r$ the radial distance to the star, the total energy $E = \rho e + 0.5 \rho u^2 + 0.5 \mathbf{B}^2$ with the gas internal energy $\rho e$, the radiation energy $E_R$, the irradiation flux $F_R$, the Rosseland and Planck mean opacity $\kappa_R$ and $\kappa_P$, the radiation constant $a_R = (4\pi)/c$ with the Stefan-Boltzmann constant $\sigma = 5.6704 \times 10^{-5}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ K$^{-4}$, and $c$ the speed of light. To enforce causality, we use the flux limiter $\lambda = (2 + R)/(6 + 3R + R^2)$ by Levermore & Pomraning (1981, Eq. (28) therein) with $R = |E_{\theta R}|/|\kappa_R E_R|$. The closure relation between gas pressure and internal energy is provided by the ideal gas equation of state $P = (\Gamma - 1)\rho e$, with the adiabatic index $\Gamma$. We choose a mixture of hydrogen and helium with solar abundance (Decampli et al. 1978; Bitsch et al. 2013a) so that $\mu_\phi = 2.35$ and $\Gamma = 1.42$.

After the MHD step, the method solves the coupled radiative transfer Eqs. (6) and (7). We neglect in the equations all terms of the order $\epsilon/c$ (Krumholz et al. 2007), including the radiation pressure terms and the radiation force in the momentum equations since $E_R \ll \rho e$. These approximations are well suited to our applications ($\epsilon \ll c$), but not necessarily to other regimes like the dynamic diffusivity (Krumholz et al. 2007). A similar method was presented in Bitsch et al. (2013b) and Kolb et al. (2013).

It has recently been shown that frequency dependent irradiation is more accurate in the context of protoplanetary discs for capturing irradiation heating (Kuiper et al. 2010; Kuiper & Klessen 2013). The irradiation flux $F_r$ at a radius $r$ is calculated as

\[
F_r(r) = \int \int B_r(v, T_*) \left(\frac{R_*}{r}\right)^2 e^{-\tau(v, r)} \Omega d\Omega d\Omega, \quad \tau(v, r) = \int_{R_*}^{r} \nu \kappa(\nu) d\nu.
\]

with the Planck function $B_r(v, T_*)$, the solid angle $\Omega$, the surface temperature of the star $T_*$, the radius of the star $R_*$, the frequency $v$, and the radial optical depth for the irradiation flux $\tau(v, r)$. The irradiation by the star is used as a source term in Eq. (3). This approximation is valid because of the short penetration time for stellar rays through the domain compared to the longer hydrodynamical timescale.

Figure 1 shows the frequency dependent dust absorption opacity. The opacity tables are derived for particle sizes of 1 $\mu$m and below (Draine & Lee 1984). We note that for the setup presented in this paper the temperature stays below the dust evaporation temperature of about 1000 K so that we can neglect the gas opacities. To calculate the opacity involved in the RMHD equations we take into account the dust-to-gas mass ratio for small particles which we define as 1% of the total dust-to-gas mass ratio $\epsilon_{\phi g}$ (Birnstiel et al. 2012).

The ideal MHD equations are solved using the PLUTO code (Mignone 2009). The PLUTO code is a highly modular, multidimensional, and multi-geometry code that can be applied to relativistic or non-relativistic (magneto-)hydrodynamics flows. For this work we chose the Godunov-type finite volume configuration which consists of a second order space reconstruction, a second-order Runge-Kutta time integration, the constrained

![Fig. 1. Dust absorption opacity over wavelengths.](image-url)
transport (CT) method (Gardiner & Stone 2005), the orbital advection scheme FARGO MHD (Masset 2000; Mignone et al. 2012), the HLLD Riemann solver (Miyoshi & Kusano 2005), and a Courant number of 0.3. In this work we neglect the magnetic dissipation which would appear at the right-hand side of Eq. (5). The effect of magnetic dissipation is discussed in the Conclusion.

To solve Eqs. (6) and (7) we use an implicit method because the gas velocities are small compared to the speed of light. The implicit discretized equations in spherical coordinates can be found in the Appendix A.1. We rewrite the radiative transfer equations in the matrix form $A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ where $\mathbf{x}$ is the solution vector. The solution of this system involves a matrix inversion and is solved by an iterative method to minimize the residual $R = A \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}$ until a given accuracy is reached. We use the Jacobi-preconditioned BiCGSTAB solver based on the work by Van der Vorst (1992). As a convergence criteria we use the reduction of the $L_2$ norm of the residual, $||r||_2/||r_{\text{init}}||_2 < 10^{-4}$.

### 2.2. Validation of the radiative transfer method

As a validation of our algorithm, we performed the radiation transfer test for discs described by Pascucci et al. (2004). We computed the spatial distribution of the equilibrium temperature of a static disc irradiated by a star using different radiative transfer methods. We compared the results of our method with the results obtained using the Monte Carlo radiative transfer code RADMC-3D\(^3\) (Dullemond 2012). Following Pascucci et al. (2004), we used the opacity table of Draine & Lee (1984), a dust-to-gas mass ratio of 0.01, and frequency dependent irradiation with 61 frequency bins. The star parameters are $T_* = 5800$ K with $R_* = 1R_\odot$ and $M_* = 1M_\odot$. The gas density follows

$$\rho(r,z) = \rho_0 \left(\frac{500 \text{AU}}{r}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{4} \left(\frac{z}{h(r)}\right)^2\right),$$

with

$$h(r) = 125 \text{AU} \left(\frac{r}{500 \text{AU}}\right)^{1.125}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

We present here the most optically thick disc configuration of Pascucci et al. (2004) for which $\rho_0 = 8.321 \times 10^{-18}$ g cm$^{-3}$. The initial temperature is set to 10 K. The domain size ranges from 1 to 1000 AU in radius and from 0 to $\pi$ in $\theta$. We use a grid with logarithmically increasing cell size in radius and uniform in $\theta$. The overall grid contains $240 \times 100$ cells. The boundary conditions of the radiation energy are fixed to 10 K in the poloidal direction and zero gradient in the radial direction. We solve the radiative transfer equations with a fixed time-step until we reach thermal equilibrium. The convergence criterion is $||\mathbf{r}_{\text{res}}||_2/||\mathbf{r}_{\text{res,init}}||_2 < 10^{-8}$. In RADMC-3D we use $2.1 \times 10^{10}$ photons. We plot the temperature profile over radius and height in Fig. 2. Both profiles agree very well with the results by RADMC-3D. In Appendix A.2, we describe a resolution study of this test to determine the order of the scheme. We also perform an additional diffusion test for our FLD method, which we present for completeness.

### 2.3. Serial and parallel performance

An important emphasis of our work was to develop a module with high computational efficiency. During the development, we focused on reducing the number of operations per iteration cycle of the implicit method. By increasing the memory usage we substantially improved the performance of the algorithm. We performed an analysis of our module for the fiducial disc model (see Sect. 4). The MHD part takes 76.3%, while the radiative module consumes 22.5% of a full RMHD step. In our module each matrix vector multiplication per iteration in the BiCGSTAB method has the main computational cost with 8.2% from the full RMHD step, followed by the frequency dependent irradiation with 5.9%. In the following we present a weak scaling test. The number of iterations by the matrix solver was fixed to 30 which is a typical value for reaching convergence in our global high-resolution model. We used $32^2$ cells per cpu as in this model, for which we used 1024 cores. Figure 3 shows the parallel performance of the RMHD module using an Intel Xeon 2.27 Ghz system. With 1024 cores we reached a scaling efficiency of 70.3% which is acceptable given the non-local nature of the algorithm. Nevertheless, the parallel performance is lower than the pure Godunov scheme (Mignone et al. 2007). This is largely due to the important amount of communications inside the BiCGSTAB method. Here one has to distribute all neighboring cells three times for each core per iteration to compute the new residual. As the number of iterations strongly depends on the physical problem, we do not a priori know the serial and the parallel performance for a given application. We note that the parallel performance also depends on the ratio between the number of grid cells to communicate over the grid cells per core. Reducing the number of cells below $32^2$ per core would result in reduced scaling performance.

---

\(^3\) www.ita.uni-heidelberg.de/~dullemond/software/radmc-3d
Fig. 3. Weak scaling of the RMHD method (blue line) compared with optimal scaling (black line). Units are given in total number of grid cells per step per second.

3. Initial disc structure and boundary conditions

As an illustration of the possibilities offered by our numerical implementation of a FLD scheme within the PLUTO code, we present in the remaining of this paper a series of 3D RMHD simulations of a fully turbulent protoplanetary disc. In this section, we describe the disc model parameters and the iterative procedure we designed to construct an initial setup that is in hydrostatic equilibrium. Boundary conditions in these simulations turned out to be subtle, so we also detail the set of conditions we used in this particular case. We caution that finding a proper set of boundary conditions is delicate and likely to be problem dependent.

3.1. An iterative procedure

Finding an irradiated disc structure in hydrostatic equilibrium is not a straightforward task. For a given irradiation source, the disc temperature depends on the spatial density distribution which itself depends on temperature (because of the pressure force). We thus solved for the hydrostatic disc structure iteratively: assuming a given density in the disc, we calculated the temperature as a result of disc irradiation using the hybrid FLD module described above. To calculate the new radiation and temperature field we used the typical diffusion time for this problem. The diffusion time can be estimated by \( \Delta t_{\text{diff}} \sim 3\kappa\rho\Delta r^2/c \). Using typical values at 1 AU with solar parameters \( \rho = 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), \( \kappa = 1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1} \), and \( \Delta x = 1 \text{ AU} \), we obtain \( \Delta t_{\text{diff}} \sim 10^6 \text{ s} \). After the temperature and radiation field have reached equilibrium, a new density profile is calculated and the algorithm iterates until convergence.

The following input parameters are needed: the surface density over radius \( \Sigma(r) \), the opacity including the dust-to-gas mass ratio, and the stellar parameters \( T_* \), \( M_* \), and \( R_* \). The density and the azimuthal velocity \( v_\phi \) are updated integrating the equations of hydrostatic equilibrium in spherical coordinates using a second-order Runge Kutta method. In hydrostatic equilibrium these are, for the radial and poloidal direction, respectively,

\[
\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + \frac{\rho v_\phi^2}{r} = \frac{\rho}{r},
\]

\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta} = - \frac{\rho v_\phi^2}{\tan \theta} \frac{1}{r}.
\]

Once \( \rho(r, \theta_0) \) is known for a given value of \( \theta_0 \) (e.g. \( \theta_0 = \pi/2 \) for the midplane) and for all \( r \), Eq. (12) can be used to calculate \( v_\phi(r, \theta_0) \). The second equation is then integrated to give the density field at the next interface \( \theta_0 + \Delta \theta/2 \) for any value of \( r \), and we can repeat the cycle. Using the mid-point integral method we reach second-order accuracy. We impose the midplane gas density using

\[
\rho(r, \pi/2) = \frac{\Sigma(r)}{\sqrt{2\pi r}}, \tag{14}
\]

where \( H/r = \sqrt{T \rho/(GM)} \) and \( \tilde{T} = (k_B T)/(\mu m_H) \). The above relation is only valid for a constant vertical temperature and so a Gaussian vertical density profile. We do not expect this to be the case here since the temperature can vary with distance to the midplane. However, the bulk of the disc around the midplane has a constant vertical temperature (see Fig. 4 and Sect. 3.2 below). Since this is the location where most of the mass is located, the actual surface density is close to the targeted value with small deviations of \( 10^{-3} \). To reach a higher accuracy, we multiply the density in each grid cell by a constant factor \( \Sigma_{\text{target}}/\Sigma \) so that we reach the target value before calculating the new temperature. We iterate the procedure until both the temperature and density field have converged to better than \( \max((T^{n+1} - T^n)/T^n, (\rho^{n+1} - \rho^n)/\rho^n) \leq 10^{-8} \), where \( n \) is the number of iterations. A validation of this iterative method is presented in Appendix B by reproducing the passive disc model of Chiang & Goldreich (1997).
3.2. Simulation parameters: the case of AS 209

We used the scheme detailed above to calculate the initial disc structure of the series of simulations presented in Sect. 4. We chose stellar and disc parameters inspired from those of the circumstellar disc AS 209 in the Ophiuchus star-forming region, for which there are a number of observational constraints (Koerner & Sargent 1995; Andrews et al. 2009; Pérez et al. 2012). Stellar mass, radius, and surface temperature are well constrained parameters and we adopted the same values as Andrews et al. (2009) with 0.9 $M_\odot$, 2.3 $R_\odot$, and 4250 K. The gas surface density $\Sigma$ in the inner region of AS 209 is nearly a free parameter and only constrained indirectly by the dust surface density. Andrews et al. (2009) estimated for this system a total dust surface density (including all particle sizes) of less than 1 g cm$^{-2}$ at 1 AU from the star.

For radiation hydrodynamics, the distribution of the small particles ($\lesssim 1 \mu m$) is very important because these particles dominate the opacity at optical, near and mid-infrared wavelengths. They contain most of the dust surface, which is the controlling parameter for the opacity. By contrast, most of the dust mass, almost 99%, is stored in larger particles (Birnstiel et al. 2012). Roughly speaking, the dust surface density of the small particles can be estimated to be less than 0.01 g cm$^{-2}$, using the parameters by Andrews et al. (2009). We have calculated our disc structure for three different amounts of small dust particles: $\Sigma_{\text{dust}}(1\text{ AU}) = 0.17, 0.017, 0.0017$ g cm$^{-2}$. The initial temperature in radiative hydrostatic equilibrium for the three cases is shown in Fig. 4. In the top panel, $\Sigma_{\text{dust}}(1\text{ AU}) = 0.17$ g cm$^{-2}$ and the disc displays a large optically thick region. In the bottom panel, where $\Sigma_{\text{dust}}(1\text{ AU}) = 0.0017$ g cm$^{-2}$, we find a very extended heated upper region while the disc midplane is optically thin to its own thermal radiation. Between these two extrema we chose our fiducial model with a dust surface density of $\Sigma_{\text{dust}}(1\text{ AU}) = 0.017$ g cm$^{-2}$. In this configuration (shown in the middle panel in Fig. 4), both an optically thick midplane and an extended optically thin corona fit within the computational domain. The gas surface density is set to $\Sigma(r) = 1700$ g cm$^{-2}(r/1\text{ AU})^{-0.9}$, such that it equals the minimum mass solar nebula (MMSN) value at 1 AU, but it displays a shallower slope than the MMSN suggested by Andrews et al. (2009), even if those constraints come from larger radial distances. Assuming again that small particles carry only 1% of the total dust mass, we obtain a total dust-to-gas mass ratio $\epsilon_{\text{dust}}$ of $10^{-3}$ in our fiducial model.

The simulation spans the radial range $r = 0.5-1.5$ AU, the poloidal range $\theta = \pi/2 \pm 0.13$, and the azimuthal range $\phi = 0-\pi/3$. For the fiducial model in initial equilibrium, we obtain $H/r = 0.02$ at 0.5 AU which results in $\pm 6.5$ scale heights fitting in the computational domain at its inner boundary. Because of the disc flaring the value of $H/r$ at the outer radius is larger, with $H/r = 0.03$ corresponding to $\pm 4.3$ scale heights at the outer boundary.

3.3. Boundary conditions

Finding suitable boundary conditions for a stable and physically reasonable global simulation such as presented in this paper is already difficult in ideal MHD. Radiative transfer makes the problem even more difficult. In this section we describe in detail the boundary conditions we have designed for that purpose. Straightforward periodic boundary conditions are used for all variables in the azimuthal direction, so we focus on the radial and poloidal boundaries.

In the radial direction we extrapolated linearly the density and azimuthal velocity. Radial and poloidal velocities were all set to zero gradient. In the case of inflowing gas with a Mach number of 0.1 or higher, we forced the radial velocity boundary condition to be reflective. The poloidal and toroidal magnetic field components were set to follow a $1/r$ profile, while the radial magnetic field was calculated to ensure $\nabla \cdot B = 0$ in the ghost cells. Temperature was set to zero gradient and we used $E_R = a_R T_\text{min}^4$ for the radiation energy density $E_R$ with $T_\text{min}$ being the initial radiative hydrostatic equilibrium temperature. In order to avoid irradiation from directly illuminating the first radial cell of the computational domain, we set a vertical dependent optical depth $\tau_{\text{min}}(\theta) = k_0(\theta) \rho_0(\theta)(r_0 - 6R_\star)$ with the subscript 0 corresponding to the first cell in the radial direction. Using six stellar radii as the inner disc edge is a reasonable approximation to absorb most of the irradiation at the disc midplane, as would be done by the inner parts of the disc$^4$. Accordingly, the Rosseland opacity at the radial boundary was modified in the optically thick region so that $k_0 \rho_0 \Delta r < 0.1$. Even if this boundary condition seems unrealistic it will prevent an artificial pile up of the radiation energy density near the boundary, and so permits the correct disc flaring (see Appendix B).

In the poloidal direction we forced the density to drop exponentially. The velocities are set to zero gradient. In the case of inflowing poloidal velocities we reflect $v_\theta$ in the ghost cells. Tangential magnetic fields are set to zero gradient, while we calculated the poloidal field so as to enforce $\nabla \cdot B = 0$. The temperature was set to zero gradient and the radiation energy density was fixed to $E_R = a_R T_\text{min}^4$ with $T_{\text{min}} = 10$ K. The temperature in the poloidal direction has to be small $T_{\text{min}} \ll T_\text{gas}$ to ensure that the disc can cool by radiating its energy away.

4. Results

Table 1 summarizes the models we performed and provides an overview of the integrated angular momentum transport properties we obtain. Models L3D and L3D$^1$ are low-resolution RMHD simulations, with $(N_r, N_\theta, N_\phi) = (256, 64, 256)$. Such a low resolution enables long integration times of about 650 inner orbits. While the dust-to-gas mass ratio in model L3D is equal to our fiducial value of $10^{-3}$, it is reduced by one order of magnitude in model L3D$^1$. To save computational time, we interpolate the results of model L3D after 300 inner orbits on a grid twice as fine. After this time, the MRI has saturated, and we use the interpolated magnetic fields to restart the simulation, which constitutes model H3D. This represents the fiducial model of the present paper and is described in detail in the following sections. In Appendix C we describe the procedure of interpolation and restarting. To connect with previous work, its properties are compared with model H3D-ISO which is a locally isothermal model that uses an azimuthal- and time-averaged temperature profile calculated from the results of model H3D (see Sect. 4.2).

Finally, we compare our results with a couple of 2D radiative hydrodynamic simulations (performed in the disc poloidal plane) of viscous discs, namely models H2D and H2D$^*$, that use different prescriptions for the viscous stress tensor (see Sect. 4.1.2). All MHD simulations are initialized with a pure toroidal magnetic field with a uniform plasma beta value $\beta = 2P/B^2 = 40$. Initial random velocity fluctuations are added to the initial disc configuration with an amplitude equal to $10^{-3}$ of the local speed of sound.

$^4$ Young stellar objects have dominant magnetic fields inside a few stellar radii, which destroy the disc structure ( Günther 2013).
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Table 1. Summary of model parameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Radius:θ,φ</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$\epsilon_{d^2g}$</th>
<th>$\langle T_{\phi}\rangle$ dyn cm$^{-2}$</th>
<th>$\langle \alpha \rangle$</th>
<th>Orbits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L3D</td>
<td>256 x 64 x 256</td>
<td>0.5−1.5π/2±0.13−0.13/3</td>
<td>RMHD</td>
<td>$10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>$2.6 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0−650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3D$^*$</td>
<td>256 x 64 x 256</td>
<td>0.5−1.5π/2±0.13−0.13/3</td>
<td>RMHD</td>
<td>$10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>$3.25 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0−650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3D</td>
<td>512 x 128 x 512</td>
<td>0.5−1.5π/2±0.13−0.13/3</td>
<td>RMHD</td>
<td>$10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>$4.6 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>300−600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3D-ISO</td>
<td>512 x 128 x 512</td>
<td>0.5−1.5π/2±0.13−0.13/3</td>
<td>MHD</td>
<td>$10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>300−600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2D</td>
<td>512 x 128 x 1</td>
<td>0.5−1.5π/2±0.13−0.13/3</td>
<td>RHD</td>
<td>$10^{-5}$</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>$4.6 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0−200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2D$^*$</td>
<td>512 x 128 x 1</td>
<td>0.5−1.5π/2±0.13−0.13/3</td>
<td>RHD</td>
<td>$10^{-5}$</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>$4.6 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0−200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. From left to right: model name; grid resolution; domain size; method; dust-to-gas mass ratio; total accretion stress in cgs units; normalized accretion stress; inner orbits.

![Fig. 5. Snapshot of turbulent rms velocity (left panel) and magnetic field strength (right panel) at the final time of the full RMHD simulation H3D.](image1)

![Fig. 6. Vertical profile of turbulent rms velocities for model H3D in units of m s$^{-1}$ (black) and the corresponding turbulent Mach number (blue). The space average is calculated over azimuth at 1 AU with a time average of 100 inner orbits.](image2)

![Fig. 7. Vertical stress profile for model H3D in units of dyn cm$^{-2}$ (black) and normalized using the local pressure (blue). The space average is calculated over azimuth at 1 AU with a time average of 100 inner orbits.](image3)

4.1. Model H3D

4.1.1. Turbulent properties

We start with a general description of the integrated properties of the turbulence in model H3D. The turbulent nature of the flow is best illustrated by Fig. 5 which shows two snapshots of the gas velocity fluctuations and the magnetic field strength in the disc. The root-mean-squared (rms) velocities in the disc midplane range from 1 m s$^{-1}$ up to 100 m s$^{-1}$. In the corona the turbulent velocities increase above 1000 m s$^{-1}$. This is consistent with the azimuthal and time-averaged vertical profile of the gas turbulent velocities shown in Fig. 6 (black curve). When normalized by the local sound speed (blue curve), the plot shows a local Mach number around 0.15 at the midplane. This is typical of values obtained in isothermal simulations (Fromang & Nelson 2006; Flock et al. 2010). However, in contrast with such simulations, the turbulent Mach number reaches a peak (with roughly sonic velocity fluctuations) at around $\theta − \pi/2 = 0.07$, which corresponds to around two pressure scale heights.

The right panel of Fig. 5 shows the magnetic field strength spatial distribution. In the midplane, it ranges from 0.01 Gauss up to 5 Gauss. In the corona, the field shows larger and smoother fluctuations with values below 1 Gauss. In Fig. 7, we plot...
the vertical profile of the accretion stress which is the sum of Reynolds and Maxwell stresses
\[ \langle T_{\phi} \rangle = \left( \rho \langle v^{'r} v^{\phi} \rangle - B_{\phi} B_{r} \right) \],

where \( v^{'r} \) and \( v^{\phi} \) represent the time average. The black curve corresponds to the absolute values of the stress (in cgs units). It displays a peak of \( \sim 0.3 \text{ dyn cm}^{-2} \) at \( \theta - \pi/2 \sim 0.06 \), a plateau with a small drop close to the midplane (\( \sim 0.1 \text{ dyn cm}^{-2} \)), and decreases in the disc corona. This profile is in qualitative agreement with results of isothermal local simulations (Simon et al. 2012), as well as in radiative MHD local simulations (Hirose et al. 2011; Flock et al. 2011), and in locally isothermal global MHD simulations (Fromang & Nelson 2006). By normalizing the stress over the local pressure (blue curve), we also obtain a vertical profile and absolute \( \alpha \) values similar to isothermal simulations (Flock et al. 2011). The accretion stress \( \alpha \) amounts to about \( 10^{-2} \) in the disc equatorial plane and increases up to a few times \( 10^{-1} \) in the corona. Table 1 also shows the value of the accretion stress volume averaged over the entire computational domain. Following Flock et al. (2011), its normalized value \( \langle \alpha \rangle \) is defined according to
\[ \langle \alpha \rangle = \frac{\int \rho \left( \frac{\rho c_s^2}{\gamma} - \frac{B_{\phi} B_{r}}{\gamma} \right) dV}{\int \rho dV} \].

The time average is calculated using between 400 and 500 inner orbits. Again, we find typical values of about a few times \( 10^{-1} \), very similar to transport coefficients measured in locally isothermal global simulations of turbulent protoplanetary discs in the last few years. We note that the changes in the turbulence properties over radius remain small during the simulation. Nevertheless, there are regions of increased activity. This is the case for the region that is about 0.1 AU broad visible in the 3D snapshots close to \( R \sim 1 \) AU at the midplane (Fig. 5), where turbulent velocities and magnetic fields are larger. These zones of increased turbulent activity could be connected to long-lived zonal flows such as those observed in local (Johansen et al. 2009; Dittrich et al. 2013) and global simulations (Dzyurkevich et al. 2010; Flock et al. 2011) when using an isothermal equation of state. We now move in the following to the specificities of the present work that are associated with radiative transfer.

4.1.2. Temperature evolution

The time-averaged vertical temperature profile at 1 AU in model H3D is shown in Fig. 8 and is compared with the temperature vertical profile at the start of the simulation (i.e., when the disc is in hydrostatic equilibrium). As a consequence of turbulent heating, the disc midplane temperature increases from around 140 K to 160 K for model H3D. This corresponds to an increase in the disc pressure scale height of 7%. The temperature profile remains flat in the optically thick part of the disc. The temperature rises in the upper layers because of the stellar irradiation. We find a small reduction in the temperature in the upper layers of a few percentage points (bottom panel in Fig. 8) because the disc vertical density profile is flattened in the upper layers as a result of magnetic support (in agreement with previous results; see e.g. Hirose & Turner 2011). This shields the disc corona from the incoming irradiation at a given height compared to the initial model and leads to a small drop in the temperature.

We next investigated whether this vertical temperature profile can be accounted for in the framework of standard \( \alpha \)-disc models. We performed an axisymmetric 2D RHD simulation (model H2D) in the disc poloidal plane using a constant \( \alpha \) viscosity \( \nu = \alpha \nu \) in the disc poloidal plane using a constant \( \alpha \) viscosity \( \nu = \alpha \nu \) with \( \alpha = 4.6 \times 10^{-3} \) and the local sound speed \( c_s \). The 2D model was initialized using azimuthally averaged values of the density, pressure, temperature, azimuthal velocity, and radiation energy density as obtained in model H3D. The temperature in the 2D viscous RHD model quickly relaxes into a steady state that is overplotted on the top panel in Fig. 8. As we see, a classical \( \alpha \) disc prescription does not reproduce the correct midplane temperature. It predicts a midplane temperature of about \( T = 180 \) K, which is higher than that of model H3D and does not display the flat temperature profile in the optically thick part of the disc. Here, most of the heat is released at the midplane by the disc-corona interaction because of the scaling of the viscous stress tensor with density. By contrast, the turbulent stress tensor in our simulations is rather flat for \( |\theta - \pi/2| \leq 0.05 \) (see Fig. 7) with variations of only a factor of \( \sim 2 \). We thus performed an additional 2D RHD simulation, model H2D*, that uses a different prescription
for viscosity\(^5\), such that the viscous stress tensor remains constant with height below \(<2\) scale heights but vanishes above that location. This \(\alpha\) prescription to model the turbulence in 1D or 2D simulations has been used by Kretke & Lin (2010) and Landry et al. (2013). The vertical temperature profile we obtain in that model is also shown in Fig. 8. It shows midplane temperature and vertical profile in much better agreement with the full 3D RMHD model H3D. Besides the gas temperature, one can define the radiation temperature as \(T_{\text{rad}} = (E_R/\dot{\alpha}_g)^{1/4}\). In Fig. 9 we plot the vertical profile of both temperatures after 480 inner orbits. In the optically thick midplane, the two temperatures are well coupled because of the high opacity. In the optically thin upper layers the two temperatures start to diverge owing to the irradiation. The radiation temperature stays at the level of the midplane value. Figure 9 also shows the temperature fluctuations (red dotted line) which are small. The maximum relative temperature fluctuations are close to the \(\tau = 1\) line \((\theta \approx 0.07)\) for the irradiation (cf. Fig. 10).

\(^5\) \(\nu = a_{\text{mid}} \rho_{\text{mid}} c_s H\), with \(a_{\text{mid}} = 10^{-3}\), and \(\rho_{\text{mid}}\) being the midplane density value.

Fig. 9. Vertical profile of radiation temperature \(T_{\text{rad}} = (E_R/\dot{\alpha}_g)^{1/4}\) (dashed line) and gas temperature (solid line) at 1 AU. We over-plot the range of the temperature fluctuations (red dotted line). The peak of relative temperature fluctuations \(\Delta T/T\) are around 6–7% at the \(\tau = 1\) line \((\theta \approx 0.07)\) for the irradiation (cf. Fig. 10).

4.1.3. Heating and cooling rates

In this section we take a closer look at the heating and cooling rates in the RMHD model H3D. To do so, we proceed as follows: over any given timestep, we recorded the change in internal energy \(\Delta P_{\text{MHD}}/(\Gamma - 1)\) that occurred during the MHD step, as well as the change in internal energy \(\Delta P_{\text{rad}}/(\Gamma - 1)\) that occurred during the radiative step. The former captures all dynamical heating and cooling mechanisms, including the advection of energy or the transfers from kinetic, magnetic, or gravitational energy into thermal energy (see Eq. (3)). We then summed these fractional internal energy changes (divided by the timestep \(\Delta t\) and multiplied by the corresponding cell volume) over a large time interval to compute the heating and cooling rates associated with dynamical and radiative processes. These are respectively labelled \(Q_{\text{MHD}}\) and \(Q_{\text{rad}}\). Figure 11 shows meridional snapshots of both quantities, in the top \((Q_{\text{MHD}})\) and bottom \((Q_{\text{rad}})\) panels. Both quantities are azimuthally and time averaged over 40 inner orbits starting after 380 inner orbits. The plots show that most of the disc is being heated with a rate of \(10^7\) erg/s that is mostly released in the disc upper layers, \(\theta - (\pi/2) \approx 0.05\). This corresponds to around two pressure scale heights above the disc midplane. Radiative cooling (bottom panel) roughly balances that heating, showing that the disc is approximately in steady state. To investigate how much of that heat can be attributed to turbulent dissipation, we calculate the expected theoretical heating rate \(Q_{\text{stress}}\) following Balbus & Papaloizou (1999). Figure 12, left, shows a meridional snapshot of the turbulent heating \(Q_{\text{stress}}\) that can be computed according to

\[
Q_{\text{stress}} = -T_{\text{rad}} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial r}.
\]

The vertical profiles of the heating rates, plotted in Fig. 12, right, show a good correlation between \(Q_{\text{stress}}\) and \(Q_{\text{MHD}}\). Most of the disc heating can be attributed to MHD turbulence locally dissipated into heat. In the upper disc layers, the energy is partly transported away by waves.

4.2. Effect of resolution, equation of state, and dust-to-gas mass ratio

We have focused so far on the high-resolution model H3D. However, both the vertical profile of the turbulent stress and the turbulent velocity depend on several factors, both numerical and physical.

First, the spatial resolution of the grid is known to be of importance. This is a particularly constraining problem in global simulations. Recently, the convergence and the effect of resolution in global adiabatic (Hawley et al. 2013) and locally isothermal (Parkin & Bicknell 2013) simulations were investigated. A convergence study in fully radiative global simulations is difficult to achieve and would go beyond the scope of this paper. As a first step in that direction, we nevertheless present the results of model L3D in which the resolution is reduced by a factor of two, compared to model H3D. In these low-resolution simulations, there are seven grid cells per pressure scale height. This is not enough to properly resolve the MRI (Flock et al. 2010; Sorathia et al. 2012), which leads to a reduction of the total accretion stress. The normalized total accretion stress \(\alpha\) varies between \(4.6 \times 10^{-3}\) for model H3D and \(2.6 \times 10^{-3}\) for model L3D. As shown in Fig. 13, the stress vertical profiles of \(T_{\text{rad}}\) in both models are significantly different. At the midplane the stress the same model L3D drops by one order of magnitude. This is expected, as in stratified MRI simulation it becomes more difficult to properly resolve the MRI at the midplane because of its low magnetization (Fromang & Nelson 2006; Flock et al. 2011).

Second, the isothermal model H3D-ISO shows a reduced stress compared to the full RMHD model H3D. It decreases from \(4.6 \times 10^{-3}\) to \(2.5 \times 10^{-3}\). This trend of increased turbulence in radiative models was also suggested by Flaig et al. (2010). Nevertheless, as shown in Fig. 13, the vertical profile of the stress has a similar shape for both models.

The last effect we want to discuss is the influence of the dust-to-gas mass ratio. In model L3D, we reduce it by one order of magnitude to \(10^{-4}\). As shown in Fig. 4, this shift the irradiated hotter disc region down to the midplane. In Fig. 14,
Fig. 10. Time evolution of the azimuthally averaged total stress $T_{\phi}$ over height at 1 AU. We overplot the location of largest stress (blue contour), largest relative temperature fluctuations (black contour), the vertical location of the $\tau = 1$ position of the irradiation (white contour), and the vertical location of the $\tau = 1$ position for the local thermal radiation (green contour) for each time bin.

Fig. 11. 2D contour plot of the MHD heating and cooling $Q_{\text{MHD}}$ (top) and the radiative heating and cooling $Q_{\text{Rad}}$ (bottom) in units of $10^{23}$, azimuthally and time averaged over 40 inner orbits.

we plot the temperature profiles at 1 AU, averaged over azimuth and time between 200 and 400 inner orbits. As the disc becomes hotter, the sound speed increases and a higher saturation level of the MRI is expected (Balbus & Hawley 1998). An effect of increased turbulence can be seen by comparing model L3D (dashed line) and model L3D\$ (dashed-dotted line) in Fig. 13: the vertical profile of model L3D\$ shows an overall larger stress than model L3D. The total normalized stress is increased by 25\% (see Table 1), but more important is the position of the maximum stress. The hotter temperature region has shifted by $\Delta \theta \sim 0.02$ down to the midplane, but the peak stress is still located at $\theta - \pi/2 \sim 0.05$. This result indicates again that the position of the maximum stress due to MRI is independent of the vertical temperature profile. This position also seems independent of resolution when comparing model L3D and model H3D.

The position of the maximum of the stress is connected to the plasma beta. The vertical profiles of $\beta$ for the models L3D and L3D\$ are shown in Fig. 15, using the same time and space average. Even if the temperature profiles are quite different, the plasma beta value drops at the same height ($\theta \sim 0.05$) in both
models. All these results indicate that the vertical shape and especially the location of the peak of MRI turbulent magnetic fields are independent of the vertical temperature profile.

5. Conclusion and future work

In this paper, we successfully implemented in the PLUTO code a FLD method in spherical coordinates, including frequency dependent irradiation by a star. It is well adapted to performing global simulations of irradiated accretion discs such as protoplanetary discs. The FLD module has serial performances that are three times faster than the MHD part even for a mainly optically thin disc setup. We performed the first global 3D radiation magneto-hydrodynamics simulations of an irradiated and turbulent protoplanetary disc. The disc parameters were inspired by that of the system AS 209 in the star-forming region Ophiuchus (Andrews et al. 2009) for which there are strong observational constraints. The simulations started from a radiative hydrostatic disc which becomes MRI unstable, turbulent, and finally develops into a steady state with typical $\alpha$ values of a few times $10^{-3}$, comparable to published simulations of the same kind that use a locally isothermal equation of state. We investigated the turbulent properties and compared the disc structure with classical viscous disc models. Our findings are as follows:

- The vertical temperature profile showed no temperature peak at the midplane as in classical viscous disc models (D'Alessio et al. 1998). A roughly flat vertical temperature profile established in the optically thick region of the disc close to the midplane. We reproduced the midplane temperature from the full 3D RMHD run using 2D viscous disc simulations in which the stress tensor is constant in the bulk of the disc and vanishes in the disc corona. A simple prescription is given with the turbulent stress being constant in the vertical direction within two pressure scale heights of the midplane, and vanishing above. The simple prescription gives a satisfying account of the results.

- The main heating in the turbulent discs was dominated by the $T_{\phi}$ stress tensor. We observed a heating of the order of $10^{23}$ erg s$^{-1}$, mainly released in the disc upper heights.

- The temperature fluctuations in the disc were small and of the order of 1%. A small increase was observed close to the transition region where the disc was heated by the irradiation from the star with fluctuations up to 6%.

- The turbulent magnetic fields reached field strengths of about 1 to 10 Gauss at the midplane. The turbulent velocity of the gas was around 10 to 100 m/s at the midplane, and up to 1000 m/s in the upper heights of the disc.

We want to point out some limitations of our work. The first is the distribution and abundance of small dust particles. Indeed, the latter strongly affects the disc temperature vertical profile (see Fig. 4). For our model we choose the disc AS 209, which has a relative low dust abundance compared to other protostellar systems (Andrews et al. 2009). For the dust surface density we used $0.017$ g cm$^{-2}$ at 1 AU for grain sizes $\leq 1$ $\mu$m. A much larger amount of small dust particles is difficult to include as it needs a much larger vertical extent to obtain the optically thin irradiated region. At the same time such large extents in stratified MHD turbulent simulation are difficult to perform. In our simulations we used a fixed dust-to-gas mass ratio. In contrast, a smaller dust amount over most of the disc height is expected in weakly turbulent discs, e.g. $\alpha_{\text{turb}} < 10^{-2}$ (Zsom et al. 2011; Akimkin et al. 2013). All these points show that the total amount and distribution of small dust particles is rather uncertain. These simulations should be thought of as a proof of concept that RMHD simulations of turbulent protoplanetary discs are now feasible given the current computational resources.
Another limitation is our use of the ideal MHD approximation. It is well known that the electron fraction is so low at 1 AU in protoplanetary discs that dissipation terms (Ohmic resistivity, ambipolar diffusion, and Hall term) are important (Ozaki & Hirose 2011; Bai 2011; Dzyurkevich et al. 2013). These dissipative processes are expected to stabilize the MRI in the bulk of the disc, producing a laminar dead zone around the equatorial plane of the disc (Gammie 1996). The presence of a dead zone and the consequences of the various dissipative processes at play will mainly affect the vertical profiles of the turbulent stress and heating rate (Hirose & Turner 2011). These are key aspects of protoplanetary discs dynamics that should be included in future simulations performed in the planet forming regions of protoplanetary discs.

Our current implementation assumes that the gas and dust temperatures are perfectly coupled. Recent models by Akimkin et al. (2013) predict photoelectric heating as a dominant heating source for the gas and dust temperatures (Akimkin et al. 2011) to be higher in the irradiated regions than presently estimated in our models. Detailed studies of the flow in the corona (for example, reconnection and heating events) should include this effect to be meaningful. This would be the future developments of our numerical scheme.
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Appendix A: Flux-limited-diffusion method

A.1. Numerical scheme

We discretize Eqs. (6), (7) in spherical coordinates using a finite volume formulation and a fully implicit scheme

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{c_{\text{E},i,j,k}^{n+1} - c_{\text{E},i,j,k}^{n}}{\Delta t} & = -\frac{\kappa\rho}{r_i} \left( V_{i,j,k}^r \right)^n \left( T_{i,j,k}^{n+1} - T_{i,j,k}^n \right) + \frac{S_{\text{r},i,j+1/2,k}^{n+1} - S_{\text{r},i,j-1/2,k}^{n}}{2 \Delta r} + \frac{S_{\phi,i,j,k+1/2}^{n+1} - S_{\phi,i,j,k-1/2}^{n}}{2 \Delta \phi} \quad (A.1) \\
\frac{E_{\text{R},i,j,k}^{n+1} - E_{\text{R},i,j,k}^{n}}{\Delta t} & = -\frac{\kappa\rho}{r_i} \left( V_{i,j,k}^r \right)^n \left( T_{i,j,k}^{n+1} - T_{i,j,k}^n \right) + \frac{S_{\text{r},i,j+1/2,k}^{n+1} - S_{\text{r},i,j-1/2,k}^{n}}{2 \Delta r} + \frac{S_{\phi,i,j,k+1/2}^{n+1} - S_{\phi,i,j,k-1/2}^{n}}{2 \Delta \phi} + \frac{S_{\text{dr},i,j,k+1}^{n+1} - S_{\text{dr},i,j,k-1}^{n}}{2 \Delta r} + \frac{S_{\text{d\phi},i,j,k+1}^{n+1} - S_{\text{d\phi},i,j,k-1}^{n}}{2 \Delta \phi}.
\end{align*}
\]

with the specific heat capacity \( c_v = \rho c_B / (\mu g_i u_i (T - 1)) \), the geometrical terms \( S_{\text{r},i,j,k}^{n+1} = \frac{\sin \theta_i}{V_i^r} \left( 4 r_{i+1/2}^3 - r_{i-1/2}^3 \right), V_i^r = \sqrt{\cos \theta_{i-1/2} - \cos \theta_{i+1/2}} \), and the irradiation flux \( F_r \).

Equations (A.1) and (A.2) are coupled by linearizing the term proportional to \( T^4 \) that appears in both equations and neglecting the high-order term (Commerçon et al. 2011)

\[
(T_{n+1}^4)^4 = 4(T^n)^3 T_{n+1}^4 - 3(T^n)^4. \quad (A.3)
\]

This approximation is valid if the change in temperature \( T = (T_{n+1} - T^n) \) is small. The maximum change in relative temperature \( \Delta T / T \) per time-step during our RMHD disc simulations is always below 0.01. Using this expression we can combine Eqs. (A.1) and (A.2) and construct the matrix that needs to be inverted. We note that in this version the scheme is first order in time because of the first-order backward Euler step, used for the time integration.

A.2. Test in spherical geometry

A.2.1. Diffusion test

In this section we test the diffusion operator in spherical coordinates

\[
\frac{\partial E_{\text{R}}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = E_{\text{R}} = 0. \quad (A.4)
\]

We set up a domain of \( r : \theta : \phi = (0.5-1.5 \text{ AU}) : (0.507-\pi/2) : (0.5-1.5) \) with different resolutions of 16\(^3\), 32\(^3\), 64\(^3\), and 128\(^3\). We use a logarithmic increasing grid in radius so that \( \Delta r \sim r \Delta \theta \sim r \Delta \phi \). The domain is shifted in the \( \theta \) direction, to test all the geometrical terms. As initial conditions we use the Gauss function

\[
E_{\text{R}}(x, b_0) = \frac{E_0}{(4 \pi D b_0)^{3/2}} e^{- (\lambda - x)^2 / 4 b_0^2},
\]

with \( E_0 = 3.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ erg} \), \( D = c/(3 \kappa \rho) \) and the Cartesian position vector \( x \). The position \( x_0 \) is placed at \( r = 1.0 \text{ AU}, \theta = 1.0, \phi = 1.0; \kappa \) is fixed to \( 1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1} \) and \( \rho \) to \( 10^{-10} \text{ g cm}^{-3} \). We set the flux limiter \( \lambda = 1/3 \), the initial time to \( b_0 = 5000 \text{ s} \), and the boundary conditions to the analytical value for the given time. We evolve until \( t_{\text{final}} = 55000 \text{ s} \). The timestep for the 16\(^3\) model is set to 500 s which represents a Courant number\(^6\) of 0.11. The timestep is decreased by a factor of 4 each time the resolution is doubled to keep the Courant number constant. Figure A1 presents the profile of \( E_{\text{R}} \) along each direction at low resolution, left column, and the profile of the relative error in each direction and resolution, right column. The low resolution run matches with the analytical profile with relative error around 2%. We note that this test problem is difficult to handle in spherical coordinates because of the different grid spacing and especially because of the change in volume over radius. As this test problem is time dependent, we observe a first-order convergence rate which is expected, using a first-order time integration (Jiang et al. 2012).

A.2.2. Full hybrid scheme

In this second test we repeat the equilibrium setup (see Sect. 2.2) to determine the order of the full hybrid scheme and to verify the

\[
6 \text{ The Courant number for a parabolic problem is defined as } C_p = 2 \Delta t / (\Delta x)^2.
\]
with the number of cells $N_{\text{cell}}$ for a given coarse resolution, the temperature and the corresponding volume of the coarse grid cell $T^c$ and $V^c$, and the temperature and volume of the highest resolution run $T^{\text{ref}}$ and $V^{\text{ref}}$. We average the reference temperature $T^{\text{ref}}$ over the given volume $\sum dV^{\text{ref}} = V^c$ of the coarse resolution. Using this volume average becomes important here as the method and so the divergence terms are written in the finite volume approach (see Eq. (A.2)). In Fig. A.2, right, we show the $L_2$ norm (black dots) overlapped with the theoretical slope of a second-order scheme. As this test problem is time independent, we are able to obtain second-order space accuracy. We note that the irradiation, the heating source, is only radius dependent and so is a 1D problem.

### Appendix B: Validation of radiative hydrostatic equilibrium

In this section we test the iterative method presented in Sect. 3.1. To do so, we compare the hydrostatic disc structure we obtained using that procedure for a given set of disc parameters with the simple model described by Chiang & Goldreich (1997). The disc parameters, chosen to match that work, are as follows: $T_\text{e} = 4000 \text{K}$, $M_* = 2.5 M_\odot$, $R_* = 2.5 R_\odot$, and $\Sigma = 1000 r_{\text{AU}}^{-5} \text{g cm}^{-2}$, where $r_{\text{AU}}$ stands for the distance to the star in astronomical units. We fix the opacity to $1 \text{cm}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$. We use a logarithmically increasing grid with $384 \times 64$ cells. The radial domain extends from 1 to 50 AU and the poloidal domain covers the range $\theta = \pm 0.4$. We follow the iteration procedure presented in Sect. 3.1 to compute the hydrostatic structure of that disc. The resulting 2D radiative hydrostatic temperature profile is plotted in Fig. B.1. The black solid line in Fig. B.1 shows the location of the photosphere.

As mentioned, some of the basic properties of irradiated discs can be estimated well using the model of Chiang & Goldreich (1997), the basic physics of which we review here.

The disc is irradiated at the photosphere $H_{\text{ph}}$. The heating at that location by the incoming irradiation can be written

$$S_{\text{heat}} = \kappa \rho \sigma T_\text{e}^4 \left( \frac{R_*}{r} \right)^2 \, ds,$$

where $ds = r^2 \sin \Delta \theta \Delta \phi$ is the irradiated surface element at the disc surface. If we assume isotropic blackbody cooling at the photosphere we can write the cooling as

$$S_{\text{cool}} = \kappa \rho \sigma T_\text{e}^4 (2 r^2 \sin \theta \Delta \theta \Delta \phi + 2 r \sin \theta \Delta \theta \Delta \phi),$$

Since irradiation hits the disc surface with a small angle one can approximate $r \Delta \theta + \Delta r \sim \Delta r$ (in other words, most of the cooling is done through disc emission in the vertical direction). Assuming that heating and cooling balance each other, we find (see also Chiang & Goldreich 1997, Eq. (1))

$$T_{\text{eq}} = \left( \frac{r \Delta \theta}{2 (r \Delta \theta + \Delta r)} \right)^{1/4} \frac{T_{\text{e}} (R_*/r)^{1/2}}{T_* (R_*/r)^{1/2}} = \left( \frac{r \Delta \theta}{2 \Delta r} \right)^{1/4} \frac{T_{\text{e}} (R_*/r)^{1/2}}{T_* (R_*/r)^{1/2}}.$$  

(B.3)

The term $r \Delta \theta / \Delta r$ is often called the flaring angle $\alpha_{\text{flare}}$, and can be expressed as

$$\alpha_{\text{flare}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{H_{\text{ph}}}{r} \right).$$

(B.4)
Fig. B.1. Final 2D temperature distribution in radiation hydrostatic equilibrium. The black solid line shows the $\tau = 1$ line for the irradiation.

Using Eq. (B.4), we can calculate the flaring angle of our disc model and derive the expected equilibrium temperature in our model using Eq. (B.3). In Fig. B.2 (top panel), we plot the temperature in the corona $T_{\text{corona}}$ (red dotted line at $\theta - \pi/2 = 0.4$) and in the midplane $T_{\text{midplane}}$ (red solid line), overplotted with the corresponding blackbody temperature $T_r(R_*/r)^{1/2}$ (black dotted line) and the equilibrium temperature $T_{\text{eq}}$ (black solid line). The two curves we obtained using our iterative procedure are in good agreement with the approximate expressions provided by the Chiang & Goldreich (1997) model.

In Fig. B.2 (bottom panel), we plot in addition the disc scale height $H/r$ over radius. When combining Eq. (B.4) with the Gaussian vertical profile of the disc (in the case of an isothermal disc), a simple formula for its radial profile is obtained (Chiang & Goldreich 1997):

$$H/r \propto \left(\frac{r}{R_*}\right)^{2/7}. \quad (B.5)$$

This analytical prediction is compared in the bottom panel in Fig. B.2 (solid line) with our numerical estimate of the same quantity. Again, the agreement between the two curves validates our iterative procedure.

Appendix C: Restarting the H3D model

As mentioned, we restarted our high-resolution model from a magnetic field configuration after MRI saturation from model L3D. This requires interpolating the simulation data from a coarse grid to a refined grid (with refined cells about twice as small as the coarse cells). In MHD, this is not an immediate procedure if one wants to retain the solenoidal nature of the magnetic field. In the constraint transport MHD method, the magnetic fields are located at cell interfaces. Coarse cell interfaces are refined, and the magnetic field on the refined surfaces is simply injected from those coarse cell interfaces. In addition, new interfaces appear in the refined grid that do not exist in the coarse grid. At these locations, we perform a linear interpolation of $r^2 B_z$, $\sin \theta B_\theta$, $B_8$ and we use that interpolation to reconstruct the new magnetic field. This ensures $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ on the refined grid.

We restart the model first with pure isothermal MHD using the initial density and temperature profiles derived from hydrostatic equilibrium. We let the system relax for around 1000 steps, then we take the velocities and the magnetic fields from the relaxed state, but the density and temperature profiles again from the hydrostatic equilibrium. Restarting from this with full radiative RMHD will result after around 10 outer orbits in a new saturated state avoiding the linear MRI phase.
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